

On Detour Radial Symmetric n -Sigraphs

JEPHRY RODRIGUES K

Department of Mathematics,
Dr. P. Dayananda Pai-P. Satisha Pai Govt. First Grade College
Car Street, Mangalore - 575 001, India.

K. B. MAHESH*

Department of Mathematics,
Dr. P. Dayananda Pai-P. Satisha Pai Govt. First Grade College,
Car Street, Mangalore - 575 001, India.

P. SOMASHEKAR

Department of Mathematics,
Government First Grade College, Nanjangud-571 301, India.

(Received On: 23-06-23; Revised & Accepted On: 02-08-23)

ABSTRACT

An n -tuple (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) is symmetric, if $a_k = a_{n-k+1}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $H_n = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) : a_k \in \{+, -\}, a_k = a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ be the set of all symmetric n -tuples. A symmetric n -sigraph (symmetric n -marked graph) is an ordered pair $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ ($S_n = (G, \mu)$), where $G = (V, E)$ is a graph called the underlying graph of S_n and $\sigma : E \rightarrow H_n$ ($\mu : V \rightarrow H_n$) is a function. In this paper, we introduced a new notion detour radial symmetric n -sigraph of a symmetric n -sigraph and its properties are obtained. Also, we obtained the structural characterization of detour radial symmetric n -signed graphs.

Keywords: Symmetric n -sigraphs, Symmetric n -marked graphs, Balance, Switching, Detour radial symmetric n -sigraphs, Complementation.

AMS 2020 subject classification: 05C22.

1. INTRODUCTION

Unless mentioned or defined otherwise, for all terminology and notion in graph theory the reader is refer to [1]. We consider only finite, simple graphs free from self-loops.

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. An n -tuple (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) is symmetric, if $a_k = a_{n-k+1}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $H_n = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) : a_k \in \{+, -\}, a_k = a_{n-k+1}, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ be the set of all symmetric n -tuples. Note that H_n is a group under coordinate wise multiplication, and the order of H_n is 2^m , where $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

A symmetric n -sigraph (symmetric n -marked graph) is an ordered pair $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ ($S_n = (G, \mu)$), where $G = (V, E)$ is a graph called the underlying graph of S_n and $\sigma : E \rightarrow H_n$ ($\mu : V \rightarrow H_n$) is a function.

In this paper by an n -tuple/ n -sigraph/ n -marked graph we always mean a symmetric n -tuple/symmetric n -sigraph / symmetric n -marked graph.

An n -tuple (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) is the identity n -tuple, if $a_k = +$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, otherwise it is a non-identity n -tuple. In an n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ an edge labelled with the identity n -tuple is called an identity edge, otherwise it is a non-identity edge.

Further, in an n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$, for any $A \subseteq E(G)$ the n -tuple $\sigma(A)$ is the product of the n -tuples on the edges of A .

Corresponding Author: K. B. Mahesh*

Department of Mathematics, Dr. P. Dayananda Pai-P. Satisha Pai Govt. First Grade College,
Car Street, Mangalore - 575 001, India.

In [7], the authors defined two notions of balance in n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ as follows (See also R. Rangarajan and P. S. K. Reddy [3]):

Definition: Let $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ be an n -sigraph. Then,

- (i) S_n is *identity balanced* (or *i -balanced*), if product of n -tuples on each cycle of S_n is the identity n -tuple, and
- (ii) S_n is *balanced*, if every cycle in S_n contains an even number of non-identity edges.

Note: An i -balanced n -sigraph need not be balanced and conversely.

The following characterization of i -balanced n -sigraphs is obtained in [7].

Theorem 1.1: (E. Sampathkumar *et al.* [7]) An n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ is i -balanced if, and only if, it is possible to assign n -tuples to its vertices such that the n -tuple of each edge uv is equal to the product of the n -tuples of u and v .

Let $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ be an n -sigraph. Consider the n -marking μ on vertices of S_n defined as follows: each vertex $v \in V$, $\mu(v)$ is the n -tuple which is the product of the n -tuples on the edges incident with v . *Complement* of S_n is an n -sigraph $\overline{S_n} = (\overline{G}, \sigma^c)$, where for any edge $e = uv \in \overline{G}$, $\sigma^c(uv) = \mu(u)\mu(v)$. Clearly, $\overline{S_n}$ is defined here is an i -balanced n -sigraph due to Theorem 1.1.

