

$g\alpha$ -separation axioms

S. Balasubramanian*¹ and M. Lakshmi Sarada²

¹Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College (A), Karur – 639 005 Tamilnadu, India

²Department of Mathematics, A.M.G. Degree College, Chilakaluripet, Andhrapradesh, India

E-mail: mani55682@rediffmail.com¹, lakhsa77492@yahoo.com²

(Received on: 08-12-11; Accepted on: 28-12-11)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we discuss new separation axioms using $g\alpha$ -open sets.

Mathematics Subject Classification Number: 54D10, 54D15.

Keywords- $g\alpha$ spaces

1. Introduction:

Norman Levine introduced generalized closed sets in 1970. After him various Authors studied different versions of generalized sets and related topological properties. Recently V.K. Sharma and the author of the present paper defined separation axioms for g -open; gs -open; sg -open; rg -open sets and studied their basic properties.

Definition 1.1: $A \subseteq X$ is called generalized closed [resp: regular generalized; generalized regular] (briefly: g -closed; rg -closed; $g\alpha$ -closed) if $cl\{A\} \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open [resp: regular open, open] and generalized [resp: regular generalized; generalized regular] open if its complement is generalized [resp: regular generalized; generalized regular] closed.

Note 1: The class of regular open sets, open sets, g -open sets, $g\alpha$ -open sets are denoted by $RO(X)$, $\tau(X)$, $\alpha O(X)$, and $g\alpha O(X)$ respectively. Clearly $RO(X) \subset \tau(X) \subset \alpha O(X) \subset g\alpha O(X)$.

Note 2: $A \in g\alpha O(X, x)$ means A is generalized α -open neighborhood of X containing x .

Definition 1.2: $A \subseteq X$ is called clopen [resp: $g\alpha$ -clopen] if it is both open [resp: $g\alpha$ -open] and closed [resp: $g\alpha$ -closed]

Definition 1.3: A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be

- (i) g -continuous [resp: $g\alpha$ -continuous] if inverse image of closed set is g -closed [resp: $g\alpha$ -closed] and g -irresolute [resp: $g\alpha$ -irresolute] if inverse image of g -closed [resp: $g\alpha$ -closed] set is g -closed [resp: $g\alpha$ -closed]
- (iii) $g\alpha$ -open if the image of open set $g\alpha$ -open
- (iv) $g\alpha$ -homeomorphism [resp: $g\alpha\alpha$ -homeomorphism] if f is bijective, $g\alpha$ -continuous [resp: $g\alpha$ -irresolute] and f^{-1} is $g\alpha$ -continuous [resp: $g\alpha$ -irresolute]

Definition 1.4: X is said to be

- (i) compact [resp: nearly compact, semi-compact, g -compact, $g\alpha$ -compact] if every open [resp: regular-open, semi-open, g -open, $g\alpha$ -open] cover has a finite sub cover.
- (ii) T_0 [resp: rT_0 , sT_0 , g_0] space if for each $x \neq y \in X \exists U \in \tau(X)$ [resp: $RO(X)$; $SO(X)$; $GO(X)$] containing either x or y .
- (iii) T_1 [resp: rT_1 , g_1] $\{T_2$ [resp: rT_2 , g_2]\} space if for each $x \neq y \in X \exists \{disjoint\} U, V \in \tau(X)$ [resp: $RO(X)$; $GO(X)$], G and H containing x and y respectively.
- (iv) $T_{1/2}$ [resp: $rT_{1/2}$, $sT_{1/2}$] if every generalized [resp: regular generalized, semi-generalized] closed set is closed [resp: regular-closed, semi-closed]

*Corresponding author: S. Balasubramanian*¹, *E-mail: mani55682@rediffmail.com

2. $g\alpha$ -Continuity and product spaces:

Theorem 2.1: Let Y and $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in I\}$ be Topological Spaces. Let $f: Y \rightarrow \prod X_\alpha$ be a function. If f is $g\alpha$ -continuous, then $\pi_\alpha \circ f: Y \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is $g\alpha$ -continuous.

Converse of the above theorem is not true in general as shown by the following Example:

Example 2.1: Let $X = \{p, q, r, s\}$; $\tau_X = \{\emptyset, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{s\}, \{p, q\}, \{p, s\}, \{q, s\}, \{p, q, r\}, \{p, q, s\}, X\}$, $Y_1 = Y_2 = \{a, b\}$; $\tau_{Y_1} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, Y_1\}$; $\tau_{Y_2} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, Y_2\}$; $Y = Y_1 \times Y_2 = \{(a, a), (a, b), (b, a), (b, b)\}$ and $\tau_Y = \{\emptyset, \{(a, a)\}, \{(a, a), (a, b)\}, \{(a, a), (b, a)\}, \{(a, a), (a, b), (b, a)\}, Y_1 \times Y_2\}$.

Define $f: X \rightarrow Y$ by $f(p) = (a, a)$, $f(q) = (b, b)$, $f(r) = (a, b)$, $f(s) = (b, a)$. It is easy to see that $\pi_1 \circ f$ and $\pi_2 \circ f$ are $g\alpha$ -continuous. However $\{(b, b)\}$ is closed in Y but $f^{-1}(\{(b, b)\}) = \{q\}$ is not $g\alpha$ -closed in X . Therefore f is not $g\alpha$ -continuous.

Theorem 2.2: If Y is $rT_{1/2}$ and $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in I\}$ be Topological Spaces. Let $f: Y \rightarrow \prod X_\alpha$ be a function, then f is $g\alpha$ -continuous iff $\pi_\alpha \circ f: Y \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is $g\alpha$ -continuous.

Corollary 2.3: (i) Let $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ be a function and let $f: \prod X_\alpha \rightarrow \prod Y_\alpha$ be defined by $f(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} = (f_\alpha(x_\alpha))_{\alpha \in I}$. If f is $g\alpha$ -continuous then each f_α is $g\alpha$ -continuous.

