

## On $\alpha\delta$ -regular and $\alpha\delta$ -normal spaces

A.I. EL-Maghrabi<sup>\*,\*\*</sup> and F.M. AL-Rehili<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Taibah University, P.O. Box, 344,  
 AL-Madinah AL-Munawarah, K.S.A.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Departement of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kafr EL-Sheikh University  
 Kafr EL-Sheikh, EGYPT

(Received on: 17-12-12; Revised & Accepted on: 23-01-13)

### ABSTRACT

We introduce a new types of separation axioms say  $\alpha\delta T_i$ -spaces, for  $i = 1/2, b$  and we study some of their properties. We define also the class of  $\alpha\delta$ -regular and  $\alpha\delta$ -normal spaces and show that  $\alpha\delta$ -regularity and  $\alpha\delta$ -normality are preserve under bijective continuous and pre- $\alpha\delta$ -open mappings. Several properties of these spaces are discussed.

(2000) Math. Subject Classification: 54C05, 54C08, 54D10.

**Keywords and Phrases:**  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$ -spaces,  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -spaces,  $\alpha\delta$ -regular spaces and  $\alpha\delta$ -normal spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1998, Devi and et. al [3] defined the notion of  $\alpha T_b$ -spaces, while in 2005, Nasef and EL-Maghrabi [11] introduced the notion of  $\delta T_b$ -spaces. The aim of this paper is to introduce and study some separation axioms, say  $\alpha\delta T_i$ -spaces, for  $i = 1/2, b$ . Also, we construct the regularity and the normality of  $\alpha\delta$ -closed sets.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the present paper, spaces mean topological spaces  $(X, \tau)$  (or simply,  $X$ ) on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated.  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  (or simply,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ) denotes a mapping from a space  $(X, \tau)$  into a space  $(Y, \sigma)$ . The closure (resp. the interior, the complement) of  $A$  for a space  $X$  are denoted by  $cl(A)$  (resp.  $int(A)$ ,  $X-A$ ). Some definitions and results which will be needed in this paper are recalled in the following stated.

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is said to be:

- (i) regular open [14] if  $A = int(cl(A))$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha$ -open [10] if  $A \subseteq int(cl(int(A)))$ ,
- (iii)  $\delta$ -open [15] if it is the union of regular open sets.

The complement of a regular open (resp.  $\delta$ -open,  $\alpha$ -open) set is said to be regular closed (resp.  $\delta$ -closed,  $\alpha$ -closed). The intersection of all regular closed (resp.  $\delta$ -closed,  $\alpha$ -closed) sets containing  $A$  is called the regular closure [14] (resp.  $\delta$ -closure [15],  $\alpha$ -closure [2]) of  $A$  and is denoted by  $r-cl(A)$  (resp.  $cl_\delta(A)$ ,  $\alpha-cl(A)$ ). The family of all regular open (resp.  $\delta$ -open,  $\alpha$ -open) sets in a space  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $RO(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $\tau^\delta, \tau^\alpha$ ). It is known that  $\tau^\delta \subseteq \tau \subseteq \tau^\alpha$  and  $\tau^\delta, \tau^\alpha$  forms a topology on  $X$  [10, 15].

**Definition 2.2.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called:

- (i) a generalized closed (briefly,  $g$ -closed) [1,5] set if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is open,
- (ii) a  $\delta$ -deziareneg-closed (briefly,  $\delta g$ -closed) [4] set if  $cl_\delta(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is open,
- (iii) an  $\delta$ -generalized -closed (briefly,  $\alpha g$ -closed) [3] set if  $\alpha-cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is open,
- (iv) a  $\delta$ -generalized -closed (briefly,  $g\alpha$ -closed) [7] set if  $\alpha-cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\alpha$ -open.

