



EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF NONLOCAL IMPULSIVE FRACTIONAL INTEGRODIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

***R.Murugesu¹, B.Gayathri² and D.Amsaveni³**

^{1,3}*Department of Mathematics, Sri Ramakrishna Mission Vidyalaya College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore 641 020, India*

²*Department of Mathematics, Sree Sakthi Engineering College, Coimbatore 641 104, India*

E-mail: arjunmurugesu@gmail.com¹, vinugayathiri08@gmail.com², mailamsa@yahoo.com³

(Received on: 24-09-11; Accepted on: 12-10-11)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we discuss the existence and uniqueness of solutions of initial value problem for impulsive fractional mixed integrodifferential equations using Schaefer fixed point theorem.

Keywords: *Fractional integrodifferential equations. Schaefer fixed point theorem, impulsive conditions, Caputo fractional derivative.*

2000 Mathematics subjects Classifications: *34K05, 34A12, 34A40.*

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the nonlocal impulsive fractional mixed integrodifferential equations of the form

$${}^c D^\alpha y(t) = f(t, y(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, y(s) ds), \int_0^a k(t, s, y(s) ds)) \text{ for } t \in J = [0, a] \text{ at } t \neq t_k \tag{1.1}$$

$$\Delta y|_{t=t_k} = I_k(y(t_k^-)) \tag{1.2}$$

$$y(0) = y_0 - q(y) \tag{1.3}$$

where $k=1,2,\dots,m$. $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, ${}^c D^\alpha$ is the Caputo fractional derivative, $f: J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g: J \times J \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k: J \times J \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given continuous functions and $I_k: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < t_{m+1} = a$.

$$\Delta y|_{t=t_k} = y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-),$$

$$y(t_k^+) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} y(t_k + h) \text{ and}$$

$$y(t_k^-) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} y(t_k + h).$$

represent the right and left limits of $y(t)$ at $t = t_k$.

Nonlocal conditions were initiated by Byszewski [13] when he proved the existence and uniqueness of mild and classical solutions of nonlocal Cauchy problems. As remarked by Byszewski [11, 12], the nonlocal conditions can be more useful than the standard initial condition to describe some physical phenomena. For example $q(y)$ may given by

$$q(y) = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i y(\tau_i)$$

where $c_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ are given constants and $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots < \tau_p \leq a$.

Differential equations of fractional order have proved to be valuable tools in the modelling of many phenomena in various fields of science and engineering. Indeed, we can find numerous applications in viscoelasticity, electrochemistry, control; porous media, electromagnetic, etc. (see [16, 24, 25, 28, 33, 34, 38]). There has been a

***Corresponding author: *R.Murugesu¹, *E-mail: arjunmurugesu@gmail.com¹**

significant development in fractional differential and partial differential equations in recent years; see the monographs of Kilbas et al[30], Miller and Ross[35], Samko et al[44] and the papers of Agarwal et al [1], Murugesu and Suguna [39] Babakhani and Daftardar-Gejji [2, 3, 14], Belmekki et al [6], Benchohra et al [5,7,10], Delbosco and Rodino [15], Diethelm et al [16, 18], El-Sayed [19, 21], Furati and Tatar [22,23], Kaufmann and Mboumi [29], Kilbas and Marzan [30], Mainardi [33], Momani and Hadid [36], Momani et al [37], Podlubny et al [41, 42, 43], Yu and Gao [46] and Zhang [47] and the references therein. Applied problems require definitions of fractional derivatives allowing the utilization of physically interpretable initial conditions, which contain $y(0)$, $y'(0)$, etc., the same requirements of boundary conditions. Caputo's fractional derivative satisfies these demands. For more details on the geometric and physical interpretation for fractional derivative of both the Riemann-Liouville and Caputo types see [27, 41].

Impulsive differential equations (for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$) have become important in recent years as mathematical models of phenomena in both the physical and social services. There has been a significant improvement in impulsive theory especially in the area of impulsive differential equations with fixed moments; see, for instance, the monographs by Bainov and Simeonov [4], Benchohra et al [9], Lakshmikantham et al [32] and Samoilenko and Perestyuk [44] and the references therein. This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we present some preliminary results about fractional derivative and integration needed in the following sections. Section 3 will be concerned with existence and uniqueness results for the equations (1.1) – (1.3). The result is based on Schaefer fixed point theorem.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce notation, definitions and preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper. By $C(J, \mathbb{R})$, we denote the Banach space of all continuous functions from J into \mathbb{R} with the norm

