

FIXED POINT THEOREMS ON EXPANSION IN FUZZY METRIC SPACE

Budeppa Neelakantharaya*, Venkatraman and Vijaya Kumar

Mathematics Dept, Veerappa Nisty Engineering College, Shorapur Dist:Yadgir-585220, (K.A.)

(Received On: 12-07-14; Revised & Accepted On: 24-07-14)

ABSTRACT

In this paper introducing fixed point theorem on expansion in fuzzy metric space, we also introduce the concept of R- weak commutatively of fuzzy metric spaces. Some illustrative examples.

Key Words: fixed point, fixed point theorem. Common fixed point, Fuzzy metric space.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION

Zadeh [1], introduction of fuzzy sets by the fuzzyness invaded almost all the branches of crisp mathematics. introduced the concept of fuzzy metric space, George and Veeramani [4] modified the concept of fuzzy metric space introduced by kramosil and michalek [5]. In this paper effort has been made to obtain some results on fixed points of expansion type mapping in fuzzy metric space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: [7] A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a continuous t-norm if $([0,1],*)$ is an abelian topological monoid with the unit 1 such that $a*b \leq c*d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Examples of t-norms are $a * b = ab$ and $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$

Definition 2.2: [4] the 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is called a fuzzy metric space (FM-space) if X is an arbitrary set $*$ is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set in $X^2 \times [0, \infty]$ satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$.

2. (1) $M(x, y, 0) > 0$
2. (2) $M(x, y, t) = 1, \forall t > 0$ iff $x = y$
2. (3) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$,
2. (4) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$
2. (5) $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

Remark 2.3: since $*$ is continuous, it follows from (2. (4)) that the limit of a sequence in FM-space is uniquely determined

Example 2.4: Let $X = \mathbb{N}$ define $a * b = \max\{0, a + b - 1\}$ and $a \diamond b = a + b - ab$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and let M and N be fuzzy sets on as follows

$$M(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{y} & \text{if } x \leq y \\ \frac{y}{x} & \text{if } y \leq x \end{cases}$$

For all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ then $(X, M, *)$ is fuzzy metric space.

Lemma 2.1: in fuzzy metric space $x, M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non decreasing and non increasing for all $x, y \in X$

*Corresponding author: Budeppa Neelakantharaya**

Lemma 2.2: Let $(X, M, *)$ be fuzzy metric space if there exist $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ then $x = y$

Lemma 2.3: Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space if there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, kt) \geq M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t) \quad (1)$$

And $n = 1, 2, \dots$ then $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1: Let $(X, M, *,)$ be a complete FM – space and f be a self map of X , onto itself there exist a constant $k > 1$

$$M(f_x, f_y, kt) \leq M(x, y, t) \quad (1)$$

For all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then f has a unique fixed point in x .

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ as f is onto, there is an element $x_1 \in f^{-1}x_0$. In the same way $x_n \in f^{-1}x_{n-1}$. For all $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ thus we get a sequence $\{x_n\}$, if $x_m = x_{m-1}$ for some m then x_m is a fixed point of f now suppose

$x_n \neq x_{n-1}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ then it follows from (1) that

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) = M(f_{x_{n+1}}, f_{x_{n+2}}, kt) \leq M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t) \text{ and for all } \{x_n\} \text{ is a}$$

Cauchy sequence in X since X is complete $\{x_n\}$ has limit $u \in X$ as f is onto there is an element $v \in X$ such that $v \in f^{-1}u$.

