



Some Fixed Point Theorems for A_w^* -maps

M. Akram* and Z. Afzal¹

¹Department of Mathematics, GC University, Katchery Road Lahore 54000, Pakistan

Email: dr.makram@gcu.edu.pk, makram71@yahoo.com, zeeshanlove4every1@gmail.com

(Received on: 19-11-11; Accepted on: 10-12-11)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce the idea of A_w^* -mapping for w -distance and proved some results for fixed point and common fixed point for A_w^* -mappings. These results, generalized and improved the corresponding results of A.Latif, Suzuki and others.

AMS (2000) Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Key Words: A_w^* -maps, Fixed points, Multi-valued maps, w -distance.

1 INTRODUCTION:

In [6], Kada et al., have introduced a notion of w -distance on a metric space and improved several results replacing the involved metric by a generalized distance. Using this generalized distance, Suzuki and Takahashi in [12] introduced notions of single-valued and multi-valued weakly contractive maps and proved some fixed point results for such maps. Consequently, they generalized the Banach contraction principle and Nadler's (see [10]) fixed point results. While, in [13] Suzuki generalized Kannan's [7] fixed point result in w -distance. Further fixed point results concerning w -distance can be found in [6,7,11]. In [2], M. Akram, et al., introduced the general class of contraction called A-contraction and proved that the class of A-contraction is a proper super class of Kannan's contractions including several other famous contractions like Bianchini's [4] and Reich's [11] contractions. In [1] M. Akram et al., using the idea of A-contractions, proved several fixed point theorems for single valued maps on generalized complete metric spaces. In [3], M. Akram, et al., introduced general multi-valued A^* -maps and proved some fixed point theorems for these maps. In this paper, using the concept of w -distance, we define A_w^* -maps and proved some fixed point and common fixed point results for these maps. These results generalize the results of [9] and others, for general class of maps called A_w^* -maps.

2 PRELIMINARIES:

Throughout this paper, X is a metric space with metric d . Let 2^X denote a set of all nonempty subsets of X , $Cl(X)$ a family of all nonempty closed subsets of X . Consider a multi-valued map $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$. An element $x \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of T if $x \in T(x)$. Let R_+ denote the set of all non-negative real numbers and A^* stands for the set of all functions $\alpha: R_+^3 \rightarrow R_+$ satisfying

1. α is continuous on the R_+^3 of all triplets of non-negative reals (with respect to the Euclidean metric on R^3);
2. α is non-decreasing in each coordinate variables;
3. $a \leq kb$ for some $k \in [0,1)$, whenever $a \leq \alpha(a,b,b)$ or $a \leq \alpha(b,a,b)$ or $a \leq \alpha(b,b,a)$ for all a,b .

Definition: 2.1 A map $\phi: X \rightarrow R$ is called Lower Semi-Continuous if for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ with $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$ imply that $\phi(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n)$.

Kada et al. [6], introduced a concept of w -distance as follows:

Definition: 2.2 A function $w: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called w -distance on X if it satisfies the following conditions, for any $x, y, z \in X$:

1. $w(x,z) \leq w(x,y) + w(y,z)$
2. the map $w(x, \cdot): X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is lower semi-continuous.
3. for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that $w(z,x) \leq \delta$ and $w(z,y) \leq \delta$ imply that $w(x,y) \leq \varepsilon$.

Corresponding author: M. Akram, *E-mail: dr.makram@gcu.edu.pk

The metric d on X is a simple example of w -distance on X . Many other examples of w -distance can be found in [8, 10, 13].

Definition: 2.3 A multi-valued map $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ is A_w^* -map if there exists a w -distance function w such that for any $x \in M, u \in T(x)$ there exist $v \in T(y)$ for all $y \in M$, and

$$w(u, v) \leq \alpha(w(x, y), w(x, u), w(y, v)),$$

for some $\alpha \in A^*$.

In particular, if we take $w = d$, then A_w^* -map becomes an A^* -map as defined in [3].

Definition: 2.4 A multi-valued map $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$ is said to be K_w -map if there exists a nonnegative number $r \in [0, 1)$ and a w -distance function w such that for any $x \in M, u \in T(x)$ there exist $v \in T(y)$ for all $y \in M$, w satisfies the inequality $w(u, v) \leq r(w(x, u) + w(y, v))$.

The following Lemma concerning w -distance is very important.

