

## ON LIE RING OF GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS

Balchand Prajapati\*<sup>1</sup>

School of Liberal Studies,

Ambedkar University Delhi, Kashmere Gate, New Delhi, 110006, India.

Rajendra K. Sharma

Department of Mathematics,

Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi, 110016, India.

(Received On: 20-09-14; Revised &amp; Accepted On: 24-10-14)

## ABSTRACT

Let  $gD(R)$  be the Lie ring of generalized derivations of a ring  $R$ . In this article we show that the ring  $gD(R)$  is a prime (semiprime) Lie ring if the ring  $R$  is a prime (semiprime) of characteristic not equal to 2. Also we show that the Lie ring of generalized inner derivation  $g_iD(R)$  is not a prime Lie ring for any ring  $R$ . Further, examples are given to show that the conditions "characteristic not equal to 2" and "primeness" of the ring  $R$  are not superfluous.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W25, 13N15, 16N60.

Keywords and Phrases: Prime, Semiprime, Lie ring, Derivations, Generalized derivations.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this article  $R$  will denote an associative ring with center  $Z(R)$ . An additive mapping  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a derivation of  $R$  if it satisfies  $d(xy) = (dx)y + xdy$ , for all  $x, y \in R$ . We denote by  $D(R)$ , the set of all derivations on  $R$ . Note that if  $d_1, d_2 \in D(R)$  and their composition  $d_1d_2 \in R$ , where  $R$  is a prime ring of characteristic not equal to 2, then either  $d_1 = 0$  or  $d_2 = 0$ , Posner, E. C. [8]. But for any ring  $R$ , the Lie product of  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ ,  $[d_1; d_2] = d_1d_2 - d_2d_1$  is a derivation of  $R$ . Thus  $(D(R), +, [; ]) form a ring and it is said to be the Lie ring of derivations. In [5, 6, 7], Jordan, C. R. and Jordan, D. A. have given the structure of  $D(R)$  by assuming different condition on  $R$ . In particular, they proved that if ring  $R$  is prime (semiprime) of characteristic not equal to 2 then  $D(R)$  is a prime (semiprime) Lie ring. Recall that an additive subgroup  $I$  of a Lie ring  $L$  is called an Lie ideal of  $L$  if  $[I; L] \subseteq I$ . An ideal  $I$  of a Lie ring  $L$  is said to prime Lie ideal if  $A$  and  $B$  are two Lie ideals of  $L$  such that  $[A; B] \subseteq I$  then either  $A \subseteq I$  or  $B \subseteq I$  and  $I$  is said to be semiprime Lie ideal of  $L$  if  $[A; A] \subseteq I$  then  $A \subseteq I$ . The Lie ring  $L$  is said to be prime (semiprime) Lie ring if  $\{0\}$  is the prime (semiprime) Lie ideal of  $L$ . An additive mapping  $g_d : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a generalized derivation on  $R$ , associated with a derivation  $d$ , if  $g_d(xy) = g_d(x)y + xdy$ , for all  $x, y \in R$ . As in the case of derivations, the product of two nonzero generalized derivations is not a generalized derivation, but the Lie product of any two generalized derivations is a generalized derivation. The collection of all generalized derivations, denoted by  $gD(R)$ , form a Lie ring. If  $g_{d_1}$  and  $g_{d_2}$  are two generalized derivations associated with derivations  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ , then  $[g_{d_1}; g_{d_2}]$  is a generalized derivation associated with the derivation  $[d_1; d_2]$ . An additive mapping  $T : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a left multiplier if it satisfies  $T(xy) = T(x)y$ , for all  $x, y \in R$ . Generalized derivations associated with the derivation 0 are given by  $g_0(xy) = g_0(x)y$ , for all  $x, y \in R$ . Obviously these are left multipliers of  $R$ . Note that if a ring  $R$  has the unity then  $T : R \rightarrow R$  is a left multiplier if and only if  $T(x) = ax$ , for all  $x \in R$ , where  $a$  is a fixed element of  $R$ , see [11].$

