

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR A CLASS OF MAPPINGS

P. L. Sinodia\*, Dilip Jaiswal\* and S. S. Rajput\*\*

\*Department of Mathematics, Moti Lal Vigyan Mahavidhyalaya (MVM), Bhopal, (M.P.), India

\*\*Head of Department, (Mathematics), Govt. P.G. Collage, Gadarwara, (M.P.), India  
E-mail: [dilipjaiswal2244@gmail.com](mailto:dilipjaiswal2244@gmail.com)

(Received on: 19-09-11; Accepted on: 06-10-11)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, We established Some Common Fixed Point Theorems for a class mapping in metric space.

**Key Words:** Common Fixed Point, Metric Space, Self Mapping, Commuting Mapping, Continuous Mappings.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25,

1. INTRODUCTION

The following generalization of the well known Banach Contraction Principle is due to Jungck (1976)

**Theorem: A** Let  $f$  be a continuous self mapping of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ . If there exists a mapping  $g: X \rightarrow X$  and a constant  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  such that,

- (a)  $f$  and  $g$  commute,
- (b)  $g(X) \subset f(X)$ ,
- (c)  $d(gx, gy) \leq \alpha d(fx, fy)$  for all  $x, y \in X$

Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

Throughout this section  $(X, d)$  denotes a metric space, and  $R^+$  the set of non negative real numbers.  $\phi$  denotes the family of mapping such that each  $\phi \in \phi$ ,  $\phi: (R^+)^5 \rightarrow R^+$ , and  $\phi$  is upper semi continuous and non decreasing in each co-ordinate variable, also for a mapping  $\gamma: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ ,

let  $\phi(t, t, a_1t, a_2t, t) < t$ , where  $a_1 + a_2 = 3$ . The following lemma of Singh and Meade (1977) is the key in proving of various result,

**Lemma: 1.1** For every  $t > 0$ ,  $\gamma(t) < t$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^n(t) = 0$ , where  $\gamma^n$  denotes the composition of  $\gamma$  with itself  $n$  times. In 1979, Yeh proved an interesting extension of a common fixed point theorem due to Jungck (1976), which as follows,

**Theorem: B** Let  $E, F$ , and  $T$  be three continuous self mapping of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying condition:

(C<sub>1</sub>)  $ET = TE, FT = TF, E(X) \subset T(X)$  and  $F(X) \subset T(X)$

(C<sub>2</sub>) there exists an  $\phi \in \phi$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$d(Ex, Fy) \leq \phi(d(Tx, Ty), d(Tx, Ex), d(Tx, Fy), d(Ty, Ex), d(Ty, Fy))$ , where  $\phi$ , satisfies the condition:

(C<sub>3</sub>)  $g(t) \equiv \phi(t, t, at, bt, t) < t$  for each  $t$  in  $R^+ - \{0\}$ , where  $a + b = 2$ ,

Then  $E, F, T$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Definition: 1.2** (Sessa 1982) Let  $A$  and  $S$  be two self mapping on  $X$ , then  $\{A, S\}$  is said to be 'weakly commuting pair' if  $d(ASx, SAx) \leq d(Ax, Sx)$  for all  $x \in X$ . It is clear that, commuting pair is weakly commuting, but not conversely as shown in the following example,

**\*Corresponding author: Dilip Jaiswal\*, \*E-mail: [dilipjaiswal2244@gmail.com](mailto:dilipjaiswal2244@gmail.com)**

**Example: 1.3** Consider  $X = [0,1]$  with the usual metric. Let us define  $Ax = \frac{1}{2}x$  and  $Sx = \frac{x}{x+2}$  for every  $x \in X$ , then for all  $x \in X$  one gets,

$$d(SAx, ASx) = \left| \frac{x}{4+x} - \frac{x}{4+2x} \right| = \frac{x^2}{(4+x)(4+2x)}$$

$$d(SAx, ASx) \leq \frac{x^2}{(4+2x)} = \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x}{2+x} = d(Sx, Ax)$$

So  $\{A, S\}$  is a weakly commuting pair, However, for any non zero  $x \in X$  we have,

$$SAx = \frac{x}{4+x} > \frac{x}{4+2x} = ASx$$

Thus  $A$  and  $S$  are not commuting mappings.