**Corresponding author:** A.I. EL-Maghrabi<sup>\*,\*\*</sup>

<sup>\*\*</sup>Departement of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kafr EL-Sheikh University, Kafr EL-Sheikh, Egypt

**Definition 2.3.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called generalized open (resp.  $\delta$ -dezilareneg open,  $\alpha$ -generalized-open dezilareneg  $\alpha$ -open) set if its complement  $X-A$  is  $g$ -closed (resp.  $\delta g$ -closed,  $\alpha g$ -closed,  $g\alpha$ -closed) and denoted by  $g$ -open (resp.  $\delta g$ -open,  $\alpha g$ -open,  $g\alpha$ -open)

**Definition 2.4.** A mapping  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called:

- (i)  $\delta$ -continuous [13] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an  $\delta$ -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ , for each open set  $V$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha$ -continuous [8] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ , for each open set  $V$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ ,
- (iii)  $\alpha$ -irresolute [6] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ , for each  $\alpha$ -open set  $V$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ ,
- (iv)  $\delta$ -open [9] if  $f(V)$  is an  $\delta$ -open set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , for each open set  $V$  in  $(X, \tau)$ ,
- (v) pre- $\alpha$ -closed [3] if  $f(V)$  is an  $\alpha$ -closed set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , for each  $\alpha$ -closed set  $V$  in  $(X, \tau)$ ,

**Definition 2.5.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be:

- (i) a  $T_{1/2}$ -space [5] if every  $g$ -closed set is closed,
- (ii)  $1/2 T_\alpha$ -space [3] if every  $\alpha g$ -closed set is  $\alpha$ -closed,
- (iii)  $\alpha T_{1/2}$ -space [7] if every  $g\alpha$ -closed set is  $\alpha$ -closed,
- (iv)  $\alpha T_b$ -space [3] if every  $\alpha g$ -closed set is closed,
- (v)  $\delta T_b$ -space [11] if every  $\delta g$ -closed set is closed,
- (vi)  $\alpha$ -regular space [3] if for each closed set  $F$  of  $X$  and each point  $x \in X-F$ , there exist disjoint  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ ,
- (vii)  $\alpha$ -normal [12] if for any pair of disjoint closed sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , there exist disjoint  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq U$  and  $F_2 \subseteq V$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Definition 3.1.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be:

- (i) an  $\alpha$ -generalized  $\delta$ -closed (briefly,  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed) set if  $\alpha\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\delta$ -open,
- (ii) an  $\alpha$ -generalized  $\delta$ -open (briefly,  $\alpha g\delta$ -open) set if its complement  $X-A$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \delta\text{-closed} \rightarrow \text{closed} \rightarrow \delta g\text{-closed} \rightarrow g\text{-closed} & & \\ \downarrow & \Downarrow & \nearrow \\ \alpha\text{-closed} \rightarrow g\alpha\text{-closed} & \alpha g\text{-closed} \rightarrow \alpha g\delta\text{-closed} & \end{array}$$

**Definition 3.2.** A mapping  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called:

- (i)  $\alpha g\delta$ -continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ , for each open set  $V$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha g\delta$ -irresolute if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -open, for each  $\alpha g\delta$ -open set  $V$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ ,
- (iii)  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed if  $f(V)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , for each closed set  $V$  in  $(X, \tau)$ ,
- (iv) pre- $\alpha g\delta$ -closed if  $f(V)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , for each  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed set  $V$  in  $(X, \tau)$ ,
- (v) pre- $\alpha g\delta$ -open if  $f(V)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , for each  $\alpha g\delta$ -open set  $V$  in  $(X, \tau)$ ,
- (vi)  $\alpha$ -generalized  $\delta$ - $C$ -homeomorphism if  $f$  is bijective,  $\alpha g\delta$ -irresolute and pre- $\alpha g\delta$ -open.

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $A$  is an  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed set, then  $\alpha\text{-cl}(A)-A$  contains no non empty  $\delta$ -closed set.

**Proof.** Let  $F$  be a  $\delta$ -closed subset of  $\alpha\text{-cl}(A)-A$ . Then  $F \subseteq \alpha\text{-cl}(A)$  (1)

Let  $A \subseteq X-F$ , where  $X-F$  is  $\delta$ -open. Since,  $A$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed, then  $\alpha\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq X-F$  (2)

Hence, from (1), (2) we have  $F \subseteq \alpha\text{-cl}(A) \cap (X-\alpha\text{-cl}(A)) = \emptyset$  and so,  $F$  is empty.

