International Journal of Mathematical Archive-13(3), 2022, 1-4
Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 – 5046

ON SUBCLASS OF HARMONIC UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY A GENERALISED OPERATOR

NAGALAXMI NAKEERTHA*

Research Scholar,
Department of Mathematics,
Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Open University, Hyderabad, India.

(Received On: 01-03-22; Revised & Accepted On: 11-03-22)

ABSTRACT

In this article new subclass for harmonic univalent in the unit disk U define by the constructed operator L_n^σ. Properties such as coefficient bounds, distortion bounds, extreme points, and convolution will be studied.

Key words: *Harmonic function, harmonic univalent function, coefficient inequality, extreme point, convex combination, integral operator.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f = u + iv be a complex valued harmonic function in a complex domain ℂ that is both u and v are real harmonic in ℂ. Let

$$f(z) = h + \bar{g} \tag{1.1}$$

where h and g are analytic in $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ is any simply connected domain. Let $S\mathcal{H}$ be the class of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in the unit disk $\mathcal{U}$= {z ∈ ℂ : |z| < 1} for which f(0) = h(0) = f ′ (0) − 1 = 0, h and g define as follows

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,\ \ g(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n,\ |b_1| < 1. \tag{1.2}$$

In 1984 Clunie and Sheil-Small [8] introduced and investigated the class SH as well as its geometric subclasses and obtained some properties of this class and this motivated many researchers to introduce some subclasses of the class SH, (see [3, 4, 6]). The importance of these functions is due to their use in the study of minimal surfaces as well as in various problems related to applied mathematics. Let D^n with (n ∈ N_0 = 0, 1, 2, ...), be the Salagean derivative operator defined as D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)) = z[D^{n-1} f(z)]′ with D^0f(z) = f(z) given as

$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_n z^k. \tag{1.3}$$

Let I^σ one-parameter Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator defined as $I^\sigma f(z) = \frac{2^\sigma}{2\Gamma\sigma}\int_0^z (\log\frac{z}{t})^{\sigma-1} f(t)dt$ given as

$$I^\sigma f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{k+1}\right)^\sigma a_k z^k. \tag{1.4}$$

The operator L_n^σ was define as follows in [1]

$$L_n^\sigma f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} K^n \left(\frac{2}{k+1}\right)^\sigma a_k z^k. \tag{1.5}$$

with $L_n^0 f$ (z) (z) = D^nf(z) and $L_0^\sigma f$ (z) (z) = I^σ f(z) We define the operator on f as follows

$$L_0^\sigma f(z)(z) = L_0^\sigma h(z)(z) + (-(-1)^n\ \overline{L_n^\sigma g(z)} \tag{1.6}$$

Where $L_n^\sigma f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} K^n \left(\frac{2}{k+1}\right)^\sigma a_k z^k$ and

$$L_n^\sigma g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} K^n \left(\frac{2}{k+1}\right)^\sigma a_k z^k \text{ and}$$

also L $L_0^0 f$ (z) f(z) = h(z) + g(z). (1.7)

Corresponding Author: Nagalaxmi Nakeertha*,
Department of Mathematics, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Open University, Hyderabad, India.

The two operators have been used by researchers to generalised the concepts of starlikeness and convexity of functions in the unit disk. (see [9, 10, 11]). We define $M_n^\sigma\ (\alpha)$ be the family of harmonicfunctions of the form (1) such that

$$\text{Re}\ (M_\sigma^{n+1}\ f(z)\ \frac{M_\sigma^{n+1}\ f(z)}{M_n^\sigma\ (\alpha)} >)\ \beta \qquad . \qquad (1.8)$$

Clearly the class $M_n^\sigma\ (\alpha)$) includes a variety of well-known subclasses of SH.

For example, $M_0^0\ (\alpha)) \equiv \text{SH}(\alpha)$ is the class of sense-preserving, harmonic univalent functions f which are starlike of order β in U and M_0^0 (β) ≡ KH is t On Subclass of Harmonic Univalent Functionsclass of sense-preserving, harmonic univalent functions f which are convex of order β in U studied by Jahangiri [2], $M_0^1\ (\alpha)$ is the class of Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions introduced by Jahangiri *et al.* [5, 7]. We let the subclass $\overline{M\ g\ (z)(\alpha)}$ (consist of harmonic functions $f_n = h(z) + g_n(z)$ in the class M_n^σ (β) where h and f are of the form

$$h(z) = L_n^\sigma\ f\ (z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k|z^k,\ g(z) = (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k|z^k,\ |b_k| < 1. \qquad (1.9)$$

In this work, we give the sufficient condition for functions in the class M_σ^n (β) which is sufficient for the functions in the class) $\overline{M_\sigma^n}(\alpha)$. The distortion, extreme point and convolution for the functions in the class $\overline{M_\sigma^n}(\alpha)$) were also obtained.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1: Let $f(z) = h(z) + \bar{g}\ (z)$ where h(z) and g(z) were given by (2)

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|n-k\ | - \alpha\gamma)C_{nk}}{(1-\alpha)} |a_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|n-k\ | + \alpha\gamma)C_{nk}}{(1-\alpha)} |a_n| \leq 1$$

(σ, k ∈ N_0 ,0 ≤ α < 1, n ∈ N), then f(z) is harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in U and f(z) ∈ A_H^k(α,).