## 2. MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $X$  be a complete metric space and  $A, B, S, T,$  and  $P$  be continuous mapping from  $X$  into itself, such that satisfying the following conditions:

$$1C_1 - P(X) \subseteq AB(X) \cap ST(X)$$

$1C_2 -$  The pair  $\{P, AB\}$  and  $\{P, ST\}$  are compatible.

$1C_3 -$  there exists  $\phi \in \Phi$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$d(ABx, STy) \leq \phi \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Px, ABx), d(Py, STy), d(Px, STy) \\ d(Py, ABx), d(Px, Py) \end{array} \right\}$$

Where  $\phi$  satisfies the condition:

$1C_4 -$  for any  $t > 0$ ,  $\phi(t, t, a_1t, a_2t, t) < t$ , where  $a_1 + a_2 = 3$ .

Then  $A, B, S, T$  and  $P$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $X$ , then  $Px_0 \in X$ , since  $P(X)$  is contained in  $AB(X)$ , there exists a point  $x_1 \in X$ , such that,  $Px_0 = ABx_1$ . Since  $P(X)$  is also contained in  $ST(X)$ , we can choose a point  $x_2 \in X$ , such that  $Px_1 = STx_2$ . In general we construct the sequence of elements of  $X$  such that,

$$ABx_{2n} = Px_{2n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad STx_{2n+1} = Px_{2n+2}$$

For all  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Now

$$d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+2}) = d(ABx_{2n}, STx_{2n+1})$$

From  $1C_3$ , we have,

$$d(ABx_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}) \leq \phi \left[ \left( \begin{array}{l} d(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}), d(Px_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}), \\ d(Px_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}), d(Px_{2n+1}, ABx_{2n}), d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) \end{array} \right) \right]$$

$$d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+2}) \leq \phi \left[ \left( \begin{array}{l} d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}), d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+2}), \\ d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n+2}), d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1}), d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) \end{array} \right) \right]$$

Let us assume that,  $d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+2}) = d_{2n+1}$  then

$$d_{2n+1} \leq \phi(d_{2n}, d_{2n+1}, d_{2n} + d_{2n+1}, 0, d_{2n+1})$$

$$d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n}$$

Consequently,  $\{d_{2n}\}$  is a non decreasing sequence of non negative reals, hence

$$d_1 = d(P_1, P_2) = d(ABx_0, STx_1)$$

$$d_1 \leq \phi \left( \begin{matrix} d(Px_0, Px_1), d(Px_1, Px_2), d(Px_0, Px_2) \\ , d(Px_1, Px_1), d(Px_0, Px_1) \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$d_1 \leq \phi (d_0, d_1, d_0 + d_1, 0, d_1)$$

$$d_1 \leq \phi (d_0, d_0, 2d_0, d_0, d_0)$$

$$d_1 \leq \gamma (d_0)$$

in general, we have  $d_n \leq \gamma^n(d_0)$  so if  $d_0 > 0$ , then by lemma 1.1 gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0$$

Since then  $d_n = 0$  for each  $n$ .

Now we wish to prove that the sequence  $\{P_{x_n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0$ . It is sufficient to show that the sequence  $\{P_{x_n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, suppose that  $\{P_{x_n}\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. then there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for each even integers  $2k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . There exists even integers  $2n(k)$  and  $2m(k)$  with  $2k \leq 2n(k) \leq 2m(k)$  such that,

$$d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)}}) > \varepsilon \tag{2.1.1}$$

Let for each even integer  $2k$ ,  $2m(k)$  be the least integer exceeding  $2n(k)$  and satisfying 2.1.2,

Therefore

$$d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)-2}}) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)}}) > \varepsilon \tag{2.1.2}$$

Then, for each even integer  $2k$  we have,

$$\varepsilon < d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)}}) \leq d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)-2}}) + d(P_{x_{2m(k)-2}}, P_{x_{2m(k)-1}}) + d(P_{x_{2n(k)-1}}, P_{x_{2n(k)}})$$

So by 2.1.2 and  $d_n \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)}}) = \varepsilon$$

It follows immediately from the triangular inequality that,

$$|d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)-1}}) - d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)}})| \leq d_{2m(k)-1}$$

$$|d(P_{x_{2n(k)+1}}, P_{x_{2m(k)-1}}) - d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)}})| \leq d_{2m(k)-1} + d_{2n(k)}$$

