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#### Abstract

In the present paper we will discuss refinements of Bernstein's Inequality for the polynomials and will prove some results which will among other things also generalize.
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## INTRODUCTION

Suppose $F(x)$ be a polynomial of degree m and $F^{\prime}(x)$ be its derivative. Concerning the estimate modulus of $F^{\prime}(x)$ on the unit circle $|x|=1$ we know the inequality called as Bernstein's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|x|=1}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq m \max _{[x[=1}|F(x)| \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Concerning the estimate modulus of $F(x)$ on a large circle $|x|=R>1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|x|=R>1}|F(x)| \leq R^{m} \max _{|x|=1}|F(x)| \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (1.1) is an consequence of S.Bernstein's theorem on the derivative of a trigonometric polynomials. Inequality (1.2) is a simple deduction consequence of maximum modulus principle.

For the both (1.1) and (1.2) holds for the polynomial $F(x)=\beta x^{m},|\beta| \neq 0$, that is, if and only if $F(x)$ has all its zeros at the origin. It has been proved by Frappier, Ruscheweyh and Rahman that if $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree m, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|x|=1}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq m \max _{1 \leq k \leq 2 m}\left|F\left(e^{\frac{i k \pi}{m}}\right)\right| \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.3) clears represents a refinement of (1.1). since the maximum of $|F(x)|$ on the $|x|=1$ may be large than the maximum of $F(x)$ taken over the $2 n^{t h}$ roots of unity. take an example $F(x)=x^{m}+i b, b>0$. As it has been proved by the A.Aziz interesting refinement of (1.3) and hence Bernstein’s Inequality (1.1) as well.

[^0]Theorem 1.1: If $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree m , then for every given real $\beta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|x|=1}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{m}{2}\left[M_{\beta}+M_{\beta+\pi}\right] \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $M_{\beta}=\max _{1 \leq k \leq m}\left|F\left(e^{\frac{i(\beta+2 k \pi}{m}}\right)\right|$
$M_{\beta+\pi}$ is obtained from (1.5) by replacing $\beta$ by $\beta+\pi$. The result is best possible and equality in (1.4) holds for $F(x)=x^{m}+r e^{i \beta}, 1 \leq r \leq 1$.

Theorem 1.2: If $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree m , then for all real $\beta$ and $\mathrm{R}>1$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|x|=1}|F(R x)-F(x)| \leq\left(\frac{R^{n}-1}{2}\right)\left(M_{\beta}+M_{\beta+\pi}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is best possible and equaliy in (1.6) holds for the polynomial $F(x)=x^{m}+r e^{i \beta},-1 \leq r \leq 1$. If we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials having no zero in $|x|<1$, inequality (1.1) is sharpened. In fact P.Erdos conjectured and later P.D.Lax [5] verified that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|x|=1}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{m}{2} \max |F(x)| \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.3: If $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree having no zero in $|x|<1$, then for every real $\beta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|x=1|}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{m}{2}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is best possible and equality in (1.8) holds for $F(x)=x^{m}+e^{i \beta}$.

Theorem 1.4: If $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $m$ having no zero in $|x|<1$, then for every real $\beta$ and $\mathrm{R}>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|x|=1} \left\lvert\, F\left(R(x)-F(x) \left\lvert\, \leq\left(\frac{R^{n}-1}{2}\right)\left(M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right.\right.\right. \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp and equality in (1.9) holds for $F(x)=x^{m}+e^{i \beta}$
Now we will prove the theorem one by one.
Theorem A: If $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $m$ having all its zeros in $|x| \geq k \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|x|=1}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{n^{2}}{2\left(1+k^{2}\right)}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+2}^{2}\right] \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $M_{\beta}$ is defined by (1.5)
Taking $\mathrm{k}=1$, Theorem A reduces to Theorem 1.3.
Theorem B: If $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in $|x| \geq k \geq 1$, then for all real $\alpha$ and $\mathrm{R}>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(R x)-F(x)| \leq \frac{R^{n}-1}{\sqrt{2\left(1+k^{2}\right)}}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $M_{\beta}+M_{\beta+\pi}$ are defined as in Theorem 1.1

Corollary 1: If $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree m , then for all real $\beta$ and $r \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|x|=1}\left|F(r z)-r^{n} F(x)\right| \leq \frac{1-r^{n}}{\sqrt{2\left(1+k^{2}\right)}}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem C: If $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree m having all its zeros in $|x|<k, k \leq 1$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|x|=1}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{2\left(1+k^{2}\right)}}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem D: If $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree m having all its zeros on $|x| \leq k, k \leq 1$, then for all real $\alpha$ and $\mathrm{R}>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(R x)-F(x)| \leq \frac{R^{n}-1}{\sqrt{2\left(1+k^{2 n}\right)}}\left[M_{\beta}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem E: If $F(x)$ is self inverse polynomial of degree $m$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|x|=1}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}}, \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{\beta}$ is defined by (1.5)

## Proof of theorem: for the proof of these theorems we need the following leemas

Leema 1: If $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree m , then for $|x|=1$ ad for every real $\beta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\left|m F(x)-x F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{m^{2}}{2}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right] \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]$ are defined as in Theorem 1.