(ii) For each α , let X_α be $rT_{1/2}$ and let $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ be a function and let $f: \prod X_\alpha \rightarrow \prod Y_\alpha$ be defined by $f(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} = (f_\alpha(x_\alpha))_{\alpha \in I}$, then f is $g\alpha$ -continuous iff each f_α is $g\alpha$ -continuous.

3. $g\alpha_i$ spaces $i = 0, 1, 2$:

Definition 3.1: X is said to be

(i) a $g\alpha_0$ space if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X , there exists a $g\alpha$ -open set G containing either of the point x or y .

(ii) a $g\alpha_1$ [resp: $g\alpha_2$] space if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X there exists [resp: disjoint] $g\alpha$ -open sets G and H containing x and y respectively.

Note 3:

(i) $rT_1 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow \alpha_i \rightarrow g\alpha_i, i = 0, 1, 2$. but the converse is not true in general.

(ii) X is $g\alpha_2 \rightarrow X$ is $g\alpha_1 \rightarrow X$ is $g\alpha_0$.

Example 3.1: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X\}$, then X is $g\alpha_i$ but not rT_0 and $T_0, i = 0, 1, 2$. for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Example 3.2: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ then X is not $g\alpha_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Remark 3.1: If X is $rT_{1/2}$ then rT_i and $g\alpha_i$ are one and the same for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Theorem 3.1: The following are true

(i) Every [resp: regular open] open subspace of $g\alpha_i$ space is $g\alpha_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

(ii) The product of $g\alpha_i$ spaces is again $g\alpha_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

(iii) $g\alpha$ -continuous image of T_i [resp: rT_i] spaces is $g\alpha_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

(iv) X is $g\alpha_0$ iff $\forall x \in X, \exists U \in g\alpha O(X)$ containing x such that the subspace U is $g\alpha_0$.

(v) X is $g\alpha_0$ iff distinct points of X have disjoint $g\alpha$ -closures.

(vi) If X is $g\alpha_1$ then distinct points of X have disjoint $g\alpha$ -closures.

Theorem 3.2: The following are equivalent:

(i) X is $g\alpha_1$.

(ii) Each one point set is $g\alpha$ -closed.

(iii) Each subset of X is the intersection of all $g\alpha$ -open sets containing it.

(iv) For any $x \in X$, the intersection of all $g\alpha$ -open sets containing the point is the set $\{x\}$.

Theorem 3.3: Suppose x is a $g\alpha$ -limit point of a subset of A of a $g\alpha_1$ space X . Then every neighborhood of x contains infinitely many distinct points of A .

Theorem 3.4: The following are true

(i) X is $g\alpha_2$ iff the intersection of all $g\alpha$ -closed, $g\alpha$ -neighborhoods of each point of the space is reduced to that point.

- (ii) If to each point $x \in X$, there exist a $g\alpha$ -closed, $g\alpha$ -open subset of X containing x which is also a $g\alpha_2$ subspace of X , then X is $g\alpha_2$.
- (iii) If X is $g\alpha_2$ then the diagonal Δ in $X \times X$ is $g\alpha$ -closed.
- (iv) In $g\alpha_2$ -space, $g\alpha$ -limits of sequences, if exists, are unique.
- (v) In a $g\alpha_2$ space, a point and disjoint $g\alpha$ -compact subspace can be separated by disjoint $g\alpha$ -open sets.
- (vi) Every $g\alpha$ -compact subspace of a $g\alpha_2$ space is $g\alpha$ -closed.

Corollary 3.1: The following are true

- (i) In a T_1 [resp: rT_1 ; g_1] space, each singleton set is $g\alpha$ -closed.
- (ii) If X is T_1 [resp: rT_1 ; g_1] then distinct points of X have disjoint $g\alpha$ -closures.
- (iii) If X is T_2 [resp: rT_2 ; g_2] then the diagonal Δ in $X \times X$ is $g\alpha$ -closed.
- (iv) Show that in a T_2 [resp: rT_2 ; g_2] space, a point and disjoint compact [resp: nearly-compact; g -compact] subspace can be separated by disjoint $g\alpha$ -open sets
- (v) Every compact [resp: nearly-compact; g -compact] subspace of a T_2 [resp: rT_2 ; g_2] space is $g\alpha$ -closed.

Theorem 3.5: The following are true

- (i) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is injective, $g\alpha$ -irresolute and Y is $g\alpha_i$ then X is $g\alpha_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$.
- (ii) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is injective, $g\alpha$ -continuous and Y is T_i then X is $g\alpha_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$.
- (iii) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is injective, r -irresolute [r -continuous] and Y is rT_i then X is $g\alpha_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$.
- (iv) The property of being a space is $g\alpha_0$ is a $g\alpha$ -Topological property.
- (v) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a $g\alpha$ -homeomorphism, then X is $g\alpha_i$ if Y is $g\alpha_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$.
- (vi) Let X be T_1 and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be $g\alpha$ -closed surjection. Then X is $g\alpha_1$.
- (vii) Every $g\alpha$ -irresolute map from a $g\alpha$ -compact space into a $g\alpha_2$ space is $g\alpha$ -closed.
- (viii) Any $g\alpha$ -irresolute bijection from a $g\alpha$ -compact space onto a $g\alpha_2$ space is a $g\alpha$ -homeomorphism.
- (ix) Any $g\alpha$ -continuous bijection from a $g\alpha$ -compact space onto a $g\alpha_2$ space is a $g\alpha$ -homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.6: The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is $g\alpha_2$.
- (ii) For each pair $x \neq y \in X \exists$ a $g\alpha$ -open, $g\alpha$ -closed set V such that $x \in V$ and $y \notin V$, and
- (iii) For each pair $x \neq y \in X \exists f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and $f(y) = 1$ and f is $g\alpha$ -continuous.

