



On  $vg$ -closed sets

S. Balasubramanian\*

Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Karur-639 005 (T.N), India

E-mail: [mani55682@rediffmail.com](mailto:mani55682@rediffmail.com)

(Received on: 14-09-11; Accepted on: 05-10-11)

ABSTRACT

The object of the present paper is to study the notions of minimal  $vg$ -closed set, maximal  $vg$ -open set, minimal  $vg$ -open set and maximal  $vg$ -closed set and their basic properties are studied.

**Keywords:**  $vg$ -closed set and minimal  $vg$ -closed set, maximal  $vg$ -open set, minimal  $vg$ -open set and maximal  $vg$ -closed set

1. INTRODUCTION:

Norman Levine introduced the concept of generalized closed sets in topological spaces. After him many authors concentrated in this direction and defined more than 25 types of generalized closed sets. Nakaoka and Oda have introduced minimal open sets and maximal open sets, which are subclasses of open sets. A. Vadivel and K. Vairamanickam introduced a new class of sets called maximal  $rg\alpha$ -open sets by maximal  $rg\alpha$ -closed sets in topological spaces. They are the subclasses of  $rg\alpha$ -closed sets respectively. Some of the properties are obtained. It was proved that the complement minimal  $rg\alpha$ -open sets is maximal  $rg\alpha$ -closed set. Also, maximal  $rg\alpha$ -open sets and minimal  $rg\alpha$ -closed sets in topological spaces are introduced. It is observed that the complement of maximal  $rg\alpha$ -open is minimal  $rg\alpha$ -closed sets in his paper. The Author of this paper studied about  $vg$ -closed sets,  $vg$ -continuity, and  $vg$ -separation axioms. Recently the Author of the paper introduced a new class of sets called minimal  $v$ -open sets and maximal  $v$ -open sets in topological spaces. Inspired with these developments the author of the present paper further study a new type of closed and open sets namely minimal  $vg$ -closed sets, maximal  $vg$ -open sets, minimal  $vg$ -open sets and maximal  $vg$ -closed sets. Throughout the paper a space  $X$  means a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The class of  $vg$ -closed sets are denoted by  $vGC(X)$ . For any subset  $A$  of  $X$  its complement, interior, closure,  $vg$ -interior,  $vg$ -closure are denoted respectively by the symbols  $A^c, A^\circ, A^-, vg(A)^0$  and  $vg(A)^-$ .

2. PRELIMINARIES:

**Definition 2.01:**  $A \subset X$  is said to be regularly open if  $A = (A^-)^0$  [resp: semi open;  $v$ -open] if  $\exists$  an [resp: regular] open set  $U$  such that  $U \subset A \subset U^-$ . The complement of  $v$ -open set is denoted as  $v$ -closed set.

**Note 1:** Clearly  $RO(X) \subset vO(X) \subset SO(X)$ , but the reverse implications do not hold well.

**Definition 2.02:** Let  $A \subset X$ .

- (i) A point  $x \in A$  is the  $vg$ -interior point of  $A$  iff  $\exists G \in vgO(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in G \subset A$ .
- (ii) A point  $x \in X$  is said to be an  $vg$ -limit point of  $A$  iff for each  $U \in vgO(X)$ ,  $U \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \phi$ .
- (iii) A point  $x \in A$  is said to be  $vg$ -isolated point of  $A$  if  $\exists U \in vgO(X)$  such that  $U \cap A = \{x\}$ .

**Definition 2.03:** Let  $A \subset X$ .

- (i) Then  $A$  is said to be  $vg$ -discrete if each point of  $A$  is  $vg$ -isolated point of  $A$ . The set of all  $vg$ -isolated points of  $A$  is denoted by  $I_{vg}(A)$ .
- (ii) For any  $A \subset X$ , the intersection of all  $vg$ -closed sets containing  $A$  is called the  $vg$ -closure of  $A$  and is denoted by  $vg(A)^-$ .
- (iii) For any  $A \subset X$ ,  $A - vg(A)^0$  is said to be  $vg$ -border or  $vg$ -boundary of  $A$  and is denoted by  $B_{vg}(A)$ .
- (iv) For any  $A \subset X$ ,  $vg[vg(X - A)]^0$  is said to be the  $vg$ -exterior  $A \subset X$  and is denoted by  $vg(A)^e$ .

**Definition 2.04:** The set of all  $vg$ -interior points  $A$  is said to be  $vg$ -interior of  $A$  and is denoted by  $vg(A)^0$ .

\*Corresponding author: S. Balasubramanian\*, \*E-mail: [mani55682@rediffmail.com](mailto:mani55682@rediffmail.com)

**Theorem 2.01:** (i) Let  $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$  and  $Y$  is regularly open subspace of  $X$  then  $A \in \text{vgO}(Y, \tau_Y)$  iff  $Y$  is *vg-open* in  $X$   
 (ii) Let  $Y \subseteq X$  and  $A$  is a *vg-neighborhood* of  $x$  in  $Y$ . Then  $A$  is *vg-neighborhood* of  $x$  in  $X$  iff  $Y$  is *vg-open* in  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.02** Arbitrary intersection of *vg-closed* sets is *vg-closed*. More Precisely, Let  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  be a collection of *vg-closed* sets, then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  is again *vg-closed*.