$$\|y\|_{\infty} := \sup\{\|y(t)\| ; t \in J\}$$

Definition: 2.1 ([31, 41]). The fractional (arbitrary) order integral of the function $h \in L^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}_+)$ of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

$$I_a^\alpha h(t) = \int_a^t \left(\frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right) h(s) ds$$

where Γ is the gamma function. When $a = 0$, we write $I^\alpha h(t) = [h * \Phi_\alpha](t)$, where $\Phi_\alpha(t) = \left(\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right)$ for $t > 0$, and $\Phi_\alpha(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, and $\Phi_\alpha(t) \rightarrow \delta(t)$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, where δ is the delta function.

Definition: 2.2([31, 41]) For a function h given on the interval $[a, b]$, the α -th Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of h , is defined by

$$(D_{a+}^\alpha h)(t) = \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \right) \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} h(s) ds$$

Here $n = [\alpha] + 1$ and $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of α .

Definitions: 2.3([30]) For a function h given on the interval $[a, b]$, the Caputo fractional order derivative of order α of h , is defined by

$$({}^c D_{a+}^\alpha h)(t) = \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \right) \int_a^t ((t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} h^{(n)}(s)) ds$$

Where $n = [\alpha] + 1$.

3. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS

Consider the set of functions

$PC(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{y : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : y \in C((t_k, t_{k+1}], \mathbb{R}), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ and there exist } y(t_k^-) \text{ and } y(t_k^+), k = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ with } y(t_k^-) = y(t_k^+)$. This set is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|y\|_{PC} = \sup_{t \in J} \|y(t)\|$$

Set $J' := [0, a] \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$

Lemma: 3.1 A function $y \in PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ whose α -derivative exists on J' is said to be a solution of (1.1) – (1.3) if y satisfies the equation

$${}^c D^\alpha y(t) = f(t, y(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, y(s)) ds, \int_0^a k(t, s, y(s)) ds)$$

on J' and satisfy the conditions

$$\Delta y|_{t=t_k} = I_k(y(t_k^-)), k = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

$$y(0) = y_0.$$

To prove the existence of solutions to (1.1) – (1.3), we need the following auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma: 3.2([47]) Let $\alpha > 0$, then the differential equation ${}^c D^\alpha h(t) = 0$ has solutions

$$h(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} t^{n-1}, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1, n = [\alpha] + 1.$$

Lemma: 3.3([47]) Let $\alpha > 0$, then $I^\alpha {}^c D^\alpha h(t) = h(t) + c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} t^{n-1}$ for some $c_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1, n = [\alpha] + 1$. As a consequence of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 we have the following result which is useful in what follows.

Lemma: 3.4 Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and let $f: J \times X \times R \times X \times R \rightarrow R$ be continuous. A function y is a solution of the fractional integrodifferential equations

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} y_0 - q(y) + \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\right) \int_0^t ((t-s)^{\alpha-1}) h(s) ds & \text{if } t \in [0, t_1] \\ y_0 - q(y) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i - s)^{(\alpha-1)} h(s) ds & \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{(\alpha-1)} h(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^k I_i(y(t_i^-)), \text{ if } t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$$

where $h(s) = f(t, y(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, y(s)) ds, \int_0^a k(t, s, y(s)) ds), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m$

if and only if y is a solution of fractional integrodifferential equations

$${}^c D^\alpha y(t) = f(t, y(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, y(s)) ds, \int_0^a k(t, s, y(s)) ds) \quad t \in J' \quad (1.5)$$

$$\Delta y|_{t=t_k} = I_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (1.6)$$

$$y(0) = y_0 - q(y) \quad (1.7)$$

Proof: Assume y satisfies (1.5) - (1.7). If $t \in [0, t_1]$ then

$${}^c D^\alpha y(t) = f(t, y(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, y(s)) ds, \int_0^a k(t, s, y(s)) ds).$$

Lemma 3.3 implies

$$y(t) = y_0 - q(y) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds.$$

If $t \in (t_1, t_2]$, then Lemma 3.3 implies

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= y(t_1^+) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds \\ &= \Delta y|_{t=t_1} + y(t_1^-) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds \\ &= I_1(y(t_1^-)) + y_0 - q(y) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \\ &\quad \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds \end{aligned}$$

If $t \in (t_2, t_3]$, then by Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= y(t_2^+) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_2}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds \\ &= \Delta y|_{t=t_2} + y(t_2^-) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_2}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds \\ &= I_2(y(t_2^-)) + I_1(y(t_1^-)) + y_0 - q(y) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_2}^t (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \\ &\quad \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_2}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds. \end{aligned}$$