Theorem 3.7: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $g\alpha$ -irresolute and Y is $g\alpha_2$ then

- (i) the set $A = \{(x_1, x_2): f(x_1) = f(x_2)\}$ is $g\alpha$ -closed in $X \times X$.
- (ii) $G(f)$, $g\alpha$ graph of f , is $g\alpha$ -closed in $X \times Y$.

Theorem 3.8: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $g\alpha$ -open and $A = \{(x_1, x_2): f(x_1) = f(x_2)\}$ is closed in $X \times X$. Then Y is $g\alpha_2$.

Theorem 3.9: Let Y and $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in I\}$ be Topological Spaces. If $f: Y \rightarrow \prod X_\alpha$ be a $g\alpha$ -continuous function and Y is $rT_{1/2}$, then $\prod X_\alpha$ and each X_α are $g\alpha_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Theorem 3.10: Let X be an arbitrary space, R an equivalence relation in X and $p: X \rightarrow X/R$ the identification map. If $R \subset X \times X$ is $g\alpha$ -closed in $X \times X$ and p is $g\alpha$ -open map, then X/R is $g\alpha_2$.

Proof: Let $p(x), p(y)$ be distinct members of X/R . Since x and y are not related, $R \subset X \times X$ is $g\alpha$ -closed in $X \times X$. There are $g\alpha$ -open sets U and V such that $x \in U, y \in V$ and $U \times V \subset R^c$. Thus $\{p(U), p(V)\}$ are disjoint and also $g\alpha$ -open in X/R since p is $g\alpha$ -open.

Theorem 3.11: The following four properties are equivalent:

- (i) X is $g\alpha_2$
- (ii) Let $x \in X$. For each $y \neq x, \exists U \in g\alpha O(X)$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin g\alpha cl(U)$
- (iii) For each $x \in X, \cap \{g\alpha cl(U)/U \in g\alpha O(X) \text{ and } x \in U\} = \{x\}$.
- (iv) The diagonal $\Delta = \{(x, x)/x \in X\}$ is $g\alpha$ -closed in $X \times X$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $x \in X$ and $y \neq x$. Then there are disjoint $g\alpha$ -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$. Clearly V^c is $g\alpha$ -closed, $g\alpha cl(U) \subset V^c, y \notin V^c$ and therefore $y \notin g\alpha cl(U)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) If $y \neq x$, then $\exists U \in g\alpha O(X)$ s.t. $x \in U$ and $y \notin g\alpha cl(U)$. So $y \notin \cap \{g\alpha cl(U)/U \in g\alpha O(X) \text{ and } x \in U\}$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) We prove Δ^c is $g\alpha$ -open. Let $(x, y) \notin \Delta$. Then $y \neq x$ and $\cap \{g\alpha cl(U)/U \in g\alpha O(X) \text{ and } x \in U\} = \{x\}$ there is some $U \in g\alpha O(X)$ with $x \in U$ and $y \notin g\alpha cl(U)$. Since $U \cap (g\alpha cl(U))^c = \emptyset, U \times (g\alpha cl(U))^c$ is a $g\alpha$ -open set such that $(x, y) \in U \times (g\alpha cl(U))^c \subset \Delta^c$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) $y \neq x$, then $(x, y) \notin \Delta$ and thus there exist $g\alpha$ -open sets U and V such that $(x, y) \in U \times V$ and $(U \times V) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$. Clearly, for the $g\alpha$ -open sets U and V we have; $x \in U, y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

4. $g\alpha$ - R_i spaces; $i = 0, 1$.

Definition 4.1: Let $x \in X$. Then

- (i) $g\alpha$ -kernel of x is defined and denoted by $\text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\} = \bigcap \{U: U \in g\alpha O(X) \text{ and } x \in U\}$
- (ii) $\text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}F = \bigcap \{U: U \in g\alpha O(X) \text{ and } F \subset U\}$

Lemma 4.1: Let $A \subset X$, then $\text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{A\} = \{x \in X: g\alpha cl\{x\} \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$

Lemma 4.2: Let $x \in X$. Then $y \in \text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\}$ iff $x \in g\alpha cl\{y\}$.

Proof: Suppose that $y \notin \text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\}$. Then $\exists V \in g\alpha O(X)$ containing x such that $y \notin V$. Therefore we have $x \notin g\alpha cl\{y\}$. The proof of converse part can be done similarly.

Lemma 4.3: For any points $x \neq y \in X$, the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{g\alpha cl\{x\}\} \neq \text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{y\}$;
- (ii) $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $\text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\} \neq \text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{y\}$, then $\exists z \in X$ such that $z \in \text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\}$ and $z \notin \text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{y\}$. From $z \in \text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\}$ it follows that $\{x\} \cap g\alpha cl\{z\} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow x \in g\alpha cl\{z\}$. By $z \notin \text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{y\}$, we have $\{y\} \cap g\alpha cl\{z\} = \emptyset$. Since $x \in g\alpha cl\{z\}$, $g\alpha cl\{x\} \subset g\alpha cl\{z\}$ and $\{y\} \cap g\alpha cl\{x\} = \emptyset$. Therefore $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Now $\text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\} \neq \text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{y\} \Rightarrow g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): If $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Then $\exists z \in X$ such that $z \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$ and $z \notin g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Then \exists a $g\alpha$ -open set containing z and therefore containing x but not y , namely, $y \notin \text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\}$. Hence $\text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\} \neq \text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{y\}$.