**Note 2:** Finite union and finite intersection of *vg-closed* sets is not *vg-closed* in general.

**Theorem 2.03:** Let  $X = X_1 \times X_2$ . Let  $A_1 \in \text{vgC}(X_1)$  and  $A_2 \in \text{vgC}(X_2)$ , then  $A_1 \times A_2 \in \text{vgC}(X_1 \times X_2)$ .

### 3. Minimal *vg-open* Sets and Maximal *vg-closed* Sets:

We now introduce minimal *vg-open* sets and maximal *vg-closed* sets in topological spaces as follows.

**Definition 3.1:** A proper nonempty *vg-open* subset  $U$  of  $X$  is said to be a **minimal *vg-open* set** if any *vg-open* set contained in  $U$  is  $\phi$  or  $U$ .

**Remark 1:** Every Minimal open set is a minimal *vg-open* set but converse is not true:

**Example 1:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ;  $\tau = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ .  $\{a\}$  is both Minimal open set and Minimal *vg-open* set but  $\{b\}$  and  $\{c\}$  are Minimal *vg-open* but not Minimal open.

**Remark 2:** From the above example and known results we have the following implications

#### Theorem 3.1:

- (i) Let  $U$  be a minimal *vg-open* set and  $W$  be a *vg-open* set. Then  $U \cap W = \phi$  or  $U \subseteq W$ .
- (ii) Let  $U$  and  $V$  be minimal *vg-open* sets. Then  $U \cap V = \phi$  or  $U = V$ .

#### Proof:

(i) Let  $U$  be a minimal *vg-open* set and  $W$  be a *vg-open* set. If  $U \cap W = \phi$ , then there is nothing to prove. If  $U \cap W \neq \phi$ . Then  $U \cap W \subseteq U$ . Since  $U$  is a minimal *vg-open* set, we have  $U \cap W = U$ . Therefore  $U \subseteq W$ .

(ii) Let  $U$  and  $V$  be minimal *vg-open* sets. If  $U \cap V \neq \phi$ , then  $U \subseteq V$  and  $V \subseteq U$  by (i). Therefore  $U = V$ .

**Theorem 3.2:** Let  $U$  be a minimal *vg-open* set. If  $x \in U$ , then  $U \subseteq W$  for any regular open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$ .

**Proof:** Let  $U$  be a minimal *vg-open* set and  $x$  be an element of  $U$ . Suppose  $\exists$  a regular open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$  such that  $U \not\subseteq W$ . Then  $U \cap W$  is a *vg-open* set such that  $U \cap W \subseteq U$  and  $U \cap W \neq \phi$ . Since  $U$  is a minimal *vg-open* set, we have  $U \cap W = U$ . That is  $U \subseteq W$ , which is a contradiction for  $U \not\subseteq W$ . Therefore  $U \subseteq W$  for any regular open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$ .

**Theorem 3.3:** Let  $U$  be a minimal *vg-open* set. If  $x \in U$ , then  $U \subseteq W$  for some *vg-open* set  $W$  containing  $x$ .

**Theorem 3.4:** Let  $U$  be a minimal *vg-open* set. Then  $U = \bigcap \{W : W \in \text{vgO}(X, x)\}$  for any element  $x$  of  $U$ .

**Proof:** By theorem[3.3] and  $U$  is *vg-open* set containing  $x$ , we have  $U \subseteq \bigcap \{W : W \in \text{vgO}(X, x)\} \subseteq U$ .

**Theorem 3.5:** Let  $U$  be a nonempty *vg-open* set. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $U$  is a minimal *vg-open* set
- (ii)  $U \subseteq \text{vg}(S)^-$  for any nonempty subset  $S$  of  $U$
- (iii)  $\text{vg}(U)^- = \text{vg}(S)^-$  for any nonempty subset  $S$  of  $U$ .

**Proof:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $x \in U$ ;  $U$  be minimal *vg-open* set and  $S (\neq \phi) \subseteq U$ . By theorem[3.3], for any *vg-open* set  $W$  containing  $x$ ,  $S \subseteq U \subseteq W \Rightarrow S \subseteq W$ . Now  $S = S \cap U \subseteq S \cap W$ . Since  $S \neq \phi$ ,  $S \cap W \neq \phi$ . Since  $W$  is any *vg-open* set containing  $x$ , by theorem [5.03],  $x \in \text{vg}(S)^-$ . That is  $x \in U \Rightarrow x \in \text{vg}(S)^- \Rightarrow U \subseteq \text{vg}(S)^-$  for any nonempty subset  $S$  of  $U$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $U$ . That is  $S \subseteq U \Rightarrow \text{vg}(S)^- \subseteq \text{vg}(U)^- \rightarrow (1)$ . Again from (ii)  $U \subseteq \text{vg}(S)^-$  for any  $S (\neq \phi) \subseteq U \Rightarrow \text{vg}(U)^- \subseteq \text{vg}(\text{vg}(S)^-)^- = \text{vg}(S)^-$ . That is  $\text{vg}(U)^- \subseteq \text{vg}(S)^- \rightarrow (2)$ .