If $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$, then again from Lemma 3.3, we get (1.4)

Conversely assume that y satisfies the impulsive fractional integral equations (1.4). If $t \in [0, t_1]$ then $y(0) = y_0$ and using the fact that ${}^c D^\alpha$ is the left inverse of I^α , we get

$${}^c D^\alpha y(t) = f(t, y(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, y(s)) ds, \int_0^a k(t, s, y(s)) ds) \text{ for each } t \in [0, t_1].$$

If $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and using the fact that ${}^c D^\alpha C = 0$ where C is a constant, we get

$${}^c D^\alpha y(t) = f(t, y(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, y(s)) ds, \int_0^a k(t, s, y(s)) ds) \text{ for each } t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}).$$

Also we can easily show that

$$\Delta y|_{t=t_k} = I_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Schafer's Theorem: Let E be a normed linear space. Let $F : E \rightarrow E$ be a completely continuous operator, that is, it is continuous and the image of any bounded set is contained in a compact set and let $\zeta(F) = \{x \in E; x = \lambda Fx \text{ for some } 0 < \lambda < 1\}$. Then either $\zeta(F)$ is unbounded or F has a fixed point.

Theorem: 3.5 Assume that

(H₁) The function $f : J \times R \times R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$, $g : J \times J \times R \rightarrow R$, $k : J \times J \times R \rightarrow R$ are continuous functions

(H₂) There exists a constant $M^* > 0$ such that $\|f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)\| \leq M^*$ for each $t \in J = [0, a]$ and each $x_i \in X$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

(H₃) There exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(t, y_1, y_2, y_3)\| \leq M_1(\|x_1 - y_1\| + \|x_2 - y_2\| + \|x_3 - y_3\|)$$

(H₄) There exist constants $M_2 > 0, M_3 > 0$ such that

$$\|g(t, s, x_1) - g(t, s, y_1)\| \leq M_2(\|x_1 - y_1\|) \text{ and } \|k(t, s, x_1) - k(t, s, y_1)\| \leq M_3(\|x_1 - y_1\|)$$

(H₅) The function $I_k : R \rightarrow R$ is continuous and there exists a constant $M^{**} > 0$ such that $\|I_k(x)\| \leq M^{**}$ for each $x \in R$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

(H₆) There exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $\|y_0 - q(y)\| \leq M$. Then (1.1) – (1.3) has atleast one solution on J .

Proof: We shall use Schaefer's fixed point theorem to prove that F has a fixed point. The proof will be given to several steps.

Step 1: F is continuous. Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence such that $y_n \rightarrow y$ in $PC(J, R)$. Then for each $t \in J$

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(y_n)(t) - F(y)(t)\| &\leq \|y_0 - q(y) - y_0 + q(y)\| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\quad \|f(s, y_n(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y_n(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y_n(\tau)) d\tau) ds \\ &\quad - f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|f(s, y_n(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y_n(\tau)) d\tau, \\ &\quad \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y_n(\tau)) d\tau) ds - f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \\ &\quad \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds\| + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \|I_k(y_n(t_k^-)) - I_k(y(t_k^-))\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} M_1 \|y_n(s) - y(s)\| \\ &\quad + \|\int_0^s g(s, \tau, y_n(\tau)) d\tau - \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau\| + \|\int_0^a k(s, \tau, y_n(\tau)) d\tau - \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau\| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} M_1 \|y_n(s) - y(s)\| + \|\int_0^s g(s, \tau, y_n(\tau)) d\tau - \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau\| \\ &\quad + \|\int_0^a k(s, \tau, y_n(\tau)) d\tau - \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau\| ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \|I_k(y_n(t_k^-)) - I_k(y(t_k^-))\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} M_1 \|y_n(s) - y(s)\| + a.M_2 \|y_n(\tau) - y(\tau)\| + a.M_3 \|y_n(\tau) \\ &\quad - y(\tau)\| ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_k}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} M_1 \|y_n(s) - y(s)\| + a.M_2 \|y_n(\tau) - y(\tau)\| + a.M_3 \|y_n(\tau) - y(\tau)\| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \|I_k(y_n(t_k^-)) - I_k(y(t_k^-))\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} (t_k - t_{k-1})^\alpha M_1 \|y_n(s) - y(s)\| + a.M_2 \|y_n(\tau) - y(\tau)\| + a.M_3 \|y_n(\tau) - y(\tau)\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} (t - t_k)^\alpha M_1 \|y_n(s) - y(s)\| + a.M_2 \|y_n(\tau) - y(\tau)\| + a.M_3 \|y_n(\tau) - y(\tau)\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \|I_k(y_n(t_k^-)) - I_k(y(t_k^-))\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} [(t_k - t_{k-1})^\alpha + (t - t_k)^\alpha] M_1 [\|y_n(s) - y(s)\| + a.M_2 \|y_n(\tau) - y(\tau)\| \\
 &+ a.M_3 \|y_n(\tau) - y(\tau)\| + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \|I_k(y_n(t_k^-)) - I_k(y(t_k^-))\|] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} [(t_k - t_{k-1})^\alpha + (t - t_k)^\alpha] M_1 [1 + a(M_2 + M_3)] \|y_n(\tau) - y(\tau)\| \\
 &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \|I_k(y_n(t_k^-)) - I_k(y(t_k^-))\|
 \end{aligned}$$