Let  $a, b \in R$ . Define  $g_{a,b} : R \rightarrow R$  by  $g_{a,b}(x) = ax + xb$ , for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $g_{a,b}$  satisfies  $g_{a,b}(xy) = g_{a,b}(x)y + xI_{-b}(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in R$ . Thus  $g_{a,b}$  is a generalized derivation of  $R$  associated with an inner derivation  $I_{-b} \in I(R) \subseteq D(R)$ , induced by  $-b \in R$ , where  $I_{-b}(y) = -by + yb$  and  $I(R)$  is the collection of all inner derivations of  $R$ . The map  $g_{a,b}$  is called the generalized inner derivation of  $R$  induced by  $a$  and  $b$ . We denote by  $g_iD(R)$ , the collection of all generalized inner derivations of  $R$ .

Corresponding Author: Balchand Prajapati\*<sup>1</sup>

School of Liberal Studies, Ambedkar University Delhi, Kashmere Gate, New Delhi, 110006, India.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

The following results are used to prove our main results and to give examples.

**Lemma 2.1:** Let  $P$  be a Lie ideal of  $D(R)$ . Suppose  $gP = \{g_d \in gD(R) \mid d \in P\}$ . Then  $gP$  is a Lie ideal of  $gD(R)$ . Further,  $gP$  contains all left multipliers of  $R$ .

**Proof:** Since  $0 = d \in P$ . All generalized derivations corresponding to the derivation 0 are the left multipliers of  $R$  which are in  $gP$ . Now, let  $P$  be a Lie ideal of  $D(R)$  and  $g_d \in gP$  and let  $g_\delta \in gD(R)$ , then for all  $x, y \in R$ ,  $[g_d; g_\delta](xy) = [g_d; g_\delta](x)y + x[d; \delta](y)$  but  $[d; \delta] \in P \Rightarrow [g_d; g_\delta] \in gP$  which implies  $[gP; gD(R)] \subseteq gP$ . Thus  $gP$  is a Lie ideal of  $gD(R)$ .

**Lemma 2.2:** Let  $R$  be a ring with unity 1 so that every derivation on  $R$  is inner. Then every generalized derivation on  $R$  is generalized inner derivation.

**Proof:** Let  $I_a$  be an inner derivation on  $R$  induced by  $a \in R$ . Suppose  $x, y \in R$  and  $g$  be a generalized derivation on  $R$  associated with  $I_a$  then  $g(xy) = g(x)y + xI_a(y)$ .

Replace  $x$  by 1 we have  $g(y) = g(1)y + I_a(y)$ . Let  $b$  be the image of 1 under  $g$  then we have  $g(y) = by + ay - ya = (a + b)y + (-a)y$ , for all  $y \in R$ . Thus  $g$  is a generalized inner derivation induced by  $(a + b)$  and  $(-a)$ .

**Lemma 2.3:** [[5], Lemma 7] Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $d \in D(R)$ . If  $d(R) \subseteq Z$  and  $d^2 = 0$ , then  $d = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.4:** [[9], Theorem 1] Let  $\mathbb{Z}G$  be the integral group ring of finite group  $G$ , then any derivation of  $\mathbb{Z}G$  is inner.

**Lemma 2.5:** [[3], Proposition Page 100] Let  $R$  be a simple algebra finite dimensional over its center. Then any derivation of  $R$  is inner.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

The following result gives the relation between  $D(R)$  and  $gD(R)$ .

**Theorem 3.1:** Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $D(R)$  and  $gD(R)$  be the set of all derivations and the set of all generalized derivations of  $R$ , respectively. The mapping  $\phi : gD(R) \rightarrow D(R)$  given by  $\phi(g_d) = d$  for all  $g_d \in gD(R)$  is a Lie epimorphism with kernel, where  $\mathcal{T}$  is the set of all left multipliers of  $R$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{T}$  is an Lie ideal of  $gD(R)$  and  $gD(R)/\mathcal{T} \cong D(R)$ .

