On vg-closed sets

S. Balasubramanian*

Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Karur-639 005 (T.N), India E-mail: mani55682@rediffmail.com

(Received on: 14-09-11; Accepted on: 05-10-11)

ABSTRACT

T he object of the present paper is to study the notions of minimal vg-closed set, maximal vg-open set, minimal vg-open set and maximal vg-closed set and their basic properties are studied.

Keywords: vg-closed set and minimal vg-closed set, maximal vg-open set, minimal vg-open set and maximal vg-closed set

1. INTRODUCTION:

Norman Levine introduced the concept of generalized closed sets in topological spaces. After him many authors concentrated in this direction and defined more than 25 types of generalized closed sets. Nakaoka and Oda have introduced minimal open sets and maximal open sets, which are subclasses of open sets. A. Vadivel and K. Vairamanickam introduced a new class of sets called maximal $rg\alpha$ -open sets by maximal $rg\alpha$ -closed sets in topological spaces. They are the subclasses of $rg\alpha$ -closed sets respectively. Some of the properties are obtained. It was proved that the complement minimal $rg\alpha$ -open sets is maximal $rg\alpha$ -closed set. Also, maximal $rg\alpha$ -open sets and minimal $rg\alpha$ -closed sets in topological spaces are introduced. It is observed that the complement of maximal $rg\alpha$ -open is minimal $rg\alpha$ -closed sets in his paper. The Author of this paper studied about vg-closed sets, vg-continuity, and vg-separation axioms. Recently the Author of the paper introduced a new class of sets called minimal vg-open sets and maximal vg-open sets in topological spaces. Inspired with these developments the author of the present paper further study a new type of closed and open sets namely minimal vg-closed sets, maximal vg-open sets, minimal vg-open sets and maximal vg-closed sets. Throughout the paper a space vg means a topological space vg and vg-closed sets are denoted by vg-closed. For any subset vg-closed sets are denoted vg-closed sets are denoted vg-closed sets.

2. PRELIMINARIES:

Definition 2.01: A \subset X is said to be regularly open if A = (A⁻) [resp: semi open; v-open] if \exists an [resp: regular] open set U such that U \subset A \subset U $^-$]. The complement of v-open set is denoted as v-closed set.

Note 1: Clearly $RO(X) \subset vO(X) \subset SO(X)$.but the reverse implications do not hold well.

Definition 2.02: Let $A \subset X$.

- (i) A point $x \in A$ is the vg-interior point of A iff $\exists G \in vgO(X, \tau)$ such that $x \in G \subset A$.
- (ii) A point $x \in X$ is said to be an vg-limit point of A iff for each $U \in vgO(X)$, $U \cap (A \sim \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$.
- (iii) A point $x \in A$ is said to be vg-isolated point of A if $\exists U \in vgO(X)$ such that $U \cap A = \{x\}$.

Definition 2.03: Let $A \subset X$.

- (i) Then A is said to be vg-discrete if each point of A is vg-isolated point of A. The set of all vg-isolated points of A is denoted by $I_{vg}(A)$.
- (ii) For any $A \subset X$, the intersection of all vg-closed sets containing A is called the vg-closure of A and is denoted by $vg(A)^-$.
- (iii) For any $A \subset X$, $A \sim vg(A)^0$ is said to be vg-border or vg-boundary of A and is denoted by $B_{v\rho}(A)$.
- (iv) For any $A \subset X$, $vg[vg(X \sim A)^{-1}]^0$ is said to be the vg-exterior $A \subset X$ and is denoted by $vg(A)^e$.

Definition 2.04: The set of all vg-interior points A is said to be vg-interior of A and is denoted by $vg(A)^0$.

Theorem 2.01: (i) Let $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ and Y is regularly open subspace of X then $A \in vgO(Y, \tau_{YY})$ iff Y is vg-open in X (ii) Let $Y \subseteq X$ and A is a vg-neighborhood of x in Y. Then A is vg-neighborhood of x in Y iff Y is vg-open in X.

Theorem 2.02 Arbitrary intersection of vg-closed sets is vg-closed. More Precisely, Let $\{A_i: i \in I\}$ be a collection of vg-closed sets, then $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ is again vg-closed.

Note 2: Finite union and finite intersection of vg-closed sets is not vg-closed in general.