Hence by 2.1.2, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)-1}}) \rightarrow \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d(P_{x_{2n(k)+1}}, P_{x_{2m(k)-1}}) \rightarrow \varepsilon \tag{2.1.3}$$

Now,

$$d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)}}) \leq d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2n(k)+1}}) + d(P_{x_{2n(k)+1}}, P_{x_{2m(k)}})$$

$$d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)}}) \leq d_{2n(k)} + \phi \left( \begin{matrix} d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)-1}}), d_{2n(k)}, d(P_{x_{2n(k)}}, P_{x_{2m(k)}}), \\ d(P_{x_{2m(k)-1}}, P_{x_{2n(k)+1}}), d_{2m(k)-1} \end{matrix} \right)$$

Using 2.1.3  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0$ , and upper semicontinuity and non decreasing property of  $\phi$  in each co-ordinate variable, we have

$$\varepsilon \leq \phi(\varepsilon, 0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon, 0) \leq \gamma(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$$

As  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , which contradiction. Thus  $\{P x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and hence by completeness of  $X$ , there is a  $u \in X$  such that  $P x_n \rightarrow u$ . since the sequence  $\{A B x_n\}$  and  $\{S T x_n\}$  are Subsequence of  $\{P x_n\}$  which follows  $\{A B x_{2n}\}$  and  $\{S T x_{2n+1}\}$  also converges to the same point 'u' in  $X$ , i.e

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P x_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A B x_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S T x_{2n+1} = u \quad (2.1.4)$$

$$P u = A B u = S T u$$

Let us assume that  $B u \neq u$ , then we take from  $1C_3$

$$d(A B(B u), S T u) \leq \phi \left( \begin{array}{c} d(P(B u), A B(B u)), d(P u, S T u), \\ d(P(B u), S T u), d(P u, A B(B u)), d(P(B u), P u) \end{array} \right)$$

$$d(A B(B u), S T u) \leq \phi(0, 0, d(P(B u), S T u), d(P u, A B(B u)), d(P(B u), P u))$$

$$d(B u, u) \leq \gamma(d(B u, u))$$

Which contradiction

$$B u = u = A B u = A(B u) = A u$$

Similarly we can show that,

$$T u = u = S T u = S(T u) = S u$$

i.e,  $u$  is a common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T$ , and  $P$  in  $X$ .

**Uniqueness:** Let us assume that 'w' is another fixed point of  $A, B, S, T$ , and  $P$  in  $X$ , different from 'u'. i.e  $u \neq w$ , then

$$d(u, w) = d(P u, P w) = d(A B u, S T w)$$

By using  $1C_3$ , we get

$$d(A B u, S T w) \leq \phi \left( \begin{array}{c} d(P u, A B u), d(P w, S T w), d(P u, S T w), \\ d(P w, A B u), d(P u, P w) \end{array} \right)$$

$$d(u, w) \leq \phi(0, 0, d(u, w), d(w, u), d(u, w))$$

$$d(u, w) \leq \gamma \cdot d(u, w)$$

Which contradiction.

$u$  is unique common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T$  and  $P$  in  $X$ .

**Theorem: 2.2** Let  $X$  be a complete metric space and  $A, B, S, T$ , and  $P$  be continuous mapping from  $X$  into itself, such that satisfying the following conditions:

$$2C_1 - P(X) \subseteq A B(X) \cap S T(X)$$

$2C_2 -$  The pair  $\{P, A B\}$  and  $\{P, S T\}$  are compatible.

$2C_3 -$  there exists a  $\phi \in \Phi$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$[d(A B x, S T y)]^2 \leq \phi \left( \begin{array}{c} (d(P x, A B x))^2, (d(P y, S T y))^2, d(P x, S T y) d(P x, A B x), \\ d(P y, S T y) d(P y, A B x), d(A B x, S T y) d(P x, P y) \end{array} \right)$$

Where  $\phi$  satisfies the condition:

$$2C_4 - \text{for any } t > 0, \phi(t, t, a_1 t, a_2 t, t) < t, \text{ where } a_1 + a_2 = 3.$$