**Proof:** The mapping  $\phi$  is well defined. For, let  $g_d \in gD(R)$  such that  $\phi(g_d) = d_1$  and  $\phi(g_d) = d_2$ . Then for all  $x, y \in R$ , we have

$$g_d(xy) = g_d(x)y + xd_1(y)$$

$$g_d(xy) = g_d(x)y + xd_2(y)$$

Subtracting these two we get  $x(d_1 - d_2)y = 0$ . Now replace  $y$  by  $wz$  we have

$$x(d_1 - d_2)wz = 0$$

$$\text{or, } x(w(d_1 - d_2)z + (d_1 - d_2)(w)z) = 0$$

$$\text{or, } xw(d_1 - d_2)z = 0$$

$$\text{or, } xR(d_1 - d_2)z = 0; \text{ for all } x, z \in R.$$

Since  $R$  is a prime ring we have  $(d_1 - d_2)z = 0$ , for all  $z \in R$ . Thus  $d_1 = d_2$ . It is clear that for every  $d \in D(R)$ , we can get a  $g_d \in gD(R)$ . Thus  $\phi$  is onto. Now if  $g_{d_1}, g_{d_2} \in gD(R)$ , then  $[g_{d_1}; g_{d_2}]$  is a generalized derivation associated with the derivation  $[d_1; d_2] \in D(R)$ . So  $\phi[g_{d_1}; g_{d_2}] = [d_1; d_2] = [\phi(g_{d_1}); \phi(g_{d_2})]$ . Hence  $\phi$  is a Lie homomorphism with kernel  $\text{Ker } \phi = \{g_d \mid \phi(g_d) = 0\} = \{g_d \mid d = 0\} = \mathcal{T}$ , the set of all left multipliers of  $R$ .

**Theorem 3.2:** Let  $D(R)$  and  $gD(R)$  be the Lie ring of derivations and the Lie ring of generalized derivations, respectively, of a ring  $R$ . Then any Lie ideal  $P$  of  $D(R)$  is a prime Lie ideal if and only if  $gP = \{g_d \in gD(R) \mid d \in P\}$  is a prime Lie ideal of  $gD(R)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P$  be a prime Lie ideal of  $D(R)$ . That is,  $A, B$  are two Lie ideals of  $D(R)$  such that  $[A; B] \subseteq P \Rightarrow A \subseteq P$  or  $B \subseteq P$ . Let  $gP = \{g_d \in gD(R) \mid d \in P\} \subseteq gD(R)$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $gP$  is a Lie ideal of  $gD(R)$ . Next we show that  $gP$  is a prime Lie ideal in  $gD(R)$ . Let  $gC$  and  $gD$  are two Lie ideals of  $gD(R)$  such that  $[gC; gD] \subseteq gP$ . Suppose

$gD \not\subseteq gP$ . [Note that  $gC$  and  $gD$  both should contain a generalized derivation other than left multipliers, otherwise  $gC \subseteq gP$  or  $gD \subseteq gP$  is trivially true by Lemma 2.1]. Let  $D = \{d | g_d \in gD\} \neq \{0\}$ . Since  $gD \not\subseteq gP$ , there exists  $d \in D$  such that  $d \notin P$ . Let  $g_\delta \in gC$  and  $g_d \in gD$  then  $[g_\delta; g_d] \in gP$  where  $d \in D$ . Since  $d \notin P$  we get for all  $x, y \in R$ ,  $[g_\delta; g_d](xy) = [g_\delta; g_d](x)y + x[\delta; d](y)$ . Since  $[\delta; d] \subseteq P$  and  $d \notin P$  this implies that  $\delta \in P$ . Thus for every  $\delta \in C$ , we have  $\delta \in P \Rightarrow C \subseteq P \Rightarrow gC \subseteq gP$ . Hence  $gP$  is a prime Lie ideal of  $gD(R)$ .