Theorem 2.03: Let $X = X_1 \times X_2$. Let $A_1 \in vgC(X_1)$ and $A_2 \in vgC(X_2)$, then $A_1 \times A_2 \in vgC(X_1 \times X_2)$.

3. Minimal vg-open Sets and Maximal vg-closed Sets:

We now introduce minimal vg-open sets and maximal vg-closed sets in topological spaces as follows.

Definition 3.1: A proper nonempty vg-open subset U of X is said to be a **minimal** vg-open set if any vg-open set contained in U is ϕ or U.

Remark 1: Every Minimal open set is a minimal vg-open set but converse is not true:

Example 1: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$; $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$. $\{a\}$ is both Minimal open set and Minimal vg-open set but $\{b\}$ and $\{c\}$ are Minimal vg-open but not Minimal open.

Remark 2: From the above example and known results we have the following implications

Theorem 3.1:

- (i) Let U be a minimal vg-open set and W be a vg-open set. Then $U \cap W = \emptyset$ or $U \subset W$.
- (ii) Let U and V be minimal vg-open sets. Then $U \cap V = \phi$ or U = V.

Proof:

- (i) Let U be a minimal vg-open set and W be a vg-open set. If $U \cap W = \emptyset$, then there is nothing to prove. If $U \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Then $U \cap W \subset U$. Since U is a minimal vg-open set, we have $U \cap W = U$. Therefore $U \subset W$.
- (ii) Let U and V be minimal vg-open sets. If $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, then $U \subset V$ and $V \subset U$ by (i). Therefore U = V.

Theorem 3.2: Let U be a minimal vg-open set. If $x \in U$, then $U \subset W$ for any regular open neighborhood W of x.

Proof: Let U be a minimal vg-open set and x be an element of U. Suppose \exists a regular open neighborhood W of x such that $U \not\subset W$. Then $U \cap W$ is a vg-open set such that $U \cap W \subset U$ and $U \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Since U is a minimal vg-open set, we have $U \cap W = U$. That is $U \subset W$, which is a contradiction for $U \not\subset W$. Therefore $U \subset W$ for any regular open neighborhood W of x.

Theorem 3.3: Let U be a minimal vg-open set. If $x \in U$, then $U \subset W$ for some vg-open set W containing x.

Theorem 3.4: Let U be a minimal vg-open set. Then $U = \bigcap \{W : W \in vgO(X, x)\}$ for any element x of U.

Proof: By theorem[3.3] and U is vg-open set containing x, we have $U \subset \cap \{W: W \in vgO(X, x)\} \subset U$.

Theorem 3.5: Let U be a nonempty vg-open set. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.

- (i) U is a minimal vg-open set
- (ii) $U \subset vg(S)$ for any nonempty subset S of U
- (iii) $vg(U)^- = vg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $x \in U$; U be minimal vg-open set and $S(\neq \phi) \subset U$. By theorem[3.3], for any vg-open set W containing x, $S \subset U \subset W \Rightarrow S \subset W$. Now $S = S \cap U \subset S \cap W$. Since $S \neq \phi$, $S \cap W \neq \phi$. Since W is any vg-open set containing x, by theorem [5.03], $x \in vg(S)^-$. That is $x \in U \Rightarrow x \in vg(S)^- \Rightarrow U \subset vg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let S be a nonempty subset of U. That is $S \subset U \Rightarrow vg(S)^- \subset vg(U)^- \to (1)$. Again from (ii) $U \subset vg(S)^-$ for any $S(\neq \emptyset) \subset U \Rightarrow vg(U)^- \subset vg(vg(S)^-)^- = vg(S)^-$. That is $vg(U)^- \subset vg(S)^- \to (2)$.

From (1) and (2), we have $vg(U)^- = vg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U.

S. Balasubramanian*/On vg-closed sets / IJMA-2(10), Oct.-2011, Page: 1909-1915

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) From (3) we have $vg(U)^- = vg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U. Suppose U is not a minimal vg-open set. Then \exists a nonempty vg-open set V such that $V \subset U$ and $V \neq U$. Now \exists an element a in U such that $a \notin V \Rightarrow a \in V^c$. That is $vg(\{a\})^- \subset vg(V^c)^- = V^c$, as V^c is vg-closed set in X. It follows that $vg(\{a\})^- \neq vg(U)^-$. This is a contradiction for $vg(\{a\})^- = vg(U)^-$ for any $\{a\} \neq \emptyset \subset U$. Therefore U is a minimal vg-open set.

