

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM IN D^* - METRIC SPACES

T. VEERAPANDI¹, AJI M. PILLAI² and M. JEYARAMAN^{3*}

¹Department Of Mathematics, P.M.T. College, Melaneelithanallur, India -627 953

Email: vrpnd@yahoo.co.in

²Part time Research scholar, M.S. University, Tirunelveli, India -627 012

Email: ajimpillai@yahoo.com

³Department Of Mathematics, Mohamed Sathak Engineering College, Kilakarai, India - 623 806

Email : jeva.math@gmail.com

(Received on: 29-11-10; Accepted on: 08-12-10)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem for a class of weakly commuting mappings in complete D^ -metric spaces. This theorem is general extension of results of Shaban Sedghi and Nabi Shobe and Haiyun Zhou [11].*
Mathematics Subject Classification: 54E40; 54E35; 54H25

Keywords : D^* - metric contractive mapping , Complete D^* - metric spaces, Common fixed point theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Many authors have studied the fixed point theorem in metric space, general metric space, fuzzy metric space, probabilistic fuzzy metric space. One of such generalizations is generalized metric space (or D-metric space) initiated by Dhage in 1992. Shaban Sedghi and Nabi Shobe and Haiyun Zhou [11] give new definition D^* - metric space which is some modification of Dhage metric space (D-metric space).

In this paper, we establish a common fixed point theorem for a class of weakly commuting mappings in complete D^* - metric space.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a nonempty set. A generalized metric (or D^* -metric) on X is a function, $D^*: X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that satisfies the following conditions for each $x, y, z \in X$.

- (1) $D^*(x, y, z) \geq 0$,
 - (2) $D^*(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$,
 - (3) $D^*(x, y, z) = D^*(p\{x, y, z\})$, (symmetry) where p is a permutation function,
 - (4) $D^*(x, y, z) \leq D^*(x, y, a) + D^*(a, z, z)$.
- The pair (X, D^*) is called a generalized metric (or D^* -metric) space.

Examples 1.2

- (a) $D^*(x, y, z) = \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\}$,
- (b) $D^*(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)$.

Here, d is the ordinary metric on X .

Corresponding author: M.JEYARAMAN^{3*}

³Department Of Mathematics, Mohamed Sathak Engineering College, Kilakarai, India - 623 806
 Email : jeva.math@gmail.com

(c) If $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ then we define

$$D^*(x, y, z) = (\|x - y\|^p + \|y - z\|^p + \|z - x\|^p)^{1/p} \text{ for every } p \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

(d) If $X = \mathbb{R}$ then we define

$$D^*(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y = z, \\ \max\{x, y, z\} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.3. In a D^* -metric space, we have $D^*(x, x, y) = D^*(x, y, y)$.

Proof: We have triangular inequality

(i) $D^*(x, x, y) \leq D^*(x, x, x) + D^*(x, y, y) = D^*(x, y, y)$ and similarly

(ii) $D^*(y, y, x) \leq D^*(y, y, y) + D^*(y, x, x) = D^*(y, x, x)$.

Hence by (i), (ii) we get $D^*(x, x, y) = D^*(x, y, y)$.

Open ball with centre x and radius r : Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. For $r > 0$ define

$$B_{D^*}(x, r) = \{y \in X : D^*(x, y, y) < r\}$$

Example 1.4. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$. Denote $D^*(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } B_{D^*}(1, 2) &= \{y \in \mathbb{R} : D^*(1, y, y) < 2\} \\ &= \{y \in \mathbb{R} : |y - 1| + |y - 1| < 2\} \\ &= \{y \in \mathbb{R} : |y - 1| < 1\} = (0, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.5. Let (X, D^*) be a D^* - metric space and $A \subset X$.

(1) If for every $x \in A$, there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_{D^*}(x, r) \subset A$. We say that A is open in X

(2) A subset A of X is said to be D^* -bounded if there exists $r > 0$ such that $D^*(x, y, y) < r$ for all $x, y \in A$.

(3) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x if and only if $D^*(x_n, x_n, x) = D^*(x, x, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all

$$n \geq n_0 \rightarrow D^*(x, x, x_n) < \epsilon \quad (*)$$

This is equivalent with, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$.

$$D^*(x, x_n, x_n) < \epsilon.$$

(4) Sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D^*(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for each $n, m \geq n_0$. The D^* -metric space (X, D^*) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

(5) Every convergent sequence in D^* -metric space is Cauchy sequence.

(6) Let τ be the set of all $A \subset X$ with $x \in A$ if and only if there exists $r > 0$ such that

$B_{D^*}(x, r) \subset A$. Then τ is a topology on X induced by the D^* -metric.

Lemma 1.6. [11] Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. If $r > 0$, then ball $B_{D^*}(x, r)$ with center $x \in X$ and radius r is open set.

Definition 1.7. Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. D^* - is said to be a continuous function on X^3 if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(x_n, y_n, z_n) = D^*(x, y, z)$$

Whenever a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n, z_n)\}$ in X^3 converges to a point $(x, y, z) \in X^3$, that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z.$$

Lemma 1.8. [11] Let (X, D^*) be a D^* - metric space. Then D^* - is a continuous function on X^3 .

Lemma 1.9. [11] Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. If sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x, then x is unique.

Lemma 1.10. [11] Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. If sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is convergent to x, then sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Definition 1.11. Let A and S be two mappings from a D^* -metric space (X, D^*) into itself. Then $\{A, S\}$ is said to be weakly commuting pair if $D^*(SAX, ASx, SAx) \leq D^*(Ax, Sx, Sx)$, for all $x \in X$. Clearly, a commuting pair is weakly commuting, but not conversely as shown in the following example.

Example 1.12. Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space, where $X = [0, 1]$ and $D^*(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |x - z|$.

Define self-maps A and S on X as follows:

$Ax = \frac{x}{2}$ and $Sx = \frac{x+3}{5}$ for all $x \in X$. Then for all x in X one gets

$$D^*(SAX, ASx, ASx) = \left| \frac{x+3}{10} - \frac{x+6}{10} \right| + \left| \frac{x+6}{10} - \frac{x+6}{10} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{x+6}{10} \right| + \left| \frac{x+3}{10} - \frac{x+6}{10} \right|$$

$$= 2 \left| \frac{-3}{10} \right|$$

$$\leq 2 \left| \frac{x+6}{10} \right|, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

$$D^*(Sx, Ax, Ax) = \left| \frac{x+3}{5} - \frac{x}{2} \right| + 0 + \left| \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x+3}{5} \right|$$

$$= 2 \left| \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x+3}{5} \right|$$

$$= 2 \left| \frac{x+6}{10} \right|$$

Hence

$$D^*(SAX, ASx, SAx) \leq D^*(Ax, Sx, Sx),$$

$\{A - S\}$ is a weakly commuting pair.

However, for any nonzero $x \in X$ we have

$$ASx = \frac{x+3}{10} < \frac{x+6}{10} = SAX.$$

Thus A and S are not commuting mappings.

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this section (X, D^*) denotes a D^* -metric space and Φ denotes a family of mappings such that each $\phi \in \Phi, \phi : (R^+)^5 \rightarrow R^+$, and ϕ is continuous and increasing in each co-ordinate variable.

Example 2.1. Let $\phi : (R^+)^5 \rightarrow R^+$ be defined by $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) = \frac{1}{7}(t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5)$.

Also $\gamma(t) = \phi(t, t, a_1 t, a_2 t, t) < t$ for every $t \in R^+$ where $a_1 + a_2 = 3$.

The following lemma is the key in proving our result.

Lemma 2.2. [11] For every $t > 0, \gamma(t) < t$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^n(t) = 0$, where γ^n denotes the composition of γ with itself n times. Our main result, for a complete D^* -metric space X, reads follows:

Theorem 2.3. Let A and B be any two a self- mappings of a complete D^* - metric space (X, D^*) and S, T be continuous self-mappings on X satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ are weakly commuting pairs such that $B(X) \subset S(X) \& A(X) \subset T(X)$,

(ii) there exists a $\phi \in \Phi$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$,
 $D^*(Ax, By, Bz) \leq \phi \{ (a_1 D^*(Sx, Ty, Tz) + a_2 D^*(Sx, Ty, By) + a_3 D^*(Sx, Ty, Bz) + a_4 D^*(Sx, Ty, Ax) + a_5 D^*(Tz, Ax, Ax) + a_6 D^*(Tz, By, By), D^*(Sx, Ax, Ax),$

$$D^*(Sx, By, By), D^*(Ty, Ax, Ax), D^*(Ty, By, By) \}$$

where $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6 \geq 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 = 1/2$.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point in X. Then $Bx_0 \in X$. Since BX is contained in SX. There exists a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $Sx_1 = Bx_0 = y_0$.

Since AX is contained in TX. There exists a point $x_2 \in X$ such that $Tx_2 = Ax_1 = y_1$.

Continuing this way, we define by induction a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$Sx_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n} = y_{2n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$Tx_{2n+2} = Ax_{2n+1} = y_{2n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\text{Let } d_n = D^*(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We prove that $d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n}$, for all $n > 0$.

Now if $d_{2n+1} > d_{2n}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

Since ϕ is increasing function, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n+1} &= D^*(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ &= D^*(Ax_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+2}) \\ &\leq \phi \{ (a_1 D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+2}) \\ &\quad + a_2 D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+2}) \\ &\quad + a_4 D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}, Ax_{2n+1}) + a_5 D^*(Tx_{2n+2}, Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + a_6 D^*(Tx_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+2}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}), D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+2}), \\ &D^*(Tx_{2n+2}, Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}), D^*(Tx_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+2}) \} \\ &\leq \phi \{ (a_1 D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) + a_2 D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \\ &\quad + a_3 D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) + a_4 D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + a_5 D^*(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) + a_6 D^*(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}), \end{aligned}$$

$$D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}), D^*(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), D^*(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \phi \{ (a_1 d_{2n} + a_2 (d_{2n} + d_{2n+1}) + a_3 (d_{2n} + d_{2n+1}) + a_4 (d_{2n}) \\ &\quad + a_5 (0) + a_6 d_{2n+1}, d_{2n}, (d_{2n} + d_{2n+1}), 0, d_{2n+1} \} \\ &\leq \phi \{ (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) d_{2n} + (a_2 + a_3 + a_6) d_{2n+1}, d_{2n}, \\ &\quad d_{2n} + d_{2n+1}, 0, d_{2n+1} \} \\ &\leq \phi \{ 1/2 d_{2n+1} + 1/2 d_{2n+1}, d_{2n+1}, 2d_{2n+1}, 0, d_{2n+1} \} \\ &\leq \phi \{ d_{2n+1}, d_{2n+1}, 2d_{2n+1}, 0, d_{2n+1} \} \\ &\leq \phi \{ d_{2n+1}, d_{2n+1}, 2d_{2n+1}, d_{2n+1}, d_{2n+1} \} \text{ (since } d_{2n+1} \geq 0) \\ &< d_{2n+1}, \text{ a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n}$$

Similarly, we can prove that $d_{2n+2} \leq d_{2n+1}$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now if $d_{2n+2} > d_{2n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n+2} &= D^*(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+3}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ &= D^*(Bx_{2n+2}, Ax_{2n+3}, Ax_{2n+3}) \\ &\leq \phi \{ (a_1 D^*(Sx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+3}, Tx_{2n+3}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ a_2 D^*(Sx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+3}, Bx_{2n+3}) + a_3 D^*(Sx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+3}, Bx_{2n+3}) \\ &+ a_4 D^*(Sx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+3}, Ax_{2n+2}) + a_5 D^*(Tx_{2n+3}, Ax_{2n+2}, Ax_{2n+2}) \\ &+ a_6 D^*(Tx_{2n+3}, Bx_{2n+3}, Bx_{2n+3}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &D^*(Sx_{2n+2}, Ax_{2n+2}, Ax_{2n+2}), D^*(Sx_{2n+2}, Bx_{2n+3}, Bx_{2n+3}), \\ &D^*(Tx_{2n+3}, Ax_{2n+2}, Ax_{2n+2}), D^*(Tx_{2n+3}, Bx_{2n+3}, Bx_{2n+3}) \} \\ &\leq \phi \{ (a_1 D^*(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}) + a_2 D^*(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}) + a_3 \\ &D^*(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}) + a_4 D^*(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}) \\ &+ a_5 D^*(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}) + a_6 D^*(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+3}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &D^*(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}), D^*(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+3}), \\ &D^*(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}), \\ &D^*(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+3}) \} \\ &\leq \phi \{ (a_1 d_{2n+1} + a_2 (d_{2n+1} + d_{2n+2}) + a_3 (d_{2n+1} + d_{2n+2}) \\ &+ a_4 (d_{2n+2}) + a_5 (0) + a_6 d_{2n+2}, d_{2n+1}, d_{2n+1} + d_{2n+2} \\ &+ d_{2n+2}, 0, d_{2n+2} \} \\ &\leq \phi \{ (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) d_{2n+1} + (a_2 + a_3 + a_6) d_{2n+2}, d_{2n+1}, \\ &d_{2n+1} + 2d_{2n+2}, 0, d_{2n+2} \} \\ &\leq \phi \{ 1/2 d_{2n+2} + 1/2 d_{2n+2}, d_{2n+2}, 3d_{2n+2}, 0, d_{2n+1} \} \\ &\leq \phi \{ d_{2n+2}, d_{2n+2}, d_{2n+2}, 3d_{2n+2}, d_{2n+2} \} \\ &< d_{2n+2}, \text{ a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } d_{2n+2} \leq d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n}$$

Consequently $\{d_n\}$ is non increasing sequence of non – negative real's.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } d_1 &= D^*(y_1, y_2, y_2) = D^*(Ax_1, Bx_2, Bx_2) \\ &\leq \phi \{ (a_1 D^*(Sx_1, Tx_2, Tx_2) + a_2 D^*(Sx_1, Tx_2, Bx_2) + a_3 \\ &D^*(Sx_1, Tx_2, Bx_2) + a_4 D^*(Sx_1, Tx_2, Ax_1) + a_5 D^*(Tx_2, Ax_1, \\ &Ax_1) + a_6 D^*(Tx_2, Bx_2, Bx_2), \end{aligned}$$

$$D^*(Sx_1, Ax_1, Ax_1), D^*(Sx_1, Bx_2, Bx_2), D^*(Tx_2, Ax_1, Ax_1), D^*(Tx_2, Bx_2, Bx_2) \}$$

$$\leq \phi \{ (a_1 D^*(y_0, y_1, y_1) + a_2 D^*(y_0, y_1, y_2) + a_3 D^*(y_0, y_1, y_2) + a_4 D^*(y_0, y_1, y_1) + a_5 D^*(y_1, y_1, y_1) + a_6 D^*(y_1, y_2, y_2), D^*(y_0, y_1, y_1), D^*(y_0, y_2, y_2), D^*(y_1, y_1, y_1), D^*(y_1, y_2, y_2) \}$$

$$\leq \phi \{ (a_1 d_0 + a_2 (d_0 + d_1) + a_3 (d_0 + d_1) + a_4 d_0 + a_5 (0) + a_6 d_1, d_0, d_0 + d_1, 0, d_1 \}$$

$$\leq \phi \{ (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) d_0 + (a_2 + a_3 + a_6) d_1, d_0, d_0 + d_1, 0, d_1 \}$$

$$\leq \phi \{ 1/2 d_0 + 1/2 d_1, d_0, d_0 + d_1, 0, d_1 \}$$

$$= \phi \{ d_1, d_1, d_1, 2d_1, 0, d_1 \}$$

$$\leq \phi \{ d_1, d_1, d_1, 2d_1, d_1, d_1 \}$$

$$= \gamma (d_0)$$

$$\text{Similarly } d_2 \leq \gamma (d_1) \leq \gamma (\gamma d_0) = \gamma^2 (d_0)$$

In general we have $d_n \leq \gamma^n (d_0)$

so, if $d_0 > 0$, then lemma 2.2 gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0, \text{ for } d_0 = 0. \text{ We have } d_n = 0, \text{ for each } n$$

Now we prove that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

For $m > n \geq n_0$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(y_n, y_n, y_m) &\leq D^*(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) + D^*(y_{n+1}, y_m, y_m) \\ &\leq D^*(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) + D^*(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_m) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq D^*(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) + D^*(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ D^*(y_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m) \\
 &= d_n + d_{n-1} + \dots + d_{m-1} \\
 &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

In the same way we can show that $\{y_{2n}\}$ and $\{y_{2n+1}\}$ are Cauchy sequence in X .

Since X is D^* -complete $y_n \rightarrow z$ in X and $\{y_{2n}\}, \{y_{2n+1}\}$ are subsequences of $\{y_n\}$.

We have $y_{2n} \rightarrow z$ and $y_{2n+1} \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{As } S \text{ and } T \text{ are continuous, we have } STx_{2n+2} = Sx_{2n+1} = Sy_{2n+1} \\
 &\rightarrow Sz \text{ (as } y_{2n+1} \rightarrow z) \\
 &TSx_{2n+1} = TBx_{2n} = Ty_{2n} \rightarrow Tz \text{ (as } y_{2n} \rightarrow z)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that $Sz = Tz$.

Suppose $Sz \neq Tz$. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^*(Sz, Tz, Tz) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(STx_{2n+2}, TSx_{2n+1}, TSx_{2n+1}) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, TBx_{2n}, TBx_{2n}) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, TBx_{2n}) \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n+1}) + D^*(ASx_{2n+1}, TBx_{2n}, TBx_{2n})\} \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n+1}) + D^*(BTx_{2n}, TBx_{2n}, TBx_{2n})\} \\
 &+ D^*(BTx_{2n}, ASx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n+1}) \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n+1}) + D^*(BTx_{2n}, TBx_{2n}, TBx_{2n})\} \\
 &+ D^*(ASx_{2n+1}, BTx_{2n}, BTx_{2n}) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}) + D^*(Bx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n})\} \\
 &+ D^*(ASx_{2n+1}, BTx_{2n}, BTx_{2n}) \text{ \{ there fore } \{A, S\} \text{ \& } \{B, T\} \text{ are weakly commuting}\} \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi \{d_{2n}, d_{2n}, 2d_{2n}, 0, d_{2n}\} \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{2n} \\
 &\leq \gamma D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) \\
 &\leq D^*(Sz, z, z) \\
 &= 0. \text{ Which is a contradiction.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Tz = Sz$.

Now we prove that $Sz = Az$.

Suppose $Sz \neq Az$.

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^*(Sz, Az, Az) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(STx_{2n+2}, ASx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n+1}) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, ABx_{2n}, ABx_{2n}) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, ABx_{2n})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n+1}) \\
 &+ D^*(Bx_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, ABx_{2n}) + D^*(Bx_{2n}, ASx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n+1})\} \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n+1}) \\
 &+ D^*(Bx_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, ABx_{2n}) + D^*(Bx_{2n}, Bx_{2n}, ASx_{2n+1})\} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}) + D^*(Bx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) \\
 &+ D^*(Bx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n}, ASx_{2n+1})\} \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi \{d_{2n}, d_{2n}, 2d_{2n}, 0, d_{2n}\} \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{2n} \\
 &\leq \gamma D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) \\
 &\leq D^*(Az, z, z) \\
 &= 0. \text{ Which is a contradiction.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Az = Sz$.

Now we prove that $Bz = Tz$.

Suppose $Bz \neq Tz$.

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^*(Tz, Bz, Bz) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(TSx_{2n+1}, BTx_{2n+2}, BTx_{2n+2}) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(TBx_{2n}, STx_{2n-1}, STx_{2n-1}) \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{D^*(STx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, TSx_{2n+1}) \\
 &+ D^*(TBx_{2n}, TBx_{2n}, STx_{2n}) \\
 &+ D^*(STx_{2n}, TSx_{2n+1}, TSx_{2n-1})\} \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + D^*(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, STx_{2n}) \\
 &+ D^*(STx_{2n}, TSx_{2n+1}, TSx_{2n+1})\} \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi \{d_{2n}, d_{2n}, 2d_{2n}, 0, d_{2n}\} \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{2n} \\
 &\leq \gamma D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) \\
 &\leq D^*(Bz, z, z) \\
 &= 0. \text{ Which is a contradiction.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Tz = Bz = Sz = Az$.

It now follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^*(Az, z, z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Az, Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) \\
 &\leq \phi \{ (a_1 D^*(Sz, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}) + a_2 D^*(Sz, Tx_{2n}, Bx_{2n}) \\
 &+ a_3 D^*(Sz, Tx_{2n}, Bx_{2n}) + a_4 D^*(Sz, Tx_{2n}, Az) \\
 &+ a_5 D^*(Tx_{2n}, Az, Az) + a_6 D^*(Tx_{2n}, Bx_{2n}, Bx_{2n}),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &D^*(Sz, Az, Az), D^*(Sz, Bx_{2n}, Bx_{2n}), D^*(Tx_{2n}, Bx_{2n}, Bx_{2n}), \\
 &D^*(Tx_{2n}, Bx_{2n}, Bx_{2n})\} \\
 &\leq \phi \{ (a_1 D^*(Sz, z, z) + a_2 D^*(Sz, z, z) + a_3 D^*(Sz, z, z) \\
 &+ a_4 D^*(Sz, z, Az) + a_5 D^*(z, Az, Az) + a_6 D^*(z, z, z), \\
 &D^*(Sz, Az, Az), D^*(Sz, z, z), D^*(z, z, z), D^*(z, z, z)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \phi \{ (a_1 D^*(Sz, z, z) + a_2 D^*(Sz, z, z) + a_3 D^*(Sz, z, z) \\
 &+ a_4 D^*(Sz, z, Az) + a_5 D^*(z, Az, Az) + a_6 (0), \\
 &D^*(Sz, Az, Az), D^*(Sz, z, z), 0, 0\} \\
 &\leq \gamma D^*(Az, z, z) \\
 &\leq D^*(Az, z, z). \text{ Which contradiction}
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCE:

There fore $Tz = Bz = Sz = Az = z$.

Now to prove uniqueness,

It possible $y \neq z$ be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Then } D^*(y, z, z) = D^*(Ay, Bz, Bz) \\ & \leq \phi \{ (a_1 D^*(Sy, Tz, Tz) + a_2 D^*(Sy, Tz, Bz) \\ & + a_3 D^*(Sy, Tz, Bz) + a_4 D^*(Sy, Tz, Ay) + a_5 D^*(Tz, Ay, Ay) \\ & + a_6 D^*(Tz, Bz, Bz), \end{aligned}$$

$$D^*(Sy, Ay, Ay), D^*(Sy, Bz, Bz), D^*(Tz, By, By), D^*(Tz, Bz, Bz)\}$$

$$\leq \phi \{ (a_1 D^*(y, z, z) + a_2 D^*(y, z, z) + a_3 D^*(y, z, z) + a_4 D^*(y, z, y) + a_5 D^*(z, y, y) + a_6 D^*(z, z, z), D^*(y, y, y), D^*(y, z, z), D^*(z, y, y), D^*(z, z, z)\}$$

$$\leq \gamma D^*(y, z, z) \leq D^*(y, z, z).$$

Which contradiction. Therefore $y = z$.

Then z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 2.4

Putting $A = B$ and $a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = a_6 = 0$. We get the following theorem as corollary.

Corollary 2.5. Let A be a self-mapping of complete \underline{D}^* -metric space (X, D^*) and S, T be continuous self-mappings on X satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\{A, S\}$ and $\{A, T\}$ are weakly commuting pairs such that $A(X) \subset S(X) \cap T(X)$;

(ii) there exist a $\phi \in \Phi$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$, $D^*(Ax, Ay, Az) \leq \phi \{ D^*(Sx, Ty, Tz), D^*(Sx, Ax, Ax), D^*(Sx, Ay, Ay), D^*(Ty, Ax, Ax), D^*(Ty, Ay, Ay) \}$

Then A, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Corollary 2.5. Let A_i and B_j be two a self-mapping of complete D^* -metric space (X, D^*) and S, T be continuous self-mappings on X satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\{A_i, S\}$ and $\{B_j, T\}$ are weakly commuting pairs such that $B_j(X) \subset S(X) \& A_i(X) \subset T(X)$;

(ii) there exist a $\phi \in \Phi$ and $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} & D^*(A_i x, B_j y, B_k z) \leq \phi \{ (a_1 D^*(Sx, Ty, Tz) \\ & + a_2 D^*(Sx, Ty, B_j y) + a_3 D^*(Sx, Ty, B_k z) + a_4 D^*(Sx, Ty, A_i x) \\ & + a_5 D^*(Tz, A_i x, A_i x) + a_6 D^*(Tz, B_j y, B_j y), \end{aligned}$$

$$D^*(Sx, A_i x, A_i x), D^*(Sx, B_j y, B_j y), D^*(Ty, A_i x, A_i x), D^*(Ty, B_j y, B_j y)\}$$

where $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6 \geq 0$

and $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 = 1/2$. Then A_i, B_j, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

[1] B.Ahmad, M.Ashraf, and B.Rhoades, "Fixed point theorems for expansive mappings in D- metricspace", Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 32, no.10, pp – 1513 -1518, 2001.

[2] B.C.Dhage, A.M.Pathan, and B.E.Rhoades, "A general existence principle for fixed point theorems inD- metric spaces", International Journal of Mathematics and mathematical sciences, vol.23, no.7, pp. 441 – 448, 1999.

[3] B.C.Dhage, "Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point", Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical society, vol.84, no.4,pp . 329 – 336, 1992.

[4] B.C.Dhage, "A common fixed point principle in D-metric spaces", Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical society, vol.91, no.6, pp .475 – 480, 1999.

[5] M.S.El Naschie, " On the uncertainty of Cantorian geometry and the two slit experiment", Chaos, Solutions Fractals, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 517 – 529 , 1998.

[6] O.Hadzic, Fixed point Theory in Probabilistic Metric spaces, University of Novi Sad, Yugoslavia, 1995.

[7] S.V.R.Naidu, K.P.R.Rao and N.Srinivasa Rao, " On the topology of D- metric spaces and generation of D-metric spaces from metric spaces", International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical sciences, vol.2004, no. 51, pp.2719 -2740, 2004.

[8] S.V.R.Naidu, K.P.R.Rao and N.Srinivasa Rao, "On the concepts of balls in a D- metric space", International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical sciences, vol.2005, no. 1, pp. 133 – 141, 2005.

[9] S.V.R.Naidu, K.P.R.Rao and N.Srinivasa Rao, "On convergent sequences and fixed point theorems in D – metric spaces", International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical sciences, vol.2005, no. 12, pp. 1969 - 1988, 2005.

[10] B.E.Rhoades, " A fixed point theorem for generalized metric spaces, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical sciences, vol.19, no. 3, pp. 457 – 460, 1996.

[11] Shaban Sedghi, Nabi Shobe, and Haiyun Zhou "A Common Fixed Point Theorem in D^* -Metric spaces", vol. 2007 pp.1-13

[12] B.Singh and R.K.Sharma, "Common fixed points via compatible maps in D-metric spaces", Radovi Matemacki, vol. 11, no.1, pp. 145 – 153, 2002.