From (1) and (2), we have  $\text{vg}(U)^- = \text{vg}(S)^-$  for any nonempty subset  $S$  of  $U$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) From (3) we have  $vg(U)^- = vg(S)^-$  for any nonempty subset  $S$  of  $U$ . Suppose  $U$  is not a minimal  $vg$ -open set. Then  $\exists$  a nonempty  $vg$ -open set  $V$  such that  $V \subset U$  and  $V \neq U$ . Now  $\exists$  an element  $a$  in  $U$  such that  $a \notin V \Rightarrow a \in V^c$ . That is  $vg(\{a\})^- \subset vg(V^c)^- = V^c$ , as  $V^c$  is  $vg$ -closed set in  $X$ . It follows that  $vg(\{a\})^- \neq vg(U)^-$ . This is a contradiction for  $vg(\{a\})^- = vg(U)^-$  for any  $\{a\}(\neq \phi) \subset U$ . Therefore  $U$  is a minimal  $vg$ -open set.

**Theorem 3.6:** Let  $V$  be a nonempty finite  $vg$ -open set. Then  $\exists$  at least one (finite) minimal  $vg$ -open set  $U$  such that  $U \subset V$ .

**Proof:** Let  $V$  be a nonempty finite  $vg$ -open set. If  $V$  is a minimal  $vg$ -open set, we may set  $U = V$ . If  $V$  is not a minimal  $vg$ -open set, then  $\exists$  (finite)  $vg$ -open set  $V_1$  such that  $\phi \neq V_1 \subset V$ . If  $V_1$  is a minimal  $vg$ -open set, we may set  $U = V_1$ . If  $V_1$  is not a minimal  $vg$ -open set, then  $\exists$  (finite)  $vg$ -open set  $V_2$  such that  $\phi \neq V_2 \subset V_1$ . Continuing this process, we have a sequence of  $vg$ -open sets  $V \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset V_3 \supset \dots \supset V_k \supset \dots$ . Since  $V$  is a finite set, this process repeats only finitely. Then finally we get a minimal  $vg$ -open set  $U = V_n$  for some positive integer  $n$ .

[A topological space  $X$  is said to be locally finite space if each of its elements is contained in a finite open set.]

**Corollary 3.1:** Let  $X$  be a locally finite space and  $V$  be a nonempty  $vg$ -open set. Then  $\exists$  at least one (finite) minimal  $vg$ -open set  $U$  such that  $U \subset V$ .

**Proof:** Let  $X$  be a locally finite space and  $V$  be a nonempty  $vg$ -open set. Let  $x$  in  $V$ . Since  $X$  is locally finite space, we have a finite open set  $V_x$  such that  $x$  in  $V_x$ . Then  $V \cap V_x$  is a finite  $vg$ -open set. By Theorem 3.6  $\exists$  at least one (finite) minimal  $vg$ -open set  $U$  such that  $U \subset V \cap V_x$ . That is  $U \subset V \cap V_x \subset V$ . Hence  $\exists$  at least one (finite) minimal  $vg$ -open set  $U$  such that  $U \subset V$ .

**Corollary 3.2:** Let  $V$  be a finite minimal open set. Then  $\exists$  at least one (finite) minimal  $vg$ -open set  $U$  such that  $U \subset V$ .

**Proof:** Let  $V$  be a finite minimal open set. Then  $V$  is a nonempty finite  $vg$ -open set. By Theorem 3.6,  $\exists$  at least one (finite) minimal  $vg$ -open set  $U$  such that  $U \subset V$ .

**Theorem 3.7:** Let  $U; U_\lambda$  be minimal  $vg$ -open sets for any element  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . If  $U \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda$ , then  $\exists$  an element  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  such that  $U = U_\lambda$ .

**Proof:** Let  $U \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda$ . Then  $U \cap (\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) = U$ . That is  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} (U \cap U_\lambda) = U$ . Also by theorem [3.1] (ii),  $U \cap U_\lambda = \phi$  or  $U = U_\lambda$  for any  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . It follows that  $\exists$  an element  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  such that  $U = U_\lambda$ .

**Theorem 3.8:** Let  $U; U_\lambda$  be minimal  $vg$ -open sets for any  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . If  $U = U_\lambda$  for any  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ , then  $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) \cap U = \phi$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) \cap U \neq \phi$ . That is  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} (U_\lambda \cap U) \neq \phi$ . Then  $\exists$  an element  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  such that  $U \cap U_\lambda \neq \phi$ . By theorem 3.1(ii), we have  $U = U_\lambda$ , which contradicts the fact that  $U \neq U_\lambda$  for any  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . Hence  $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) \cap U = \phi$ .

We now introduce maximal  $vg$ -closed sets in topological spaces as follows.

**Definition 3.2:** A proper nonempty  $vg$ -closed  $F \subset X$  is said to be **maximal  $vg$ -closed set** if any  $vg$ -closed set containing  $F$  is either  $X$  or  $F$ .

**Remark 3:** Every Maximal closed set is maximal  $vg$ -closed set but not conversely

**Example 2:** In Example 1,  $\{b, c, d\}$  is Maximal closed and Maximal  $vg$ -closed but  $\{a, b, d\}$  and  $\{a, c, d\}$  are Maximal  $vg$ -closed but not Maximal closed.

**Remark 4:** From the known results and by the above example we have the following implications:

**Theorem 3.9:** A proper nonempty subset  $F$  of  $X$  is maximal  $vg$ -closed set iff  $X-F$  is a minimal  $vg$ -open set.

