# International Journal of Mathematical Archive-2(12), 2011, Page: 2790-2796

# P<sub>7</sub> - Factorization of complete bipartite multigraphs

U. S. Rajput and Bal Govind Shukla\*

Department of mathematics and astronomy, Lucknow University, Lucknow, India

E-mail: usrajput@sify.com, balgovind\_shukla@yahoo.com

(Received on: 25-11-11; Accepted on: 17-12-11)

### ABSTRACT

 $P_{2p}$ -factorization of a complete bipartite graph for p an integer was studied by Wang [1]. Further, Beiliang [2] extended the work of Wang [1], and studied the  $P_{2k}$ -factorization of complete bipartite multigraphs. For even value of k in  $P_k$ -factorization, the spectrum problem is completely solved [1, 2, 3]. However for odd value of k i.e.  $P_3$ ,  $P_5$ ,  $P_7$  and  $P_9$ , the path factorization have been studied by a number of researchers [4, 5, 6, 7]. Again,  $P_3$ -factorizations of complete bipartite multigraphs and symmetric complete bipartite multi-digraphs were studied by Wang and Beiliang [8]. In the present paper, we study  $P_7$ - factorization of complete bipartite multigraphs and show that the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of  $P_7$ - factorization of complete bipartite multigraph are:

(1)  $4n \ge 3m$ , (2)  $4m \ge 3n$ , (3)  $m + n \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ , (4)  $7\lambda mn / [6(m+n)]$  is an integer.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 68R10, 05C70, 05C38.

Key words: Complete bipartite Graph, Factorization of Graph, Spanning Graph.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION:**

Let  $K_{m,n}$  be the complete bipartite graph with two partite set having *m* and *n* vertices. The graph  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  is disjoint union of  $\lambda$  graphs, each isomorphic to  $K_{m,n}$ . A subgraph *F* of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  is called a spanning sub graph of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  if *F* contains all vertices of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ . For positive integer *K*, a path on *K*-vertices is denoted by  $P_k$ . A  $P_k$ -factor of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  is a spanning subgraph *F* of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  such that every component of *F* is a  $P_k$ , and every pair of  $P_k$  has no vertex in common. A  $P_k$ -factorization of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  is a set of edge-disjoint  $P_k$ -factors of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  which is a partition of the set of edges of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ . The multigraph  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  is called  $P_k$ -factorable whenever it has a  $P_k$ -factorization.

In this paper we are discussing the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a  $P_7$  – factorization of complete bipartite multigraph  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ . Let  $P_7$  be the path on seven vertices and  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  is  $K_{m,n}$  in which every edge is taken  $\lambda$  times. A spanning subgraph F of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  is called a  $P_7$ -factor if each component of F is isomorphic to  $P_7$ . If  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  is expressed as an edge disjoint sum of  $P_7$ -factor, then this sum is called a  $P_7$ -factorization of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ .

Page: 2790-2796

#### 2. MAIN RESULT:

The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a  $P_7$  – factorization of complete bipartite multigraph  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  are given in theorem 2.1, below.

**Theorem: 2.1**  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  has a  $P_7$  – factorization if and only if

- (1)  $4n \ge 3m$ ,
- (2)  $4m \ge 3n$ ,
- (3)  $m + n \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,
- (4)  $7\lambda mn/[6(m+n)]$  is an integer.

**Proof:** Let  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  is factorized into r number of  $P_7$  – factors, and t be the number of components of each  $P_7$  –

factor. Then  $t = \frac{(m+n)}{7}$  and  $r = \frac{7\lambda mn}{[6(m+n)]}$ .

Hence conditions (3) and (4) are necessary.