Since f, g, k and $I_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are continuous functions, we have

$$\|F(y_n)(t) - F(y)(t)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Step 2: F maps bounded sets into bounded sets in $PC(J, R)$. Indeed it is enough to show that for any $\eta^* > 0$, there exists a positive constant ' ι ' such that for each $y \in B\eta^* = \{y \in PC(J, R) : \|y\|_\infty \leq \eta^*\}$ we have $\|F(y)\|_\infty \leq \iota$. By $(H_1) - (H_6)$ we have for each $t \in J$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|F(y)(t)\| &\leq \|y_0 - q(y)\| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} \|f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau)\| ds \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_k}^t (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} \|f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau)\| ds \\
 &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \|I_k(y_n(t_k^-))\| \\
 &\leq \|y_0 - q(y)\| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} M^* ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_k}^t (t - s)^{\alpha-1} M^* ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \|I_k(y_n(t_k^-))\| \\
 &\leq \|y_0 - q(y)\| + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} (t_k - t_{k-1})^\alpha M^* + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} (t - t_k)^\alpha M^* + mM^{**} \\
 &\leq M + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} m \Gamma^\alpha M^* + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \Gamma^\alpha M^* + mM^{**} \\
 &= M + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \Gamma^\alpha M^* (m+1) + mM^{**} \\
 &= M + \frac{\Gamma^\alpha M^*}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} (1+m) + mM^{**}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|F(y)(t)\| \leq M + \frac{\Gamma^\alpha M^*}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} (1+m) + mM^{**} := \iota$

Step 3: F maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of $PC(J, R)$. Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in J, \tau_1 < \tau_2, B\eta^*$ be a bounded set of $PC(J, R)$ as in step 2, and let $y \in B\eta^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|F(y)(\tau_2) - F(y)(\tau_1)\| &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\tau_1} \|(\tau_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (\tau_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}\| \|f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau)\| ds \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} (\tau_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \|f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau)\| ds \\
 &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_2 - \tau_1} \|I_k(y(t_k^-))\| \\
 &\leq M^* \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\tau_1} \|(\tau_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (\tau_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}\| ds \\
 &+ M^* \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} (\tau_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_2 - \tau_1} \|I_k(y(t_k^-))\| \\
 &\leq -M^* \frac{1}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \{ [(\tau_2 - \tau_1)^\alpha - (\tau_2)^\alpha] + [(\tau_1 - \tau_1)^\alpha - (\tau_1)^\alpha] \} \\
 &- (-M^*) \frac{1}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \{ [(\tau_2 - \tau_2)^\alpha - (\tau_2 - \tau_1)^\alpha] \} + \sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_2 - \tau_1} \|I_k(y(t_k^-))\| \\
 &\leq M^* \frac{1}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \| [(\tau_2)^\alpha - (\tau_2 - \tau_1)^\alpha - (\tau_1)^\alpha] \| + M^* \frac{1}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \|(\tau_2 - \tau_1)^\alpha\| \\
 &+ \sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_2 - \tau_1} \|I_k(y(t_k^-))\| \\
 &\leq M^* \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} 2(\tau_2 - \tau_1)^\alpha + M^* \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} ((\tau_2)^\alpha - (\tau_1)^\alpha) + \sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_2 - \tau_1} \|I_k(y(t_k^-))\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\|F(y)(\tau_2) - F(y)(\tau_1)\| \leq M^* \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} [2(\tau_2 - \tau_1)^\alpha + ((\tau_2)^\alpha - (\tau_1)^\alpha)] + \sum_{0 < t_k < \tau_2 - \tau_1} \|I_k(y(t_k^-))\|$$

As $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$, the right hand side of the above inequality tends to zero. As a consequence of steps 1 to 3 together with the Arzela-Ascoli theorem. We can conclude that $F : PC(J, R) \rightarrow PC(J, R)$ is completely continuous.