In [7], the authors also have defined switching and cycle isomorphism of an n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ as follows: (See also [2, 4-6, 9-19])

Let $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ and $S'_n = (G', \sigma')$ be two n -sigraphs. Then S_n and S'_n are said to be *isomorphic*, if there exists an isomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ such that if uv is an edge in S_n with label (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) then $\phi(u)\phi(v)$ is an edge in S'_n with label (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) .

Given an n -marking μ of an n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$, *switching* S_n with respect to μ is the operation of changing the n -tuple of every edge uv of S_n by $\mu(u)\sigma(uv)\mu(v)$. Then-sigraph obtained in this way is denoted by $S_\mu(S_n)$ and is called the μ -switched n -sigraph or just *switched n -sigraph*.

Further, an n -sigraph S_n *switches* to n -sigraph S'_n (or that they are *switching equivalent* to each other), written as $S_n \sim S'_n$, whenever there exists an n -marking of S_n such that $S_\mu(S_n) \cong S'_n$.

Two n -sigraphs $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ and $S'_n = (G', \sigma')$ are said to be *cycle isomorphic*, if there exists an isomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$ such that the n -tuple $\sigma(C)$ of every cycle C in S_n equals to the n -tuple $\sigma'(\phi(C))$ in S'_n .

We make use of the following known result (see [7]).

Theorem 1.2: (E. Sampathkumar *et al.* [7]) *Given a graph G , any two n -sigraphs with G as underlying graph are switching equivalent if, and only if, they are cycle isomorphic.*

2. Detour Radial n -Sigraph of an n -Sigraph

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph. For any two vertices $u, v \in V(G)$, the detour distance $D(u, v)$ is the length of the longest u - v path in G . The eccentricity $e(u)$ of a vertex u is the distance to a vertex farthest from u . The radius $r(G)$ of G is defined by $r(G) = \min\{e(u): u \in G\}$.

For any vertex u in G , the detour eccentricity $D_e(u)$ of u is the detour distance to a vertex farthest from u . The detour radius $D_r(G)$ of G is defined by $D_r(G) = \min\{D_e(u): u \in G\}$. The diameter $d(G)$ of G is defined by $d(G) = \max\{e(u): u \in G\}$ and the detour diameter $D_d(G)$ of G is $\max\{D_e(u): u \in G\}$.

The detour radial graph $DR(G)$ of $G=(V, E)$ is a graph with $V(DR(G))=V(G)$ and any two vertices u and v in $DR(G)$ are joined by an edge if and only if $D(u, v)=D_r(G)$. This concept was introduced by Ganeshwari and Pethanachi Selvam [1].

Motivated by the existing definition of complement of an n -sigraph, we extend the notion of detour radial graphs to n -sigraphs as follows: The detour radial n -sigraph $DR(S_n)$ of an n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ is an n -sigraph whose underlying graph is $DR(G)$ and the n -tuple of any edge uv in $DR(S_n)$ is $\mu(u)\mu(v)$, where μ is the canonical n -marking of S_n .

Further, an n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ is called detour radial n -sigraph, if $S_n \cong DR(S'_n)$ for some n -sigraph S'_n . The following result indicates the limitations of the notion $DR(S_n)$ as introduced above, since the entire class of i -unbalanced n -sigraphs is forbidden to be detour radial n -sigraphs.

Theorem 2.1: For any n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$, its detour radial n -sigraph $DR(S_n)$ is i -balanced.

Proof: Since the n -tuple of any edge uv in $DR(S_n)$ is $\mu(u)\mu(v)$, where μ is the canonical n -marking of S_n , by Theorem 1.1, $DR(S_n)$ is i -balanced.

For any positive integer k , the k^{th} iterated detour radial n -sigraph, $DR^k(S_n)$ of S_n is defined as follows:

$$DR^0(S_n) = S_n, DR^k(S_n) = DR(DR^{k-1}(S_n)).$$

Corollary 2.2: For any n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ and for any positive integer k , $DR^k(S_n)$ is i -balanced.

The following result characterizes n -sigraphs which are detour radial n -sigraphs.

Theorem 2.3: An n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ is a detour radial n -sigraph if, and only if, S_n is i -balanced n -sigraph and its underlying graph G is a detour radial graph.

Proof: Suppose that S_n is i -balanced and G is a detour radial graph. Then there exists a graph H such that $DR(H) \cong G$. Since S_n is i -balanced, by Theorem 1.1, there exists a marking ζ of G such that each edge $e = uv$ in S_n satisfies $\sigma(uv) = \zeta(u)\zeta(v)$. Now consider the n -sigraph $S_n' = (H, \sigma')$, where for any edge e in H , $\sigma'(e)$ is the n -marking of the corresponding vertex in G . Then clearly, $DR(S_n') \cong S_n$. Hence S_n is a detour radial n -sigraph.