Among these t components, let x and y be the number of components whose end points are in Y and X, respectively. Then we has 3x + 4y = m and 4x + 3y = n. Hence  $x = \frac{(4m - 3n)}{7}$  and  $y = \frac{(4n - 3m)}{7}$ . From  $0 \le x \le m$  and  $0 \le y \le n$ , we have  $3n \le 4m$  and  $3m \le 4n$ . Conditions (1) and (2) are, therefore necessary. Now we prove the following existence theorem, which is used later in this paper

**Theorem: 2.2** If  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  has a  $P_7$  – factorization, then  $\lambda K_{sm,sn}$  has a  $P_7$  – factorization for every positive integer s. Proof: Let  $K_{s,s}$  is 1-factorable [9], and {H<sub>1</sub>, H<sub>2</sub> ... H<sub>s</sub>} be a 1-factorization of it. For each i with  $1 \le i \le s$ , replace every edge of H<sub>i</sub> with a  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  to get a spanning sub graph G<sub>i</sub> of  $\lambda K_{sm,sn}$  such that the G<sub>i</sub>'s { $1 \le i \le s$ } are pair wise edge disjoint and there sum is  $\lambda K_{sm,sn}$ . Since  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  is  $P_7$  – factorable, therefore G<sub>i</sub> is also  $P_7$  – factorable, and hence,  $\lambda K_{sm,sn}$  is also  $P_7$  – factorable.

**Theorem: 2.3** If  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  has a  $P_7$  – factorization, then  $s\lambda K_{m,n}$  has a  $P_7$  – factorization for every positive integer s.

**Proof:** Construct a  $P_7$  – factorization of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  repeatedly *s* number of times. Then we have a  $P_7$  – factorization of  $s\lambda K_{m,n}$ .

Now we will prove theorem 2.1. There are three cases to consider,

**Case: 1** ((4m = 3n): In this case, from theorem 2.2 and theorem 2.3  $\lambda K_{3n,4n}$  has a  $P_7$  – factorization.

**Case:** 2(4n = 3m): obviously,  $\lambda K_{3m,4m}$  has a  $P_7$  – factorization.

Case: 3 (4m > 3n and 4n > 3m): In this case, let  $a = \frac{(4n-3m)}{7}$ ,  $b = \frac{(4m-3n)}{7}$ ,  $t = \frac{m+n}{7}$ , and  $r = \frac{7\lambda mn}{[6(m+n)]}$ . Then from conditions (1)-(4) in theorem 2.1, a, b, t and r are integers, and 0 < a < m and 0 < b < n. We have 3a + 4b = m and 4a + 3b = n. Hence  $r = 2\lambda(a+b) + \frac{\lambda ab}{6(a+b)}$ . Let  $z = \frac{\lambda ab}{6(a+b)}$ , which is a positive integer.

And let gcd (3 a, 4b) = d, 3 a = d p, 4b = dq, where gcd (p, q) = 1. Then dq is even and  $z = \frac{\lambda dpq}{[6(p+q)]}$ .

Page: 2790-2796

These equalities imply the following equalities.

$$d = \frac{6(4p+3q)z}{\lambda pq},$$
  

$$m = \frac{6(p+q)(4p+3q)z}{\lambda pq},$$
  

$$n = \frac{(16p+9q)(4p+3q)z}{2\lambda pq},$$
  

$$r = \frac{(p+q)(16p+9q)z}{pq},$$
  

$$a = \frac{2p(4p+3q)z}{\lambda pq},$$
  

$$b = \frac{3q(4p+3q)z}{2\lambda pq}.$$

Here,

t = the number of copies of  $P_7$  in any factor,

r = the number of  $P_7$  – factor in the factorization,

a = the number of copies of  $P_7$  with its endpoints in Y in a particular  $P_7$  – factor (type M),

b = the number of copies of  $P_7$  with its endpoints in X in a particular  $P_7 -$  factor (type W),

c = the total number of copies of  $P_7$  in the whole factorization.

The following lemma can be verified.

**Lemma: 2.1** Let a, b, p and q be positive integers, if gcd(p,q) = 1 then gcd(p+q, pq) = 1 and if gcd(ap,bq) = 1, then gcd(ap+bq, pq) = 1.

By using p, q, and d, the parameters m and n, satisfying conditions (1)-(4) in theorem 2.1 can be expressed as follows:

#### Lemma: 2.2

(1) If gcd(p,9) = 1 and gcd(q, 16) = 1 then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  $m = 12(p+q)(4p+3q)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = (16p+9q)(4p+3q)s/\lambda$ ,  $a = 4p(4p+3q)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = 3q(4p+3q)s/\lambda$ , r = 2(p+q)(16p+9q)s.