Definition 4.2: X is said to be

- (i) $g\alpha$ - R_0 iff $g\alpha cl\{x\} \subseteq G$ whenever $x \in G \in g\alpha O(X)$.
- (ii) weakly $g\alpha$ - R_0 iff $\bigcap g\alpha cl\{x\} = \emptyset$.
- (iii) $g\alpha$ - R_1 iff for $x, y \in X$ such that $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\} \exists$ disjoint $U, V \in g\alpha O(X)$ such that $g\alpha cl\{x\} \subseteq U$ and $g\alpha cl\{y\} \subseteq V$.

Example 4.1: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$, then X is not weakly $g\alpha R_0$ and not $g\alpha R_i, i = 0, 1$.

Remark 4.1:

- (i) r - $R_i \Rightarrow R_i \Rightarrow \alpha R_i \Rightarrow g\alpha R_i, i = 0, 1$.
- (ii) Every weakly- R_0 space is weakly $g\alpha R_0$.

Lemma 4.1: Every $g\alpha R_0$ space is weakly $g\alpha R_0$.

Converse of the above Theorem is not true in general by the following Examples.

Example 4.2: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$. Clearly, X is weakly $g\alpha R_0$, since $\bigcap g\alpha cl\{x\} = \emptyset$. But it is not $g\alpha R_0$, for $\{a\} \subset X$ is $g\alpha$ -open and $g\alpha cl\{a\} = \{a, b\} \not\subset \{a\}$.

Theorem 4.1: Every $g\alpha$ -regular space X is $g\alpha_2$ and $g\alpha$ - R_0 .

Proof: Let X be $g\alpha$ -regular and let $x \neq y \in X$. By Lemma 4.1, $\{x\}$ is either $g\alpha$ -open or $g\alpha$ -closed. If $\{x\}$ is $g\alpha$ -open, $\{x\}$ is $g\alpha$ -open and hence $g\alpha$ -clopen. Thus $\{x\}$ and $X - \{x\}$ are separating $g\alpha$ -open sets. Similarly for $\{x\}$ is $g\alpha$ -closed, $\{x\}$ and $X - \{x\}$ are separating $g\alpha$ -closed sets. Thus X is $g\alpha_2$ and $g\alpha$ - R_0 .

Theorem 4.2: X is $g\alpha$ - R_0 iff given $x \neq y \in X; g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$.

Proof: Let X be $g\alpha$ - R_0 and let $x, y \in X$. Suppose U is a $g\alpha$ -open set containing x but not y , then $y \in g\alpha cl\{y\} \subset X - U$ and so $x \notin g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Hence $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$.

Conversely, let $x, \neq y \in X$ such that $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\} \Rightarrow g\alpha cl\{x\} \subset X - g\alpha cl\{y\} = U$ (say) a $g\alpha$ -open set in X . This is true for every $g\alpha cl\{x\}$. Thus $\bigcap g\alpha cl\{x\} \subseteq U$ where $x \in g\alpha cl\{x\} \subseteq U \in g\alpha O(X)$, which in turn implies $\bigcap g\alpha cl\{x\} \subseteq U$ where $x \in U \in g\alpha O(X)$. Hence X is $g\alpha R_0$.

Theorem 4.3: X is weakly $g\alpha R_0$ iff $Ker_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\} \neq X$ for any $x \in X$.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ such that $ker_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x_0\} = X$. This means that x_0 is not contained in any proper $g\alpha$ -open subset of X .

Thus x_0 belongs to the $g\alpha$ -closure of every singleton set. Hence $x_0 \in \bigcap g\alpha cl\{x\}$, a contradiction.

Conversely assume $Ker_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\} \neq X$ for any $x \in X$. If there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \in \bigcap \{g\alpha cl\{x\}\}$, then every $g\alpha$ -open set containing x_0 must contain every point of X . Therefore, the unique $g\alpha$ -open set containing x_0 is X . Hence $Ker_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x_0\} = X$, which is a contradiction. Thus X is weakly $g\alpha R_0$.

Theorem 4.4: The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is $g\alpha R_0$ space.
- (ii) For each $x \in X$, $g\alpha cl\{x\} \subset Ker_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\}$
- (iii) For any $g\alpha$ -closed set F and a point $x \notin F$, $\exists U \in g\alpha O(X)$ such that $x \notin U$ and $F \subset U$.
- (iv) Each $g\alpha$ -closed set F can be expressed as $F = \bigcap \{G : G \text{ is } g\alpha\text{-open and } F \subset G\}$.
- (v) Each $g\alpha$ -open set G can be expressed as $G = \bigcup \{A : A \text{ is } g\alpha\text{-closed and } A \subset G\}$.
- (vi) For each $g\alpha$ -closed set F , $x \notin F$ implies $g\alpha-cl\{x\} \cap F = \phi$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) For any $x \in X$, we have $Ker_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\} = \bigcap \{U : U \in g\alpha O(X) \text{ and } x \in U\}$. Since X is $g\alpha R_0$, each $g\alpha$ -open set containing x contains $g\alpha cl\{x\}$. Hence $g\alpha cl\{x\} \subset Ker_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let $x \notin F \in g\alpha C(X)$. Then for any $y \in F$; $g\alpha cl\{y\} \subset F$ and so $x \notin g\alpha cl\{y\} \Rightarrow y \notin g\alpha cl\{x\}$ that is $\exists U_y \in g\alpha O(X)$ such that $y \in U_y$ and $x \notin U_y \forall y \in F$. Let $U = \bigcup \{U_y : U_y \text{ is } g\alpha\text{-open, } y \in U_y \text{ and } x \notin U_y\}$. Then U is $g\alpha$ -open such that $x \notin U$ and $F \subset U$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Let F be any $g\alpha$ -closed set and $N = \bigcap \{G : G \text{ is } g\alpha\text{-open and } F \subset G\}$. Then $F \subset N \rightarrow (1)$.