Conversely, suppose that $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ is a detour radial n -sigraph. Then there exists an n -sigraph $S_n' = (H, \sigma')$ such that $DR(S_n') \cong S_n$. Hence G is the detour radial graph of H and by Theorem 2.1, S_n is i -balanced.

In [1], the authors characterizes the graphs $G = (V, E)$ such that $G \cong DR(G)$.

Theorem 2.4: (Ganeshwari and Selvam [1])

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with atleast one cycle which covers all the vertices of G . Then G and the detour radial graph $DR(G)$ are isomorphic if and only if G is isomorphic to either (i) K_n or (ii) C_n or (iii) $K_{m,n}$ with $m=n$.

In view of the above result, we now characterize the n -sigraphs such that the detour radial n -sigraph and its corresponding n -sigraph are switching equivalent.

Theorem 2.5: For any n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ and its underlying graph G contains atleast one cycle which covers all the vertices. Then S_n and the detour radial n -sigraph $DR(S_n)$ are cycle isomorphic if and only if the underlying of S_n satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.4 and S_n is i -balanced.

Proof: Suppose $DR(S_n) \sim S_n$. This implies, $DR(G) \cong G$ and hence by Theorem 2.4, we see that the graph G satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.4. Now, if S_n is any n -sigraph with underlying graph contains at least one Hamilton cycle and satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.4. Then $DR(S_n)$ is i -balanced and hence if S_n is i -unbalanced and its detour radial n -sigraph $DR(S_n)$ being i -balanced cannot be switching equivalent to S_n in accordance with Theorem 1.2. Therefore, S_n must be i -balanced.

Conversely, suppose that S_n is i -balanced n -sigraph with the underlying graph G satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.4. Then, since $DR(S_n)$ is i -balanced as per Theorem 2.1 and since $DR(G) \cong G$ by Theorem 2.4, the result follows from Theorem 1.2 again.

Theorem 2.6: For any two n -sigraphs S_n and S_n' with the same underlying graph, their detour radial n -sigraphs are switching equivalent.

Proof: Suppose $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ and $S_n' = (G', \sigma')$ be two n -sigraphs with $G \cong G'$. By Theorem 2.1, $DR(S_n)$ and $DR(S_n')$ are i -balanced and hence, the result follows from Theorem 1.2.

In [3], Harshavardhana *et al.* introduced the notion radial n -sigraph of an n -sigraph and proved some results.

Theorem 2.7: For any n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$, its radial n -sigraph $R(S_n)$ is i -balanced.

In [1], the authors remarked that $DR(G)$ and $R(G)$ are isomorphic, if G is any cycle of odd length. We now characterize the n -sigraphs S_n such that $DR(S_n) \sim R(S_n)$.

Theorem 2.8: For any n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$, $DR(S_n) \sim R(S_n)$ if, and only if, $G \cong C_n$, where n is odd.

Proof: Suppose $DR(S_n) \sim R(S_n)$. This implies, $DR(G) \cong R(G)$. Hence, G is any cycle of odd length.

Conversely, suppose that S_n is an n -sigraph whose underlying graph G is C_n . Then $DR(G) \cong R(G)$. Since for any n -sigraph S_n , both $DR(S_n)$ and $R(S_n)$ are i -balanced, the result follows by Theorem 1.2.

For any $m \in H_n$, the m -complement of $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is: $a^m = am$. For any $M \subseteq H_n$, and $m \in H_n$, the m -complement of M is $M^m = \{a^m : a \in M\}$.

For any $m \in H_n$, the m -complement of an n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$, written (S_n^m) , is the same graph but with each edge label $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ replaced by a^m .

For an n -sigraph $S_n = (G, \sigma)$, the $DR(S_n)$ is i -balanced. We now examine, the condition under which m -complement of $DR(S_n)$ is i -balanced, where for any $m \in H_n$.

Theorem 2.9: Let $S_n = (G, \sigma)$ be an n -sigraph. Then, for any $m \in H_n$, if $DR(G)$ is bipartite then $(DR(S_n))^m$ is i -balanced.