(2) If gcd(p,9) = 1 and gcd(q, 16) = 2, Let  $q = 2q_1$ . Then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  $m = 6(p + 2q_1)(2p + 3q_1)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = (8p + 9q_1)(2p + 3q_1)s/\lambda$ ,  $a = 2p(2p + 3q_1)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = 3q_1(2p + 3q_1)s/\lambda$ ,  $r = (p + 2q_1)(8p + 9q_1)s$ .

(3) If gcd(p,9) = 1 and gcd(q, 16) = 4, Let  $q = 4q_2$ . Then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  $m = 6(p+4q_2)(p+3q_2)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = 2(4p+9q_2)(p+3q_2)s/\lambda$ ,  $a = 2p(p+3q_2)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = 6q_2(p+3q_2)s/\lambda$ ,  $r = (p+4q_2)(4p+9q_2)s$ .

© 2011, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

# U. S. Rajput and Bal Govind Shukla\*/ $P_7$ - Factorization of complete bipartite multigraphs / IJMA- 2(12), Dec.-2011, Page: 2790-2796

(4) If gcd(p,9) = 1 and gcd(q, 16) = 8, let  $q = 8q_3$ . Then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  $m = 3(p+8q_3)(p+6q_3)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = 2(2p+9q_3)(p+6q_3)s/\lambda$ ,  $a = p(p+6q_3)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = 6q_3(p+3q_3)s/\lambda$ ,  $r = (p+8q_3)(2p+9q_3)s$ .

(5) If gcd(p,9) = 1 and gcd(q, 16) = 8, let  $q = 16q_4$ . Then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  $m = 3(p+16q_4)(p+12q_4)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = 4(p+9q_4)(p+12q_4)s/\lambda$ ,  $a = p(p+12q_4)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = 12q_4(p+12q_4)s/\lambda$ ,  $r = 2(p+16q_4)(p+9q_4)s$ .

(6) If gcd(p,9) = 3 and gcd(q, 16) = 1, let  $p = 3p_1$ . Then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  $m = 12(3p_1 + q)(4p_1 + q)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = 3(16p_1 + 3q)(4p_1 + q)s/\lambda$ ,  $a = 12p_1(4p_1 + q)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = 3q(4p_1 + q)s/\lambda$ ,  $r = 2(3p_1 + q)(16p_1 + 3q)s$ .

(7) If gcd(p,9) = 3 and gcd(q, 16) = 2, let  $q = 2q_1$  and  $p = 3p_1$ . Then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  $m = 6(3p_1 + 2q_1)(2p_1 + q_1)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = 3(8p_1 + 3q_1)(2p_1 + q_1)s/\lambda$ ,  $a = 6p_1(2p_1 + q_1)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = 3q_1(2p_1 + q_1)s/\lambda$ ,  $r = (3p_1 + 2q_1)(8p_1 + 3q_1)s$ .

(8) If gcd(p,9) = 3 and gcd(q, 16) = 4, let  $q = 4q_2$  and  $p = 3p_1$ . Then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  $m = 6(3p_1 + 4q_2)(p_1 + q_2)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = 6(4p_1 + 3q_2)(p_1 + q_2)s/\lambda$ ,  $a = 6p_1(p_1 + q_2)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = 6q_2(p_1 + q_2)s/\lambda$ ,  $r = (3p_1 + 4q_2)(4p_1 + 3q_2)s$ .

(9) If gcd(p,9) = 3 and gcd(q, 16) = 4, let  $q = 8q_3$  and  $p = 3p_1$ . Then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  $m = 3(3p_1 + 8q_3)(p_1 + 2q_3)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = 6(2p_1 + 3q_3)(p_1 + 2q_3)s/\lambda$ ,  $a = 3p_1(p_1 + 2q_3)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = 6q_3(p_1 + 2q_3)s/\lambda$ ,  $r = (3p_1 + 8q_3)(2p_1 + 3q_3)s$ .

(10) If gcd(p,9) = 3 and gcd(q, 16) = 16, let  $q = 16q_4$  and  $p = 3p_1$ . Then there exist a positive Integer *s* such that  $m = 3(3p_1 + 16q_4)(p_1 + 4q_4)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = 12(p_1 + 3q_4)(p_1 + 4q_4)s/\lambda$ ,  $a = 3p_1(p_1 + 4q_4)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = 12q_4(p_1 + 4q_4)s/\lambda$ ,  $r = 2(3p_1 + 16q_4)(p_1 + 3q_4)s$ .