Lemma: 2.5 [8] Let X be metric space with metric d and let w be the w -distance on X . Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be sequences in X . Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ be a sequences in $[0, \infty)$ converging to 0, and let $x, y, z \in X$, then the following hold:

- (a) If $w(x_n, y) \leq \alpha_n$ and $w(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$ for any $n \in N$, then $y = z$; in particular, if $w(x, y) = 0$ and $w(x, z) = 0$. Then $y = z$;
- (b) If $w(x_n, y_n) \leq \alpha_n$ and $w(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$ for any $n \in N$, then $\{y_n\}$ converges to z ;
- (c) If $w(x_n, x_m) \leq \alpha_n$ for any $n, m \in N$ with $m > n$, then $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence;
- (d) If $w(y, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$ for any $n \in N$, then $\{x_n\}$ be Cauchy sequence.

3. MAIN RESULTS:

In the sequel, we take X complete and M a non-empty closed subset of X .

Theorem 3.1 Let $T: M \rightarrow Cl(M)$ be a multi-valued A_w^* -map such that for any $x \in M$, there exists $u \in Tx$, there exist $v \in Ty$ for all $y \in M$ and

$$\inf\{w(x, u) + w(x, Tx): x \in X\} > 0$$

for every $u \in X$ with $u \in T(u)$. Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let u_0 be an element of M , and $u_1 \in T(u_0)$ be fixed. Since T is A_w^* -map, there exist $u_2 \in T(u_1)$ s.t

$$\begin{aligned} w(u_1, u_2) &\leq \alpha(w(u_0, u_1), w(u_0, u_1), w(u_1, u_2)) \\ &\leq k w(u_0, u_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $k \in [0, 1)$. By repeating this process, we have

$$w(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq k^n w(u_0, u_1).$$

Now, for $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(u_m, u_n) &\leq w(u_n, u_{n+1}) + w(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) + \dots + w(u_{m-1}, u_m) \\ &\leq k^n w(u_0, u_1) + k^{n+1} w(u_0, u_1) + \dots + k^{m-1} w(u_0, u_1) \\ &\leq (k^n + k^{n+1} + \dots + k^{m-1}) w(u_0, u_1) \\ &\leq \{k^n(1 - k^m)/(1 - k)\} w(u_0, u_1) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by lemma(1.4), $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. From the completeness of X , we get that $\{u_n\}$ converges to some $v_0 \in X$. Since M being closed, we have $v_0 \in M$. Let $n \in N$ be fixed. Since $\{u_m\}$ converges to some v_0 and $w(u_n, \cdot)$ is lower semi-continuous, we get

$$w(u_n, v_0) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(u_n, u_m) \leq \{k^n(1 - k^m)/(1 - k)\} w(u_0, u_1)$$

So, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $w(u_n, v_0) \rightarrow 0$. Assume that $v_0 \in T(v_0)$. Then by hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \inf\{w(u, v_0) + w(u, Tu): u \in X\} \\ &\leq \inf\{w(u_n, v_0) + w(u_n, Tu_n): n \in N\} \\ &\leq \inf\{w(u_n, v_0) + w(u_n, u_{n+1}): n \in N\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \inf\{k^n(1-k^m)/(1-k) w(u_0, u_1) + k^n w(u_0, u_1): n \in N\} = 0.$$

Which is impossible and hence $v_0 \in T(v_0)$.

Note that Theorem 3.1 of [9] becomes the corollary of our Theorem 3.1 as below.

Corollary: 3.2 Let $T: M \rightarrow Cl(M)$ be a multi-valued K_w -map such that

$$\inf\{w(x, u) + w(x, T(x)): x \in X\} > 0,$$

for every $u \in X$ with $u \in T(u)$. Then T has a fixed point.

Proof: Define $\alpha: R_+^3 \rightarrow R^+$ by $\alpha(u, v, w) = r(u + v)$, where $0 < r < 1/2$. One can easily see that $\alpha \in A^*$. For any $x \in M, u \in T(x)$ there exist a $v \in T(y)$ for all $y \in M$. If we take $u = w(x, u)$, $v = w(y, v)$ and $w = w(x, y)$, in the definition of K_w -map, we have

$$w(u, v) \leq h(w(x, u) + w(y, v)) = h(u + v) = \alpha(u, v, w).$$

This gives $w(u, v) \leq \alpha(w(x, y), w(x, u), w(y, v))$. That is T is a A_w^* -map. Hence by Theorem 3.1, we have the conclusion.