Proof: Since, by Theorem 2.1, $DR(S_n)$ is i -balanced, for each k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, the number of n -tuples on any cycle C in $DR(S_n)$ whose k^{th} co-ordinate are $-$ is even. Also, since $DR(G)$ is bipartite, all cycles have even length; thus, for each k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, the number of n -tuples on any cycle C in $DR(S_n)$ whose k^{th} co-ordinate are $+$ is also even. This implies that the same thing is true in any m -complement, where for any $m \in H_n$. Hence $(DR(S_n))^m$ is i -balanced.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their careful reading of our manuscript and their many insightful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. T. Ganeshwari and Pethanachi Selvam, Some Results on Detour Radial Graph, *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 5(12) (2015), 16-23.
2. F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1969.
3. C. N. Harshavardhana, S. Vijay and P. Somashekar, On Radial Symmetric n -Sigraphs, Personal Communication.
4. V. Lokesha, P.S.K.Reddy and S. Vijay, The triangular line n -sigraph of a symmetric n -sigraph, *Advn. Stud. Contemp. Math.*, 19(1) (2009), 123-129.
5. R. Rangarajan and P.S.K.Reddy, Notions of balance in symmetric n -sigraphs, *Proceedings of the Jangjeon Math. Soc.*, 11(2) (2008), 145-151.
6. R. Rangarajan, P.S.K.Reddy and M. S. Subramanya, Switching Equivalence in Symmetric n -Sigraphs, *Adv. Stud. Comtemp. Math.*, 18(1) (2009), 79-85.
7. R. Rangarajan, P.S.K.Reddy and N. D. Soner, Switching equivalence in symmetric n -sigraphs-II, *J. Orissa Math. Sco.*, 28 (1 & 2) (2009), 1-12.
8. R. Rangarajan, P.S.K.Reddy and N. D. Soner, m^{th} Power Symmetric n -Sigraphs, *Italian Journal of Pure & Applied Mathematics*, 29(2012), 87-92.
9. E. Sampathkumar, P.S.K.Reddy, and M. S. Subramanya, Jump symmetric n -sigraph, *Proceedings of the Jangjeon Math. Soc.*, 11(1) (2008), 89-95.
10. E. Sampathkumar, P.S.K.Reddy, and M. S. Subramanya, The Line n -sigraph of a symmetric n -sigraph, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 34(5) (2010), 953-958.
11. P.S.K.Reddy and B. Prashanth, Switching equivalence in symmetric n -sigraphs-I, *Advances and Applications in Discrete Mathematics*, 4(1) (2009), 25-32.
12. P.S.K.Reddy, S. Vijay and B. Prashanth, The edge C_4 n -sigraph of a symmetric n -sigraph, *Int. Journal of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls.*, 3(2) (2009), 21-27.
13. P.S.K.Reddy, V. Lokesha and Gurnath Rao Vaidya, The Line n -sigraph of a symmetric n -sigraph-II, *Proceedings of the Jangjeon Math. Soc.*, 13(3) (2010), 305-312.
14. P.S.K.Reddy, V. Lokesha and Gurnath Rao Vaidya, The Line n -sigraph of a symmetric n -sigraph-III, *Int. J. Open Problems in Computer Science and Mathematics*, 3(5) (2010), 172-178.
15. P.S.K.Reddy, V. Lokesha and Gurnath Rao Vaidya, Switching equivalence in symmetric n -sigraphs-III, *Int. Journal of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls.*, 5(1) (2011), 95-101.
16. P.S.K.Reddy, B. Prashanth and Kavita. S. Permi, A Note on Switching in Symmetric n -Sigraphs, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 17(3) (2011), 22-25.
17. P.S.K.Reddy, M. C. Geetha and K. R. Rajanna, Switching Equivalence in Symmetric n -Sigraphs-IV, *Scientia Magna*, 7(3) (2011), 34-38.
18. P.S.K.Reddy, K. M. Nagaraja and M. C. Geetha, The Line n -sigraph of a symmetric n -sigraph-IV, *International J. Math. Combin.*, 1 (2012), 106-112.
19. P.S.K.Reddy, M. C. Geetha and K. R. Rajanna, Switching equivalence in symmetric n -sigraphs-V, *International J. Math. Combin.*, 3 (2012), 58-63.

20. P.S.K.Reddy, K. M. Nagaraja and M. C. Geetha, The Line n -sigraph of a symmetric n -sigraph-V, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, 54(1) (2014), 95-101.
21. P.S.K.Reddy, R. Rajendra and M. C. Geetha, Boundary n -Signed Graphs, *Int. Journal of Math. Sci. &Engg. Appls.*, 10(2) (2016), 161-168.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2023. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]