(11) If gcd(p,9) = 9 and gcd(q, 16) = 1. Let  $p = 9p_2$ . Then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  $m = 4(9p_2 + q)(12p_2 + q)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = 3(16p_2 + q)(12p_2 + q)s/\lambda$ ,  $a = 12p_2(12p_2 + q)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = q(12p_2 + q)s/\lambda$ ,

 $r = 2(9p_2 + q)(16p_2 + q)s.$ 

(12) If gcd(p,9) = 9 and gcd(q, 16) = 2. Let  $p = 9p_2$  and  $q = 2q_1$ . Then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  $m = 2(9p_2 + 2q_1)(6p_2 + q_1)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = 3(8p_2 + q_1)(6p_2 + q_1)s/\lambda$ ,

© 2011, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Page: 2790-2796  $a = 6p_2(6p_2 + q_1)s/\lambda, \ b = q_1(6p_2 + q_1)s/\lambda,$  $r = (9p_2 + 2q_1)(8p_2 + 3q_1)s.$ 

(13) If 
$$gcd(p,9) = 9$$
 and  $gcd(q, 16) = 4$ . Let  $p = 9p_2$  and  $q = 4q_2$ . Then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  
 $m = 2(9p_2 + 4q_2)(3p_2 + q_2)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = 6(4p_2 + q_2)(3p_2 + q_2)s/\lambda$ ,  
 $a = 6p_2(3p_2 + q_2)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = 2q_2(3p_2 + q_2)s/\lambda$ ,  
 $r = (9p_2 + 4q_2)(4p_2 + q_2)s$ .

(14) If gcd(p,9) = 9 and gcd(q, 16) = 8. Let  $p = 9p_2$  and  $q = 8q_3$ . Then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  $m = (9p_2 + 8q_3)(3p_2 + 2q_3)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = 6(2p_2 + q_3)(3p_2 + 2q_3)s/\lambda$ ,  $a = 3p_2(3p_2 + 2q_3)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = 2q_3(3p_2 + 2q_3)s/\lambda$ ,  $r = (9p_2 + 8q_3)(2p_2 + q_3)s$ .

(15) If gcd(p,9) = 9 and gcd(q, 16) = 16. Let  $p = 9p_2$  and  $q = 16q_4$ . Then there exist a positive integer *s* such that  $m = (9p_2 + 16q_4)(3p_2 + 4q_4)s/\lambda$ ,  $n = 12(p_2 + q_4)(3p_2 + 4q_4)s/\lambda$ ,  $a = 3p_2(3p_2 + 4q_4)s/\lambda$ ,  $b = 4p_4(3p_2 + 4q_4)s/\lambda$ ,  $r = 2(9p_2 + 16q_4)(p_2 + q_4)s$ .

**Proof:** We assume that gcd(p, q) = 1, gcd(p, 9) = 1 and gcd(q, 16) = 1 hold.

Then gcd(16p + 9q, 2) = gcd(4p + 3q, 2) = 1 and gcd(16p, 9q) = gcd(4p, 3q) = 1 hold. From lemma 2.2, we get  $n = \frac{(16p + 9q)(4p + 3q)z}{2\lambda pq}$ , which is an integer.

Therefore z/2pq must be an integer.

Let s = z/2pq, then the equalities in (1) hold. Similarly we can prove the other equalities of lemma 2.2.

Below in lemma 2.3 and 2.4, we are giving the direct constructions of graphs for particular values of m and n given in Case 1 and 8 of lemma 2.2. The value of s is taken as 1.

**Lemma: 2.3** For any positive integer p and q, let  $m = 6(p+2q)(2p+3q)/\lambda$  and  $n = (8p+9q)(2p+3q)/\lambda$ . Then  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  has a  $P_7$  - factorization.