Step 4: A priori bounds. Now it remains to show that the set $C = \{y \in PC(J, R) : y = \lambda F(y), \text{ for some } 0 < \lambda < 1\}$ is bounded. Let $y \in C$, then $y = \lambda F(y)$, for some $0 < \lambda < 1$. Thus for each $t \in J$ we have

$$y(t) = \lambda [y_0 - q(y)] + \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds + \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_k}^t (t_k - s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, y(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^a k(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) ds + \lambda \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \|I_k(y(t_k^-))\|$$

This implies by $(H_1) - (H_6)$ (as in step 2) that for each $t \in J$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(t)\| &= \|y_0 - q(y)\| + \frac{T^\alpha M^*}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}(1+m) + mM^{**} := \iota \\ &= M + \frac{T^\alpha M^*}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}(1+m) + mM^{**} := \iota \end{aligned}$$

Thus for every $t \in J$, we have

$$\|y(t)\|_\infty \leq M + \frac{T^\alpha M^*}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}(1+m) + mM^{**} := \iota$$

This shows that the set 'C' is bounded. As a consequence of Schaffer's fixed point theorem, we deduce that F has a fixed point which is a solution of the problem (1.1) – (1.3).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. Benchohra and S. Hamani, Boundary value problem for fractional differential equations, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. 16(2)(2008), 181-196.
- [2] A. Babakhani and V. Daftardar-Gejji, Existence of positive solutions for multi-term non-autonomous fractional differential equations with polynomial coefficients. Electron. J. Differential Equations 2006(2006).
- [3] A. Babakhani and V. Daftardar-Gejji, Existence of positive solutions for multi-term non-autonomous functional differential equations Positivity 9(2)(2005), 193-206
- [4] D. D. Bainov, P. S. Simeonov, Systems with impulsive effect, Horwood, Chichister, 1989.
- [5] M. Belmekki and M. Benchohra, Existence results for fractional order semilinear fractional differential equations, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. 146(2008), 9-20
- [6] M. Belmekki and M. Benchohra and L. Gorniewicz, semilinear fractional differential equations with fractional order infinite delay, Fixed point Th.9 (2)(2008), 423-439
- [7] M. Benchohra, J. R. Graef and S. Hamani, Existence results for boundary value problems with non-linear fractional differential equations, Appl. Anal. 87(7)(2008), 851-863
- [8] M. Benchohra, S. Hamani and S. K. Ntouyas, Boundary value problems for differential equations with fractional order, Surv. Math. Appl. 3(2008), 1-12.
- [9] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, S. K. Ntouyas, Impulsive Differential Equations and Inclusions, Hindawi publishing corporation, Vol. 2, Newyork, 2006.
- [10] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, S. K. Ntouyas and A. Ouahab, Existence results for fractional order functional differential equations with infinite delay, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 338(2008), 1340-1350.
- [11] L. Byszewski, Theorems about existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 162(1991), 494-505.
- [12] L. Byszewski, Existence and uniqueness of mild and classical solutions of semilinear functional differential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem Selected problems of mathematics, 25-33, 50th Anniv. Cracow Univ. Technol. Anniv. Issue, 6, Cracow Univ. Technol., Krakow, 1995.

