COMMON FIXED POINT OF GENERALIZED CONTRACTION MAPPING IN FUZZY METRIC SPACES

Hamid Mottaghi Golshan*1 and Hassan Naraghi1 and Manocheher Kazemi1

¹Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Ashtian Branch, Ashtian, Iran.

(Received On: 20-07-14; Revised & Accepted On: 11-08-14)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we present two common fixed point theorems of generalized contraction mapping in fuzzy metric space.

2000 AMS Classification: 47H10, 54A40.

Keywords and phrases: Fuzzy metric spaces, Generalized contraction mapping, Common fixed point.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Several authors [3-5] have proved fixed point theorems for contractions in fuzzy metric spaces, using one of the two di erent types of completeness: in the sense of Grabiec [3], or in the sense of Schweizer and Sklar [9, 2]. Gregori and Sapena [4] introduced a new class of fuzzy contraction mappings and proved several fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces. Gregori and Sapena's results extend classical Banach fixed point theorem and can be considered as a fuzzy version of Banach contraction theorem. In this paper, following the results of [5] we give a new common fixed point theorem in the two different types of completeness and by using the recent definition of contractive mapping of Gregori and Sapena [4] in fuzzy metric spaces.

Recall [9] that a continuous t-norm is a binary operation $*:[0,1]\times[0,1]\to[0,1]$ such that $([0,1],\leq,*)$ is an ordered Abelian topological monoid with unit 1. The two important t-norms, the minimum and the usual product, will be denoted by min and \cdot , respectively.

Definition 1.1([2]): A fuzzy metric space is an ordered triple (X, M, *) such that X is a nonemptyset, * is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set of $X \times X \times (0,1)$ satisfying the following conditions, for all $x, y, z \in X$, $x \in X$, $x \in X$.

(FM1) M(x, y, t) > 0;

(FM2) M(x, y, t) = 1 if and only if x = y;

(FM3) M (x, y, t) = M (y, x, t);

 $(FM4) M(x,z,t+s) \ge M(x,y,t) * M(y,z,s);$

(FM5) $M(x, y, \cdot): (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

If, in the above definition, the triangular inequality (FM4) is replaced by (NAF) $M(x,z,\max\{t,s\}) \ge M(x,y,t)*M(y,z,s), \forall x,y,z \in X, \forall t.s>0$, then the triple (X,M,*) is called a non-Archimedean fuzzy metric space.

Remark 1.2([2]): In fuzzy metric space (X, M, *), $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 1.3([3]): A sequence x_n in X is said to be convergent to a point x in X (denoted by $x_n \to x$), if $M(x_n, x, t) \to 1$, for all t > 0.

Corresponding Author: Hamid Mottaghi Golshan*1

1Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Ashtian Branch, Ashtian, Iran.

Definition 1.4([2, 4]): Let be (X, M, *) a fuzzy metric space.

- (a) A sequence x_n is called G-Cauchy if for each t > 0 and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$. The fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is called G-complete if every G-Cauchy sequence is convergent.
- (b) A sequence x_n is called Cauchy sequence if for each $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and each t>0 there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 \epsilon$ for all $n, m \ge n_0$. The fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Remark 1.5 ([7]): Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space then M is a continuous function on $X \times X \times (0,1)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we extend common fixed point theorem of generalized contraction mapping in fuzzy metric spaces, our work is closely related to [1, 4, 5]. Gregori and Sepena introduced the notions of fuzzy contraction mapping and fuzzy contraction sequence as follows:

Definition 2.1: ([4]) Let be (X, M, *) a fuzzy metric space.