Let $x \notin F$, then by (iii) $\exists G \in g\alpha O(X)$ such that $x \notin G$ and $F \subset G$.

Hence $x \notin N$ which implies $x \in N \Rightarrow x \in F$. Hence $N \subset F \rightarrow (2)$.

Therefore from (1) and (2), each $g\alpha$ -closed set $F = \bigcap \{G : G \text{ is } g\alpha\text{-open and } F \subset G\}$

(iv) \Rightarrow (v) obvious.

(v) \Rightarrow (vi) Let $x \notin F \in g\alpha C(X)$. Then $X - F = G$ is a $g\alpha$ -open set containing x . Then by (v), G can be expressed as the union of $g\alpha$ -closed sets A contained in G , and so there is an $M \in g\alpha C(X)$ such that $x \in M \subset G$; and hence $g\alpha cl\{x\} \subset G$ which implies $g\alpha cl\{x\} \cap F = \phi$.

(vi) \Rightarrow (i) Let $x \in G \in g\alpha O(X)$. Then $x \notin (X - G)$, which is a $g\alpha$ -closed set. Therefore by (vi) $g\alpha cl\{x\} \cap (X - G) = \phi$, which implies that $g\alpha cl\{x\} \subseteq G$. Thus X is $g\alpha R_0$ space.

Theorem 4.5: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $g\alpha$ -closed one-one function. If X is weakly $g\alpha R_0$, then so is Y .

Theorem 4.6: If X is weakly $g\alpha R_0$, then for every space Y , $X \times Y$ is weakly $g\alpha R_0$.

Proof: $\bigcap g\alpha cl\{(x,y)\} \subseteq \bigcap \{g\alpha cl\{x\} \times g\alpha cl\{y\}\} = \bigcap [g\alpha cl\{x\}] \times [g\alpha cl\{y\}] \subseteq \phi \times Y = \phi$. Hence $X \times Y$ is $g\alpha R_0$.

Corollary 4.1:

- (i) If X and Y are weakly $g\alpha R_0$, then $X \times Y$ is weakly $g\alpha R_0$.
- (ii) If X and Y are (weakly-) R_0 , then $X \times Y$ is weakly $g\alpha R_0$.
- (iii) If X and Y are $g\alpha R_0$, then $X \times Y$ is weakly $g\alpha R_0$.
- (iv) If X is $g\alpha R_0$ and Y are weakly R_0 , then $X \times Y$ is weakly $g\alpha R_0$.

Theorem 4.7: X is $g\alpha R_0$ iff for any $x, y \in X$, $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\} \Rightarrow g\alpha cl\{x\} \cap g\alpha cl\{y\} = \phi$.

Proof: Let X is $g\alpha R_0$ and $x, y \in X$ such that $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Then $\exists z \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$ such that $z \notin g\alpha cl\{y\}$ (or $z \in g\alpha cl\{y\}$) such that $z \notin g\alpha cl\{x\}$. There exists $V \in g\alpha O(X)$ such that $y \notin V$ and $z \in V$; hence $x \in V$. Therefore, $x \notin g\alpha cl\{y\}$.

Thus $x \in [g\alpha cl\{y\}]^c \in g\alpha O(X)$, which implies $g\alpha cl\{x\} \subset [g\alpha cl\{y\}]^c$ and $g\alpha cl\{x\} \cap g\alpha cl\{y\} = \phi$. The proof for otherwise is similar.

Sufficiency: Let $x \in V \in g\alpha O(X)$. We show that $g\alpha cl\{x\} \subset V$. Let $y \notin V$, i.e., $y \in V^c$. Then $x \neq y$ and $x \notin g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Hence $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$. But $g\alpha cl\{x\} \cap g\alpha cl\{y\} = \phi$. Hence $y \notin g\alpha cl\{x\}$. Therefore $g\alpha cl\{x\} \subset V$.

Theorem 4.8: X is $g\alpha R_0$ iff for any points $x, y \in X$, $Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \neq Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{y\} \Rightarrow Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \cap Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{y\} = \phi$.

Proof: Suppose X is $g\alpha R_0$. Thus by Lemma 4.3 for any $x, y \in X$ if $Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \neq Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{y\}$ then $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Assume that $z \in Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \cap Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{y\}$. By $z \in Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\}$ and Lemma 4.2, it follows that $x \in g\alpha cl\{z\}$. Since $x \in g\alpha cl\{z\}$, $g\alpha cl\{x\} = g\alpha cl\{z\}$. Similarly, we have $g\alpha cl\{y\} = g\alpha cl\{z\} = g\alpha cl\{x\}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, we have $Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \cap Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{y\} = \phi$.

Conversely, let $x, y \in X$, s.t. $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$, then by Lemma 4.3, $Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \neq Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{y\}$. Hence by hypothesis $Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \cap Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{y\} = \phi$ which implies $g\alpha cl\{x\} \cap g\alpha cl\{y\} = \phi$. Because $z \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$ implies that $x \in Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{z\}$ and therefore $Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \cap Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{z\} \neq \phi$. Therefore by Theorem 4.7 X is a $g\alpha R_0$ space.

Theorem 4.9: The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is a $g\alpha R_0$ space.
- (ii) For any $A \neq \phi$ and $G \in g\alpha O(X)$ such that $A \cap G \neq \phi \exists F \in g\alpha C(X)$ such that $A \cap F \neq \phi$ and $F \subset G$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $A \neq \phi$ and $G \in g\alpha O(X)$ such that $A \cap G \neq \phi$. There exists $x \in A \cap G$. Since $x \in G \in g\alpha O(X)$, $g\alpha cl\{x\} \subset G$. Set $F = g\alpha cl\{x\}$, then $F \in g\alpha C(X)$, $F \subset G$ and $A \cap F \neq \phi$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $G \in g\alpha O(X)$ and $x \in G$. By (2), $g\alpha cl\{x\} \subset G$. Hence X is $g\alpha R_0$.