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $A$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed in  $X$  and if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is pre- $\alpha$ -closed and  $\delta$ -continuous mapping, then  $f(A)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be an  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed set in  $X$  and  $G$  be a  $\delta$ -open set of  $Y$  such that  $f(A) \subseteq G$ . Then  $A \subseteq f^{-1}(G)$ . Hence,  $\alpha - \text{cl}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(G)$ . Then  $f(\alpha - \text{cl}(A)) \subseteq G$  and therefore  $f(\alpha - \text{cl}(A))$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed set in  $Y$  which implies that  $\alpha - \text{cl}(f(A)) \subseteq \alpha - \text{cl}(f(\alpha - \text{cl}(A))) \subseteq G$ . Hence,  $f(A)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed set in  $Y$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** The inverse image of each  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed set is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed under bijective  $\alpha g\delta$ -irresolute and  $\delta$ -open mappings.

**Proof.** Let  $B$  be an  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed set in  $Y$  and  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq U$ , where  $U$  is a  $\delta$ -open set in  $X$ . Then  $f(U)$  is  $\delta$ -open and hence  $B \subseteq f(U)$ . Since  $B$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed, hence  $\alpha - \text{cl}(B) \subseteq f(U)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\alpha - \text{cl}(B)) \subseteq U$ . But,  $\alpha - \text{cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \alpha - \text{cl}(f^{-1}(\alpha - \text{cl}(B))) = f^{-1}(\alpha - \text{cl}(B)) \subseteq U$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed.

#### 4. $\alpha \delta T_{1/2}$ -spaces

**Definition 4.1.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\alpha \delta T_{1/2}$ -space if every  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed is  $\alpha$ -closed.

**Remark 4.1.** By Definition 4.1, we have the following diagram.

$$\boxed{\alpha \delta T_{1/2}\text{-space}} \rightarrow 1/2T_{\alpha}\text{-space} \rightarrow \alpha T_{1/2}\text{-space}.$$

However, the converses of the above implications are not true in general as is shown by [3] and the following example.

**Example 4.1.** If  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with the topology  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$ , then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $1/2T_{\alpha}$  but it is not  $\alpha \delta T_{1/2}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** For a space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha \delta T_{1/2}$ ,
- (ii) for each  $x \in X$ , then  $\{x\}$  is  $\delta$ -closed or  $\alpha$ -open.

**Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).** Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is not  $\delta$ -closed, for some  $x \in X$ . Since,  $X$  is the only  $\delta$ -open set containing  $X - \{x\}$ . Then  $X - \{x\}$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed. But  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha \delta T_{1/2}$ , then  $X - \{x\}$  is  $\alpha$ -closed. Hence,  $\{x\}$  is  $\alpha$ -open.

**(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).** Let  $A$  be  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed with  $x \in \alpha - \text{cl}(A)$ . We consider the following two cases:

**Case: 1.** Let  $\{x\}$  be  $\alpha$ -open. Since,  $x \in \alpha - \text{cl}(A)$ , then  $\{x\} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . This shows that  $x \in A$ .

**Case: 2.** Let  $\{x\}$  be  $\delta$ -closed. If suppose that  $x \notin A$ . Then we would have  $x \in \alpha - \text{cl}(A) - A$  which cannot happen according to Theorem 3.1. Hence,  $x \in A$ . So, in both cases we have  $\alpha - \text{cl}(A) \subseteq A$ . Hence,  $\alpha - \text{cl}(A) = A$ . Then  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -closed and so,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha \delta T_{1/2}$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha \delta T_{1/2}$  if and only if every subset of  $X$  is the intersection of all  $\alpha$ -open sets and all  $\delta$ -closed sets containing it.

**Proof. Firstly.** Let  $X$  be  $\alpha \delta T_{1/2}$  with  $B \subseteq X$  arbitrary. Then  $B = \{X - \{x\} : x \notin B\}$  is the intersection of  $\alpha$ -open and  $\delta$ -closed by Theorem 4.1.

**Secondly.** For each  $x \in X$ , then  $X - \{x\}$  is the intersection of all  $\alpha$ -open sets and  $\delta$ -closed sets containing it. Thus  $X - \{x\}$  is either  $\alpha$ -open or  $\delta$ -closed and  $X$  is  $\alpha \delta T_{1/2}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** For a mapping  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , then the following statement are equivalent

- (i) If  $X$  is an  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$ -space, then the concept of  $\alpha$ -continuous and  $\alpha g\delta$ -continuous are coincident,
- (ii) If  $X, Y$  are  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$ -spaces, then the concept of  $\alpha$ -irresolute and  $\alpha g\delta$ -irresolute are coincident.