Proof: Firstly, to show that f(z) is harmonic univalent in U, suppose that $z_1, z_2 \in U$ for $|z_1| \leq |z_2| < 1$, we have by inequality so that $z_1 \neq z_2$, then

$$\left|\frac{f(z_1) - f(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)}\right| \geq 1 - \left|\frac{g(z_1) - g(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)}\right|$$

$$= 1 - \left|\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n (z_1^n - z_2^n)}{(z_1 - z_2) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n (z_1^n - z_2^n)}\right|$$

$$1 - \left|\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|\, n}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| n}\right|$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|n-k| - \alpha)C_{nk}}{(1-\alpha)} |b_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|n-k| - \alpha)C_{nk}}{(1-\alpha)} |a_n|} \geq 0$$

Thus f is a univalent function in U.

Note that f is sense-preserving in U. This is because

$$|h'(z)| \geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n|\,|z|^{n-1} > \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|n-k| - \alpha)C_{nk}}{(1-\alpha)} |a_n| \geq$$

$$\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|n-k| + \alpha)C_{nk}}{(1-\alpha)} |b_n|$$

$$\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|b_n|$$

$$\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|a_n|\,|z|^{n-1} \geq |g'(z)|$$

According to the condition of Equation (5), we only need to show that if Equation (6) holds, then

$$\text{Re}\ \{\frac{F^{k+1} f(z)}{(1-\gamma)z + \gamma F^K f(z)}\} > \alpha$$

where $z = re^{i\theta}$, 0 ≤ θ ≤ 2π, 0 ≤ r < 1 and 0 ≤ α < 1.

Note that $A(z) = F^{k+1} f(z)$ and $B(z) = F^k f(z)$.

Using the fact that Re(w) > α if and only if |w − (1 + α)| ≤ |w + (1 − α)|, it suffices to show that

$$|A(z) - (1+\alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) + (1-\alpha)B(z)| \leq 0 \qquad (7)$$

Substituting for A(z) and B(z) in |A(z) − (1 + α)B(z)|, we obtain

$$|A(z) - (1+\alpha)B(z)| = 1\ F^{k+1} f(z) - (1+\alpha)\ F^k f(z)\ 1$$

$$= \left\|z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n(k+1)}\, a_n z^n + (-1)^{(k+1)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n(k+1)} \overline{b_n\ z^n}\right. - (1+\alpha)[z +$$

n=2∞Cnk anzn+*(−1)kn=1∞Cn(k+1)*bn zn

$$\leq \alpha|z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |((1+\alpha)) - |n-k||C_{nk}|a_n|$$

$$|z|^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |((1+\alpha) + |n-k|C_{nk}\, a_n \overline{|z^n|}|$$

Now, substituting for A(z) and B(z) in

$$|A(z) + (1-\alpha)B(z)|,$$

We obtain $|A(z) + (1-\alpha)B(z)| = 1 F^{k+1} f(z) + (1-\alpha) F^{k} f(z)$

$= \left|z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n(k+1)} a_n z^n + (-1)^{(k+1)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n(k+1)} \overline{b_n z^n} - (1-\alpha)z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_{nk} a_n z^n + \right.$
(−1)kn=1∞Cn(k+1) bn zn

$\geq (2-\alpha)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\alpha-1) - |n-k| C_{nk} |a_n||z|^n -$
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|n-k| - ((1-\alpha)C_{nk}|a_n|\bar{z}^n - |a_n|\bar{z}^n +$ (9)

Substituting for Equations (8) and (9) in the inequality we obtain

$|A(z) - (1+\alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) + (1-\alpha)B(z)|$
$\leq \alpha|z| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left|((1+\alpha)) - |n-k|\right| C_{nk} |a_n||z|^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}((1+\alpha)|n-k| C_{nk} b_n||\overline{z^n|z|}^n + (\alpha -$
$2)\overline{|z|} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}((1+\alpha)|n-k| C_{nk} |a_n| z|^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}((1+\alpha)|n-k| C_{nk} b_n||z|^n$
$= 2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}|n-k| - \alpha)C_{nk}|a_n| + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|n-k| + \alpha)C_{nk}|b_n| - 2(1-\alpha)$
≤ 0. (by hypothesis).

Therefore, we have

$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}|n-k| - \alpha)C_{nk}|a_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|n-k| + \alpha)C_{nk}|bn| \leq (1-\alpha)$.

$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{(|n-k|-\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)}} \mathcal{X}_n z^n \quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{(|n-k|+\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)}} \overline{z^n \mathcal{Y}_n}$ (10)

where $k \in N_0$ and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}|\mathcal{X}_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|\mathcal{Y}_n| = 1$, shows that the coefficient bound given by Equation (6) is sharp. Since

$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(|n-k|-\alpha)C_{nk}}{(1-\alpha)} \frac{1}{\frac{(|n-k|-\gamma)}{(1-\alpha)}} |\mathcal{X}_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(|n-k|+\alpha\gamma)C_{nk}}{(1-\alpha)} \frac{1}{\frac{(|n-k|+\gamma)}{(1-\alpha)}} |\mathcal{Y}_n|$

$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}|\mathcal{X}_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|\mathcal{Y}_n| = 1$

Now, we show that the condition of Equation (6) is also necessary for functions $f_k = h + \overline{g_k}$, where h and g_n are given by Equation (6).

Theorem 2.2: Let $f_k = h + \overline{g_k}$ be given by Equation (6). Then $f_k(z) \in A_H^k(\alpha,)(\alpha,)$ if and only if the coefficient in condition of Equation (6) holds.

Proof: We only need to prove the "only if" part of the theorem because of $A_{\bar{H}}(k, \alpha, \gamma) \subset AH(k, \alpha, \gamma)$. Then by Equation (5), we have

$\text{Re}\left\{ \frac{F^{k+1}f(z)}{F^{K}f(z)} \right\} > \alpha$

$\text{Re}\left\{ \frac{|z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n(k+1)} a_n z^n + (-1)^{(k+1)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n(k+1)} \overline{b_n z^n} - (1-\alpha)[\gamma z + \gamma \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_{nk} a_n z^n + \gamma(-1)^k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n(k+1)} \overline{b_n z^n}|}{(1-\alpha)[\gamma z + \gamma \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_{nk} a_n z^n + \gamma(-1)^k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n(k)} \overline{b_n z^n}} \right\} > \alpha$

We observe that the above-required condition of Equation (11) must behold for all values of z in U. If we choose z to be real and $z \to 1^-$, we get

$$\frac{(1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(|n-k| - \alpha C_{nk} |a_n|}{[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_{nk} |a_n| z^{n-1} + \gamma \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_{nk} |b_n| z^{-n-1}} \geq 0$$

(12) If the condition (6) does not hold, then the numerator in Equation (12) is negative for r sufficiently closed to 1.

Hence there exist z0 = r0 in (0, 1) for which the quotient in Equation (12) is negative, therefore there is a contradicts the required condition for $f_k \in A_H^k(\alpha,)(\alpha,)$.

Extreme Points Here, we determine the extreme points of the closed convex hull of $A_{\bar{H}}$ (k, α, γ), denoted by clco$A_H^k(\alpha,)(\alpha,)$.

Theorem 2.3: Let f_k given by (1.2). Then $f_k \in A_H^k(\alpha,)(\alpha,)$ i f and only i f

$f_k(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_n h_n + \mathcal{Y}_n g_{km}$ where $h_1(z) = z$, $h_n(z) = z - \frac{1}{\frac{(|n-k|-\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)}} z^n$ n = 2, 3, . . . ,

$g_{kn}(z) = z + \frac{1}{\frac{(|n-k|+\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)}} z^n$ n = 1, 2, . . . ,

and $X_n \geq 0$, $Y_n \geq 0$, $X_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\mathcal{X}_n + \mathcal{Y}_n) \geq 0$ In particular the extreme points of $A_H^k(\alpha,)(\alpha,)$ are $\{h_n\}$ and $\{g_{kn}\}$.