Theorem 3.3 Each A_w^* -map $T: M \rightarrow Cl(M)$ has a fixed point, provided that for any iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ in M with $u_n \rightarrow v_0 \in M$, the sequence of real numbers $\{w(v_0, u_n)\}$ converges to zero.

Proof. In a similar way, as in Theorem 3.1, there exists a convergent iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ such that $u_n \rightarrow v_0 \in M$ with

$$w(u_n, v_0) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(u_n, u_m) \leq \{k^n(1-k^m)/(1-k)\} w(u_0, u_1)$$

and $w(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq k^n w(u_0, u_1)$.

Note that $w(u_n, v_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Further since $u_n \in T(u_{n-1})$ and T is a A_w^* -map therefore there is $v_n \in T(v_0)$ such that

$$w(u_n, v_n) \leq \alpha(w(u_{n-1}, v_0), w(u_{n-1}, u_n), w(v_0, v_n)).$$

For this we use definition of lower semi-continuous

$$w(v_0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(u_n, v_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(u_n, v_n).$$

By using this expression given above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(u_n, v_n) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha(w(u_{n-1}, v_0), w(u_{n-1}, u_n), w(v_0, v_n))) \\ &\leq \alpha(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(u_{n-1}, v_0), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(u_{n-1}, u_n), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(v_0, v_n)) \\ &\leq \alpha(0, 0, w(v_0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n)) \\ &\leq \alpha(0, 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(u_n, v_n)) \\ &\leq k(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $w(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then by Lemma 2.5, we get that $v_n \rightarrow v_0$. As $v_n \in T(v_0)$, and $T(v_0)$ is closed, $v_0 \in T(v_0)$.

Theorem 3.2 of [9] becomes the special case of our Theorem 3.3 as bellow.

Corollary: 3.4 Each K_w -map $T: M \rightarrow Cl(M)$ has a fixed point, provided that for any iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ in M with $u_n \rightarrow v_0 \in M$, the sequence of real numbers $\{w(v_0, u_n)\}$ converges to zero.

Theorem: 3.5 Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of multi-valued maps of M into $Cl(M)$. For any two maps $T_i, T_j \in \{T_n\}$ and for any $x, y \in M$ there exists $u \in T_i(x)$ and $v \in T_j(y)$ with

$$w(u, v) \leq \alpha(w(x, y), w(x, u), w(y, v)),$$

and for each $n \geq 1$, $\inf\{w(x, u) + w(x, T_n(x)): x \in X\} > 0$, for any $u \in T_n(u)$.

Then the sequence $\{T_n\}$ has a common fixed point.

Proof. Let u_0 be an arbitrary element of M and let $u_1 \in T_1(u_0)$, then there is $u_2 \in T_2(u_1)$ such that $w(u_1, u_2) \leq k w(u_0, u_1)$. So, there exist a sequence $\{u_n\}$ such that $u_{n+1} \in T_{n+1}(u_n)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get that $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Let $p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$ in M . Now we show that $p \in \bigcap T_n(p)$. Let T_m be any arbitrary member of $\{T_n\}$. Since $u_n \in T_n(u_{n-1})$, by hypothesis there is $s_n \in T_m(p)$ such that

$$w(u_n, s_n) \leq \alpha(w(u_{n-1}, p), w(u_{n-1}, u_n), w(p, s_n))$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $w(u_{n-1}, p) \rightarrow 0$ and $w(u_{n-1}, u_n) \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(u_n, s_n) &\leq \alpha(0, 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(u_n, s_n)) \\ &\leq k(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $w(u_n, s_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and we get the following

$$w(u_n, p) \leq \liminf w(u_n, u_m) \leq \{k^n(1 - k^m)/(1 - k)\} w(u_0, u_1) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that $w(u_n, p) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, assume that $p \in T_m(p)$. Then by given hypothesis and for $n > m$ and $m \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \inf\{w(u, p) + w(u, T_m(u)): u \in X\} \\ &\leq \inf\{w(u_{m-1}, p) + w(u_{m-1}, T_m(u_{m-1})): m \in N\} \\ &\leq \inf\{w(u_{m-1}, p) + w(u_{m-1}, u_m): m \in N\} \\ &\leq \inf\{k^{m-1}(1 - k^n/1 - k) w(u_0, u_1) + k^{m-1} w(u_0, u_1): m \in N\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible and hence $p \in T_m(p)$. But T_m is an arbitrary, hence p is a common fixed point.

