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#### Abstract

A pebbling move is defined by removing two pebbles from some vertex and placing one pebble on an adjacent vertex. A graph is said to be cover pebbled if every vertex has a pebble on it after a sequence of pebbling moves. The cover pebbling number $\gamma_{(G)}$ of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of pebbles that must be placed on the vertices of $G$ such that after a sequence of pebbling moves the graph can be cover pebbled no matter how the pebbles are initially placed on the vertices of the graph $G$. The maximum independent set cover pebbling number, $\rho(G)$, of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of pebbles that are placed on $V(G)$ such that after a sequence of pebbling moves along a random walk, the set of vertices with pebbles forms a maximum independent set of $G$, regardless of their initial configuration. In this paper, we determine the maximum independent set cover pebbling number of a star.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Graphs considered here are simple, finite, undirected, and connected. Given a graph G, distribute k pebbles on its vertices in some configuration. A pebbling move is defined by removing two pebbles from some vertex and placing one pebble on an adjacent vertex in which each move takes place along a path. Let us consider the electrical network D. Here the trajectory of an electron is a random walk, which is a walk on $D$, whereby when it reaches node $v$, the wire along which to travel next is chosen at random, $m$ wires incident with $v$ being equiprobable. We imagine the same approach in the sequence of pebbling moves. Starting with a pebble distribution on the vertices of G, a pebbling move removes two pebbles from a vertex v , the edge along which to travel next is chosen at random, the $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{v})$ edges incident with $v$ being equiprobable and adds one pebble at a chosen adjacent vertex $w$. The pebbling number, $\pi(\mathrm{G})$, of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of pebbles that are placed on $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})$, such that after a sequence of pebbling moves, a pebble can be moved to any root vertex $v$ in $G$ regardless of the initial configuration[2]. The cover pebbling number, $\gamma$ (G) of a graph $G$ is defined as the minimum number of pebbles needed to place a pebble on every vertex of a graph $G$ using a sequence of pebbling moves, regardless of the initial configuration [3]. A set $S$ of vertices in a graph $G$ is said to be independent set (or an internally stable set) if no two vertices in the set $S$ are adjacent. An independent set $S$ is maximum if $G$ has no independent set $S^{\prime}$ with $\left|S^{\prime}\right|>\mid S I$. In this paper, we introduce the concept of maximum independent set cover pebbling number. We define the maximum independent set cover pebbling number, $\rho(\mathrm{G})$ of a graph G , is the minimum number of pebbles that are placed on $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})$ such that after a sequence of pebbling moves along random walks, the set of vertices with pebbles forms a maximum independent set of G , regardless of their initial configuration. Terms not defined here are used in the sense of Bondy and Murty.

### 1.1 Known Results

We find the following results with regard to the maximum independent set cover pebbling number of a complete graph and a path in [6].

Theorem 1.1: For $K_{n}, \rho\left(K_{n}\right)=n+1(n \geq 2)$.
Theorem 1.2: For a path $P_{3}, \rho\left(P_{3}\right)=6$.

[^0]
## A. Lourdusamy*, C. Muthulakshmi and Sasikala/ Maximum Independent Set Cover Pebbling Number Of A Star/ IJMA- 3(2),

### 1.2 Notations

Let us define $f(G)$ to be the number of pebbles on G. For any vertex a of $G$, $f(a)$ denotes the number of pebbles placed at the vertex a. For $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G}), a \xrightarrow{m} b$ refers to moving $m$ pebbles to b from a if $\mathrm{ab} \in \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})$. Label the vertices of the star $K_{1, n}(n \geq 3)$ by $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{w})=\mathrm{n}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=1(1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n})$. Clearly $\rho_{\left(\mathrm{K}_{1,1}\right)}=\boldsymbol{\rho}\left(\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)=3, \boldsymbol{\rho}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1,2}\right)=\boldsymbol{\rho}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)=6$. Let us compute the maximum independent set cover pebbling number of $\mathrm{K}_{1,3}$ in the following theorem.