Conversely, Let  $gP$  be a prime Lie ideal of  $gD(R)$ . Two cases arises:

**Case-1:** Suppose  $gP$  contains atleast one generalized derivation other than left multipliers. Then  $P = \{d | g_d \in gP\} \neq \{0\}$ . Since  $gP$  is Lie ideal of  $gD(R)$  we have  $[gP; gD(R)] \subseteq gP$ . Let  $g_d \in gP$  and  $g_\delta \in gD(R)$ , then for all  $x, y \in R$  we have  $[g_d; g_\delta](xy) = [g_d; g_\delta](x)y + x[d; \delta](y)$ . Thus  $[g_d; g_\delta] \in gP \Rightarrow [d; \delta] \subseteq P$  and so  $[P; D(R)] \subseteq P$ . Hence  $P$  is a Lie ideal of  $D(R)$ . Now it remains to show that  $P$  is a prime Lie ideal. Let  $A$  and  $B$  are two Lie ideals of  $D(R)$  such that  $[A; B] \subseteq P$  and let  $B \not\subseteq P$ . This implies that there exists  $d \in B$  such that  $d \notin P \Rightarrow g_d \in gB$  but  $g_d \notin gP \Rightarrow gB \not\subseteq gP$  where  $gB = \{g_d | d \in B\}$ . Let  $gA = \{g_\delta | \delta \in A\}$ . Let  $\delta \in A$  and  $d \in B$  then  $g_\delta \in gA$  and  $g_d \in gB$ . Now for all  $x, y \in R$ , we have  $[g_\delta; g_d](xy) = [g_\delta; g_d](x)y + x[\delta; d](y)$ . Since  $[\delta; d] \in [A; B] \subseteq P \Rightarrow [g_\delta; g_d] \in gP \Rightarrow gA \subseteq gP$  or  $gB \subseteq gP$ , but  $gB \not\subseteq gP \Rightarrow gA \subseteq gP$ . Thus  $A \subseteq P$ . Hence  $P$  is a prime Lie ideal of  $D(R)$ .

**Case-2:** Suppose  $gP$  contains only left multipliers of  $R$ . Then  $P = \{0\}$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  are two Lie ideals of  $D(R)$  such that  $[A; B] \subseteq P = \{0\}$  and let  $B \neq \{0\}$ , then there exists  $0 \neq d \in B$ . Let  $gB = \{g_d | d \in B\}$  and  $gA = \{g_\delta | \delta \in A\}$ . Then for all  $x, y \in R$ , we have  $[g_\delta; g_d](xy) = [g_\delta; g_d](x)y + x[\delta; d](y)$ , where  $\delta \in A$  and  $d \in B$ . Thus  $[\delta; d] \in [A; B] \subseteq P = \{0\}$ . This implies  $[g_\delta; g_d] \in gP$ . Hence  $[gA; gB] \subseteq gP$ , which implies  $gA \subseteq gP$  or  $gB \subseteq gP$  but  $gB \not\subseteq gP$  because  $gB$  contains generalized derivation other than left centralizer so  $gA \subseteq gP$  and hence  $A \subseteq P = \{0\}$ . Thus  $A = \{0\}$ .

**Corollary 3.3:**  $D(R)$  is a prime (semiprime) Lie ring if and only if  $gD(R)$  is prime (semiprime) Lie ring

For any noncommutative ring  $R$ , the set of all left multipliers form a Lie ring. We can use this ring to prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.4:** If  $R$  is a noncommutative ring with unity then the Lie ring of  $R$  denoted by  $(L(R), +, [, ])$  can not be a prime Lie ring.

**Proof:** Since  $R$  has the unity,  $\mathcal{T} = \{T_a | a \in R, T_a x = ax, \forall x \in R\}$ . Define  $\phi : L(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  by  $\phi(a) = T_a$ , for all  $a \in R$ . Then  $\phi$  is a Lie isomorphism. Let  $A = \{T_a | a \in Z(R), \text{ the center of } R\}$ , then  $[A; \mathcal{T}] = \{0\} \subseteq A$ , i.e.  $A$  is a nonzero Lie ideal of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $B$  be any other non zero Lie ideal of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then  $[A; B] = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{T}$  is not a prime Lie ring and hence  $L(R)$  is not a prime Lie ring.

**Lemma 3.5:** [[5], Theorem 2] Let  $R$  be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic not equal to 2. Then  $D(R)$  is a prime Lie ring.