**Proof.** (i) Let  $B$  any closed set in  $Y$ . Since,  $f$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -continuous, then  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed set in  $X$ . But  $X$  is  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$ -space, then  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\alpha$ -closed which implies that  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -continuous.

(ii) Similar to (i).

**Theorem 4.3.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$  if and only if  $\alpha O(X, \tau) = \alpha G\delta O(X, \tau)$ .

**Proof. Firstly.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$  and  $A \in \alpha G\delta O(X, \tau)$ . Then  $X-A$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed. By hypothesis  $X-A$  is  $\alpha$ -closed and thus  $A \in \alpha O(X, \tau)$ . Then  $\alpha G\delta O(X, \tau) \subseteq \alpha O(X, \tau)$ . Hence,  $\alpha O(X, \tau) = \alpha G\delta O(X, \tau)$ .

**Secondly.** Let  $\alpha O(X, \tau) = \alpha G\delta O(X, \tau)$  and let  $A$  be  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed. Then  $X-A$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -open. Hence,  $X-A \in \alpha O(X, \tau)$ . Thus  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -closed which implies that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$ -space.

**Theorem 4.4.** If a space  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$  and  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is bijective pre  $\alpha$ -closed,  $\alpha$ -irresolute and  $\delta$ -continuous mappings, then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be an  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence by Theorem 3.2. We have  $f(A)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed. And by the assumption  $f(A)$  is  $\alpha$ -closed and hence,  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -closed in  $X$ . Therefore,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$ -space and  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is bijective  $\alpha g\delta$ -irresolute, pre- $\alpha$ -closed and  $\delta$ -open mappings, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$ -space.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be an  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Then by Theorem 3.3,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$ -space, hence  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\alpha$ -closed and therefore  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -closed. Hence,  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$ -space.

## 5. $\alpha\delta T_b$ -spaces

**Definition 5.1.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -space if every  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed set is closed.

**Lemma 5.1.** For a space  $(X, \tau)$ , every  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -space is  $\alpha T_b$ -space.

The converses of above lemma need not be true as is shown by the following example.

**Example 5.1.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with the topologies  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$ . Then a space  $X$  is  $\alpha T_b$ , but not  $\alpha\delta T_b$ , since  $\{b\}$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed but not closed

**Theorem 5.1.** If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is surjective closed and  $\alpha g\delta$ -irresolute mappings, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -space, if  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -space.

**Proof.** Let  $B$  be an  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed subset of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -space, then  $f^{-1}(B)$  is closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence,  $B$  is closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$  and so,  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -space.

**Corollary 5.1.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_b$  if and only if  $\tau = \alpha G\delta O(X, \tau)$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed mapping and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -space, Then  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is closed.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a mapping and  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -space. Then  $f$  is continuous if one of the following conditions are hold:

- (i)  $f$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -continuous,
- (ii)  $f$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -irresolute.

**Proof.** (i) Let  $F$  be a closed set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . But  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -space, then  $f^{-1}(F)$  is closed. Hence,  $f$  is continuous.  
(ii) Obvious.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$  and  $h : (Y, \tau_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \tau_Z)$  be two mappings and  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  be an  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -space. Then:

- (i)  $h \circ f$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -continuous if  $f$  and  $h$  are  $\alpha g\delta$ -continuous,
- (ii)  $h \circ f$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed if  $f$  and  $h$  are  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed.

**Proof.** (i) Let  $V$  be a closed set of  $(Z, \tau_Z)$ . Then  $h^{-1}(V)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed in  $(Y, \tau_Y)$ . But,  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -space, then  $h^{-1}(V)$  is closed in  $(Y, \tau_Y)$ . Since  $f$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -continuous, then  $(h \circ f)^{-1}(V)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau_X)$ .  $h \circ f$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -continuous.  
(ii) Obvious.

**Corollary 5.2.** For a mapping  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  we have:

- (i)  $f$  is pre- $\alpha g\delta$ -closed, if  $f$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed and  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -space,
- (ii)  $f$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -irresolute, if  $f$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -continuous and  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_b$ -space.

**Theorem 5.4.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_b$  if and only if, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is  $\delta$ -closed or open.

**Proof. Necessity.** Suppose that for some  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is not  $\delta$ -closed. Since  $X$  is the only  $\delta$ -open containing  $X - \{x\}$ . Then  $X - \{x\}$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed. Hence,  $\{x\}$  is open.