## 2. MAXIMUM INDEPENDENT SET COVER PEBBLING NUMBER OF A STAR $K_{1, n}(n \geq 3)$

Theorem 2.1: The maximum independent set cover pebbling number of $\mathrm{K}_{1,3}$ is $\boldsymbol{\rho}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1,3}\right)=9$.
Proof: Consider the following configuration: $f\left(v_{1}\right)=8$ and $f(v)=0$ for all $v$ in $V\left(K_{1,3}\right)-\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. Then we cannot cover the maximum independent set of $\mathrm{K}_{1,3}$. Thus $\boldsymbol{\rho}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1,3}\right) \geq 9$.

Now, consider the distribution of nine pebbles on the vertices of $\mathrm{K}_{1,3}$.
Case 1: $1 \leq \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3}\right) \leq 3$.

This implies that the path $\mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{Wv}_{2}$ contains at least six pebbles and hence we are done since $\boldsymbol{\rho}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)=6$.
Case 2: $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3}\right)=0$.
We need at most four pebbles to place a pebble on $\mathrm{v}_{3}$ from the vertices of $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1,3}\right)-\left\{\mathrm{v}_{3}\right\}$. If we use at most three pebbles to pebble $\mathrm{v}_{3}$, then we are done since $\boldsymbol{\rho}\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)=6$ and $\mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{Wv}_{2}$ contains at least six pebbles. Otherwise, we use exactly four pebbles to pebble $v_{3}$. Then $f\left(v_{1}\right)=5$ or $f\left(v_{2}\right)=5$ or $f\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 1$ and $f\left(v_{2}\right) \geq 1$ (after putting a pebble at $v_{3}$ ) and hence we are done.

Case 3: $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{3}\right) \geq 4$.
If either $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right)=0$ or $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{2}\right)=0$ then apply case 2 . Otherwise, consider the number of pebbles at w . If $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w})=0$, then clearly we are done. If $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w})=2$, then we move a pebble to $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ and we are done. If $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w})=1$ or 3 , then consider the following sequence of pebbling moves; $V_{3} \xrightarrow{1} W \xrightarrow{1(\text { or }) 2} V_{3}$ and hence we are done.

Thus $\boldsymbol{\rho}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1,3}\right) \leq 9$.

Theorem 2.2: For $\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{n}}, \boldsymbol{\rho}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right)=4 \mathrm{n}-3$ where $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$.
Proof: Let $f\left(v_{1}\right)=4 n-4$ and $f(v)=0$ for all $v$ in $V\left(K_{1, n}\right)$ - $\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. Then we cannot cover the maximum independent set of $K_{1, n}$. Thus ( $\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{n}}$ ) $\geq 4 \mathrm{n}-3$.

Now, consider the distribution of $4 \mathrm{n}-3$ pebbles on the vertices of $K_{1, n}$. By Theorem 2.1, the result is true for $n=3$. Assume $n>3$. Let $f\left(v_{i}\right)=0$ for some $i$. we can pebble the vertex $v_{i}$, using at most four pebbles from the vertices of $V\left(K_{1, n}\right)-\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ which is $K_{1, n-1}$ and hence we are done by induction. So assume that $f\left(v_{i}\right) \geq 1$ for all $i(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Now consider the number of pebbles at $w$. If $f(w)$ is even then we are done easily. Let $f(w)$ be odd, say $x$. If $f\left(v_{i}\right) \geq 2$, for some $i(1 \leq i \leq$ n), then consider the following sequence of pebbling moves : $V_{i} \xrightarrow{1} w \xrightarrow{\frac{x+1}{2}} V_{i}$ and hence we are done. So assume that $f\left(v_{i}\right)=1$ for all $\mathrm{i}(1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq n)$. Then $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w}) \geq 3 \mathrm{n}-3 \geq 9$ and we use the following sequence of pebbling moves: $w \xrightarrow{2} v_{1} \xrightarrow{1} w \xrightarrow{\frac{x-3}{2}} v_{1}$ and hence we are done.

Thus $\boldsymbol{\rho}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{n}}\right) \leq 4 \mathrm{n}-3$.
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## CONCLUSION:

In this paper, we have determined the maximum independent set cover pebbling number of a star. Finding the maximum independent set cover pebbling number of bipartite graphs is another interesting area of research.
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