Theorem 4.10: The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is a $g\alpha R_0$ space;
- (ii) $x \in g\alpha cl\{y\}$ iff $y \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$, for any points x and y in X .

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume X is $g\alpha R_0$. Let $x \in g\alpha cl\{y\}$ and D be any $g\alpha$ -open set such that $y \in D$. Now by hypothesis, $x \in D$. Therefore, every $g\alpha$ -open set which contain y contains x . Hence $y \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let U be a $g\alpha$ -open set and $x \in U$. If $y \notin U$, then $x \notin g\alpha cl\{y\}$ and hence $y \notin g\alpha cl\{x\}$. This implies that $g\alpha cl\{x\} \subset U$. Hence X is $g\alpha R_0$.

Theorem 4.11: The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is a $g\alpha R_0$ space;
- (ii) If F is $g\alpha$ -closed, then $F = Ker_{[g\alpha]} (F)$;
- (iii) If F is $g\alpha$ -closed and $x \in F$, then $Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \subseteq F$;
- (iv) If $x \in X$, then $Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \subset g\alpha cl\{x\}$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $x \notin F \in g\alpha C(X) \Rightarrow (X-F) \in g\alpha O(X)$ and contains x . For X is $g\alpha R_0$, $g\alpha cl(\{x\}) \subset (X-F)$. Thus $g\alpha cl(\{x\}) \cap F = \phi$ and $x \notin Ker_{[g\alpha]} (F)$. Hence $Ker_{[g\alpha]} (F) = F$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): $A \subset B \Rightarrow Ker_{[g\alpha]} (A) \subset Ker_{[g\alpha]} (B)$. Therefore, by (2) $Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \subset Ker_{[g\alpha]} (F) = F$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Since $x \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$ and $g\alpha cl\{x\}$ is $g\alpha$ -closed, by (3) $Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \subset g\alpha cl\{x\}$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): Let $x \in g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Then by Lemma 4.2 $y \in Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\}$. Since $x \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$ and $g\alpha cl\{x\}$ is $g\alpha$ -closed, by (iv) we obtain $y \in Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \subseteq g\alpha cl\{x\}$. Therefore $x \in g\alpha cl\{y\}$ implies $y \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$. The converse is obvious and X is $g\alpha R_0$.

Corollary 4.2: The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is $g\alpha R_0$.
- (ii) $g\alpha cl\{x\} = Ker_{[g\alpha]} \{x\} \forall x \in X$.

Proof: Follows from Theorems 4.4 and 4.11.

Recall that a filterbase F is called $g\alpha$ -convergent to a point x in X , if for any $g\alpha$ -open set U of X containing x , there exists $B \in F$ such that $B \subset U$.

Lemma 4.4: Let x and y be any two points in X such that every net in X $g\alpha$ -converging to y $g\alpha$ -converges to x . Then $x \in g\alpha cl\{y\}$.

Theorem 4.12: The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is a $g\alpha R_0$ space;
- (ii) If $x, y \in X$, then $y \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$ iff every net in X $g\alpha$ -converging to y $g\alpha$ -converges to x .

Proof:

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $x, y \in X$ such that $y \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$. Suppose that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ is a net in X such that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ $g\alpha$ -converges to y . Since $y \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$, by Thm. 4.7 we have $g\alpha cl\{x\} = g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Therefore $x \in g\alpha cl\{y\}$. This means that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ $g\alpha$ -converges to x .

Conversely, let $x, y \in X$ such that every net in X $g\alpha$ -converging to y $g\alpha$ -converges to x . Then $x \in g\alpha-cl\{y\}$ [by 4.4]. By Thm. 4.7, we have $g\alpha cl\{x\} = g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Therefore $y \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $x, y \in X$ such that $g\alpha cl\{x\} \cap g\alpha cl\{y\} \neq \emptyset$. Let $z \in g\alpha cl\{x\} \cap g\alpha cl\{y\}$. So \exists a net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ in $g\alpha cl\{x\}$ such

that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ $g\alpha$ -converges to z . Since $z \in g\alpha cl\{y\}$, then $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ $g\alpha$ -converges to y . It follows that $y \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$. Similarly we obtain $x \in g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Therefore $g\alpha cl\{x\} = g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Hence X is $g\alpha R_0$.

Theorem 4.13:

- (i) Every subspace of $g\alpha R_1$ space is again $g\alpha R_1$.
- (ii) Product of any two $g\alpha R_1$ spaces is again $g\alpha R_1$.
- (iii) X is $g\alpha R_1$ iff given $x \neq y \in X$, $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$.
- (iv) Every $g\alpha_2$ space is $g\alpha R_1$.

The converse of 4.13(iv) is not true. However, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.14: Every $g\alpha_1$ and $g\alpha R_1$ space is $g\alpha_2$.

Proof: Let $x \neq y \in X$. Since X is $g\alpha_1$; $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ are $g\alpha$ -closed sets such that $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Since X is $g\alpha R_1$, there exists disjoint $g\alpha$ -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$; $y \in V$. Hence X is $g\alpha_2$.

Corollary 4.3: X is $g\alpha_2$ iff it is $g\alpha R_1$ and $g\alpha_1$.

Theorem 4.15: The following are equivalent

- (i) X is $g\alpha-R_1$.
- (ii) $\bigcap g\alpha cl\{x\} = \{x\}$.
- (iii) For any $x \in X$, intersection of all $g\alpha$ -neighborhoods of x is $\{x\}$.