**Proof:** Let  $a = 2p(2p+3q)/\lambda$ ,  $b = 3q(2p+3q)/\lambda$ , r = (p+2q)(8p+9q) and  $r_1 = (p+2q)$ ,  $r_2 = (8p+9q)$ . Let X and Y be two partite set of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  and set

$$X = \{x_{i,j} : 1 \le i \le r_1, 1 \le j \le m_0\},\$$
  
$$Y = \{y_{i,j} : 1 \le i \le r_2, 1 \le j \le n_0\},\$$

where  $m_0 = m/r_1 = 6(2p+3q)/\lambda$  and  $n_0 = n/r_2 = (2p+3q)/\lambda$ .

We will construct a  $P_7$  – factorization of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ . In  $P_7$ -factor of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ , we have  $t = (m+n)/7 = (2p+3q)^2/\lambda$ number of vertex disjoint copies, where  $a = 2p(2p+3q)/\lambda$ , will be of type M and  $b = 3q(2p+3q)/\lambda$  type W. Here type M denotes  $P_7$ -factor with its ends point in Y, and type W with its end point in X. For each  $1 \le i \le p$ , let

$$E_{i} = \left\{ x_{i,j+(2p+3q)(u-1)/\lambda+3(2p+3q)v/\lambda} \ y_{8(i-1)+4v+u+w,j+2i-1/\lambda+w/\lambda} : 1 \le j \le 2p + 3q/\lambda, 1 \le u \le 3, 0 \le v \le 1, 0 \le w \le 1 \right\}$$
  
and for each  $1 \le i \le q$ ,

© 2011, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

# U. S. Rajput and Bal Govind Shukla\*/ $P_7$ - Factorization of complete bipartite multigraphs / IJMA- 2(12), Dec.-2011, Page: 2790-2796

let

$$E_{p+i} = \{ x_{p+2(i-1)+w+t, j+((2p+3q)/\lambda)(v-1)+3((2p+3q)/\lambda)w+((2p+3q)/\lambda)t} \ y_{8p+9(i-1)+3(v-1)+u, j+(2p+3(i-1)+u)/\lambda} \\ : 1 \le j \le (2p+3q)/\lambda, 0 \le u \le 2, 1 \le v \le 3, 0 \le w \le 1, 0 \le t \le 1 \}.$$

Let  $F = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le p+q} E_i$ , then the graph F is a  $P_7$ -factor of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ . Define a bijection  $\sigma$  from  $X \cup Y$  onto  $X \cup Y$ , i.e.  $\sigma : X \cup Y \xrightarrow[onto]{onto} X \cup Y$  such that  $\sigma(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}) = \mathbf{x}_{i+1,j}$  and  $\sigma(\mathbf{y}_{i,j}) = \mathbf{y}_{i+1,j}$  where  $\mathbf{i} \in (1, 2...r_1)$  and  $\mathbf{j} \in (1, 2...r_2)$ . Let  $F_{\xi,\eta} = \{\sigma^{\xi}(\mathbf{x}) \sigma^{\eta}(\mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{F}\}$ .

Therefore, the graphs,  $F_{\xi,\eta}$  {1 $\leq \xi \leq r_1$ , 1 $\leq \eta \leq r_2$ }, are edge disjoint  $P_7$ - factor of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  and its union is also  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ . Thus {  $F_{\xi,\eta}$ ; 1 $\leq \xi \leq r_1$ , 1 $\leq \eta \leq r_2$ } is a  $P_7$ - factorization of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ .

**Lemma: 2.4** For any positive integer p and q, let  $m = 6(3p+4q)(p+q)/\lambda$  and  $n = 6(4p+3q)(p+q)/\lambda$ . Then  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  has a  $P_7$  - factorization.

**Proof** Let  $a = 6p(p+q)/\lambda$ ,  $b = 6q(p+q)/\lambda$ , r = (3p+4q)(4p+3q) and  $r_1 = (3p+4q)$ ,  $r_2 = (4p+3q)$ . Let X and Y be two partite set of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  and set

$$X = \{x_{i,j} : 1 \le i \le r_1, 1 \le j \le m_0\},\$$
  
$$Y = \{y_{i,j} : 1 \le i \le r_2, 1 \le j \le n_0\}.$$

Where  $m_0 = m/r_1 = 6(p+q)/\lambda$  and  $n_0 = n/r_2 = 6(p+q)/\lambda$ .