**Proof:** Let  $F$  be a maximal  $vg$ -closed set. Suppose  $X-F$  is not a minimal  $vg$ -open set. Then  $\exists$   $vg$ -open set  $U \neq X-F$  such that  $\phi \neq U \subset X-F$ . That is  $F \subset X-U$  and  $X-U$  is a  $vg$ -closed set which is a contradiction for  $F$  is a maximal  $vg$ -closed set.

Conversely let  $X-F$  be a minimal  $vg$ -open set. Suppose  $F$  is not a maximal  $vg$ -closed set. Then  $\exists$   $vg$ -closed set  $E \neq F$  such that  $F \subset E \neq X$ . That is  $\phi \neq X-E \subset X-F$  and  $X-E$  is a  $vg$ -open set which is a contradiction for  $X-F$  is a minimal  $vg$ -open set. Therefore  $F$  is a maximal  $vg$ -closed set.

**Theorem 3.10:**

(i) Let  $F$  be a maximal  $vg$ -closed set and  $W$  be a  $vg$ -closed set. Then  $F \cup W = X$  or  $W \subset F$ .

(ii) Let  $F$  and  $S$  be maximal *vg*-closed sets. Then  $F \cup S = X$  or  $F = S$ .

**Proof:** (i) Let  $F$  be a maximal *vg*-closed set and  $W$  be a *vg*-closed set. If  $F \cup W = X$ , then there is nothing to prove. Suppose  $F \cup W \neq X$ . Then  $F \subset F \cup W$ . Therefore  $F \cup W = F \Rightarrow W \subset F$ .

(ii) Let  $F$  and  $S$  be maximal *vg*-closed sets. If  $F \cup S \neq X$ , then we have  $F \subset S$  and  $S \subset F$  by (i). Therefore  $F = S$ .

**Theorem 3.11:** Let  $F$  be a maximal *vg*-closed set. If  $x$  is an element of  $F$ , then for any *vg*-closed set  $S$  containing  $x$ ,  $F \cup S = X$  or  $S \subset F$ .

**Proof:** Let  $F$  be a maximal *vg*-closed set and  $x$  is an element of  $F$ . Suppose  $\exists$  *vg*-closed set  $S$  containing  $x$  such that  $F \cup S \neq X$ . Then  $F \subset F \cup S$  and  $F \cup S$  is a *vg*-closed set, as the finite union of *vg*-closed sets is a *vg*-closed set. Since  $F$  is a *vg*-closed set, we have  $F \cup S = F$ . Therefore  $S \subset F$ .

**Theorem 3.12:** Let  $F_\alpha, F_\beta, F_\delta$  be maximal *vg*-closed sets such that  $F_\alpha \neq F_\beta$ . If  $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta$ , then either  $F_\alpha = F_\delta$  or  $F_\beta = F_\delta$ .

**Proof:** Given that  $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta$ . If  $F_\alpha = F_\delta$  then there is nothing to prove.

If  $F_\alpha \neq F_\delta$  then we have to prove  $F_\beta = F_\delta$ . Now  $F_\beta \cap F_\delta = F_\beta \cap (F_\delta \cap X) = F_\beta \cap (F_\delta \cap (F_\alpha \cup F_\beta))$  (by thm. 3.10 (ii))  $= F_\beta \cap ((F_\delta \cap F_\alpha) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta)) = (F_\beta \cap F_\delta \cap F_\alpha) \cup (F_\beta \cap F_\delta \cap F_\beta)$   
 $= (F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta)$  (by  $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta$ )  $= (F_\alpha \cup F_\delta) \cap F_\beta = X \cap F_\beta$  (Since  $F_\alpha$  and  $F_\delta$  are maximal *vg*-closed sets by theorem [3.10](ii),  $F_\alpha \cup F_\delta = X$ )  $= F_\beta$ . That is  $F_\beta \cap F_\delta = F_\beta \Rightarrow F_\beta \subset F_\delta$ . Since  $F_\beta$  and  $F_\delta$  are maximal *vg*-closed sets, we have  $F_\beta = F_\delta$ . Therefore  $F_\beta = F_\delta$ .

**Theorem 3.13:** Let  $F_\alpha, F_\beta$  and  $F_\delta$  be different maximal *vg*-closed sets to each other. Then  $(F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \not\subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta) \Rightarrow (F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta) \subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta) \Rightarrow (F_\alpha \cup F_\delta) \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta \cap (F_\alpha \cup F_\beta)$ . Since by theorem 3.10(ii),  $F_\alpha \cup F_\delta = X$  and  $F_\alpha \cup F_\beta = X \Rightarrow X \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta \cap X \Rightarrow F_\beta \subset F_\delta$ . From the definition of maximal *vg*-closed set it follows that  $F_\beta = F_\delta$ , which is a contradiction to the fact that  $F_\alpha, F_\beta$  and  $F_\delta$  are different to each other. Therefore  $(F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \not\subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta)$ .

**Theorem 3.14:** Let  $F$  be a maximal *vg*-closed set and  $x$  be an element of  $F$ . Then  $F = \cup \{S : S \text{ is a } \textit{vg}\text{-closed set containing } x \text{ such that } F \cup S \neq X\}$ .

**Proof:** By theorem 3.12 and fact that  $F$  is a *vg*-closed set containing  $x$ , we have  $F \subset \cup \{S : S \text{ is a } \textit{vg}\text{-closed set containing } x \text{ such that } F \cup S \neq X\} = F$ . Therefore we have the result.