**Theorem 3.6:** Let  $R$  be a noncommutative prime (semiprime) ring of characteristic not equal to 2. Then  $gD(R)$  is a prime (semiprime) Lie ring.

**Proof:** It follows from Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 3.5.

In [5], it is proved that if the ring  $R$  is prime (semiprime) of characteristic not equal to 2, then  $I(R)$ , the collection of all inner derivations, is a prime (semiprime) Lie ring. Here we show that  $g_i D(R)$ , the collection of all generalized inner derivations is not a prime Lie ring.

**Theorem 3.7:** For any ring  $R$ , the following hold:

1. If  $g_{a,b}, g_{c,d} \in g_i D(R)$ , for  $a, b, c \in R$  then  $[g_{a,b}; g_{c,d}] = g_{[a,c], [d,b]}$  which implies that  $g_i D(R)$  is Lie subring of  $gD(R)$ .
2.  $g_i D(R)$  is not a prime Lie ring.

**Proof:** First is easy to compute. For second, let  $A = \{g_{a,b} | a \in Z(R)\}$  and  $B = \{g_{c,d} | d \in Z(R)\}$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are non zero Lie ideals of  $g_i D(R)$ , but  $[A; B] = 0$  by 1.

For a commutative ring  $R$  we have the following:

**Lemma 3.8:** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Let  $g_\delta \in gD(R)$  and  $rg_\delta : R \rightarrow R$  given by  $(rg_\delta)(s) = rg_\delta(s)$  then  $rg_\delta \in gD(R)$  and hence  $Rg_\delta$  is a subring of  $gD(R)$ .

**Theorem 3.9:** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $0 \neq \delta \in D(R)$ , then  $R\delta$  and  $Rg_\delta$  are Lie isomorphic.

**Proof:** Define  $\phi: Rg_\delta \rightarrow R\delta$  by  $\phi(rg_\delta) = r\delta$ . Let  $x, y \in R$  then  $(rg_\delta)(xy) = (rg_\delta)(x)y + x(r\delta)(y)$ , means that  $(rg_\delta)$  is a generalized derivation associated with the derivation  $(r\delta)$ . The function  $\phi$  is a well defined, one-one and onto. Let  $rg_\delta, sg_\delta \in Rg_\delta$  then  $[rg_\delta; sg_\delta]$  is a generalized derivation associated with the derivation  $[r\delta; s\delta]$ . So,  $\phi[rg_\delta; sg_\delta] = [r\delta; s\delta] = [\phi(rg_\delta); \phi(sg_\delta)]$ . Hence  $Rg_\delta$  and  $R\delta$  are Lie isomorphic.

**Lemma 3.10:** [[5], Theorem 6] Let  $R$  be a commutative domain with unity and characteristic not equal to 2. If  $0 \neq \delta \in D(R)$ , then  $R\delta$  is a prime Lie ring.

**Theorem 3.11:** Let  $R$  be a commutative domain with unity and characteristic not equal to 2. If  $0 \neq g_\delta \in gD(R)$ , then  $Rg_\delta$  is a prime Lie ring.

**Proof:** It follows from Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 3.10.

**Lemma 3.12:** [[5], Theorem 11] Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free commutative semiprime ring. Then  $R\delta$  is a semiprime Lie ring, for all  $\delta \in D(R)$ .

**Theorem 3.13:** Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free commutative semiprime ring. Then  $Rg_\delta$  is a semiprime Lie ring, for all  $g_\delta \in gD(R)$ .

**Proof:** It follows from Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 3.12.

**Theorem 3.14:** Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free commutative semiprime ring. Then  $gD(R)$  is a semiprime Lie ring.

**Proof:** We prove the result for a ring  $R^1$  with unity 1. If ring  $R$  does not have unity, then we can associate it with unity in a natural way and since  $gD(R^1) \cong gD(R)$ , we can assume all ring with unity. Now every element of  $gD(R)$  is in the form  $rg_d$ , possibly the value of  $r$  is 1.

