

NONNIL-NOETHERIAN COMMUTATIVE RINGS AND NONNIL IDEALS

Parisa. Shabestari*

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, North Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran
E-mail: parisashabestari@yahoo.com

Sh. Safarisabet

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Center Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran
E-mail: sh_safarisabet@iauctb.ac.ir & drsafarisabet@gmail.com

(Received on: 24-09-11; Accepted on: 07-11-11)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, it should be noted that a commutative ring R is said to be Nonnil-Noetherian if every Nonnil Ideal of R is finitely generated. A ring R is said to be Nonnil-Noetherian if R is both right and left Nonnil-Noetherian ring. In the first section of this paper we show that many of the properties Noetherian rings are also true for Nonnil-Noetherian rings. In the second section we show that the direct sum of Nonnil-Noetherian ring needs not to be Noetherian.

Keywords: Nonnil-Noetherian ring; Nonnil Ideal

0. INTRODUCTION:

We assume throughout that all rings are commutative with $1 \neq 0$. Let R be a ring. We denote by $Nil(R)$ and $M_n(R)$ the set of all nilpotent element of R and the matrix ring over R respectively. Recall from [2] and [7] that R is a Nonnil-Noetherian ring if each Nonnil Ideal of R is finitely generated. An ideal I of a ring R is said to be Nonnil Ideal if $I \not\subset Nil(R)$. In [7], the idealization construction to give examples of Nonnil-Noetherian rings that are not Noetherian rings. In this paper, we continue to investigate the properties of Nonnil-Noetherian rings. Clearly Noetherian ring is Nonnil-Noetherian ring. In the first section of this paper, we show that many of the properties Noetherian ring are also true for Nonnil-Noetherian ring. In the second section we are going to use some examples of [7], to show that the direct sum of Nonnil-Noetherian ring needs not to be Noetherian.

1. THE PROPERTIES OF NONNIL-NOETHERIAN RINGS:

Proposition: 1.1 Let $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$, that is a family of submodules of a non-zero R -module M . Then $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is Noetherian(Artinian) if and only if

- (1) I is finite.
- (2) For some i , M_i be Noetherian (Artinian).

Proof: is obvious.

Theorem: 1.2 Let $M = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i$, then M is Artinian(Noetherian) if and only if every M_i be Artinian(Noetherian).

Proof: “ \Rightarrow ” If M is Artinian then we know every submodule of M is also Artinian, so every M_i is Artinian.

“ \Leftarrow ” Let every M_i be Artinian. Now we define

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i &\longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n M_i \\ \phi((m_1, \dots, m_n)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \end{aligned}$$

Corresponding author: Parisa. Shabestari, *E-mail: parisashabestari@yahoo.com

Clearly ϕ is a R -module epimorphism. Then by the isomorphism first theorem of modules $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i / \ker \phi \cong \sum_{i=1}^n M_i$ since $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is Artinian, then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i / \ker \phi$ is Artinian and so $\sum_{i=1}^n M_i$ becomes Artinian. The other case is proved similarly.

Definition: 1.3 A ring $M_n(R)$ is left Nonnil-Noetherian as an R -module if every Nonnil Ideal as $M_n(I)$ be finitely generated, such that I be ideal of R .

Theorem: 1.4 Let R has 1 then R is left Nonnil-Noetherian ring, if and only if for some $n \geq 1$, $M_n(R)$ be a left Nonnil-Noetherian ring.

Proof: “ \Rightarrow ” $M_n(R)$ is finitely generated and generated by $c_{11}, c_{12}, \dots, c_{nn} \in M_n(R)$. By definition 1.3 $M_n(R)$ is left Nonnil-Noetherian ring. Now we show that $M_n(R)$ -module is left Nonnil-Noetherian ring. Since

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$$

Is a ascending chain of submodules of $M_n(R)$, $M_n(R)$ is left Nonnil-Noetherian R -module. then every I_j is Nonnil-Noetherian R -module, because for some $r \in I$, $rI \in M_n(R)$. Since $M_n(R)$ is left Nonnil-Noetherian as an R -module so there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_k = I_{k+1}$. Then $M_n(R)$ is left Nonnil-Noetherian as a ring.

“ \Leftarrow ” Let $M_n(R)$ be left Nonnil-Noetherian ring and

$$I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq I_3 \subsetneq \dots$$

Is a ascending chain of left Nonnil Ideal of R . Then

$$M_n(I_1) \subsetneq M_n(I_2) \subsetneq M_n(I_3) \subsetneq \dots$$

Is a strictly ascending chain of left Nonnil Ideal of $M_n(R)$, a contradiction. Thus R is left Nonnil-Noetherian ring. ■

The following theorem shows that many of the properties of Noetherian rings are also true for Nonnil-Noetherian rings.

Theorem: 1.5 The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) R is a right Nonnil-Noetherian ring.
- (2) Every non-empty set of nonnil right ideal of R has a maximal element at least.
- (3) Every nonnil right ideal of R is finitely generated.

Proof: (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) is obvious.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Let S be a non-empty set of nonnil right ideal of R . Assume that S has no maximal element. Since $S \neq \emptyset$, there exist $I_1 \in S$ and since S has no maximal element, there exist $I_2 \in S$ such that $I_1 \subseteq I_2$. Again I_2 is not a maximal element of S , so there is $I_3 \in S$ such that $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3$. Proceed in this way we find an infinit ascending chain of nonnil right ideal of R which never stops.

$$I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq I_3 \subsetneq \dots$$

This is a contradiction. So every non-empty set of nonnil right ideal of R has maximal element at least.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$ is ascending chain of Nonnil Ideals of R . set $S = \{I_i | i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ is non-empty set of Nonnil Ideals of R , then S has a maximal element I_n . It is clear that for some $i \geq n$, $I_i = I_n$. So R is right Nonnil-Noetherian ring.