Theorem 3.6: Let V be a nonempty finite vg-open set. Then \exists at least one (finite) minimal vg-open set U such that $U \subset V$.

Proof: Let V be a nonempty finite vg-open set. If V is a minimal vg-open set, we may set U = V. If V is not a minimal vg-open set, then \exists (finite) vg-open set V_1 such that $\phi \neq V_1 \subset V$. If V_1 is a minimal vg-open set, we may set $U = V_1$. If V_1 is not a minimal vg-open set, then \exists (finite) vg-open set V_2 such that $\phi \neq V_2 \subset V_1$. Continuing this process, we have a sequence of vg-open sets $V \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset V_3 \supset \supset V_k \supset$ Since V is a finite set, this process repeats only finitely. Then finally we get a minimal vg-open set $U = V_n$ for some positive integer n.

[A topological space X is said to be locally finite space if each of its elements is contained in a finite open set.]

Corollary 3.1: Let X be a locally finite space and V be a nonempty vg-open set. Then \exists at least one (finite) minimal vg-open set U such that $U \subset V$.

Proof: Let X be a locally finite space and V be a nonempty vg-open set. Let x in V. Since X is locally finite space, we have a finite open set V_x such that x in V_x . Then $V \cap V_x$ is a finite vg-open set. By Theorem 3.6 \exists at least one (finite) minimal vg-open set U such that $U \subset V \cap V_x$. That is $U \subset V \cap V_x \subset V$. Hence \exists at least one (finite) minimal vg-open set U such that $U \subset V$.

Corollary 3.2: Let V be a finite minimal open set. Then \exists at least one (finite) minimal vg-open set U such that $U \subseteq V$.

Proof: Let V be a finite minimal open set. Then V is a nonempty finite vg-open set. By Theorem 3.6, \exists at least one (finite) minimal vg-open set U such that $U \subset V$.

Theorem 3.7: Let U; U_{λ} be minimal vg-open sets for any element $\lambda \in \Gamma$. If $U \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_{\lambda}$, then \exists an element $\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $U = U_{\lambda}$.

Proof: Let $U \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_{\lambda}$. Then $U \cap (\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_{\lambda}) = U$. That is $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} (U \cap U_{\lambda}) = U$. Also by theorem [3.1] (ii), $U \cap U_{\lambda} = \emptyset$ or $U = U_{\lambda}$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$. It follows that \exists an element $\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $U = U_{\lambda}$.

Theorem 3.8: Let U; U_{\lambda} be minimal vg-open sets for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$. If $U = U_{\lambda}$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$, then $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_{\lambda}) \cap U = \emptyset$.

Proof: Suppose that $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_{\lambda}) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. That is $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} (U_{\lambda} \cap U) \neq \emptyset$. Then \exists an element $\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $U \cap U_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$. By theorem 3.1(ii), we have $U = U_{\lambda}$, which contradicts the fact that $U \neq U_{\lambda}$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$. Hence $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_{\lambda}) \cap U = \emptyset$.

We now introduce maximal vg-closed sets in topological spaces as follows.

Definition 3.2: A proper nonempty vg-closed $F \subset X$ is said to be **maximal** vg-closed set if any vg-closed set containing F is either X or F.

Remark 3: Every Maximal closed set is maximal vg-closed set but not conversely

Example 2: In Example 1, $\{b, c, d\}$ is Maximal closed and Maximal vg-closed but $\{a, b, d\}$ and $\{a, c, d\}$ are Maximal vg-closed but not Maximal closed.

Remark 4: From the known results and by the above example we have the following implications:

Theorem 3.9: A proper nonempty subset F of X is maximal vg-closed set iff X-F is a minimal vg-open set.

Proof: Let F be a maximal vg-closed set. Suppose X-F is not a minimal vg-open set. Then $\exists vg$ -open set $U \neq X$ -F such that $\phi \neq U \subset X$ -F. That is $F \subset X$ -U and X-U is a vg-closed set which is a contradiction for F is a minimal vg-open set.

Conversely let X-F be a minimal vg-open set. Suppose F is not a maximal vg-closed set. Then $\exists vg$ -closed set $E \neq F$ such that $F \subset E \neq X$. That is $\phi \neq X$ -E $\subset X$ -F and X-E is a vg-open set which is a contradiction for X-F is a minimal vg-open set. Therefore F is a maximal vg-closed set.