Next corollary, is the Theorem 3.3 of [9].

Corollary: 3.6 Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of multi-valued maps of M into $Cl(M)$. Suppose that there exist a constant $0 \leq r < 1/2$ such that for any two maps $T_i, T_j \in \{T_n\}$ and for any $x \in M, u \in T_i(x)$, there exists $v \in T_j(y)$ for all $y \in M$ with

$$w(u, v) \leq r \{w(x, u) + w(y, v)\},$$

and for each $n \geq 1$, $\inf\{w(x, u) + w(x, T_n(x)): x \in X\} > 0$,

for any $u \in T_n(u)$. Then the sequence $\{T_n\}$ has a common fixed point.

Theorem: 3.7 Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of multi-valued maps of M into $Cl(M)$. For any two maps $T_i, T_j \in \{T_n\}$ and for any $x, y \in M$ there exists $u \in T_i(x)$ and $v \in T_j(y)$ with

$$w(u, v) \leq \alpha(w(x, y), w(x, u), w(y, v)).$$

Then $\{T_n\}$ has a common fixed point, provided that for any iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ in M with $u_n \rightarrow v_0 \in M$. The sequence of real numbers $\{w(v_0, u_n)\}$ converges to 0.

Proof. This result can be proved in a similar way, as Theorem 3.5 is proved.

Theorem 3.4 of [9] becomes the corollary of our theorem given above as follows.

Corollary 3.8 Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence of multi-valued maps of M into $Cl(M)$. Suppose that there exist a constant $0 \leq r < 1/2$ such that for any two maps T_i, T_j and for any $x \in M, u \in T_i(x)$. There exists $v \in T_j(y)$ for all $y \in M$ with

$$w(u, v) \leq r \{w(x, u) + w(y, v)\}.$$

Then $\{T_n\}$ has a common fixed point, provided that for any iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ in M with $u_n \rightarrow v_0 \in M$. The sequence of real numbers $\{w(v_0, u_n)\}$ converges to zero.

REFERENCES:

- [1] M. Akram, A. A. Siddique, A. A. Zafar, Common fixed point theorems for self maps of a generalized metric space satisfying A-contraction type condition, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 5, (2011), 757 - 763.
- [2] M. Akram, A.A. Siddique, A. A. Zafar, A general class of contractions: A-contractions, Novi Sad J. Math. Vol. 38, (2008), 25-33.
- [3] M. Akram, A. A. Siddique, A. A. Zafar, Some fixed point theorems for multi-valued A^* - mappings, Korean J. Math. Science Vol. 10, (2003), 7-12.
- [4] R. Bianchini, Su un problema di S.Reich riguardante la teori dei punti fissi, Boll. Un. Math, Ital., Vol. 5 (1972), 103-108.
- [5] Y. Feng and S. Liu, Fixed point theorems for multi-valued contractive mappings and multi-valued Caristi Type mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 317 (2006), 103-112.
- [6] O. Kada, T. Susuki and W. Takahashi, Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces, Math. Japon., 44 (1996), 381-391.
- [7] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, Bull. math. Calcutta, 6 (1968), 405-408.
- [8] T.H. Kim, K. Kim and J.S. Ume, Fixed point theorems on complete metric spaces, Panamer. Math. J., 7 (1997), 41-51.
- [9] A. Latif, Fixed point results for multivalued maps, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Science, Vol. 2, (2007), no. 23, 1129-1136.
- [10] S. B. Nadler, Multivalued contraction mappings, Pacific J. Math., 30 (1969), 475-488.
- [11] Reich S., Kannan's fixed point theorem, Boll.Un.Math.Ital., Vol.4 (1971), 1-11.
- [12] T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems and characterizations of metric completeness, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., 8 (1996), 371-382.
- [13] T. Suzuki, Several fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces, Yokohama Math. J., 44 (1997), 61-72.
- [14] W. Takahashi, Nonlinear functional analysis: Fixed point theory and its applications, Yokohama Publishers, 2000.
- [15] J. S. Ume, B. S. Lee and S. J. Cho, Some results on fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in complete metric spaces, IJMMS, 30 (2002), 319-325.