We will construct a  $P_7$  - factorization of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ . In  $P_7$ -factor of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ , we have  $t = (m+n)/7 = 6(p+q)^2/\lambda$ number of vertex disjoint copies, where  $a = 6p(p+q)/\lambda$ , will be of type M and  $b = 6q(p+q)/\lambda$ , type W. Here type M denotes  $P_7$ -factor with its ends point in Y, and type W with its end point in X.

For each  $1 \le i \le p$ , let  $E_i = \left\{ x_{3(i-1)+u,j} y_{4(i-1)+u+v,j+6(i-1)/\lambda+2(u-1)/\lambda+v/\lambda} : 1 \le j \le 6(p+q)/\lambda, 1 \le u \le 3, 0 \le v \le 1 \right\}.$ And for each  $1 \le i \le q$ , let  $E_{p+i} = \left\{ x_{3p+4(i-1)+u+v,j} y_{4p+3(i-1)+u,6p/\lambda+j+6(i-1)/\lambda+2(u-1)/\lambda+v} : 1 \le j \le 6(p+q)/\lambda, 1 \le u \le 3, 0 \le v \le 1 \right\}.$ 

Let  $F = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le p+q} E_i$ , then the graph F is a  $P_7$ -factor of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ . Define a bijection  $\sigma$  from  $X \cup Y$  onto  $X \cup Y$ ,

i.e.  $\sigma: X \cup Y \xrightarrow[onto]{onto} X \cup Y$  such that  $\sigma(\mathbf{x}_{i,j}) = \mathbf{x}_{i+1,j}$  and  $\sigma(\mathbf{y}_{i,j}) = \mathbf{y}_{i+1,j}$  where  $i \in (1, 2...r_1)$  and  $j \in (1, 2...r_2)$ . Let  $F_{\xi,\eta} = \{\sigma^{\xi}(\mathbf{x}) \sigma^{\eta}(\mathbf{y}): \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{x} \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{F}\}.$ 

Therefore, the graphs  $F_{\xi,\eta}$  { $1 \le \xi \le r_1, 1 \le \eta \le r_2$ }, are edge disjoint  $P_7$ -factor of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  and its union is also  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ . Thus { $F_{\xi,\eta}$ ;  $1 \le \xi \le r_1, 1 \le \eta \le r_2$ } is a  $P_7$ -factorization of  $\lambda K_{m,n}$ .

**Proof (Theorem (2.1)):** By using theorem 2.2 and theorem 2.3 with lemma 2.2 to 2.4, it can be seen that when the parameters *m* and *n* satisfy the conditions (1) – (4) in theorem 2.1, the complete bipartite multigraph  $\lambda K_{m,n}$  has  $P_7$ -factorization. This completes the proof of theorem 2.1.

# U. S. Rajput and Bal Govind Shukla\*/ $P_{\gamma}$ - Factorization of complete bipartite multigraphs / IJMA- 2(12), Dec.-2011, Page: 2790-2796

# **REFERENCES:**

[1] Wang H:  $P_{2p}$  -factorization of a complete bipartite graph, discrete math.120 (1993), 307-308.

[2] Beiling Du:  $P_{2k}$ -factorization of complete bipartite multi graph. Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 21(2000), 197 - 199.

[3] Ushio K: G-designs and related designs, Discrete Math., 116(1993), 299-311.

[4] Ushio K:  $P_3$  - factorization of complete bipartite graphs. Discrete math.72 (1988) 361-366.

[5] Wang J and Du B:  $P_5$  - factorization of complete bipartite graphs. Discrete math. 308 (2008) 1665 – 1673.

[6] Wang J:  $P_7$ -factorization of complete bipartite graphs. Australasian Journal of Combinatorics, volume 33 (2005), 129-137.

[7] U. S. Rajput and Bal Govind Shukla:  $P_9$  – factorization of complete bipartite graphs. Applied Mathematical Sciences, volume 5(2011), 921-928.

[8] Wang J and Du B:  $P_3$  - factorization of complete bipartite multigraphs and symmetric complete bipartite multidigraphs. Utilitas Math. 63 (2003) 213-228.

[9] Harary F: Graph theory. Adison Wesley. Massachusetts, 1972.

\*\*\*\*\*