Then  $A, B, S, T$  and  $P$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x_0$  be and arbitrary point in  $X$ , then  $Px_0 \in X$ , since  $P(X)$  is contained in  $AB(X)$ , there exists a point  $x_1 \in X$ , such that,  $Px_0 = ABx_1$ . Since  $P(X)$  is also Contained in  $ST(X)$ , we can choose a point  $x_2 \in X$ , such that

$$Px_1 = STx_2.$$

In general we construct the sequence of elements of  $X$  such that,

$$ABx_{2n} = Px_{2n+1} \text{ and } STx_{2n+1} = Px_{2n+2}$$

For all  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots \dots$

Now

$$d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+2}) = d(ABx_{2n}, STx_{2n+1})$$

From  $2C_3$ , we have,

$$[d(ABx_{2n}, STx_{2n+1})]^2 \leq \phi \left( \begin{array}{l} (d(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}))^2, (d(Px_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}))^2, \\ d(Px_{2n}, STx_{2n+1})d(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}), \\ d(Px_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1})d(Px_{2n+1}, ABx_{2n}), \\ d(ABx_{2n}, STx_{2n+1})d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) \end{array} \right)$$

$$[d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+2})]^2 \leq \phi \left( \begin{array}{l} (d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}))^2, (d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+2}))^2, \\ d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n+2})d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}), \\ d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+2})d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1}), \\ d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+2})d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) \end{array} \right)$$

Let us assume that,  $d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+2}) = d_{2n+1}$  then

$$d_{2n+1} \leq [\phi ((d_{2n}^2, d_{2n+1}^2, (d_{2n} + d_{2n+1})^2), 0, d_{2n+1}d_{2n})]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n}$$

Consequently,  $\{d_{2n}\}$  is a non decreasing sequence of non negative real's, hence

$$d_1 \leq \gamma (d_0)$$

in general, we have  $d_n \leq \gamma^n(d_0)$  so if  $d_0 > 0$ , then by lemma 1.1 gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0$$

Since then  $d_n = 0$  for each  $n$ .

Now we wish to prove that the sequence  $\{Px_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0$ . It is sufficient to show that the sequence  $\{Px_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, suppose that  $\{Px_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. then there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for each even integers  $2k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . There exists even integers  $2n(k)$  and  $2m(k)$  with  $2k \leq 2n(k) \leq 2m(k)$  such that,

$$d(Px_{2n(k)}, Px_{2m(k)}) > \varepsilon \tag{ 2.2.1}$$

Let for each even integer  $2k, 2m(k)$  be the least integer exceeding  $2n(k)$  and satisfying (2.2.1) therefore

$$d(Px_{2n(k)}, Px_{2m(k)-2}) \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } d(Px_{2n(k)}, Px_{2m(k)}) > \varepsilon \tag{2.2.2}$$

Then, for each even integer  $2k$  we have,

$$\varepsilon < d(Px_{2n(k)}, Px_{2m(k)}) \leq d(Px_{2n(k)}, Px_{2m(k)-2}) + d(Px_{2m(k)-2}, Px_{2m(k)-1}) + d(Px_{2n(k)-1}, Px_{2n(k)})$$

So by 2.2.2, and  $d_n \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Px_{2n(k)}, Px_{2m(k)}) = \varepsilon$$

It follows immediately from the triangular inequality that,

$$\begin{aligned} |d(Px_{2n(k)}, Px_{2m(k)-1}) - d(Px_{2n(k)}, Px_{2m(k)})| &\leq d_{2m(k)-1} \\ |d(Px_{2n(k)+1}, Px_{2m(k)-1}) - d(Px_{2n(k)}, Px_{2m(k)})| &\leq d_{2m(k)-1} + d_{2n(k)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence by 2.2.2, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$d(Px_{2n(k)}, Px_{2m(k)-1}) \rightarrow \varepsilon \text{ and } d(Px_{2n(k)+1}, Px_{2m(k)-1}) \rightarrow \varepsilon \tag{2.2.3}$$

$$d(Px_{2n(k)}, Px_{2m(k)}) \leq d(Px_{2n(k)}, Px_{2n(k)+1}) + d(Px_{2n(k)+1}, Px_{2m(k)})$$

By using  $2C_3$  and 2.2.3  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0$ , and upper semicontinuity and non decreasing property of  $\phi$  in each co-ordinate variable, we have

$$\varepsilon \leq \phi(\varepsilon, 0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon, 0) \leq \gamma(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$$