(a) We call the mapping $T: X \to X$ is fuzzy contractive mapping, if there exists $\lambda \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{M(Tx,Ty,t)} - 1 \le \lambda \left(\frac{1}{M(x,y,t)} - 1\right)$$

for each $x, y \in X$ and t > 0

(b) Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space. A sequence x_n is called fuzzy contractive fthere exists $\lambda \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{M(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1 \le \lambda \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, t)} - 1 \right)$$

for every $t > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.2: Let (X, M, *) be a G-complete fuzzy metric space endowed with minimum t-norm and $\{T_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in J}$ be a family of self-mappings of X. If there exists a fixed $\beta \in J$ such that for each $\alpha \in J$

$$\frac{1}{M(T_{\alpha}x, T_{\beta}y, t)} - 1 \le \lambda \max \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{M(x, y, t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(x, T_{\alpha}x, t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(y, T_{\beta}y, t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(y, T_{\beta}y, t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(y, T_{\alpha}x, 2t)} - 1 \right) \right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

for some $\lambda = \lambda(\alpha)$ and for each x, $y \in X$, t > 0. Then all T_{α} have a unique common fixed point and at this point each T_{α} is continuous.

Proof: Let $\alpha \in J$, $x \in X$ and t > 0 be arbitrary. Consider a sequence, defined inductively $x_0 = x$, $x_{2n+1} = T_{\alpha}(x_{2n}), x_{2n+2} = T_{\alpha}(x_{2n+1})$ for all $n \ge 0$. From (2.1) we get

$$\frac{1}{M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t)} - 1 = \frac{1}{M(T_{\alpha} x_{2n}, T_{\beta} x_{2n+1}, t)} - 1$$

$$\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, t)} - 1 \right) \right\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Hamid Mottaghi Golshan*¹ and Hassan Naraghi¹ and Manocheher Kazemi¹/Common Fixed Point of Generalized Contraction Mapping in Fuzzy Metric Spaces /IJMA- 5(8), August-2014.

Since

$$\frac{1}{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, 2t)} - 1 \le \frac{1}{\min\{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t), M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t)\}} - 1$$

$$= \max\left\{\frac{1}{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t)} - 1\right\}, \tag{2.3}$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{M(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2},t)} - 1 \le \lambda \max \left\{ \frac{1}{M(x_{2n},x_{2n+1},t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+1},t)} - 1 \right\}.$$

Hence, as $\lambda < 1$ we get

$$\frac{1}{M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t)} - 1 \le \lambda \max \left\{ \frac{1}{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)} - 1 \right\}.$$

Similarly, we get that

$$\frac{1}{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)} - 1 \le \lambda \max \left\{ \frac{1}{M(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t)} - 1 \right\}.$$

So $\{x_n\}$ is fuzzy contractive, thus, byproposition [5, Proposition 2.4] is G-Cauchy. Since X is G-complete, $\{x_n\}$ converges to u for some $u \in X$. From (2.1) we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{M(T_{\beta}u, x_{2n+1}, t)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{M_{\beta}(T_{\beta}u, T_{\alpha}x_{2n}, t)} - 1 \\ &\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{M(u, x_{2n}, t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(u, T_{\beta}u, t)} - 1 \right), \\ &\left(\frac{1}{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(u, x_{2n+1}, 2t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{2n}, T_{\beta}u, 2t)} - 1 \right) \right\}. \end{split}$$

Taking the limit as infinity we obtain

$$\frac{1}{M(T_{\beta}u,u,t)}-1 \le \lambda \left(\frac{1}{M(u,T_{\beta}u,t)}-1\right).$$

Thus M(u,Tu,t) = 1, hence, Tu = u.

Now we show that is a fixed point of all $\{T_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in J}$.Let $\alpha \in J$. From (2.1) we have

$$\frac{1}{M(u,T_{\alpha}u,t)} - 1 = \frac{1}{M(T_{\beta}u,T_{\alpha}u,t)} - 1$$

$$\leq \lambda(\alpha) \max \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{M(u,T_{\alpha}u,t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(u,T_{\alpha}u,2t)} - 1 \right) \right\}.$$

Hence $T_{\alpha}u=u$, since α is arbitrary all $\{T_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in J}$ have a common point.