Theorem 3.10:

(i) Let F be a maximal vg-closed set and W be a vg-closed set. Then $F \cup W = X$ or $W \subset F$.

(ii) Let F and S be maximal vg-closed sets. Then $F \cup S=X$ or F=S.

Proof: (i) Let F be a maximal vg-closed set and W be a vg-closed set. If $F \cup W = X$, then there is nothing to prove. Suppose $F \cup W \neq X$. Then $F \subset F \cup W$. Therefore $F \cup W = F \Rightarrow W \subset F$.

(ii) Let F and S be maximal vg-closed sets. If $F \cup S \neq X$, then we have $F \subset S$ and $S \subset F$ by (i). Therefore F = S.

Theorem 3.11: Let F be a maximal vg-closed set. If x is an element of F, then for any vg-closed set S containing x, F \cup S=X or S \subset F.

Proof: Let F be a maximal vg-closed set and x is an element of F. Suppose $\exists vg$ -closed set S containing x such that $F \cup S \neq X$. Then $F \subset F \cup S$ and $F \cup S$ is a vg-closed set, as the finite union of vg-closed sets is a vg-closed set. Since F is a vg-closed set, we have $F \cup S = F$. Therefore $S \subset F$.

Theorem 3.12: Let F_{α} , F_{β} , F_{δ} be maximal vg-closed sets such that $F_{\alpha} \neq F_{\beta}$. If $F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta} \subset F_{\delta}$, then either $F_{\alpha} = F_{\delta}$ or $F_{\beta} = F_{\delta}$

Proof: Given that $F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta} \subset F_{\delta}$. If $F_{\alpha} = F_{\delta}$ then there is nothing to prove.

If $F_{\alpha} \neq F_{\delta}$ then we have to prove $F_{\beta} = F_{\delta}$. Now $F_{\beta} \cap F_{\delta} = F_{\beta} \cap (F_{\delta} \cap X) = F_{\beta} \cap (F_{\delta} \cap (F_{\alpha} \cup F_{\beta}))$ (by thm. 3.10 (ii)) $= F_{\beta} \cap ((F_{\delta} \cap F_{\alpha}) \cup (F_{\delta} \cap F_{\beta})) = (F_{\beta} \cap F_{\delta} \cap F_{\alpha}) \cup (F_{\beta} \cap F_{\delta} \cap F_{\beta}) = (F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta}) \cup (F_{\delta} \cap F_{\beta})$ (Since F_{α} and F_{δ} are maximal vg-closed sets by theorem[3.10](ii), $F_{\alpha} \cup F_{\delta} = X$ = F_{β} . That is $F_{\beta} \cap F_{\delta} = F_{\beta} \Rightarrow F_{\beta} \subset F_{\delta}$ Since F_{β} and F_{δ} are maximal vg-closed sets, we have $F_{\beta} = F_{\delta}$ Therefore $F_{\beta} = F_{\delta}$

Theorem 3.13: Let F_{α} , F_{β} and F_{δ} be different maximal νg -closed sets to each other. Then $(F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta}) \not\subset (F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\delta})$.

Proof: Let $(F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta}) \subset (F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\delta}) \Rightarrow (F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta}) \cup (F_{\delta} \cap F_{\beta}) \subset (F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\delta}) \Rightarrow (F_{\alpha} \cup F_{\delta}) \cap F_{\beta} \subset F_{\delta} \cap (F_{\alpha} \cup F_{\beta}).$ Since by theorem 3.10(ii), $F_{\alpha} \cup F_{\delta} = X$ and $F_{\alpha} \cup F_{\beta} = X \Rightarrow X \cap F_{\beta} \subset F_{\delta} \cap X \Rightarrow F_{\beta} \subset F_{\delta}$ From the definition of maximal vg-closed set it follows that $F_{\beta} = F_{\delta}$, which is a contradiction to the fact that F_{α} , F_{β} and F_{δ} are different to each other. Therefore $(F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta}) \not\subset (F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\delta})$.

Theorem 3.14: Let F be a maximal vg-closed set and x be an element of F. Then $F = \bigcup \{S : S \text{ is a } vg\text{-closed set containing x such that } F \cup S \neq X\}.$

Proof: By theorem 3.12 and fact that F is a vg-closed set containing x, we have $F \subset \cup \{S: S \text{ is a } vg\text{-closed set containing x such that } F \cup S \neq X\} - F$. Therefore we have the result.

Theorem 3.15: Let F be a proper nonempty cofinite vg-closed set. Then \exists (cofinite) maximal vg-closed set E such that $F \subset E$.

Proof: If F is maximal vg-closed set, we may set E=F. If F is not a maximal vg-closed set, then \exists (cofinite) vg-closed set F_1 such that $F \subseteq F_1 \neq X$. If F_1 is a maximal vg-closed set, we may set $E = F_1$. If F_1 is not a maximal vg-closed set, then \exists a (cofinite) vg-closed set F_2 such that $F \subseteq F_1 \subseteq F_2 \neq X$. Continuing this process, we have a sequence of vg-closed, $F \subseteq F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq ... \subseteq F_k \subseteq ...$ Since F is a cofinite set, this process repeats only finitely. Then, finally we get a maximal vg-closed set $E = E_n$ for some positive integer n.

Theorem 3.16: Let F be a maximal vg-closed set. If x is an element of X-F. Then X-F \subset E for any vg-closed set E containing x.

Proof: Let F be a maximal vg-closed set and x in X-F. E $\not\subset$ F for any vg-closed set E containing x. Then E \cup F = X by theorem 3.10(ii). Therefore X-F \subset E.

4. Minimal vg-Closed set and Maximal vg-open set:

We now introduce minimal vg-closed sets and maximal vg-open sets in topological spaces as follows.

Definition 4.1: A proper nonempty vg-closed subset F of X is said to be a **minimal** vg-closed set if any vg-closed set contained in F is ϕ or F.

Remark 5: Every Minimal closed set is minimal vg-closed set but not conversely:

Example 3: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$; $\tau = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$. $\{d\}$ is both Minimal closed set and Minimal vg-closed set but $\{a\}, \{b\}$ and $\{c\}$ are Minimal vg-closed but not Minimal closed.

Definition 4.2: A proper nonempty vg-open $U \subset X$ is said to be a **maximal vg-open set** if any vg-open set containing U is either X or U.

Remark 6: Every Maximal open set is maximal vg-open set but not conversely.

Example 4: In Example 3. {a, b, c} is Maximal open set and maximal vg-open set but {a, b, d}, {a, c, d} and {b, c, d} are Maximal vg-open but not maximal open.

Theorem 4.1: A proper nonempty subset U of X is maximal vg-open set iff X-U is a minimal vg-closed set.

Proof: Let U be a maximal vg-open set. Suppose X-U is not a minimal vg-closed set. Then $\exists vg$ -closed set V \neq X-U such that $\phi \neq V \subset X$ -U. That is $U \subset X$ -V and X-V is a vg-open set which is a contradiction for U is a minimal vg-closed set. Conversely let X-U be a minimal vg-closed set. Suppose U is not a maximal vg-open set. Then $\exists vg$ -open set E \neq U such that U \subset E \neq X. That is $\phi \neq$ X-E \subset X-U and X-E is a vg-closed set which is a contradiction for X-U is a minimal vg-closed set. Therefore U is a maximal vg-closed set.

Lemma 4.1:

- (i) Let U be a minimal vg-closed set and W be a vg-closed set. Then $U \cap W = \emptyset$ or U subset W.
- (ii) Let U and V be minimal vg- closed sets. Then $U \cap V = \emptyset$ or U = V.

Proofs

- (i) Let U be a minimal vg-closed set and W be a vg-closed set. If $U \cap W = \emptyset$, then there is nothing to prove. If $U \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Then $U \cap W \subset U$. Since U is a minimal vg-closed set, we have $U \cap W = U$. Therefore $U \subset W$.
- (ii) Let U and V be minimal vg-closed sets. If $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, then $U \subset V$ and $V \subset U$ by (i). Therefore U = V.

Theorem 4.2: Let U be a minimal vg-closed set. If $x \in U$, then $U \subset W$ for any regular open neighborhood W of x.

Proof: Let U be a minimal vg-closed set and x be an element of U. Suppose \exists an regular open neighborhood W of x such that $U \subset W$. Then $U \cap W$ is a vg-closed set such that $U \cap W \subset U$ and $U \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Since U is a minimal vg-closed set, we have $U \cap W = U$. That is $U \subset W$, which is a contradiction for $U \subset W$. Therefore $U \subset W$ for any regular open neighborhood W of x.

Theorem 4.3: Let U be a minimal vg-closed set. If $x \in U$, then $U \subset W$ for some vg-closed set W containing x.

Theorem 4.4: Let U be a minimal vg-closed set. Then $U = \bigcap \{W: W \in vgO(X, x)\}$ for any element x of U.

Proof: By theorem[4.3] and U is vg-closed set containing x, we have $U \subset \cap \{W : W \in vgO(X, x)\} \subset U$.

Theorem 4.5: Let U be a nonempty vg-closed set. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.

- (i) U is a minimal vg-closed set
- (ii) $U \subset vg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U
- (iii) $vg(U)^- = vg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U.
- **Proof:** (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $x \in U$; U be minimal vg-closed set and $S(\neq \phi) \subset U$. By theorem[4.3], for any vg-closed set W containing x, $S \subset U \subset W \Rightarrow S \subset W$. Now $S = S \cap U \subset S \cap W$. Since $S \neq \phi$, $S \cap W \neq \phi$. Since W is any vg-closed set containing x, by theorem[4.3], $x \in vg(S)^-$. That is $x \in U \Rightarrow x \in vg(S)^- \Rightarrow U \subset vg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U.
- (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let S be a nonempty subset of U. That is $S \subset U \Rightarrow vg(S)^- \subset vg(U)^- \to (1)$. Again from (ii) $U \subset vg(S)^-$ for any $S(\neq \emptyset) \subset U \Rightarrow vg(U)^- \subset vg(vg(S)^-)^- = vg(S)^-$. That is $vg(U)^- \subset vg(S)^- \to (2)$. From (1) and (2), we have $vg(U)^- = vg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U.
- (iii) \Rightarrow (i) From (3) we have $vg(U)^- = vg(S)^-$ for any nonempty subset S of U. Suppose U is not a minimal vg-closed set. Then \exists a nonempty vg-closed set V such that $V \subset U$ and $V \neq U$. Now \exists an element a in U such that $a \notin V \Rightarrow a \in V^c$. That is $vg(\{a\})^- \subset vg(V^c)^- = V^c$, as V^c is vg-closed set in X. It follows that $vg(\{a\})^- \neq vg(U)^-$. This is a contradiction for $vg(\{a\})^- = vg(U)^-$ for any $\{a\} \neq \emptyset \subset U$. Therefore U is a minimal vg-closed set.

Theorem 4.6: Let V be a nonempty finite vg-closed set. Then \exists at least one (finite) minimal vg-closed set U such that $U \subset V$.

Proof: Let V be a nonempty finite vg-closed set. If V is a minimal vg-closed set, we may set U = V. If V is not a minimal vg-closed set, then \exists (finite) vg-closed set V_1 such that $\phi \neq V_1 \subset V$. If V_1 is a minimal vg-closed set, we may set $U = V_1$. If V_1 is not a minimal vg-closed set, then \exists (finite) vg-closed set V_2 such that $\phi \neq V_2 \subset V_1$. Continuing this process, we have a sequence of vg-closed sets $V \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset V_3 \supset \supset V_k \supset$ Since V is a finite set, this process repeats only finitely. Then finally we get a minimal vg-closed set $V = V_n$ for some positive integer n.

Corollary 4.1: Let X be a locally finite space and V be a nonempty vg-closed set. Then \exists at least one (finite) minimal vg-closed set U such that $U \subset V$.

Proof: Let X be a locally finite space and V be a nonempty vg-closed set. Let x in V. Since X is locally finite space, we have a finite open set V_x such that x in V_x . Then $V \cap V_x$ is a finite vg-closed set. By Theorem 4.6 \exists at least one (finite) minimal vg-closed set U such that $U \subset V \cap V_x$. That is $U \subset V \cap V_x \subset V$. Hence \exists at least one (finite) minimal vg-closed set U such that $U \subset V$.

Corollary 4.2: Let V be a finite minimal open set. Then \exists at least one (finite) minimal vg-closed set U such that $U \subset V$.

Proof: Let V be a finite minimal open set. Then V is a nonempty finite vg-closed set. By Theorem 4.6, \exists at least one (finite) minimal vg-closed set U such that $U \subset V$.

Theorem 4.7: Let U; U_{λ} be minimal vg-closed sets for any element $\lambda \in \Gamma$. If $U \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_{\lambda}$, then \exists an element $\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $U = U_{\lambda}$.

Proof: Let $U \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_{\lambda}$. Then $U \cap (\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_{\lambda}) = U$. That is $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} (U \cap U_{\lambda}) = U$. Also by lemma[4.1] (ii), $U \cap U_{\lambda} = \emptyset$ or $U = U_{\lambda}$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$. It follows that \exists an element $\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $U = U_{\lambda}$.

Theorem 4.8: Let U; U_{λ} be minimal vg-closed sets for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$. If $U = U_{\lambda}$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$, then $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_{\lambda}) \cap U = \emptyset$. **Proof**: Suppose that $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_{\lambda}) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. That is $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} (U_{\lambda} \cap U) \neq \emptyset$. Then \exists an element $\lambda \in \Gamma$ such that $U \cap U_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$. By lemma[4.1](ii), we have $U = U_{\lambda}$, which contradicts the fact that $U \neq U_{\lambda}$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$. Hence $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_{\lambda}) \cap U = \emptyset$.

Theorem 4.9: A proper nonempty subset F of X is maximal vg-open set iff X-F is a minimal vg-closed set.

Proof: Let F be a maximal vg-open set. Suppose X-F is not a minimal vg-open set. Then $\exists vg$ -open set $U \neq X$ -F such that $\phi \neq U \subset X$ -F. That is $F \subset X$ -U and X-U is a vg-open set which is a contradiction for F is a minimal vg-closed set.

Conversely let X-F be a minimal vg-open set. Suppose F is not a maximal vg-open set. Then $\exists vg$ -open set $E \neq F$ such that $F \subset E \neq X$. That is $\phi \neq X - E \subset X - F$ and X-E is a vg-open set which is a contradiction for X-F is a minimal vg-closed set. Therefore F is a maximal vg-open set.

Theorem 4.10:

- (i) Let F be a maximal vg-open set and W be a vg-open set. Then $F \cup W = X$ or $W \subset F$.
- (ii) Let F and S be maximal vg-open sets. Then $F \cup S=X$ or F=S.

Proof: (i) Let F be a maximal vg-open set and W be a vg-open set. If $F \cup W = X$, then there is nothing to prove. Suppose $F \cup W \neq X$. Then $F \subset F \cup W$. Therefore $F \cup W = F \Rightarrow W \subset F$.

(ii) Let F and S be maximal vg-open sets. If $F \cup S \neq X$, then we have $F \subset S$ and $S \subset F$ by (i). Therefore F = S.

Theorem 4.11: Let F be a maximal vg-open set. If x is an element of F, then for any vg-open set S containing x, F \cup S=X or S \subset F.

Proof: Let F be a maximal vg-open set and x is an element of F. Suppose $\exists vg$ -open set S containing x such that $F \cup S \neq X$. Then $F \subset F \cup S$ and $F \cup S$ is a vg-open set, as the finite union of vg-open set is a vg-open set. Since F is a vg-open set, we have $F \cup S = F$. Therefore $S \subset F$.

Theorem 4.12: Let F_{α} , F_{β} , F_{δ} be maximal vg-open sets such that $F_{\alpha} \neq F_{\beta}$. If $F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta} \subset F_{\delta}$, then either $F_{\alpha} = F_{\delta}$ or $F_{\beta} = F_{\delta}$

Proof: Given that $F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta} \subset F_{\delta}$. If $F_{\alpha} = F_{\delta}$ then there is nothing to prove.

If $F_{\alpha} \neq F_{\delta}$ then we have to prove $F_{\beta} = F_{\delta}$. Now $F_{\beta} \cap F_{\delta} = F_{\beta} \cap (F_{\delta} \cap X) = F_{\beta} \cap (F_{\alpha} \cup F_{\beta})$ (by thm. 4.10 (ii)) = $F_{\beta} \cap ((F_{\delta} \cap F_{\alpha}) \cup (F_{\delta} \cap F_{\beta})) = (F_{\beta} \cap F_{\delta} \cap F_{\alpha}) \cup (F_{\beta} \cap F_{\delta} \cap F_{\beta})$

= $(F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta}) \cup (F_{\delta} \cap F_{\beta})$ (by $F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta} \subset F_{\delta}$) = $(F_{\alpha} \cup F_{\delta}) \cap F_{\beta} = X \cap F_{\beta}$ (Since F_{α} and F_{δ} are maximal vg-open sets by theorem[4.10](ii), $F_{\alpha} \cup F_{\delta} = X$) = F_{β} . That is $F_{\beta} \cap F_{\delta} = F_{\beta} \Rightarrow F_{\beta} \subset F_{\delta}$ Since F_{β} and F_{δ} are maximal vg-open sets, we have $F_{\beta} = F_{\delta}$ Therefore $F_{\beta} = F_{\delta}$

Theorem 4.13: Let F_{α} , F_{β} and F_{δ} be different maximal ν_g -open sets to each other. Then $(F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta}) \not\subset (F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\delta})$.

Proof: Let $(F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta}) \subset (F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\delta}) \Rightarrow (F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta}) \cup (F_{\delta} \cap F_{\beta}) \subset (F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\delta}) \cup (F_{\delta} \cap F_{\beta}) \Rightarrow (F_{\alpha} \cup F_{\delta}) \cap F_{\beta} \subset F_{\delta} \cap (F_{\alpha} \cup F_{\delta})$. Since by theorem 4.10(ii), $F_{\alpha} \cup F_{\delta} = X$ and $F_{\alpha} \cup F_{\beta} = X \Rightarrow X \cap F_{\beta} \subset F_{\delta} \cap X \Rightarrow F_{\beta} \subset F_{\delta}$ From the definition of maximal vg-open set it follows that $F_{\beta} = F_{\delta}$, which is a contradiction to the fact that F_{α} , F_{β} and F_{δ} are different to each other. Therefore $(F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta}) \not\subset (F_{\alpha} \cap F_{\delta})$.

Theorem 4.14: Let F be a maximal vg-open set and x be an element of F. Then $F = \bigcup \{ S : S \text{ is a } vg$ -open set containing x such that $F \cup S \neq X \}$.

Proof: By theorem 4.12 and fact that F is a vg-open set containing x, we have $F \subset \bigcup \{S: S \text{ is a } vg$ -open set containing x such that $F \cup S \neq X\} - F$. Therefore we have the result.

Theorem 4.15: Let F be a proper nonempty cofinite vg-open set. Then \exists (cofinite) maximal vg-open set E such that F \subset F

Proof: If F is maximal vg-open set, we may set E=F. If F is not a maximal vg-open set, then \exists (cofinite) vg-open set F₁ such that $F \subset F_1 \neq X$. If F₁ is a maximal vg-open set, we may set $E = F_1$. If F₁ is not a maximal vg-open set, then \exists a (cofinite) vg-open set F₂ such that $F \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \neq X$. Continuing this process, we have a sequence of vg-open, $F \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset ... \subset F_k \subset ...$. Since F is a cofinite set, this process repeats only finitely. Then, finally we get a maximal vg-open set $E = E_n$ for some positive integer n.

Theorem 4.16: Let F be a maximal vg-open set. If x is an element of X-F. Then X-F \subset E for any vg-open set E containing x.

Proof: Let F be a maximal vg-open set and x in X-F. E $\not\subset$ F for any vg-open set E containing x. Then E \cup F = X by theorem 4.10(ii). Therefore X-F \subset E.

Conclusion: The Author is thankful to the referees for their critical comments and suggestions for the development of the paper.

REFERENCES:

- [1] S. Balasubramanian, C. Sandhya and P. A. S. Vyjayanthi, On v-closed sets (Accepted) Acta Ciencia Indica
- [2] S. Balasubramanian, v-Generalized closed sets-Bull. Kerala Math. Association-Vol.5.No.2,(2009)81-92.
- [3] S. Balasubramanian, vg-boundary and vg-exterior operators Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol.XXXVII M, No.1 (2011) 11-18.
- [4] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, Some Properties of Maximal Open Sets, Int. J. Math. Sci. 21, (2003)1331-1340.
- [5] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, Some Applications of Minimal Open Sets, Int. J. Math. Sci. 27-8, (2001), 471-476.
- [6] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, On Minimal Closed Sets, Proceeding of Topological spaces Theory and its Applications, 5(2003), 19-21.
- [7] Sharma V.K., v-open sets, Acta Ciencia Indica Vol. XXXII, (2006) 685-690.
- [8] A. Vadivel and K. Vairamanickam, Minimal rgα-open Sets and Maximal rgα-closed Sets, Submitted to Journal of Tripura Mathematical Society.