As  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , which contradiction. Thus  $\{Px_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and hence by completeness of  $X$ , there is a  $u \in X$  such that  $Px_n \rightarrow u$ . since the sequence  $\{ABx_n\}$  and  $\{STx_n\}$  are Subsequence of  $\{Px_n\}$  which follows  $\{ABx_{2n}\}$  and  $\{STx_{2n+1}\}$  also converges to the same point 'u' in  $X$ , i.e

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Px_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ABx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} STx_{2n+1} = u \tag{2.2.4} \\ Pu = ABu = STu \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that  $Bu \neq u$ , then we take from  $2C_3$

$$[d(AB(Bu), STu)]^2 \leq \phi \left( \begin{aligned} &\left( d(P(Bu), AB(Bu)) \right)^2, \left( d(Pu, STu) \right)^2, \\ &d(P(Bu), STu)d(P(Bu), ABu), \\ &d(Pu, STu)d(Pu, AB(Bu)), \\ &d(AB(Bu), STu)d(P(Bu), Pu) \end{aligned} \right)$$

Which follows,

$$d(Bu, u) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^2} d(Bu, u)$$

Which contradiction,

Similarly we can show that,

$$Tu = u = STu = S(Tu) = Su$$

i.e,  $u$  is a common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T$ , and  $P$  in  $X$ .

**Uniqueness:** Let us assume that 'w' is another fixed point of  $A, B, S, T$ , and  $P$  in  $X$ , different from 'u'. i.e  $u \neq w$ , then

$$d(u, w) = d(Pu, Pw) = d(ABu, STw)$$

By using  $2C_3$ , we get

$$[d(ABu, STw)]^2 \leq \phi \left( \begin{aligned} &\left( d(Pu, ABu) \right)^2, \left( d(Pw, STw) \right)^2, \\ &d(Pu, STw)d(Pu, ABu), \\ &d(Pw, STw)d(Pw, ABu), \\ &d(ABu, STw)d(Pu, Pw) \end{aligned} \right)$$

$$d(u, w) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \cdot d(u, w)$$

Which contradiction.

$u$  is unique common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T$  and  $P$  in  $X$ .

**REFERENCE:**

- [1] Fisher. B. "Mapping with a common fixed point", Math. Seminar Notes 7(1979), 81-84.
- [2] Fisher, B., "Common Fixed Points and Constant Mappings Satisfying a Rational Inequality," Mathematics Seminar Notes, 6, pp. 29-35, 1978.
- [3] Banach S. "Surles operation dans les ensembles abstract et leur application aux equations integrals" Fun. Math. Vol. 3(1922), 133-181.
- [4] Jungck, G," Commuting Mappings and Fixed points", Amer. Math. Mont. 83(1976), 261-263.
- [5] Chatterjee S.K., "Fixed point theorems," Comptes. Rend. Acad, Bulgaria Sci. Vol. 25(1972) 727-730.
- [6] Fisher B., "A fixed point theorem in Compact metric space", Publ. Inst. Math. Soc. Vol.25 (1976), 193-194.
- [7] Kannan R. "Some results on fixed points- II. Buletin of Calcutta Math. Soc. Vol. 60(1969) 71-76.
- [8] Jaggi D. S. "Some unique fixed point theorems" Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Mathematics, Vol. 8(1977), 223-230.
- [9] Jaggi, D. S and Dass B. K. "An extension of Banach contraction theorem through rational expression" Bull. Cal. Math. (1980) 261-266.
- [10] Das, B. K. and Gupta, S. "An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expression" Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Math. 6 (1975) 1455-1458.
- [11] Jaggi, D. S. and Das, B. K. "An extension of Banach's fixed point theorem through rational expression" Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.72 (1980) 261-264.
- [12] Khan M. S., "A theorem on fixed point", Math. Seminar Notes, (Presently Kobe Jour. Of Math) 4(1976), 227-228.
- [13] Khan M. S., "On fixed point theorems", Math. Japonica 23, No.2 (1978), 201- 204.
- [14] Sessa S. "On a commutativity condition in fixed point considerations", Publ. Inst. Math., 32(46) (1982), 149-153.
- [15] Singh S. P and Meade B. E." On common fixed point theorems" Bull. Austr. Math. Soc. 16(1977), 49-53.
- [16] Reich S. "Some remarks concerning contraction mappings", Canada Math. Bull. Vol. 1(1971) 121-124.

\*\*\*\*\*