Suppose that v is also a fixed point of T_{β} . Similarly, as above, v is a common fixed point of all $\{T_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in J}$. Form (2.1) we get

$$\frac{1}{M(v, u, t)} - 1 = \frac{1}{M(T_{\beta}v, T_{\alpha}u, t)} - 1 \le \lambda \max \left(\frac{1}{M(u, T_{\alpha}u, t)} - 1\right).$$

Hamid Mottaghi Golshan*¹ and Hassan Naraghi¹ and Manocheher Kazemi¹/Common Fixed Point of Generalized Contraction Mapping in Fuzzy Metric Spaces /IJMA- 5(8), August-2014.

Thus u is a unique common fixed point of all $\{T_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in J}$. It remains to show each T_{α} is continuous at u. Let y_n be a sequence in X such that $y_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$. From (2.1) we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{M(T_{\alpha}y_{n}, T_{\alpha}u, t)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{M(T_{\alpha}y_{n}, T_{\beta}u, t)} - 1 \\ &\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{M(y_{n}, u, t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(y_{n}, T_{\alpha}y_{n}, t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(y_{n}, u, t)} - 1 \right), \left(\frac{1}{M(u, T_{\alpha}y_{n}, 2t)} - 1 \right) \right\} \end{split}$$

and by

$$\frac{1}{M(u,T_{\alpha}y_{n},2t)}-1 \le \frac{1}{M(u,T_{\alpha}y_{n},t)}-1,$$

We deduce

$$\frac{1}{M\left(T_{\alpha}y_{n},T_{\alpha}u,t\right)}-1\leq\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{M\left(y_{n},u,t\right)}-1\right).$$

So, $M\left(T_{\alpha}y_{n},T_{\alpha}u,t\right)\to1$, as $n\to\infty$, for all t>0. Thus T_{α} is continuous at a fixed point.

Theorem 2.3: Let (X, M, *) be a complete non-Archimedean fuzzy metric space endowed with minimum t-norm and $\{T_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in I}$ be a family of self-mappings of X. If there exists a fixed $\beta\in J$ such that for each $\alpha\in J$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{M\left(T_{\alpha}x,T_{\beta}y,t\right)}-1 &\leq \lambda \max\left\{\left(\frac{1}{M\left(x,y,t\right)}-1\right),\left(\frac{1}{M\left(x,T_{\alpha}x,t\right)}-1\right),\\ &\left(\frac{1}{M\left(y,T_{\beta}y,t\right)}-1\right),\left(\frac{1}{M\left(x,T_{\beta}y,t\right)}-1\right),\left(\frac{1}{M\left(y,T_{\alpha}x,t\right)}-1\right)\right\}, \end{split}$$

for some $\lambda = \lambda(\alpha)$ and for each $x, y \in X$, t > 0. Then all T_{α} have a unique common fixed point and at this point each T_{α} is continuous.

Proof: The proof is very similar to the Theorem 2.2. Instead of the equation (2.3) we have
$$\frac{1}{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, t)} - 1 \le \frac{1}{\min M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t), M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t)} - 1$$

$$= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)} - 1, \frac{1}{M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t)} - 1 \right\}$$

Proceed as the proof of the Theorem 2.2 then we conclude sequence $\{x_n\}$ is fuzzy contractive, thus by [4, Proposition 2.4] and [6, Lemma 2.5], $\{x_n\}$ converges to u for some $u \in X$. Proceed as the proof of the Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.4: Let (X, M, *) be a G-complete fuzzy metric space endowed with minimum t-norm. The following property is equivalent to completeness of X:

If Y is any non-empty closed subset of X and $T:Y\to Y$ is any generalized contraction mapping then T has a fixed point in Y.