**Sufficiency.** Let  $A$  be  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed with  $x \in \delta - cl(A)$ . If  $\{x\}$  is open,  $\{x\} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Otherwise  $\{x\}$  is  $\delta$ -closed and  $\emptyset \neq \delta - cl(\{x\}) \cap A = \{x\} \cap A$ . In either case  $x \in A$ . Then  $\delta - cl(A) \subseteq A$ . Hence,  $\delta - cl(A) = A$ . Then  $A$  is  $\delta$ -closed and so,  $X$  is  $\alpha\delta T_b$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha\delta T_b$  if and only if, every subset of  $X$  is the intersection of all open sets and all  $\delta$ -closed sets containing it.

## 6. $\alpha g\delta$ -regular spaces

**Definition 6.1.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular if for each closed set  $F$  of  $X$  and each point  $x \in X - F$ , there exist disjoint  $\alpha g\delta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** For a space  $(X, \tau)$  every  $\alpha$ -regular space is  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular space.

The converse of the above lemma is not true as is shown by the following example.

**Example 6.1.** In Example 4.1, a space  $X$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular but it is not  $\alpha$ -regular.

**Remark 6.1.** An  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular and  $\alpha\delta T_{1/2}$ -spaces is  $\alpha$ -regular space.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $X$  be a space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular,
- (ii) For each  $F \subseteq X$  and  $p \in X - F$ , there exists an  $\alpha g\delta$ -open set  $U$  such that  $p \in U \subseteq \alpha g\delta - cl(U) \subseteq X - F$ .

**Proof. (i)→(ii)** Let  $X$  be an  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular space,  $F \subseteq X$  and  $p \in X-F$ . Then there exist disjoint  $\alpha g\delta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $p \in U$  and  $F \subseteq V=X-\alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(U)$ . This implies that  $\alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(U) \subseteq X-F$  and hence,  $p \in U \subseteq \alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(U) \subseteq X-F$ .

**(ii)→(i).** Let  $p \in X$  and  $F \subseteq X - \{p\}$  be a closed set. Then there exists an  $\alpha g\delta$ -open set such that  $p \in U \subseteq \alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(U) \subseteq X-F$ . Then  $F \subseteq X-\alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(U)$  which is an  $\alpha g\delta$ -open set and  $U \cap (X - \alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(U)) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular space.

**Theorem 6.2.** For an  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular space, for any two points  $x, y$  of  $X$ , then either  $\alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(\{x\}) = \alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  or  $\alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap \alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \neq \alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(\{y\})$ , then either  $x \notin \alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(\{y\})$  or  $y \notin \alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ . Suppose that  $y \notin \alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(\{x\})$ . Since,  $X$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular, then there exist disjoint an  $\alpha g\delta$ -open sets  $G$  and  $H$  such that  $\alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq G$  and  $y \in H \subseteq X-G$ , where  $X-G$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -closed this implies that  $\alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq X-G$ . Therefore,  $\alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(\{x\}) \cap \alpha g\delta\text{-cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq G \cap (X-G) = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 6.3.** Let  $f$  be  $\alpha g\delta$ -irresolute, closed mappings and  $Y$  be an  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular. Then  $X$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular space.

**Proof.** Let  $V$  be any closed set of  $X$  and  $x \in X-V$ . Then  $f(V)$  is closed in  $Y$  and  $f(x) \in Y-f(V)$ . Then there exist disjoint  $\alpha g\delta$ -open sets  $G$  and  $H$  such that  $f(x) \in G$  and  $f(V) \subseteq H$ . Since,  $f$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -irresolute, then  $f^{-1}(G)$  and  $f^{-1}(H)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -open in  $X$ . Then  $x \in f^{-1}(G)$ ,  $V \subseteq f^{-1}(H)$  and  $f^{-1}(G) \cap f^{-1}(H) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $X$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular.

The following theorems are shown that  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular space is preserved under bijective continuous and pre- $\alpha g\delta$ -open.

**Theorem 6.4.** If  $f$  is a bijective continuous and pre- $\alpha g\delta$ -open map, then  $Y$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular, if  $X$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular space.