We then point out that various ring-theoretic operations on commutative Noetherian rings produce again commutative Nonnil-Noetherian rings.

Lemma: 1.6 Let R and R' be commutative rings, and let $f : R \longrightarrow R'$ be a surjective ring homomorphism. If R is Nonnil-Noetherian ring, then so too is R' . In particular, if I is a Nonnil Ideal of R and R is Nonnil-Noetherian, then R/I is also a commutative Nonnil-Noetherian ring.

Proof: By the isomorphism first theorem for commutative ring [6,2.13], we have $R/\ker f \cong R'$. Since it is clear that if two commutative rings are isomorphic, then one is Nonnil-Noetherian, it is enough to prove the second claim that R/I is Nonnil-Noetherian whenever I is a Nonnil Ideal of R and R is Nonnil-Noetherian. This we do by [6, 2.37] and [6, 2.39], an ascending chain of ideals of R/I will have the form

$$I_1/I \subseteq I_2/I \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_i/I \subseteq I_{i+1}/I \subseteq \dots$$

Where

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_i \subseteq I_{i+1} \subseteq \dots$$

Is an ascending chain of Nonnil Ideals of R all of which contain I . Since R is Nonnil-Noetherian ring, there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_k = I_{k+i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $I_k/I = I_{k+i}/I$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, therefore R/I is Nonnil-Noetherian ring.

Theorem: 1.7 Let R and R' be commutative rings, and let $f : R \longrightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism. Assume that R is Nonnil-Noetherian ring and that R' , when viewed as an R -module by means of f , is finitely generated, then R' is a Nonnil-Noetherian ring.

Proof: By [6,7.22] R' is a Nonnil-Noetherian R -module, however, every Nonnil Ideal of R' is automatically an R -submodule of R' , and so, since R' satisfies the ascending chain for R -submodules, it automatically satisfies the ascending chain for Nonnil Ideals, then R' is Nonnil-Noetherian ring.

2. EXAMPLES OF NONNIL-NOETHERIAN RINGS:

In this section, we show that the direct sum of Nonnil-Noetherian Rings is not necessarily Noetherian. Recall that a commutative ring R is said to be Nonnil-Noetherian if every Nonnil Ideal of R is said to be Nonnil-Noetherian if every Nonnil Ideal of R is finitely generated. It was proved in [2] and [7] that many of the properties of Noetherian rings are also true for Nonnil-Noetherian Rings.

In the example [7, 3. 1], let S be a Noetherian domain with quotient field K such that $\dim(S) = 1$ and S has many infinitely maximal ideals. Then $T(S, K) = S \oplus K$ is a Nonnil-Noetherian Ring with krull dimension 1 which is not a Noetherian Ring. In particular $Q \oplus Z$ is a Nonnil-Noetherian Ring with krull dimension 1 which is not a Noetherian Ring. (where Z is the set of all integer numbers with quotient field Q) More precisely, by proposition [2, 2.1], let $D = S \oplus K \in H$. We have $\dim(D) = 1$. Since K is not finitely generated over R by proposition [2, 2. 3], we conclude that K is not a finitely generated R -module. Hence, $Nil(D)$ is not finitely generated ideal of D by lemma [2, 2. 2] thus, D is not a Noetherian Ring. By proposition [2,2.1], we have $Nil(D) = \{0\} \oplus K$ is a prime ideal of D . To show that $Nil(D)$ is divided: let $(0, k) \in Nil(D)$, and $(a, c) \in D \setminus Nil(D)$. Hence, $a \neq 0$, thus, $(0, k) = (a, c)(0, k/a)$ and so $Nil(D)$ is divided in D .

Thus $D \in H$, it is easy to see that $D/Nil(D)$ is ring-isomorphic to R . Since R is a Noetherian domain, we conclude that $D/Nil(D)$ is a Noetherian domain. Hence, D is a Nonnil-Noetherian Ring, by theorem [7,2.5] and that this every finite sum of left Noetherian Rings is left Noetherian. The example [7,3.3] shows that the direct sum of Nonnil-Noetherian Rings need not be Nonnil-Noetherian. Suppose that S be a Noetherian domain with quotient field K such that $\dim(S) = 1$ and S has infinitely many maximal ideals. Then $R = T(S, K)$ is a Nonnil-Noetherian Ring, But not Noetherian. Thus $R \oplus R$ is not a Nonnil-Noetherian Ring. In fact, let I be a Nonnil Ideal of R . Then I is finitely generated by condition. Since R is not Noetherian, there exists $J \leq R$ with $J \subseteq Nil(R)$ such that J is not finitely generated. Hence, $I \oplus J$ is a Nonnil Ideal of $R \oplus R$, but $I \oplus J$ is not finitely generated. It follows that the direct sum of Nonnil-Noetherian Rings need not be Nonnil-Noetherian.

Now, we conclude that $R \oplus R$ is not necessarily Noetherian Ring. So the direct sum of Nonnil-Noetherian Ring needs not to be Noetherian.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Atiyah, M.F., Macdonald, I.G., Introduction to Commutative Algebra, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1969.
- [2] Badawi, A., On Nonnil-Noetherian Rings, Comm. Algebra, 31 (2003) 1669-1677
- [3] Hungerford, T.W., Algebra, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1980.
- [4] Kaplansky, I., Commutative Rings, The University Of Chicago Press: Chicago, 1974.
- [5] Lam, T.Y., Lecture On Modules and Rings, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [6] Sharp, R.Y., Step in Commutative Algebra, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [7] Yang, X.Y., Liu, Z.K., On Nonnil-Noetherian Rings, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 33 (2009) 1215-1223.