Now

$$M(x_n, u, kt) = M(f_{x_{n+1}}, f_v, kt) \leq M(x_{n+1}, v, t)$$

Which as $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives $M(u, v, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$, therefore (FM 2.(.2)) it follows that $u = v$ yielding thereby $fu = u$ and so u is the fixed point of f . let u and v be the two fixed points of f ie $fu = u$ and $fv = v$ then (1) yields

$$M(u, v, kt) = M(fu, fv, t) \leq M(u, v, t)$$

for all $t > 0$ hence in view of lemma 2.2 we obtain $u = v$ which shows the uniqueness of u as a fixed point of f this completes the proof

Theorem 3.2: let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete FM-space with $t * t \geq t$ and $(1 - t) \diamond (1 - t) \leq (1 - t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and f be mapping from X onto itself there exist a number $k > 1$ such that

$$M(f_x, f_y, kt) \leq M(x, y, t) * M(x, f_x, t) * M(y, f_y, t) \quad (1)$$

For all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ then f has a unique fixed point in X

Proof: a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is developed similarly as in theorem 3.1, if $x_{m-1} = x_m$ for some m , f has a fixed point x_m , suppose $x_{n-1} \neq x_n$ for every positive integer n then from (1)

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) &= M(f_{x_{n+1}}, f_{x_{n+2}}, kt) \\ &\leq M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t) * M(x_{n+1}, f_{x_{n+1}}, t) * M(x_{n+2}, f_{x_{n+3}}, t) \\ &= M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t) * M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) * M(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, t) \end{aligned}$$

yielding there by

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) \leq M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) * M(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, t) \quad (2)$$

Now suppose

$$M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t) < M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)$$

For all $t > 0$ then in view of lemma 2.3 $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X which is complete therefore there exists some $u \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow u$ since f is onto there is an element now $u \in f^{-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, u, kt) &= M(f_{x_{n+1}}, f_v, kt) \\ &\leq M(x_{n+1}, v, t) * M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) * M(v, u, t) \end{aligned}$$

Which as letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives $M(u, v, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$.

Therefore by (FM 2.4.2) it is noting that $u = v$ and so $fu = u$ i.e. u is a fixed point of f the uniqueness of u can be shown easily from (1) hence the theorem proved

Theorem 3.3: let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete FM- space with $t * t \geq t$ and $(1 - t) \diamond (1 - t) \leq (1 - t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and f, g be two self maps of X onto itself if there exist a number $k > 1$ such that

$$M(fx, gy, kt) \leq M(x, y, t) * M(x, fx, t) * M(y, gy, t) \quad (1)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$ then f has a unique common fixed point in X

Proof: choose an element $x_0 \in X$ as f is onto there is an element $x_1 \in f^{-1}x_0$ since g is onto there exist an element x_2 satisfying $x_2 \in g^{-1}x_1$ thus in general a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is defined as $x_{2n+1} \in f^{-1}x_{2n}$, $x_{2n+2} \in g^{-1}x_{2n+1}$, for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ now we have two cases as follows

Case - (1): when $x_m \neq x_{m+1}$ for all $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ in this case it follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, kt) &= M(f_{x_{2n+1}}, f_{x_{2n+2}}, kt) \\ &\leq M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t) * M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, t) * M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t) \\ &\quad M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t) * M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Suppose

$$M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t) < M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)$$

Then from (2) we obtain

$$M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, kt) \leq M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)$$

Which in view of lemma (2.2) implies $x_{2n} = x_{2n+1}$ which is a contradiction therefore

$$\text{Let } M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t) \geq M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)$$

Then (2) yields

$$M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, kt) \leq M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, t)$$

For all $t > 0$ similarly it can be show that

$$M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, kt) \leq M(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, t)$$

For all $t > 0$, thus in general we obtain

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) \leq M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)$$

For all $t > 0$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ hence in view of lemma (2.3) $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X which is complete therefore $\{x_n\}$ has a limit point in x since $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ are subsequence of $\{x_n\}$, $x_{2n} \rightarrow u$ and $x_{2n+1} \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ as f and g are onto there exist $v, w \in X$ satisfying $v \in f^{-1}u$ and $w \in f^{-1}u$ now

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{2n}, u, kt) &= M(f_{x_{2n+1}}, gw, kt) \\ &\leq M(x_{2n+1}, w, t) * M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, t) * M(w, gw, t) \end{aligned}$$