- [13] L. Byszewski and V. Lakshminathan, Theorems about existence and uniqueness of solutions of a nonlocal abstract Cauchy problem in a Banach space, *Appl. Anal.* 40(1991), 11-19.
- [14] V. Daftardar-Gejji and H. Jafari, Boundary value problem for fractional diffusion-wave equation. *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 3 (1) (2006), Art. 16, 8pp. (electronic).
- [15] D. Delbosco and L. Rodino; Existence and uniqueness of a non-linear fractional differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 204(1996), 609-625.
- [16] K. Diethelm and A. D. Freed, On the solution of nonlinear fractional order differential equations used in the modelling of viscoplasticity, in "Scientific Computing in Chemical Engineering II-Computational Fluid Dynamics, Reaction Engineering and Molecular Properties" F. Keil, W. Mackens, H. Voss, and J. Werther, Eds, pp 217-224, Springer-verlag, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [17] K. Diethelm and N. J. Ford, Analysis of fractional differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 265(2002), 2 29-248.
- [18] K. Diethelm and G. Walz, Numerical solution of fractional order differential equations by extrapolation, *Numer. Algorithms* 16(1997), 231-253.
- [19] A. M. A El-Syed, Fractional order evolution equations, *J. Fract. Calc.* 7(1995), 89-100. [20].
- [20] A. M. A El-Syed, Fractional order diffusion-wave equations, *Intern. J. Theo. Physics* 35(1996), 311-322.
- [21] A. M. A El-Syed, Non-linear functional differential equations of arbitrary orders, *Nonlinear Anal.* 33(1998), 181-186.
- [22] K. M. Fuarti and N.-e.Tatar, Behaviour of solutions for a weighted Cauchy type fractional differential problem. *J. Fract. Calc.* 28(2005), 23-42.
- [23] K. M. Fuarti and N.-e.Tatar, An existence result for a nonlocal fractional differential problem. *J. Fract. Calc.* 26(2004), 43-51.
- [24] L. Gaul, P K lein and S. Kempfle, Damping description involving fractional operators, *Mech. Systems Signal Processing* 5(1991), 81-88.
- [25] W. G. Glockle and T. F. Nonnenmacher, A fractional calculus approach of self-similar protein dynamics, *Biophys. J.* 68(1995), 46-53.
- [26] A. Granas and Dugundji, *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [27] N. Hymans and I. Podlubny, Physical interpretation of initial conditions for fractional differential equations with Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives. *Rheologica Acta* 45 (5) (2006), 765-772.
- [28] R. Hilfer, *Applications of fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- [29] E. R. Kaufmann and E. Mboumi, Positive solutions of a boundary value problem for a nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* 2007, No.3. 11pp.
- [30] A. A. Kilbas and S. A. Marzan, Nonlinear differential equations with Caputo fractional derivative in the space of continuously differentiable functions, *Differential Equations* 41(2005), 84-89.
- [31] A. A. Kilbas, Hari M. Srivastva and Juan J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204. Elsevier Science B V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [32] V. Lakshmikanthan D. D. Bainov and P. S. Simeonov, *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [33] F. Mainardi, Fractional Calculus: Some basic problems in continuum and statistical mechanics in "Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics"(A. Carpinteri and F. Mainardi, Eds), pp.291-348, Springer-Verlag, Wein, 1997.
- [34] F. Metzler, W. Schick, H. G. Killan and T. F. Nonnmacher, Relaxation in filled polymers, A fractional calculus approach, *J. Chem. Phys.* 103 (1995), 7180-7186.

- [35] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Differential Equations, John Wiley, New York, 1993.
- [36] S. M. Momani and S. B. Hadid, Some comparison results for integro-fractional differential inequalities. J. Fract. Calc. 24(2003), 37-44.
- [37] S. M. Momani, S. B. Hadid and Z. M. Alawenhh, Some analytical properties of solutions of differential equations of non integer order, Int. J. Math. Sci.2004 (2004), 697-701.
- [38] Mouffak Benchohra, Boualem Attou Slimani, Existence and Uniqueness of solutions to impulsive fractional differential equations, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol.2009(2009), No.10, pp.1-11.
- [39] R. Murugesu, S. Suguna, Nonlocal Cauchy Problem for Fractional Nonlinear Integrodifferential equations, Journal of the Indian Math.Soc.Vol.76,1-4, (2009), 105-112.
- [40] K. B. Oldham and J. Spanier, The fractional Calculus, Academic Press, New York, London, 1974.
- [41] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equation, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [42] I. Podlubny, Geometric and physical interpretation of fractional integration and fractional differentiation, Fract. Calculus Appl. Anal, 5(2002), 367-386.
- [43] I. Podlubny, I. Petras, B. M. Vinagre, P. O'Leary and L. Dorcak; Analogue realizations of fractional order controllers. Fractional order calculus and its application, Nonlinear Dynam.29 (2002). 281-296.
- [44] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
- [45] A. M. Samoilenko, N. A. Perestyuk, Impulsive Differential Equations World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- [46] C. Yu and G. Gao. Existence of fractional differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 310(2005), 26-29.
- [47] S. Zhang, Positive solutions for boundary value problems of non-linear fractional differential equations, Electron. J. Differential Equations Vol.2006 (2006), No.36, pp, 1-12.