**Theorem 3.15:** Let  $F$  be a proper nonempty cofinite *vg*-closed set. Then  $\exists$  (cofinite) maximal *vg*-closed set  $E$  such that  $F \subset E$ .

**Proof:** If  $F$  is maximal *vg*-closed set, we may set  $E = F$ . If  $F$  is not a maximal *vg*-closed set, then  $\exists$  (cofinite) *vg*-closed set  $F_1$  such that  $F \subset F_1 \neq X$ . If  $F_1$  is a maximal *vg*-closed set, we may set  $E = F_1$ . If  $F_1$  is not a maximal *vg*-closed set, then  $\exists$  a (cofinite) *vg*-closed set  $F_2$  such that  $F \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \neq X$ . Continuing this process, we have a sequence of *vg*-closed,  $F \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_k \subset \dots$ . Since  $F$  is a cofinite set, this process repeats only finitely. Then, finally we get a maximal *vg*-closed set  $E = E_n$  for some positive integer  $n$ .

**Theorem 3.16:** Let  $F$  be a maximal *vg*-closed set. If  $x$  is an element of  $X - F$ . Then  $X - F \subset E$  for any *vg*-closed set  $E$  containing  $x$ .

**Proof:** Let  $F$  be a maximal *vg*-closed set and  $x$  in  $X - F$ .  $E \not\subset F$  for any *vg*-closed set  $E$  containing  $x$ . Then  $E \cup F = X$  by theorem 3.10(ii). Therefore  $X - F \subset E$ .

#### 4. Minimal *vg*-Closed set and Maximal *vg*-open set:

We now introduce minimal *vg*-closed sets and maximal *vg*-open sets in topological spaces as follows.

**Definition 4.1:** A proper nonempty *vg*-closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  is said to be a **minimal *vg*-closed set** if any *vg*-closed set contained in  $F$  is  $\phi$  or  $F$ .

**Remark 5:** Every Minimal closed set is minimal *vg*-closed set but not conversely:

**Example 3:** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ;  $\tau = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ .  $\{d\}$  is both Minimal closed set and Minimal *vg*-closed set but  $\{a\}$ ,  $\{b\}$  and  $\{c\}$  are Minimal *vg*-closed but not Minimal closed.

**Definition 4.2:** A proper nonempty *vg*-open  $U \subset X$  is said to be a **maximal *vg*-open set** if any *vg*-open set containing  $U$  is either  $X$  or  $U$ .

**Remark 6:** Every Maximal open set is maximal *vg*-open set but not conversely.

**Example 4:** In Example 3.  $\{a, b, c\}$  is Maximal open set and maximal *vg*-open set but  $\{a, b, d\}$ ,  $\{a, c, d\}$  and  $\{b, c, d\}$  are Maximal *vg*-open but not maximal open.

**Theorem 4.1:** A proper nonempty subset  $U$  of  $X$  is maximal *vg*-open set iff  $X-U$  is a minimal *vg*-closed set.

**Proof:** Let  $U$  be a maximal *vg*-open set. Suppose  $X-U$  is not a minimal *vg*-closed set. Then  $\exists$  *vg*-closed set  $V \neq X-U$  such that  $\phi \neq V \subset X-U$ . That is  $U \subset X-V$  and  $X-V$  is a *vg*-open set which is a contradiction for  $U$  is a minimal *vg*-closed set. Conversely let  $X-U$  be a minimal *vg*-closed set. Suppose  $U$  is not a maximal *vg*-open set. Then  $\exists$  *vg*-open set  $E \neq U$  such that  $U \subset E \neq X$ . That is  $\phi \neq X-E \subset X-U$  and  $X-E$  is a *vg*-closed set which is a contradiction for  $X-U$  is a minimal *vg*-closed set. Therefore  $U$  is a maximal *vg*-closed set.

**Lemma 4.1:**

- (i) Let  $U$  be a minimal *vg*-closed set and  $W$  be a *vg*-closed set. Then  $U \cap W = \phi$  or  $U$  subset  $W$ .
- (ii) Let  $U$  and  $V$  be minimal *vg*-closed sets. Then  $U \cap V = \phi$  or  $U=V$ .

**Proof:**

(i) Let  $U$  be a minimal *vg*-closed set and  $W$  be a *vg*-closed set. If  $U \cap W = \phi$ , then there is nothing to prove. If  $U \cap W \neq \phi$ . Then  $U \cap W \subset U$ . Since  $U$  is a minimal *vg*-closed set, we have  $U \cap W = U$ . Therefore  $U \subset W$ .

(ii) Let  $U$  and  $V$  be minimal *vg*-closed sets. If  $U \cap V \neq \phi$ , then  $U \subset V$  and  $V \subset U$  by (i). Therefore  $U = V$ .

**Theorem 4.2:** Let  $U$  be a minimal *vg*-closed set. If  $x \in U$ , then  $U \subset W$  for any regular open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$ .

**Proof:** Let  $U$  be a minimal *vg*-closed set and  $x$  be an element of  $U$ . Suppose  $\exists$  an regular open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$  such that  $U \not\subset W$ . Then  $U \cap W$  is a *vg*-closed set such that  $U \cap W \subset U$  and  $U \cap W \neq \phi$ . Since  $U$  is a minimal *vg*-closed set, we have  $U \cap W = U$ . That is  $U \subset W$ , which is a contradiction for  $U \not\subset W$ . Therefore  $U \subset W$  for any regular open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$ .

