

Further results on α -labeling number of graphs

Rekha V. Patel*

Assistant Professor in Mathematics, Department of Mathematics,
Government Engineering College, Jagana, Palanpur-385011, Gujarat, India.

Yogita R. Sharma

Assistant Professor in Mathematics, Department of Mathematics,
Saffrony Institute of Technology, Linch, Mehsana-384120, India.

(Received on: 03-04-14; Received & Accepted on: 23-04-14)

ABSTRACT

In this note we show that the α -labeling number of a bipartite graph G is bounded, which proves a conjecture.

Keywords: α -labeling; bipartite graph.

INTRODUCTION

A bipartite graph (or bigraph) is a graph whose vertices can be divided into two disjoint sets u and v (that is, u and v are each independent sets) such that every edge connects a vertex in u to one in v . Equivalently, a bipartite graph is a graph that does not contain any odd-length cycles.

Definition: If the vertices of the graph are assigned values subject to certain conditions is known as *graph labeling*. Enough literature is available in printed as well as in electronic form on different types of graph labeling and more than 1000 research papers have been published so far in past four decades. A current survey of various graph labeling problems can be found in (Gallian, J., 2009).

Following three are the common features of any graph labeling problem.

- (1) a set of numbers from which vertex labels are assigned;
- (2) a rule that assigns a value to each edge;
- (3) A condition that these values must satisfy.

A vertex *labeling* (or *valuation*) of a graph G is an assignment γ of labels to the vertices of G that induces for each edge uv a label depending on the vertex labels $\gamma(u)$ and $\gamma(v)$. A function γ , a β -labeling of a graph G with q Edges if γ is an injection from the vertices of G to the set $\{0; 1; \dots; q\}$ such that, when each edge uv is assigned the label $|\gamma(u) - \gamma(v)|$, the resulting edge labels are distinct. A β -labeling is now more commonly called a *graceful* labeling. A α -labeling is a graceful labeling with the additional property that there exists an *integer* λ such that for each edge uv either $\gamma(u) \leq \lambda < \gamma(v)$ or $\gamma(v) \leq \lambda < \gamma(u)$. Note that if G admits α -labeling then G is necessarily bipartite.

Applications in graph decompositions, α -labelings are of particular interest, $K_{m; n}$ has an α -labelling for all positive integers m and n and that C_m has an α -labeling if and only if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. on the other hand one can easily show that there exist bipartite graphs which do not have α -labeling; Examples of such graphs include 2-regular graphs with $4m+2$ edges, forests with more than one component and the trees obtained by subdividing each edge in $K_{1; k}; k \geq 3$

Let G and H be simple graphs with G a subgraph of H . A G -decomposition of H is a partition of $E(H)$, the edge set of H , into subgraphs isomorphic to G . In this case we say that G divides H and write $G|H$.

Corresponding author: Rekha V. Patel*

Assistant Professor in Mathematics, Department of Mathematics,
Government Engineering College, Jagana, Palanpur-385011, Gujarat, India.

E-mail: rekha_298@rediffmail.com

A bipartite graph G is said to eventually have a α -labeling provided that there exists a graph H (a 'host' graph) which has an α -labelling and $G|H$. The α -labelling number of G is defined to be $G_\alpha = \min \{t: \text{there exists a host graph } H \text{ of } G \text{ with } |E(H)| = t \cdot |E(G)|\}$. showed that if C is a cycle of even length then $C_\alpha \leq 2$ and proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture: 1 If G is a bipartite graph then $G_\alpha < \infty$.

In this note we shall give a short proof of the above conjecture by showing that every bipartite graph divides a complete bipartite graph.

First, if G is a regular bipartite graph then the following result shows that, the conjecture is true. Recall that $K_{m; n}$ has an x -labeling for all positive integers m and n .

Theorem: 1 Let G be a k -regular bipartite graph on $2n$ vertices. Then $G|K_{k^2; n}$.

Thus, it suffices to show that every bipartite graph divides a regular bipartite graph.

Theorem: 2 Every bipartite graph with q edges divides a q -regular bipartite graph.