**Proof.** Let  $F$  be any closed set of  $Y$  and  $y \in Y-F$ . Then  $f^{-1}(F)$  is closed in  $X$  and  $x \notin f^{-1}(F)$ . Since,  $X$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular, then there exist disjoint  $\alpha g\delta$ -open sets  $G$  and  $H$  such that  $x \in G$  and  $f^{-1}(F) \subseteq H$ . Then  $y \in f(G)$  and  $F \subseteq f(H)$  and  $f(G) \cap f(H) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $Y$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular.

**Theorem 6.5.** The property of being  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular space is a topological property.

**Proof.** Let a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be  $\alpha g\delta$  C-homeomorphism from an  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular space  $X$  into a space  $Y$ . Then  $f$  is bijective  $\alpha g\delta$ -irresolute and pre- $\alpha g\delta$ -open. and hence  $f$  is bijective continuous and pre- $\alpha g\delta$ -open. then for Theorem 6.4,  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular is a topological property.

## 7. $\alpha g\delta$ -normal spaces

**Definition 7.1.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal if for any pair of disjoint closed sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , there exist disjoint  $\alpha g\delta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq U$  and  $F_2 \subseteq V$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** For a space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following are hold

- (i) Every  $\alpha$ -normal space is  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal,
- (ii) Every  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular space is  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal.

**Proof. (i)** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $\alpha$ -normal space. Then for any pair of disjoint closed sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , there exist disjoint  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq U$  and  $F_2 \subseteq V$ . Then by definition 3.1, there exist disjoint  $\alpha g\delta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq U$  and  $F_2 \subseteq V$  and therefore  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal space.

(ii) Let  $F_1, F_2$  be two disjoint closed sets of  $X$ . Then for each  $x \in F_1$  implies  $x \notin F_2$ . Since,  $X$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular, then there exist two disjoint  $\alpha g\delta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V_X$  such that  $F_2 \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V_X$ . But,  $x \in F_1$  and  $x \in V_X$ , then,  $F_1 \subseteq V$  and  $F_2 \subseteq U$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal space.

The converse of the above lemma is not true. as is shown by the following examples.

**Example 7.1.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with topologies  $\tau_1 = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Then

- (i) a space  $(X, \tau_1)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal but not  $\alpha$ -normal. Since,  $\{b\}, \{c\}$  are disjoint closed sets while there are not exist disjoint  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $\{b\} \subseteq U, \{c\} \subseteq V$ .
- (ii) a space  $(X, \tau_2)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal but not  $\alpha g\delta$ -regular. Since,  $\{c\}$  is a closed set and  $a \in X - \{c\}$  there are not exist disjoint  $\alpha g\delta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $\{c\} \subseteq U, a \in V$ .

**Proposition 7.1.** An  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal and  $\alpha \delta T_{1/2}$  spaces is  $\alpha$ -normal space.

**Theorem 7.1.** For a space  $(X, \tau)$ , then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal,
- (ii) For any pair of disjoint closed sets  $F_1, F_2$  of  $X$ , there exists an  $\alpha g\delta$ -open set  $H$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq H$  and  $\alpha g\delta$ -cl( $H$ ) disjoint of  $F_2$ .
- (iii) For any closed set  $F$  of  $X$  and any open set  $U$  containing  $F$ , there exists an  $\alpha g\delta$ -open set  $H$  such that  $F \subseteq H \subseteq \alpha g\delta$ -cl( $H$ )  $\subseteq U$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be any non-empty disjoint closed sets of an  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal space  $X$ . Then there exist two  $\alpha g\delta$ -open sets  $H, W$  of  $X$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq H, F_2 \subseteq W$  and  $W \cap H = \emptyset$ . Then  $\alpha g\delta$ -cl( $X - W$ )  $\subseteq X - F_2$  and  $\alpha g\delta$ -cl( $H$ )  $\subseteq X - F_2$ . Hence,  $F_1 \subseteq H$  and  $\alpha g\delta$ -cl( $H$ )  $\cap F_2 = \emptyset$ .

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $F$  be any closed set and  $U$  be an open set containing  $F$ . Then by hypothesis, there exists an  $\alpha g\delta$ -open set  $H$  such that  $F \subseteq H$  and  $\alpha g\delta$ -cl( $H$ )  $\cap (X - U) = \emptyset$  this implies that  $F \subseteq H \subseteq \alpha g\delta$ -cl( $H$ )  $\subseteq U$ .

(iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be any disjoint closed sets of  $X$ . Then  $X - F_2$  is an open set containing  $F_1$ . Hence by hypothesis, there exists an  $\alpha g\delta$ -open set  $H$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq H \subseteq \alpha g\delta$ -cl( $H$ )  $\subseteq X - F_2$ . If we put  $V = X - \alpha g\delta$ -cl( $H$ ), then  $H$  and  $V$  are disjoint  $\alpha g\delta$ -open sets such that  $F_1 \subseteq H$  and  $F_2 \subseteq V$ . Hence,  $X$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal.

**Theorem 7.2.** If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -irresolute and closed mappings, then  $X$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal, if  $Y$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal.

**Proof.** Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be any two disjoint closed sets of  $X$ . Then  $f(F_1), f(F_2)$  are disjoint closed sets of  $Y$ . By  $\alpha g\delta$ -normality, there exists disjoint  $\alpha g\delta$ -open sets  $G, H$  such that  $f(F_1) \subseteq G$  and  $f(F_2) \subseteq H$ . Then  $F_1 \subseteq f^{-1}(G)$ ,  $F_2 \subseteq f^{-1}(H)$  and  $f^{-1}(G) \cap f^{-1}(H) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $X$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal.

**Theorem 7.3.** If  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a bijective continuous and pre- $\alpha g\delta$ -open mappings, then  $Y$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal, if  $X$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal space.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any two disjoint closed sets of  $Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(A), f^{-1}(B)$  are disjoint closed sets of  $X$ . By  $\alpha g\delta$ -normality, there exists disjoint  $\alpha g\delta$ -open set  $G, H$  such that  $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq G$  and  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq H$ . and by using a bijective pre- $\alpha g\delta$ -open mapping, we obtain  $A \subseteq f(G)$ ,  $B \subseteq f(H)$  and  $f(G) \cap f(H) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $Y$  is  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal.

**Theorem 7.4.** The property of being  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal space is a topological property.

**Proof.** Let a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\alpha g\delta$ -C-homeomorphism from an  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal space  $X$  into a space  $Y$ . Then  $f$  is bijective  $\alpha g\delta$ -irresolute and pre- $\alpha g\delta$ -open mapping. Then  $f$  is bijective continuous and pre- $\alpha g\delta$ -open. Therefore by using Theorem 7.3.  $\alpha g\delta$ -normal space is a topological property.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. E. Aull, Paracompact and countably paracompact subsets ,General Topology and its Relation to Modern Analysis and Algebra, Proc. Kanpur Topological Con., (1986),49-53.
- [2] M. Caldas, A note on some applications of  $\alpha$  - open sets, IJMMS, (2003), 125-130.
- [3] R. Devi; K. Balachandran and H. Maki, Generalized  $\alpha$ -closed maps and  $\alpha$ -generalized closed maps, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math. , 29 (1) (1998), 37-49.
- [4] J. Dontchev and M. Ganster, On  $\delta$ -generalized closed sets and  $T_{3/4}$  - spaces, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Math., 17 (1996), 15-31.
- [5] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 19 (2) (1970), 89-96.
- [6] S.N. Maheshwari and S.S. Thakur, On  $\alpha$  -irresolute mappings, Tamking J. Math., (1980), 209-214.
- [7] H. Maki; R. Devi and K. Balachandran, Generalized  $\alpha$ -closed sets in topology, Bull. Fukuoka. Univ .Ed. Part III, 42(1993), 13-21.
- [8] A.S. Mashhour, I. A. Hasanein and S.N.EL-Deeb,  $\alpha$ -continuous and  $\alpha$ -open mappings, Acta. Math.Hunga.41 (1983), 213-218.
- [9] M. Mrsevic; I. Relly and M.K .Vamanamuthy, On semi-regularization properties, J. Austral. Math. Soc.(Series A), 38(1985), 40-54.
- [10] O. Najasted, On some classes of nearly open sets, Proc. Math., 15(1965), 691-970.
- [11] A.A. Nasef and A.I EL-Maghrabi, Separation axioms associated with generalized closed sets, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt., 83(2) (2005), 135-146.
- [12] G.B. Navalagi, Some weak forms of normality (Unpublished).
- [13] T. Noiri, On  $\delta$ -continuous functions, J. Korean Math. Soc., (1980), 161-166.
- [14] M.H. Stone, Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 41(1937), 375-381.
- [15] N.V. Velicko, H-closed topological spaces, Amer .Math. Soc. Transl, 78 (1968), 103-118.

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared**