



**A FIXED POINT THEOREM SATISFYING GENERAL CONTRACTIVE
CONDITION OF INTEGRAL TYPE USING TWO PAIR OF CONVERSE
COMMUTING MAPPINGS IN METRIC SPACES**

Saurabh Manro*, S. S. Bhatia* and Simran Virk**

**School of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Thapar University, Patiala (Punjab), India
Corresponding Author- Saurabh Manro (sauravmanro@hotmail.com)*

***Rimt Polytechnic College, Mandi Gobindgarh (Punjab), India*

(Received on: 03-09-11; Accepted on: 16-09-11)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we use the concept of converse commuting maps of Lu[4], to prove a common fixed point theorem satisfies Meir-Killer Type contractive condition[2]. Our result is generalization of the results of various authors like Valeriu P. [5], Pathak H.K. and Verma R.K. [6].

Key words: *Commuting point, Coincidence point, Converse commuting maps.*

Subject classification: *2000 AMS: 47H10, 54H25*

In 2002, Lu[4] introduced the concept of converse commuting maps as a reverse process of weakly compatible maps. Here we use the concept of converse commuting maps of Lu[4], to prove a common fixed point theorem satisfies Meir-Killer type contractive condition[2]. Our result is generalization of the results of various authors like Valeriu P.[5] , Pathak H. K. and Verma R. K.[6].

Recently, Pathak H.K. and Verma R.K. [6] proved the following result:

Theorem 1[6]: Let A, B, S and T be self maps defined on a metric space (X, d) satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are conversely commuting, and
- (b) the generalized contractive condition :

$$G\left(\int_0^{d(Ax,By)} \phi(t)dt, \int_0^{d(Sx,Ty)} \phi(t)dt, \int_0^{d(Ax,Sx)} \phi(t)dt, \int_0^{d(By,Ty)} \phi(t)dt, \int_0^{d(By,Sx)} \phi(t)dt, \int_0^{d(Ax,Ty)} \phi(t)dt, \right) \leq 0$$

holds, for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ where $\phi : R^+ \rightarrow R$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable, non-negative and such that $\int_0^\epsilon \phi(t)dt > 0$ for each $\epsilon > 0$, and $G : R_+^6 \rightarrow R$ be a map satisfying

$$G(s, s, 0, 0, s, s) > 0, \text{ for all } s > 0.$$

If A and S have a commuting point and B and T have a commuting point, then A, S, B and T have a unique common coincidence point.

Now, we use the concept of converse commuting maps of Lu [4], to prove a common fixed point theorem satisfies Meir-Killer Type contractive condition[2], which generalizes Theorem-1 as follows:

Theorem 2: Let A, B, S and T be self maps defined on a metric space (X, d) satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) The pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are conversely commuting, and
- (b) Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$

***Corresponding author: Saurabh Manro, *E-mail: sauravmanro@hotmail.com**

$$\epsilon < \int_0^{M(x,y)} \phi(t) dt < \epsilon + \delta \quad \text{implies} \quad \int_0^{d(Ax,By)} \phi(t) dt \leq \epsilon$$

and for all $x, y \in X, k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right]$ such that

$$\int_0^{d(Ax,By)} \phi(t) dt < k \int_0^{[d(Sx,Ty)+d(Ax,Sx)+d(By,Ty)+d(Sx,By)+d(Ax,Ty)]} \phi(t) dt$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable, non-negative and such that

$$\int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt > 0 \quad \text{for each } \epsilon > 0,$$

$$\text{and } M(x, y) = \text{Max} \left\{ d(Sx, Ty), d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Ty), \frac{[d(Sx, By) + d(Ax, Ty)]}{2} \right\}$$

If (A, S) and (B, T) have a commuting point, then A, S, B and T have a unique common coincidence point.

Proof: Let u be commuting point of (A, S) and v be commuting point of (B, T). As A and S are converse commuting we have $ASu = SAu \Rightarrow Au = Su$. Hence $d(Au, Su) = 0$. It follows that $ASu = SAu = AAu = SSu$. Similarly, as B and T are converse commuting we have $BTv = TBv \Rightarrow Bv = Tv$, hence $d(Bv, Tv) = 0$. It follows that $BTv = TBv = TTv = BBv$.

We claim that $AAu = BBv$. If not, take $x = Au, y = Bv$ in condition (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{d(AAu, BBv)} \phi(t) dt &< k \int_0^{[d(SAu, TBv)+d(AAu, SAu)+d(BBv, TBv)+d(SAu, BBv)+d(AAu, TBv)]} \phi(t) dt \\ \int_0^{d(AAu, BBv)} \phi(t) dt &< k \int_0^{[d(AAu, BBv)+d(AAu, AAu)+d(BBv, BBv)+d(AAu, BBv)+d(AAu, BBv)]} \phi(t) dt \\ &= k \int_0^{3d(AAu, BBv)} \phi(t) dt \\ &< 3k \int_0^{d(AAu, BBv)} \phi(t) dt \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

which is a contradiction, since $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right]$.

Hence from (1), we have $AAu = BBv$. Therefore $AAu = SAu = ASu = SSu = BTv = TBv = BBv = TTv$.

Now, we claim that $Au = Bv$. If not, then put $x = u, y = v$ in condition (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{d(Au, Bv)} \phi(t) dt &< k \int_0^{[d(Su, Tv)+d(Au, Su)+d(Bv, Tv)+d(Su, Bv)+d(Au, Tv)]} \phi(t) dt \\ \int_0^{d(Au, Bv)} \phi(t) dt &< k \int_0^{[d(Au, Bv)+d(Au, Au)+d(Bv, Bv)+d(Au, Bv)+d(Au, Bv)]} \phi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= k \int_0^{3d(Au, Bv)} \phi(t) dt \\
 &< 3k \int_0^{d(Au, Bv)} \phi(t) dt
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

which is a contradiction, since $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right]$.

Hence from (2), $Au = Bv$. So that $Au = Su = Bv = Tv$.

Now, we claim that $Au = AAu$. If not, then put $x = Au$, $y = v$, in condition (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{d(AAu, Bv)} \phi(t) dt &< k \int_0^{[d(SAu, Tv) + d(AAu, SAu) + d(Bv, Tv) + d(SAu, Bv) + d(AAu, Tv)]} \phi(t) dt \\
 \int_0^{d(AAu, Bv)} \phi(t) dt &< k \int_0^{[d(AAu, Bv) + d(AAu, AAu) + d(Bv, Bv) + d(AAu, Bv) + d(AAu, Bv)]} \phi(t) dt \\
 &= k \int_0^{3d(AAu, Bv)} \phi(t) dt \\
 &< 3k \int_0^{d(AAu, Bv)} \phi(t) dt
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

which is a contradiction, since $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right]$.

Hence from (3), we have $Au = AAu$.

Therefore, $Au = AAu = SAu = ASu = SAu = Bv = BBv = TBv = BTv = TTv$.

Hence Au is a common fixed point of A , B , S , and T .

Finally now, we show that the common fixed point is unique. If possible, let x_0 and y_0 be two common fixed points of A , B , S , and T . Then by condition (b), take $x = x_0$ and $y = y_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{d(Ax_0, By_0)} \phi(t) dt &< k \int_0^{[d(Sx_0, Ty_0) + d(Ax_0, Sx_0) + d(By_0, Ty_0) + d(Sx_0, By_0) + d(Ax_0, Ty_0)]} \phi(t) dt \\
 \int_0^{d(x_0, y_0)} \phi(t) dt &< k \int_0^{[d(x_0, y_0) + d(x_0, x_0) + d(y_0, y_0) + d(x_0, y_0) + d(x_0, y_0)]} \phi(t) dt \\
 \int_0^{d(x_0, y_0)} \phi(t) dt &< k \int_0^{[d(x_0, y_0) + d(x_0, y_0) + d(x_0, y_0)]} \phi(t) dt \\
 &= k \int_0^{3d(x_0, y_0)} \phi(t) dt \\
 &< 3k \int_0^{d(x_0, y_0)} \phi(t) dt
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

which is a contradiction, since $k \in \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right]$.

Hence from (4), $x_0 = y_0$.

Therefore, the mappings A, B, S, and T have a unique common fixed point.

Example 2.1: Let $X = \left\{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\right\}$ and d is a usual metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|$. Define mappings

A, S, B, T: $X \rightarrow X$ by

$$Ax = \frac{1}{n+3}, x = \frac{1}{n} \text{ (n is odd)}, Ax = \frac{1}{n+4}, x = \frac{1}{n} \text{ (n is even)}, A(0) = 0,$$

$$Sx = \frac{1}{n+2}, x = \frac{1}{n} \text{ (n is odd)}, Sx = \frac{1}{n+1}, x = \frac{1}{n} \text{ (n is even)}, S(0) = 0,$$

$$Bx = \frac{1}{n+4}, x = \frac{1}{n} \text{ (n is odd)}, Bx = \frac{1}{n+3}, x = \frac{1}{n} \text{ (n is even)}, B(0) = 0,$$

$$Tx = \frac{1}{n+1}, x = \frac{1}{n} \text{ (n is odd)}, Tx = \frac{1}{n+2}, x = \frac{1}{n} \text{ (n is even)}, T(0) = 0.$$

Next, define $\varphi(t) = \max \{0, t^{\frac{1}{t}-2} (1-\log t)\}$ for $t > 0$, $\varphi(0) = 0$.

Clearly all the conditions of above theorem and condition (b) satisfied for $k = \frac{1}{3}$. Also $x = 0$ is unique common fixed point of A, S, B and T.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *Int.J. Math. Sci.* 29 (9) (2002), 531-536.
- [2] U.C. Gairola and A.S. Rawat, A fixed point theorem for integral type inequality, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* 2(15) 2008, 709-712.
- [3] Qui-Kaun Liu and Xins-qi Hu, Some new common fixed point theorems for converse commuting multivalued mappings in symmetric spaces with applications, *Nonlinear Analysis forum*, 10 (1) (2005), 97-104.
- [4] Z. Lu, On common fixed points for converse commuting self maps on a metric spaces, *Acta. Anal. Funct. Appl.*, 4(3)(2002), 226-228.
- [5] V. Popa, a general fixed point theorem for converse commuting multivalued mappings in symmetric spaces, *Filomat*, 21 (2) (2007), 267-271.
- [6] H. K. Pathak and R. K. Verma, An Integral Type Implicit Relation for Converse Commuting Mappings, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, 3 (24) (2009), 1191-1198.