**Theorem 4.3:** Let  $U$  be a minimal *vg*-closed set. If  $x \in U$ , then  $U \subset W$  for some *vg*-closed set  $W$  containing  $x$ .

**Theorem 4.4:** Let  $U$  be a minimal *vg*-closed set. Then  $U = \bigcap \{W : W \in \text{vgO}(X, x)\}$  for any element  $x$  of  $U$ .

**Proof:** By theorem[4.3] and  $U$  is *vg*-closed set containing  $x$ , we have  $U \subset \bigcap \{W : W \in \text{vgO}(X, x)\} \subset U$ .

**Theorem 4.5:** Let  $U$  be a nonempty *vg*-closed set. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $U$  is a minimal *vg*-closed set
- (ii)  $U \subset \text{vg}(S)^-$  for any nonempty subset  $S$  of  $U$
- (iii)  $\text{vg}(U)^- = \text{vg}(S)^-$  for any nonempty subset  $S$  of  $U$ .

**Proof:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $x \in U$ ;  $U$  be minimal *vg*-closed set and  $S(\neq \phi) \subset U$ . By theorem[4.3], for any *vg*-closed set  $W$  containing  $x$ ,  $S \subset U \subset W \Rightarrow S \subset W$ . Now  $S = S \cap U \subset S \cap W$ . Since  $S \neq \phi$ ,  $S \cap W \neq \phi$ . Since  $W$  is any *vg*-closed set containing  $x$ , by theorem[4.3],  $x \in \text{vg}(S)^-$ . That is  $x \in U \Rightarrow x \in \text{vg}(S)^- \Rightarrow U \subset \text{vg}(S)^-$  for any nonempty subset  $S$  of  $U$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $U$ . That is  $S \subset U \Rightarrow \text{vg}(S)^- \subset \text{vg}(U)^- \rightarrow (1)$ . Again from (ii)  $U \subset \text{vg}(S)^-$  for any  $S(\neq \phi) \subset U \Rightarrow \text{vg}(U)^- \subset \text{vg}(\text{vg}(S)^-)^- = \text{vg}(S)^-$ . That is  $\text{vg}(U)^- \subset \text{vg}(S)^- \rightarrow (2)$ . From (1) and (2), we have  $\text{vg}(U)^- = \text{vg}(S)^-$  for any nonempty subset  $S$  of  $U$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) From (3) we have  $\text{vg}(U)^- = \text{vg}(S)^-$  for any nonempty subset  $S$  of  $U$ . Suppose  $U$  is not a minimal *vg*-closed set. Then  $\exists$  a nonempty *vg*-closed set  $V$  such that  $V \subset U$  and  $V \neq U$ . Now  $\exists$  an element  $a$  in  $U$  such that  $a \notin V \Rightarrow a \in V^c$ . That is  $\text{vg}(\{a\})^- \subset \text{vg}(V^c)^- = V^c$ , as  $V^c$  is *vg*-closed set in  $X$ . It follows that  $\text{vg}(\{a\})^- \neq \text{vg}(U)^-$ . This is a contradiction for  $\text{vg}(\{a\})^- = \text{vg}(U)^-$  for any  $\{a\}(\neq \phi) \subset U$ . Therefore  $U$  is a minimal *vg*-closed set.

**Theorem 4.6:** Let  $V$  be a nonempty finite *vg*-closed set. Then  $\exists$  at least one (finite) minimal *vg*-closed set  $U$  such that  $U \subset V$ .

**Proof:** Let  $V$  be a nonempty finite  $vg$ -closed set. If  $V$  is a minimal  $vg$ -closed set, we may set  $U = V$ . If  $V$  is not a minimal  $vg$ -closed set, then  $\exists$  (finite)  $vg$ -closed set  $V_1$  such that  $\phi \neq V_1 \subset V$ . If  $V_1$  is a minimal  $vg$ -closed set, we may set  $U = V_1$ . If  $V_1$  is not a minimal  $vg$ -closed set, then  $\exists$  (finite)  $vg$ -closed set  $V_2$  such that  $\phi \neq V_2 \subset V_1$ . Continuing this process, we have a sequence of  $vg$ -closed sets  $V \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset V_3 \supset \dots \supset V_k \supset \dots$ . Since  $V$  is a finite set, this process repeats only finitely. Then finally we get a minimal  $vg$ -closed set  $U = V_n$  for some positive integer  $n$ .

**Corollary 4.1:** Let  $X$  be a locally finite space and  $V$  be a nonempty  $vg$ -closed set. Then  $\exists$  at least one (finite) minimal  $vg$ -closed set  $U$  such that  $U \subset V$ .

**Proof:** Let  $X$  be a locally finite space and  $V$  be a nonempty  $vg$ -closed set. Let  $x$  in  $V$ . Since  $X$  is locally finite space, we have a finite open set  $V_x$  such that  $x$  in  $V_x$ . Then  $V \cap V_x$  is a finite  $vg$ -closed set. By Theorem 4.6  $\exists$  at least one (finite) minimal  $vg$ -closed set  $U$  such that  $U \subset V \cap V_x$ . That is  $U \subset V \cap V_x \subset V$ . Hence  $\exists$  at least one (finite) minimal  $vg$ -closed set  $U$  such that  $U \subset V$ .

**Corollary 4.2:** Let  $V$  be a finite minimal open set. Then  $\exists$  at least one (finite) minimal  $vg$ -closed set  $U$  such that  $U \subset V$ .

**Proof:** Let  $V$  be a finite minimal open set. Then  $V$  is a nonempty finite  $vg$ -closed set. By Theorem 4.6,  $\exists$  at least one (finite) minimal  $vg$ -closed set  $U$  such that  $U \subset V$ .

**Theorem 4.7:** Let  $U; U_\lambda$  be minimal  $vg$ -closed sets for any element  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . If  $U \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda$ , then  $\exists$  an element  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  such that  $U = U_\lambda$ .

**Proof:** Let  $U \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda$ . Then  $U \cap (\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) = U$ . That is  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} (U \cap U_\lambda) = U$ . Also by lemma[4.1] (ii),  $U \cap U_\lambda = \phi$  or  $U = U_\lambda$  for any  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . It follows that  $\exists$  an element  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  such that  $U = U_\lambda$ .

**Theorem 4.8:** Let  $U; U_\lambda$  be minimal  $vg$ -closed sets for any  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . If  $U = U_\lambda$  for any  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ , then  $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) \cap U = \phi$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) \cap U \neq \phi$ . That is  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} (U_\lambda \cap U) \neq \phi$ . Then  $\exists$  an element  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  such that  $U \cap U_\lambda \neq \phi$ . By lemma[4.1](ii), we have  $U = U_\lambda$ , which contradicts the fact that  $U \neq U_\lambda$  for any  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . Hence  $(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Gamma} U_\lambda) \cap U = \phi$ .

**Theorem 4.9:** A proper nonempty subset  $F$  of  $X$  is maximal  $vg$ -open set iff  $X-F$  is a minimal  $vg$ -closed set.

**Proof:** Let  $F$  be a maximal  $vg$ -open set. Suppose  $X-F$  is not a minimal  $vg$ -open set. Then  $\exists$   $vg$ -open set  $U \neq X-F$  such that  $\phi \neq U \subset X-F$ . That is  $F \subset X-U$  and  $X-U$  is a  $vg$ -open set which is a contradiction for  $F$  is a maximal  $vg$ -open set.

Conversely let  $X-F$  be a minimal  $vg$ -open set. Suppose  $F$  is not a maximal  $vg$ -open set. Then  $\exists$   $vg$ -open set  $E \neq F$  such that  $F \subset E \neq X$ . That is  $\phi \neq X-E \subset X-F$  and  $X-E$  is a  $vg$ -open set which is a contradiction for  $X-F$  is a minimal  $vg$ -closed set. Therefore  $F$  is a maximal  $vg$ -open set.

**Theorem 4.10:**

(i) Let  $F$  be a maximal  $vg$ -open set and  $W$  be a  $vg$ -open set. Then  $F \cup W = X$  or  $W \subset F$ .

(ii) Let  $F$  and  $S$  be maximal  $vg$ -open sets. Then  $F \cup S = X$  or  $F = S$ .

**Proof:** (i) Let  $F$  be a maximal  $vg$ -open set and  $W$  be a  $vg$ -open set. If  $F \cup W = X$ , then there is nothing to prove. Suppose  $F \cup W \neq X$ . Then  $F \subset F \cup W$ . Therefore  $F \cup W = F \Rightarrow W \subset F$ .

(ii) Let  $F$  and  $S$  be maximal  $vg$ -open sets. If  $F \cup S \neq X$ , then we have  $F \subset S$  and  $S \subset F$  by (i). Therefore  $F = S$ .

**Theorem 4.11:** Let  $F$  be a maximal  $vg$ -open set. If  $x$  is an element of  $F$ , then for any  $vg$ -open set  $S$  containing  $x$ ,  $F \cup S = X$  or  $S \subset F$ .

**Proof:** Let  $F$  be a maximal  $vg$ -open set and  $x$  is an element of  $F$ . Suppose  $\exists$   $vg$ -open set  $S$  containing  $x$  such that  $F \cup S \neq X$ . Then  $F \subset F \cup S$  and  $F \cup S$  is a  $vg$ -open set, as the finite union of  $vg$ -open sets is a  $vg$ -open set. Since  $F$  is a maximal  $vg$ -open set, we have  $F \cup S = F$ . Therefore  $S \subset F$ .

**Theorem 4.12:** Let  $F_\alpha, F_\beta, F_\delta$  be maximal  $vg$ -open sets such that  $F_\alpha \neq F_\beta$ . If  $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta$ , then either  $F_\alpha = F_\delta$  or  $F_\beta = F_\delta$ .

**Proof:** Given that  $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta$ . If  $F_\alpha = F_\delta$  then there is nothing to prove.

If  $F_\alpha \neq F_\delta$  then we have to prove  $F_\beta = F_\delta$ . Now  $F_\beta \cap F_\delta = F_\beta \cap (F_\delta \cap X) = F_\beta \cap (F_\delta \cap (F_\alpha \cup F_\beta))$  (by thm. 4.10 (ii))  $= F_\beta \cap ((F_\delta \cap F_\alpha) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta)) = (F_\beta \cap F_\delta \cap F_\alpha) \cup (F_\beta \cap F_\delta \cap F_\beta)$

$= (F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta)$  (by  $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta$ )  $= (F_\alpha \cup F_\delta) \cap F_\beta = X \cap F_\beta$  (Since  $F_\alpha$  and  $F_\delta$  are maximal  $\nu$ -open sets by theorem[4.10](ii),  $F_\alpha \cup F_\delta = X$ )  $= F_\beta$ . That is  $F_\beta \cap F_\delta = F_\beta \Rightarrow F_\beta \subset F_\delta$  Since  $F_\beta$  and  $F_\delta$  are maximal  $\nu$ -open sets, we have  $F_\beta = F_\delta$  Therefore  $F_\beta = F_\delta$

**Theorem 4.13:** Let  $F_\alpha, F_\beta$  and  $F_\delta$  be different maximal  $\nu$ -open sets to each other. Then  $(F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \not\subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta) \Rightarrow (F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta) \subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta) \cup (F_\delta \cap F_\beta) \Rightarrow (F_\alpha \cup F_\delta) \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta \cap (F_\alpha \cup F_\beta)$ . Since by theorem 4.10(ii),  $F_\alpha \cup F_\delta = X$  and  $F_\alpha \cup F_\beta = X \Rightarrow X \cap F_\beta \subset F_\delta \cap X \Rightarrow F_\beta \subset F_\delta$  From the definition of maximal  $\nu$ -open set it follows that  $F_\beta = F_\delta$ , which is a contradiction to the fact that  $F_\alpha, F_\beta$  and  $F_\delta$  are different to each other. Therefore  $(F_\alpha \cap F_\beta) \not\subset (F_\alpha \cap F_\delta)$ .

**Theorem 4.14:** Let  $F$  be a maximal  $\nu$ -open set and  $x$  be an element of  $F$ . Then  $F = \cup \{ S : S \text{ is a } \nu\text{-open set containing } x \text{ such that } F \cup S \neq X \}$ .

**Proof:** By theorem 4.12 and fact that  $F$  is a  $\nu$ -open set containing  $x$ , we have  $F \subset \cup \{ S : S \text{ is a } \nu\text{-open set containing } x \text{ such that } F \cup S \neq X \} - F$ . Therefore we have the result.

**Theorem 4.15:** Let  $F$  be a proper nonempty cofinite  $\nu$ -open set. Then  $\exists$  (cofinite) maximal  $\nu$ -open set  $E$  such that  $F \subset E$ .

**Proof:** If  $F$  is maximal  $\nu$ -open set, we may set  $E=F$ . If  $F$  is not a maximal  $\nu$ -open set, then  $\exists$  (cofinite)  $\nu$ -open set  $F_1$  such that  $F \subset F_1 \neq X$ . If  $F_1$  is a maximal  $\nu$ -open set, we may set  $E = F_1$ . If  $F_1$  is not a maximal  $\nu$ -open set, then  $\exists$  a (cofinite)  $\nu$ -open set  $F_2$  such that  $F \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \neq X$ . Continuing this process, we have a sequence of  $\nu$ -open,  $F \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_k \subset \dots$ . Since  $F$  is a cofinite set, this process repeats only finitely. Then, finally we get a maximal  $\nu$ -open set  $E = E_n$  for some positive integer  $n$ .

**Theorem 4.16:** Let  $F$  be a maximal  $\nu$ -open set. If  $x$  is an element of  $X-F$ . Then  $X-F \subset E$  for any  $\nu$ -open set  $E$  containing  $x$ .

**Proof:** Let  $F$  be a maximal  $\nu$ -open set and  $x$  in  $X-F$ .  $E \not\subset F$  for any  $\nu$ -open set  $E$  containing  $x$ . Then  $E \cup F = X$  by theorem 4.10(ii). Therefore  $X-F \subset E$ .

**Conclusion:** The Author is thankful to the referees for their critical comments and suggestions for the development of the paper.

**REFERENCES:**

[1] S. Balasubramanian, C. Sandhya and P. A. S. Vyjayanthi, On  $\nu$ -closed sets (Accepted) Acta Ciencia Indica

[2] S. Balasubramanian,  $\nu$ -Generalized closed sets– Bull. Kerala Math. Association-Vol.5.No.2,(2009)81-92.

[3] S. Balasubramanian,  $\nu$ -boundary and  $\nu$ -exterior operators - Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol.XXXVII M, No.1 (2011) 11-18.

[4] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, Some Properties of Maximal Open Sets, Int. J. Math. Sci. 21, (2003)1331-1340.

[5] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, Some Applications of Minimal Open Sets, Int. J. Math. Sci. 27-8, (2001), 471-476.

[6] F. Nakaoka and N. Oda, On Minimal Closed Sets, Proceeding of Topological spaces Theory and its Applications, 5(2003), 19-21.

[7] Sharma V.K.,  $\nu$ -open sets, Acta Ciencia Indica Vol. XXXII, (2006) 685-690.

[8] A. Vadivel and K. Vairamanickam, Minimal  $\nu$ -open Sets and Maximal  $\nu$ -closed Sets, Submitted to Journal of Tripura Mathematical Society.

\*\*\*\*\*