Proof: The sufficient condition follows from Theorem 2.2. Suppose now that the property holds, but (X, M, *) is not complete. Then there exists a Chuchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X which does not converge. We may assume that $M(x_n, x_m, t) < 1$ for all $m \neq n$ and for some t > 0. For any $x \in X$ define

$$r(x) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{M(x_n, x, t)} - 1; x_n \neq x, n = 0, 1, \dots \right\}.$$

Hamid Mottaghi Golshan*¹ and Hassan Naraghi¹ and Manocheher Kazemi¹/Common Fixed Point of Generalized Contraction Mapping in Fuzzy Metric Spaces /IJMA- 5(8), August-2014.

Clearly for all $x \in X$ we have r(x) < 1, as $\{x_n\}$ has not a convergent subsequence. Let $0 < \lambda < 1$. We choose a subsequence x_{i_n} of $\{x_n\}$ as follows. We define inductively a subsequence of positive integer greater than i_{n-1} and such that $\frac{1}{M(x_i, x_k, t)} - 1 \le \lambda r(x_{i_{n-1}})$ for all $i, k \ge i_n, n \ge 1$. This can done, as $\{x_n\}$ is a Chuchy sequence.

Now define $Tx_{i_n} = x_{i_{n+1}}$ for all n. Then for any $m > n \ge 0$ we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{M(Tx_{i_{n}}, Tx_{i_{m}}, t)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{M(x_{i_{n+1}}, x_{i_{m+1}}, t)} - 1 \\ &\leq \lambda r(x_{i_{m}}) \leq \lambda \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{i_{n}}, x_{i_{m}}, t)} - 1\right), \\ &\leq \lambda \max\left\{\left(\frac{1}{M(x_{i_{n}}, x_{i_{m}}, t)} - 1\right), \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{i_{n}}, x_{i_{n+1}}, t)} - 1\right), \\ &\left(\frac{1}{M(x_{i_{m}}, x_{i_{m+1}}, t)} - 1\right), \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{i_{n}}, x_{i_{m+1}}, t)} - 1\right), \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{i_{m}}, x_{i_{n+1}}, 2t)} - 1\right)\right\} \\ &= \lambda \max\left\{\left(\frac{1}{M(x_{i_{n}}, x_{i_{m}}, t)} - 1\right), \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{i_{n}}, Tx_{i_{n}}, t)} - 1\right), \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{i_{n}}, Tx_{i_{n}}, t)} - 1\right)\right\} \\ &\left(\frac{1}{M(x_{i_{m}}, Tx_{i_{m}}, t)} - 1\right), \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{i_{n}}, Tx_{i_{n}}, t)} - 1\right), \left(\frac{1}{M(x_{i_{n}}, Tx_{i_{n}}, t)} - 1\right)\right\} \end{split}$$

Thus T is a general contraction mapping on $Y = \{x_n\}$. Clearly, Y is closed and T has not a fixedpoint in T. Thus we get the contraction.

REFERENCES

- 1. L.J. Ciric, On a family of contractive maps and fixed points. Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 17(31) (1974), 45-51.
- 2. A. George, P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 64 (1994), 395-399.
- 3. M. Grabiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27 (1998), 385-389.
- 4. V. Gregori, A. Sapena, Onfixed-point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 125 (2002), 245-252.
- 5. M. Kazemi, H. MottaghiGolshan, Generalized Contraction Mapping In Fuzzy Metric Spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Archive 3(9) (2012), 1-7.
- 6. D. Mihet, Fuzzy -contractive mappings in non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets andSystems*, 159(2008), 739-744.
- 7. J. Rodrguez-Lopez, S. Romaguera. The Hausdorf fuzzy metric on compact sets, *Fuzzy Sets andSystems*, 147 (2004), 273-283.
- 8. S. Romaguera, A. Sapena, P. Tirado, The Banachfixed point theorem in fuzzy quasi-metric spaces with application to the domain of words, *Topol. Appl*, 154 (2007), 2196-2203.
- 9. B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, *Paciac J. Math*, 10 (1960), 314-334.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2014. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]