Proof: Let G be a bipartite graph with q edges. Let $(A; B)$ be the bipartition of G ,

where $A = \{a_1; a_2; \dots; a_s\}$ and $B = \{b_1; b_2; \dots; b_t\}$. We shall construct a q -regular bipartite graph H with bipartition (A, B) such that $G|H$. Let A be the disjoint union of $A_1; A_2; \dots; A_t$ where $A_i = \{a_i; 1; a_i; 2; \dots; a_i; s\}$ for $i = 1; 2; \dots; t$ and B be the disjoint union of $B_1; B_2; \dots; B_s$ where $B_j = \{b_j; 1; b_j; 2; \dots; b_j; t\}$ for $j = 1; 2; \dots; s$. Then, for $1 \leq k; j \leq s$ and $1 \leq i; l \leq t$, let $a_i; k b_j; l$ be an edge in $E(H)$ if and only if $a_{k+j} \pmod s$ (which means here that the subscript takes values in the set $\{1; 2; \dots; s\}$) and $b_{l+i} \pmod t$ (similarly, the subscript takes values in $\{1; 2; \dots; t\}$) are adjacent in G . It remains to show that H is q -regular and $G|H$.

First, for each

$$a_i; k \in A_i \subseteq A, \quad \deg_H(a_i; k) = \sum_{j=1}^s \deg_G(a_{k+j} \pmod s) = q.$$

Similarly,

$$\text{For each } b_j; l \in B_j \subseteq B, \quad \deg_H(b_j; l) = \sum_{i=1}^t \deg_G(a_{l+i} \pmod t) = q.$$

Hence H is q -regular.

By the definition of H it is clear that the bipartite sub graph induced by $A_i \cup B_j$ is Isomorphic to G and that $G|H$.

Combining the results we have proved

Theorem: 3 Let G be a bipartite graph; then $G_\alpha < \infty$

The bound obtained in Theorem 3 is clearly quite large. $T_\alpha \leq n$ if T is a tree with n edges. A number of results in the literature on G -decompositions of complete bipartite graphs suggest that n is a reasonable bound for G_α for any bipartite graph G with n edges. We note however that we know of no example of a bipartite graph G where $G_\alpha > 2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Gallian, A dynamic survey of labeling, Electron J. Combin., Dynamic Survey.
- [2] R. Haggkvist, Decompositions of complete bipartite graphs.
- [3] W. Piotrowski, The solution of the bipartite analogue of the Oberwolfach problem,
- [4] G. Ringel, A. Lladó, O. Serra, Decomposition of complete bipartite graphs into trees,

- [5] A. Rosa, on certain valuations of the vertices of a graph.
- [6] H.S.Snevily, New families of graphs that have α -labelings, Discrete Math.
- [7] H. Wang, On $K_{1;k}$ -factorizations of a complete bipartite graph, Discrete Math.
- [8] www.combinatorics.org.
- [9] G.J. Chang, D.F. Hsu and D.G. Rogers, Additive variations on a graceful theme: Some results on harmonious and other related graphs, Congr. Numer. 32 (1981) 118-197.
- [10] R.L. Graham and N.J.A. Sloane, on additive bases and harmonious graphs, SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods 1 (1980) 382-404.
- [11] D.F. Hsu, Harmonious labellings of windmill graphs and related graphs, J. Graph the C. Barrientos, Graceful labelings of chain and corona graphs, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.34 (2002), 17-26.
- [12] P. Eldergill, Decomposition of the Complete Graph with an Even Number of Vertices. M. Sc.Thesis, McMaster University, 1997
- [14] J.A. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labeling, Electron. J. Combinatorics (2008), #DS6.
- [15] R.B. Gnanajothi, Topics in Graph Theory. Ph. D. Thesis. Madurai Kamaraj University, 1991.
- [16] M.A. Seoud, A.E.I. Abdel Maqsoud, and E.A. Elshawi, On strongly-C harmonious, relatively prime, odd graceful and cordial graphs, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, 73(1998) 33-55.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared