

A NOTE ON INVARIANT SUBSPACES OF SOME OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACE

IRENE M. MUTETI\*, BERNARD M. NZIMBI, STANLEY K. IMAGIRI AND JAIRUS M. KHALAGAI

University of Nairobi,  
School of Mathematics, P.O Box 30197-00100, Nairobi, Kenya.

(Received On: 09-03-21; Revised & Accepted On: 08-04-21)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we show that if  $M$  is a nontrivial invariant for both  $T$  and  $S$ , then  $M$  is  $ST$  –invariant or  $TS$  – invariant. An example is provided to illustrate that if  $M$  is  $TS$  – invariant, then it is not necessarily invariant for either  $T$  and  $S$ . However if  $TS, S$  and  $T$  have same structure and  $M$  is invariant for  $TS$ , then it is also invariant for  $T$  and  $S$ .

**Keywords:** Invariant subspaces, Nilpotent operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

The invariant subspaces of an operator plays a central role in operator theory as they give information on the structure of the operator. They are a direct analogue of the eigen-vectors of a linear operator. The motivation behind the study of invariant subspaces come from the interest of structure of operators and from approximation theory. Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $B(H)$  denotes all bounded linear operators on  $H$ . A subspace  $M$  of  $H$  is a invariant under operator  $T$  if  $T(M) \subseteq M$ , that is,  $x \in M$  for every  $Tx \in M$  or  $TM \subset M$ . If  $T$  is any subset of  $B(H)$ , we denote by  $\{T\}'$  the commutant of  $T$ , that is  $\{T\}' = \{T \in B(H): ST = TS\}$ .

A subspace  $M \subset H$  is said to be nontrivial hyper-invariant subspace (n.h.s) for a fixed operator in  $T \in B(H)$  if  $0 \neq M \neq H$  and  $SM \subset M$  for each  $S \in \{T\}'$ . An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is nilpotent if  $T^n = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.1:** If  $T \in B(H)$ , then the following subspaces are invariant under  $T$ :

- (i)  $\{0\}$ .
- (ii)  $H$ .
- (iii)  $\text{Ker}(T)$
- (iv)  $\text{Ran}(T)$

**Proof:**

- (i) If  $x \in \{0\}$ , then  $x = 0$  hence  $Tx = 0 \in \{0\}$ . Thus  $\{0\}$  is invariant under  $T$ .
- (ii) If  $x \in H$ , then  $Tx \in H$  since  $T$  on Hilbert space  $H$  is bounded, then it is bounded below and hence its range is closed. Thus  $H$  is invariant under  $T$ .
- (iii) If  $x \in \text{Ker}(T)$ , then  $Tx = 0$  and hence  $Tx \in \text{Ker}(T)$ . Thus  $\text{Ker}(T)$  is invariant under  $T$ .
- (iv) Note that, since the operators  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is bounded below and hence its range is closed subspace of  $H$ . Thus  $T(\text{Ran}(T))$  is contained in  $\text{Ran}(T)$ . Let  $x \in \text{Ran}(T)$ , then  $Tx \in \text{Ran}(T)$ . Thus  $\text{Ran}(T)$  is invariant under  $T$ .

**Lemma 1.2:** Let  $U_1, U_2 \subset H$  be invariant subspaces. Then  $U_1 \cap U_2$  and  $U_1 + U_2$  are invariant subspaces.

**Proof:** Suppose  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are both under  $T$ , and  $u \in U_1 \cap U_2$ . Since  $U_1$  is invariant under  $T$ , then  $T(u) \in U_1$ .

Similarly, since  $U_2$  is invariant under  $T$ , then  $T(u) \in U_2$  and so

$$T(u) \in U_1 \cap U_2. \text{ Thus } U_1 \cap U_2 \text{ is invariant under } T.$$

Suppose  $u \in U_1 + U_2$ . Then  $u = u_1 + u_2$  where  $u_i \in U_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Applying the linear operator on both sides of the equation we have

$$T(u) = T(u_1 + u_2) = T(u_1) + T(u_2).$$

**Corresponding Author: Irene M. Muteti\*,**

**University of Nairobi, School of Mathematics, P.O Box 30197-00100, Nairobi, Kenya.**

Because  $U_1, U_2$  are all invariant subspace under  $T$ , and since  $u_i \in U_i$  we have  $T(u_i) \in U_i$

For  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence  $T(u)$  is contained in  $U_1 + U_2$  and therefore  $U_1 + U_2$  is invariant under  $T$ .

**Proposition 1.3:** Let  $T$  and  $L$  be nonzero on a Hilbert space  $H$ . If  $LT = 0$ , then  $Ker(L)$  and  $Ran(T)$  are nontrivial invariant subspaces for both  $T$  and  $L$ .

**Proof:** If  $LT=0$ , then  $Ran(T) \subseteq Ker(L)$ . Hence  $T(Ker(L)) \subseteq T(H) = Ran(T) \subseteq Ker(L)$ .

Since  $T \neq 0, Ran(T) \neq 0$ , so that  $Ker(L) \neq 0$ . Since  $L \neq 0, Ker(L) \neq H$ . Therefore  $Ker(L)$  is nontrivial invariant subspace for  $T$ . Dually since  $T^*L^*=0, L^* \neq 0$  it follows that  $Ker(T^*)^\perp$  is nontrivial invariant subspace for  $L^*$ , and hence  $Ran(T) = Ker(T^*)^\perp$  is a nontrivial invariant subspace for  $L$ .

**Remark 1.1:**  $Ker(L)$  and  $\overline{Ran(T)}$  are invariant subspaces for  $L$  and  $T$ .

Proposition 1.3 leads to the following result.

**Corollary 1.1:** Every nilpotent operator has a nontrivial invariant subspace.

**Proof:** Recall that, an operator is nilpotent if  $T^n = 0$ . Thus  $T^n = T(T^{n-1})$  which can be written as a product of two operators and by Proposition 1.3  $Ker(T)$  and  $\overline{Ran(T^{n-1})}$  are nontrivial invariant subspaces.

**Proposition 1.4:** Let  $T \in B(H)$  and  $M$  be subspace of a Hilbert space  $H$ . If  $M$  is  $T$ -invariant, Then  $(T|_M)^* = PT^*|_M$  where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection of  $H$  onto  $M$ .

**Proof:** Let  $M$  be an invariant subspace for  $T$  so that  $T(M) \subseteq M$ , and let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $M$ .

Since  $Pv = v$  for every  $v \in M$  and using the fact that  $P$  is self-adjoint, we have  $\langle (T|_M)^*u, v \rangle = \langle u, T|_M v \rangle = \langle u, Tv \rangle = \langle u, TPv \rangle = \langle PT^*u, v \rangle = \langle PT^*|_M u, v \rangle$  for every  $u, v \in M$ , hence  $(T|_M)^* = PT^*|_M$ .

**Proposition 1.5:** Let  $T, S \in B(H)$  and  $M$  be a nontrivial invariant subspace for both  $T$  and  $S$ . Then  $M$  is  $TS$ -invariant.

**Proof:** If  $M$  is invariant for both  $T$  and  $S$  then we have  $T(M) \subseteq M$  and  $S(M) \subseteq M$ .

Thus we have  $TSM = T(SM) \subseteq T(M) \subseteq M$ . Therefore  $M$  is  $TS$ -invariant.

**Proposition 1.6:** Let  $T, S \in B(H)$  and  $M$  be a nontrivial invariant subspace for both  $T$  and  $S$ . Then  $M$  is  $ST$ -invariant

**Proof:** If  $M$  is invariant for both  $T$  and  $S$ , then we have  $T(M) \subseteq M$  and  $S(M) \subseteq M$ .

Thus we have  $STM = S(TM) \subseteq S(M) \subseteq M$ . Therefore  $M$  is  $ST$ -invariant.

**Question:** If  $M$  is  $TS$ -invariant, is it true that  $M$  is  $T$ -invariant or  $S$ -invariant?

**Answer:** We answer this question with the following example.

Let  $TS = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . We observe that  $Lat(TS) = \left\{ \{0\}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, R^2 \right\}$ . However  $TS$  can be written, not uniquely, as a product

of  $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . We notice that  $M = span \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  is invariant for  $TS$  but it is not invariant for  $T$  and  $S$ .

This leads to the following remarks:

**Remark 1.2:** Let  $M$  be subspace of a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $T, S \in B(H)$ . If  $M$  is  $TS$ -invariant, then  $M$  is not necessarily  $T$ - or  $S$ -invariant.

However if  $TS, T$  and  $S$  have the same structure, then if  $M$  is  $TS$ -invariant the  $M$  is also invariant for both  $T$  and  $S$ .

## REFERENCES

1. Bernstein A.R. and Robinson A., Solution of an invariant subspace problem of K.T Smith and P.R. Halmos, Pacific J. Math. 16 (1966) 421-431.
2. Campbell S.L Linear Operators for which  $T^*T$  and  $TT^*$  commute, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 34, (1972) 177-180.
3. Campbell S.L. Linear Operator for which  $T^*T$  and  $TT^*$  commute II, Pacific J. Math. Soc., 53, (1974) 355-361.
4. Campbell S., Linear Operator for  $T^*T$  and  $T+T^*$  commute, Pacific J. Math 61(1975) 53-58.

5. Carlos S. Kubrusly, An introduction to models and decomposition in operator theory, Birkhauser Boston,MA, 1997.
6. Fillmore P.A., Herrero D. A. and Longstaff W. E., The hyperinvariant subspace lattice of linear transformation, linear Algebra and Appl. 17(1977), 125-132.
7. Jong –KwangYoo\* Some Invariant Subspace for Bounded Linear Operators, Chungcheong Mathematical Society, Vol 24, No. 1,2011.
8. Nzimbi B. M.,A note on Some Equivalences of Operators and Topology of Invariant Subspaces , Mathematics and Computer Science, Vol. 3,No. 5 , 2018, pp 102-112 .

***Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.***

***[Copy right © 2021. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]***