Which as $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives $M(u, w, t) = 1$, for all $t > 0$ then by (FM 2.4.2) it follows that $u = w$ in the similar pattern taking $x = v$ and $y = x_{2n+2}$ in (1) and therefore proceeding as above we obtain $u = v$ therefore $u = v = w$ which immediately implies $fu = gu = u$ and so u is a common fixed point of f and g now let u and v be two common fixed point of f and g .

i.e. $fu = gu$ and $fv = gv = v$ then

$$\begin{aligned} M(u, v, kt) &= M(fu, fv, kt) \\ &\leq M(u, v, t) * M(u, fu, t) * M(v, gv, t) \\ &= M(u, v, t) * 1 * 1 = M(u, v, t) \end{aligned}$$

For all $t > 0$ further by application of lemma (2.2) we obtain $u = v$

Case - II: When $x_{m-1} = x_m$ for some m here m may be even or odd, positive integer without loss of generality suppose m is an integer say $m = 2p$ then $x_{2p-1} = x_{2p}$ i.e. $gx_{2p} = fx_{2p-1}$ which implies $x_{2p} = x_{2p+1}$ (as we have $fx \neq fy$ if $x \neq y$) therefore we have $x_{2p-1} = x_{2p} = x_{2p+1} = \dots$ which shows that $\{x_n\}$ is convergent sequence and so Cauchy sequence in X the rest of the proof is similar to as in case (I) and this complete the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zadeh L A, Fuzzy sets, inform, and control 8 (1965) 338.
- [2] Kaleva O, Seikkala, S, On fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy sets and system (1962) 122.
- [3] Deng Z K, Fuzzy pseudo- metric spaces, J. Math. Anal , Appl. 86 (1982) 74.
- [4] George A & Veeramani P, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, fuzzy sets and systems 64, (1994) 395.
- [5] Kramosil I & Michalek J, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces kyberntika Appl. 50, (1985)142.
- [6] Grabiec M, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, fuzzy sets and systems, 27 (1988) 385.
- [7] Schweizer B & Sklar A, statistical metric spaces pacific J Math. 10,(1960) 313.
- [8] Rosenholtz, local expansion derivatives and fixed points, Fund. Math 91, (1976).
- [9] Rhodes B E, A comparision of various definitions of contractive mappings, trans, Amer. Math. Soc., 57(1976) 257.
- [10] Banach S Theoric les operations lineaires manograie, Mathmatyezne Warsaw, Poland, (1932).
- [11] Wang S Z, Li B Y, Gao Z M & Iseki K, Some fixed point theorems on expansion mappings Math. Japanica, 29, (1984) 631.
- [12] Cho Y J, Pathak H K, Kang S M & Jang J S, Common fixed points of compatible maps of type (b) of fuzzy metric spaces, fuzzy sets and systems 93, (1998) 99.
- [13] Fang J X, On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces fuzzy sets and systems 46, (1992) 107.
- [14] Edelstein M, On fixed and periodic points under contraction mappings , J. London Math . Soc. 37, (1962) 74.
- [15] Mishra S N, Sharma N & Singh S I, common fixed point of maps on fuzzy metric spaces , Inter. J. Math. Sci. 17, (1994) 253.
- [16] Park J H, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos solitons and fractals 22 (2004) 1039.
- [17] Park J H, Kwun Y C, & Park J H, A fixed point theorem in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces , far east J. Math. Sci. 16 (2005), 137-149.
- [18] Saadati R & Park J H, On the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, chaos, solitons and fractals 27 (2006), 331.
- [19] Turkoglu D, Alaca C, Cho Y J & Yildiz C, common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces J. Appl, Math, and computing 22(2006), 41.
- [20] Turkoglu D, Altun I & Cho Y J, Common fixed points of compatible mappings of type (I) and type (II) in fuzzy metric spaces, J. fuzzy math. 15(2007), 435.
- [21] Atarassov K, Intuitionistic fuzzy set and system 20 (1986), 87. [22] Choudhary B S, A unique common fixed point theorem for sequence of self maps in menger spaces Bull. Korean math, Soc. 37 (2000). No. 3 [23] Jungck, commuting mappings and fixed points, Amer. Math. Monthly, 83 (1976), 261.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2014. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]