Let  $gP$  be an Lie ideal of  $gD(R)$ , such that  $[gP; gP] = 0$ . We note that the kernel of the epimorphism in Theorem 3.1 has no Lie structure in this case and  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq gP$  for any Lie ideal of  $gD(R)$ . Consider  $\mathcal{T} \subsetneq gP$ . Let  $g_d \in gP \setminus \mathcal{T}$  and  $r \in R$  then  $[g_d; rg_d] \in gP$ . If  $P = \{d \in D(R) | g_d \in gP\}$  then  $[d; rd] \in P$ , which gives  $d(r)d \in P$ , so  $[d; d(r)d] \subseteq [P; P]$ . Let  $\phi$  be a Lie epimorphism as in Theorem 3.1 then we have  $0 = \phi(0) = \phi[gP; gP] = [P; P]$ . Thus we have  $[d; d(r)d] \subseteq [P; P] = 0$  or  $d^2(r)d(x) = 0$ , for all  $x \in R$ . In particular for  $x = d(r)$ , we have  $d^2(r)d^2(r) = 0$ . Since  $R$  is commutative semiprime ring we have  $d^2(r) = 0$ . So by Lemma 2.3 we get  $d = 0$ . Since  $\phi$  is a Lie epimorphism then our choice of  $g_d$  shows that  $g_d = 0$ . Hence  $gD(R)$  is a semiprime Lie ring.

#### 4. EXAMPLE

The following examples show that the primeness and characteristic of ring are crucial in our results.

**Example 4.1:** Consider Heisenberg group over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,

i.e.  $Heis(\mathbb{Z}_3) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \right\}$ . Then  $O(Heis(\mathbb{Z}_3)) = 3^3$  and  $O(Z(Heis(\mathbb{Z}_3))) = 3$ . Center of this group

is generated by an element  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  of order 3. Now consider the group ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}Heis(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ , then by Lemma

2.4, every derivation on  $R$  is inner. Since this ring has the unity, so every generalized derivation is a generalized inner derivation by Lemma 2.2. Thus  $gD(R) = g_iD(R)$ . Hence  $gD(R)$  is not a prime Lie ring by Theorem 3.7. Note that the ring  $R$  is not a prime ring. Since  $(I - x)R(I + x + x^2) = (I - x)(I + x + x^2)R = (I - x^3)R = 0$ , but neither  $I - x = 0$  nor  $I + x + x^2 = 0$ .

**Example 4.2:** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field of 2 elements. Let  $R = \mathbb{F}_2$ , the matrix ring over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then every derivation on  $R$  is an inner derivation by Lemma 2.5. So  $gD(R) = g_iD(R)$  by Lemma 2.2, and hence  $gD(R)$  is not a prime Lie ring by Theorem 3.7.

#### REFERENCES

1. Ashraf, M., and Nadeem-ur, R. On Jordan generalized derivations in rings. Math. J. Okayama Univ. 42 (2000), 7-9 (2002).
2. Barraa, M., and Pedersen, S. On the product of two generalized derivations. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127, 9 (1999), 2679-2683.

3. Herstein, I. N. Noncommutative rings. The Carus Mathematical Monographs, No. 15. Published by The Mathematical Association of America, 1968.
4. Hvala, B. Generalized derivations in rings. Comm. Algebra 26, 4 (1998), 1147-1166.
5. Jordan, C. R., and Jordan, D. A. Lie rings of derivations of associative rings. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 17, 1 (1978), 33-41.
6. Jordan, C. R., and Jordan, D. A. The Lie structure of a commutative ring with a derivation. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 18, 1 (1978), 39-49.
7. Jordan, D. A. Simple Lie rings of derivations of commutative rings. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 18, 3 (1978), 443-448.
8. Posner, E. C. Derivations in prime rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1093-1100.
9. Spiegel, E. Derivations of integral group rings. Comm. Algebra 22, 8 (1994), 2955-2959.
10. Vukman, J. Centralizers on prime and semiprime rings. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 38, 2 (1997), 231-240.
11. Vukman, J. Centralizers on semiprime rings. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 42, 2 (2001), 237-245.
12. Vukman, J., and Kosi-Ulbl, I. On centralizers of semiprime rings. Aequationes Math. 66, 3 (2003), 277-283.

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared**

***[Copy right © 2014. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]***