Proof:

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $y \neq x \in X$ such that $y \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$. Since X is $g\alpha R_1$, $\exists U \in g\alpha O(X)$ such that $y \in U$, $x \notin U$ or $x \in U$, $y \notin U$. In either case $y \notin g\alpha cl\{x\}$. Hence $\bigcap g\alpha cl\{x\} = \{x\}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) If $y \neq x \in X$, then $x \notin \bigcap g\alpha cl\{y\}$, so there is a $g\alpha$ -open set containing x but not y . Therefore y does not belong to the intersection of all $g\alpha$ -neighborhoods of x . Hence intersection of all $g\alpha$ -neighborhoods of x is $\{x\}$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $x \neq y \in X$. by hypothesis, y does not belong to the intersection of all $g\alpha$ -neighborhoods of x and x does not belong to the intersection of all $g\alpha$ -neighborhoods of y , which implies $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$. Hence X is $g\alpha R_1$.

Theorem 4.16: The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is $g\alpha-R_1$.
- (ii) For each pair $x, y \in X$ with $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$, \exists a $g\alpha$ -open, $g\alpha$ -closed set V s.t. $x \in V$ and $y \notin V$, and
- (iii) For each pair $x, y \in X$ with $g\alpha cl\{x\} \neq g\alpha cl\{y\}$, $\exists f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ s.t. $f(x) = 0$ and $f(y) = 1$ and f is $g\alpha$ -continuous.

Theorem 4.17:

- (i) If X is $g\alpha$ - R_1 , then X is $g\alpha$ - R_0 .
- (ii) X is $g\alpha$ - R_1 iff for $x, y \in X$, $\text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{x\} \neq \text{Ker}_{\{g\alpha\}}\{y\}$, \exists disjoint $U, V \in g\alpha O(X)$ such that $g\alpha \text{cl}\{x\} \subset U$ and $g\alpha \text{cl}\{y\} \subset V$.

5. $g\alpha$ - C_i and $g\alpha$ - D_i spaces, $i = 0, 1, 2$:

Definition 5.1: X is said to be a

- (i) $g\alpha$ - C_0 space if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X there exists a $g\alpha$ -open set G whose closure contains either of the point x or y .
- (ii) $g\alpha$ - C_1 [resp: $g\alpha$ - C_2] space if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X there exists disjoint $g\alpha$ -open sets G and H such that closure of G containing x but not y and closure of H containing y but not x .

Note 4: $g\alpha$ - $C_2 \Rightarrow g\alpha$ - $C_1 \Rightarrow g\alpha$ - C_0 . Converse need not be true in general:

Example 5.1:

- (i) Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\phi, X\}$, then X is $g\alpha C_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.
- (ii) Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ then X is not $g\alpha C_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Theorem 5.1:

- (i) Every subspace of $g\alpha$ - C_i space is $g\alpha$ - C_i .
- (ii) Every $g\alpha_i$ spaces is $g\alpha$ - C_i .
- (iii) Product of $g\alpha$ - C_i spaces are $g\alpha$ - C_i .
- (iv) Let X be any $g\alpha$ - C_i space and $A \subset X$ then A is $g\alpha$ - C_i iff (A, τ_A) is $g\alpha$ - C_i .
- (v) If X is $g\alpha$ - C_1 then each singleton set is $g\alpha$ -closed.
- (vi) In an $g\alpha$ - C_1 space disjoint points of X has disjoint $g\alpha$ - closures.

Definition 5.2: $A \subset X$ is called a $g\alpha$ -Difference (Shortly $g\alpha D$ -set) set if there are two $U, V \in g\alpha O(X)$ such that $U \neq X$ and $A = U - V$.

Clearly every $g\alpha$ -open set U different from X is a $g\alpha D$ -set if $A = U$ and $V = \phi$.

Definition 5.3: X is said to be a

- (i) $g\alpha$ - D_0 if for any pair of distinct points x and y of X there exist a $g\alpha D$ -set in X containing x but not y or a $g\alpha D$ -set in X containing y but not x .
- (ii) $g\alpha$ - D_1 [resp: $g\alpha$ - D_2] if for any pair of distinct points x and y in X there exists disjoint $g\alpha D$ -sets G and H in X containing x and y respectively.

Remark 5.2: (i) If X is rT_i , then it is $g\alpha_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$ and converse is false.

- (ii) If X is $g\alpha_i$, then it is $g\alpha_{[i-1]}$, $i = 1, 2$.
- (iii) If X is $g\alpha_i$, then it is $g\alpha$ - D_i , $i = 0, 1, 2$.
- (iv) If X is $g\alpha$ - D_i , then it is $g\alpha$ - $D_{[i-1]}$, $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 5.2: The following statements are true:

- (i) X is $g\alpha$ - D_0 iff it is $g\alpha_0$.
- (ii) X is $g\alpha$ - D_1 iff it is $g\alpha$ - D_2 .

Corollary 5.1: If X is $g\alpha$ - D_1 , then it is $g\alpha_0$.

Proof: Remark 5.1(iv) and Theorem 5.1(vi)

Definition 5.4: A point $x \in X$ which has X as the unique $g\alpha$ -neighborhood is called $g\alpha.c.c$ point.

Theorem 5.3: For an $g\alpha_0$ space X the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is $g\alpha$ - D_1 ;
- (ii) X has no $g\alpha.c.c$ point.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since X is $g\alpha$ - D_1 , then each point x of X is contained in a $g\alpha D$ -set $O = U - V$ and thus in U . By Definition $U \neq X$. This implies that x is not a $g\alpha.c.c$ point.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) If X is $g\alpha_0$, then for each $x \neq y \in X$, at least one of them, x (say) has a $g\alpha$ -neighborhood U containing x and not y . Thus U which is different from X is a $g\alpha D$ -set. If X has no $g\alpha.c.c$ point, then y is not a $g\alpha.c.c$ point. This means that there exists a $g\alpha$ -neighborhood V of y such that $V \neq X$. Thus $y \in V-U$ but not x and $V-U$ is a $g\alpha D$ -set. Hence X is $g\alpha-D_1$.