Theorem 2.4: Let the functions $f_{k,i}(z)$, defined by Equation (13) be in the class $A_{\bar{H}}^k(,\alpha)$, for every i = 1, 2, . . . , m. Then the functions $c_i(z)$ defined by $c_i(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i f_{k,i}(z)$ $0 \leq t_i \leq 1$ are also in the class $A_{\bar{H}}(\alpha,)$ where $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1$. 2.4. Convolution (Hadamard Product) Property

Here, we show that the class $A_{\bar{H}}^k(\alpha,)$ is closed under convolution. The convolution of two harmonic functions

$z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n|z^n + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_n| z^{-n}$ (14) and

$Q_n(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |L_n|z^n + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |M_n| z^{-n}$ (15) is defined as

$(f_n * Q_n)(z) = f_n(z) * Q_n(z) = z - z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n L_n|z^n + (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_n M_n| z^{-n}$ (16)

Using Equations (12)–(14), we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5: For $0 \leq \mu \leq \alpha < 1$, $k \in N_0$, let $f_n \in A_{\bar{H}}^{k}$ (α,) and $Q_n \in A_{\bar{H}}^{k}$(μ,) Then $f_n * Q^n \in A_{\bar{H}}^{k}$ (α) ⊂ $A_{\bar{H}}^{k}$ (μ,).

3. INTEGRAL OPERATOR

Here, we examine the closure property of the class $A_{\bar{H}}^{k}$ (α,) under the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston integral operator (see References [10,11]) $L_u(f)$ which is defined by

$$L_u(f) = \frac{u+1}{z^u}\int_o^z t^{u-1} f(t)dt, \; u > -1. \tag{17}$$

Theorem 3.1: Let $f_k(z) \in A_{\bar{H}}^{k}$ (k, α, γ). Then $L_u(f_k(z)) \in A_{\bar{H}}^{k}$ (α)

REFERENCES

1. Clunie, J.; Sheil, S.T. Harmonic Univalent functions. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I. Math. 1984, 9, 3–25. [CrossRef]
2. Bernardi, S.D. Convex and Starlike Univalent Function. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 1969, 135, 429–446. [CrossRef]
3. Dixit, K.K.; Pathak, A.L.; Porwal, S.; Agarwal, R. On a Subclass of Harmonic Univalent functions defined by Convolution and Integral Convolution. Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 2011, 63, 255–264.
4. Bhaya, E.S.; Kareem, M.A. Whitney multi approximation. J. Univ. Babylon Pure Appl. Sci. 2016, 7, 2395–2399.
5. Bhaya, E.S.; Almurieb, H.A. Neural network trigonometric approximation. J. Univ. Babylon Pure Appl. Sci. 2018, 7, 385–403. [CrossRef]
6. Makinde, D.O.; Afolabi, A.O. On a Subclass of Harmonic Univalent Functions. Trans. J. Sci. Technol. 2012, 2, 1–11.
7. Porwal, S.; Shivam, K. A New subclass of Harmonic Univalent functions defined by derivative operator. Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2017, 5, 122–134. Mathematics 2018, 6, 312 9 of 9
8. Makinde, D.O. On a new Differential Operator. Theor. Math. Appl. 2016, 6, 71–74.
9. Sharma, R.B.; Ravindar, B. On a subclass of harmonic univalent functions. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 2018, 1000, 012115. [CrossRef]
10. Bharavi, S.R.; Haripriya, M. On a class of α-convex functions subordinate to a shell-shaped region. J. Anal. 2017, 25, 99–105.
11. Libera, R.J. Some Classes of Regular Univalent Functions. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 1965, 16, 755–758.
12. T. O. Opoola, K. O. Babalola, Some Applications of a Lemma Concerning Analytic Functions with Positive Real Parts, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 2, no. 4,(2007), 361–369.
13. J. M. Jahangiri, Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disk, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 235, no. 2, (1999), 470–477.
14. K. Al Shaqsi, M. Darus, On subclass of harmonic starlike functions with respect to k -symmetric points, International Mathematical Forum, 2, no. 57, (2007) 2799–2805.
15. K. Al-Shaqsi, M. Darus, On harmonic univalent functions with respect to k -symmetric points, International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences, 3, no. 3,(2008), 111–118.
16. S. Yalcin, M. Oztuk, M. Yamankaradeniz, On the subclass of Salageantype harmonic univalent functions, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 8, no. 2, article 54, (2007), 1–17.
17. M. Darus, K. Al Shaqsi, On harmonic univalent functions defined by a generalized Ruscheweyh derivatives operator, Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics, 22, (2006), 19–26.
18. J. M. Jahangiri, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya, Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions, Southwest Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2, (2002), 77–82.
19. J. Clunie, T. Sheil-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae.Series A I. Mathematica, 9, (1984), 3–25.
20. J. Liu, Some applications of certain integral operators, Kyungpook Math. J., 43, (2003), 211–219.
21. S. Abdulhalim, On a class of analytic functions involving the Salagean differential operator, Tamkang J. Math., 23, no. 1, (1992), 51–58.
22. K. O. Babalola, Some new results on a certain family of analytic functions defined by the Salagean derivative, Doctoral Thesis, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria, 2005. View publication stats.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.