Definition 5.5: X is $g\alpha$ -symmetric if for x and y in X , $x \in g\alpha cl\{y\}$ implies $y \in g\alpha cl\{x\}$.

Theorem 5.4: X is $g\alpha$ -symmetric iff $\{x\}$ is $g\alpha$ -closed for each $x \in X$.

Proof: Assume that $x \in g\alpha cl\{y\}$ but $y \notin g\alpha cl\{x\}$. This means that $[g\alpha cl\{x\}]^c$ contains y . This implies that $g\alpha cl\{y\} \subset [g\alpha cl\{x\}]^c$. Now $[g\alpha cl\{x\}]^c$ contains x which is a contradiction. Conversely, suppose that $\{x\} \subset E \in g\alpha O(X)$ but $g\alpha cl\{x\} \not\subset E$. This means that $g\alpha cl\{x\}$ and E^c are not disjoint. Let y belongs to their intersection. Now we have $x \in g\alpha cl\{y\} \subset E^c$ and $x \notin E$. But this is a contradiction.

Corollary 5.2: If X is a $g\alpha_1$, then it is $g\alpha$ -symmetric.

Proof: Follows from Theorem 2.2(ii) and Theorem 5.4.

Corollary 5.3: The following are equivalent:

- (i) X is $g\alpha$ -symmetric and $g\alpha_0$
- (ii) X is $g\alpha_1$.

Proof: By Corollary 5.2 and Remark 5.1 it suffices to prove only (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $x \neq y$ and by $g\alpha_0$, we may assume that $x \in G_1 \subset \{y\}^c$ for some $G_1 \in g\alpha O(X)$. Then $x \notin g\alpha cl\{y\}$ and hence $y \notin g\alpha cl\{x\}$. There exists a $G_2 \in g\alpha O(X)$ such that $y \in G_2 \subset \{x\}^c$ and X is a $g\alpha_1$ space.

Theorem 5.5: For a $g\alpha$ -symmetric space X the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is $g\alpha_0$;
- (ii) X is $g\alpha-D_1$;
- (iii) X is $g\alpha_1$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (iii) Corollary 5.3 and (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i) Remark 5.1.

Theorem 5.6: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a $g\alpha$ -irresolute surjective function and E is a $g\alpha D$ -set in Y , then the inverse image of E is a $g\alpha D$ -set in X .

Proof: Let E be a $g\alpha D$ -set in Y . Then there are $g\alpha$ -open sets U_1 and U_2 in Y such that $E = U_1 - U_2$ and $U_1 \neq Y$. By the $g\alpha$ -irresoluteness of f , $f^{-1}(U_1)$ and $f^{-1}(U_2)$ are $g\alpha$ -open in X . Since $U_1 \neq Y$, we have $f^{-1}(U_1) \neq X$.

Hence $f^{-1}(E) = f^{-1}(U_1) - f^{-1}(U_2)$ is a $g\alpha D$ -set.

Theorem 5.7: (i) If Y is $g\alpha-D_1$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $g\alpha$ -irresolute and bijective, then X is $g\alpha-D_1$.

(ii) X is $g\alpha-D_1$ iff for each pair of $x \neq y$ in X there exist a $g\alpha$ -irresolute surjective function $f: X \rightarrow Y$, where Y is a $g\alpha-D_1$ space such that $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ are distinct.

Corollary 5.4: Let $\{X_\alpha / \alpha \in I\}$ be any family of spaces. If X_α is $g\alpha-D_1$ for each $\alpha \in I$, then $\prod X_\alpha$ is $g\alpha-D_1$.

Proof: Let $(x_\alpha) \neq (y_\alpha)$ in $\prod X_\alpha$. Then there exists an index $\beta \in I$ s. t. $x_\beta \neq y_\beta$. The natural projection $P_\beta: \prod X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$ is almost continuous and almost open and $P_\beta((x_\alpha)) = P_\beta((y_\alpha))$. Since X_β is $g\alpha-D_1$, $\prod X_\alpha$ is $g\alpha-D_1$.

References:

- [1] S.P. Arya and T.Nour, Characterizations of s -normal spaces, I.J.P.A.M., **21(8)**(1990),717-719.
- [2] S. Balasubramanian, g -separation axioms, Scientia Magna, **6(4)**(2010)1-14.
- [3] S. Balasubramanian and M. Lakshmi Sarada, gpr -separation axioms, Bull. Kerla Math. Asso. **8(1)** (2011)157-173.
- [4] K. Balachandran, P. Sundaram and H. Maki, On generalized continuous maps in Topological Spaces, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi. Univ(Math)12(1991)05-13.
- [5] Chawalit Boonpok-Generalized continuous functions from any topological space into product, Naresuan University journal (2003) 11(2)93-98.

- [6] Chawalit Boonpok, Preservation Theorems concerning g-Hausdorff and rg-Hausdorff spaces, *KKU. Sci.J.*31 (3) (2003)138-140.
- [7] R. Devi, K. Balachandran and H. Maki, semi-Generalized Homeomorphisms and Generalized semi-Homeomorphisms in Topological Spaces, *IJPAM*, 26(3) (1995)271-284.
- [8] W.Dunham, $T_{1/2}$ Spaces, *Kyungpook Math. J.*17 (1977), 161-169.
- [9] Jiling Cao, M. Ganster and Ivan Reily, on sg-closed sets and g α -closed sets.
- [10] Norman Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 19 (2) (1970), 89-96.
- [11] T. Noiri and V.Popa, On G-regular spaces and some functions, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi. Univ (Math)* 20(1999) 67-74.
- [12] N. Palaniappan and K. Chandrasekhara rao, Regular Generalized closed sets, *Kyungpook M.J.* Vol.33 (2) (1993) 211-219.