Leema 2: If $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $m$ having all its zeros in $|x| \geq k \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{s}\left|F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \leq\left|Q^{s}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $Q(x)=\operatorname{znF}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{X}}\right)$

Leema3: If $F(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $m$ having all its zeros in $|x|<k, k \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
k m \max _{|x|=1}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \max _{|x|=1}\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right| \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{x})$ is as mentioned in Leema 2.
Proof of theorem A: Let $Q(x)=x n \overline{F\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)}$. Then

$$
\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|=\left|m F(x)-x F^{\prime}(x)\right|, \text { for }|x|=1
$$

By using (1.10), we will get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{m^{2}}{2}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right] \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (1.17) with $s=1$, we have

$$
k\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|, \text { for }|x|=1
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1+k^{2}\right)\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} & =\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+k^{2}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \\
& \leq\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{m^{2}}{2}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{m^{2}}{2\left(1+k^{2}\right)}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right] \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence proved Theorem A.
Proof of Theorem B: We have $\forall t \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F^{\prime}\left(t e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \leq t^{n-1} \max _{|x|=1}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now applying Theorem 1 to the polynomial $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ which is of degree $\mathrm{m}-1$, we will get

$$
\left|F^{\prime}(t e i \theta)\right| \leq t^{m-1} \frac{m}{\sqrt{2\left(1+k^{2}\right)}}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Hence for each $\theta, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$ and $\mathrm{R}>1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F\left(\operatorname{Re}^{i \theta}\right)-F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{1}^{R} e^{i \theta} F^{\prime}\left(t e^{i \theta}\right) d t\right| \\
& \leq \int_{1}^{R}\left|F^{\prime}\left(t e^{i \theta}\right) d t\right| \\
& \leq \frac{m}{\sqrt{2\left(1+k^{2}\right)}}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]^{1} \int_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{m-1} d t \\
& =\frac{R^{m}-1}{\sqrt{2(1+k)^{2}}}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
|F(R x)-F(x)| \leq \frac{R^{m}-1}{\sqrt{2(1+k)^{2}}}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Hence we get the required result.
Proof of Theorem C: We have from Leema 1

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\left|m F(x)-x F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{m^{2}}{2}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right] \\
\begin{aligned}
&\left(1+k^{2 m}\right) \max \left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}=\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+k^{2 m}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \\
&=\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\left|k^{m} F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \\
&\left(1+k^{2 m}\right) \max _{|x|=1}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}\left.\leq\left.\max _{|x|=1}| | F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}\right] \\
&\left.=\left.\max _{|x|=1}| | F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\left|m F(x)-x F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{m^{2}}{2}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right] \\
& \max _{|x|=1}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{m}{\sqrt{2\left(1+k^{2 m}\right)}}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned} . l\right. \tag{1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence completes the Proof of the theorem.

## Proof of Theorem D:

We have $\forall t \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$

$$
\left|F^{\prime}\left(t e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \leq t^{n-1} \max _{|x|=1}\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|
$$

Now applying Theorem 1 to the polynomial $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ which is of degree $\mathrm{m}-1$, we will get

$$
\left|F^{\prime}(t e i \theta)\right| \leq t^{m-1} \frac{m}{\sqrt{2\left(1+k^{2}\right)}}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Hence for each $\theta, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$ and $\mathrm{R}>1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F\left(\operatorname{Re}^{i \theta}\right)-F\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{1}^{R} e^{i \theta} F^{\prime}\left(t e^{i \theta}\right) d t\right| \\
& \leq \int_{1}^{R}\left|F^{\prime}\left(t e^{i \theta}\right) d t\right| \\
& \leq \frac{m}{\sqrt{2\left(1+k^{2}\right)}}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]^{1 \frac{1}{2}} \int_{1}^{R} t^{m-1} d t \\
& =\frac{R^{m}-1}{\sqrt{2(1+k)^{2}}}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
|F(R x)-F(x)| \leq \frac{R^{m}-1}{\sqrt{2(1+k)^{2}}}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Hence we get the required result.
Proof of Theorem E: Now $F(x)=x m \overline{F\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)}$
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{\prime}(x)=m x^{m-1} F\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)-x^{m-2} F\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \\
& x F^{\prime}(x)=m x^{m} F\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)-x^{m-1} F\left(\underset{\sim}{\frac{1}{x}}\right) \\
& x F^{\prime}(x)=m F(x)-x^{m-1} F\left(\frac{1}{\bar{x}}\right) \\
& \left|m F(x)-x F^{\prime}(x)\right|=\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|, f o r|x|=1
\end{aligned}
$$

By using lemma 1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}=\left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\left|m F(x)-x F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \\
& \left|F^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{m^{2}}{4}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right] \\
& \left|F^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{m}{2}\left[M_{\beta}^{2}+M_{\beta+\pi}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence proved.

## REFERENCES

1. Abdul Aziz and Q.G.Mohammad, Simple Proof of a theorem of Erdos and Lax, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1980) 119-122.
2. Abdul Aziz, Inequalities for Polynomials with a Prescribed Zero, J. Approx. Theory, 41(1984), 15-20.
3. Abdul Aziz, A Refinement of an Inequality of S. Bernstein, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications,Vol. 144 No. 1 November 1989, 226-235
4. A. C. Schaeffer, Inequalities of A. Markoff and S. Bernstein for Polynomials and related functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1941), 565-579.
5. C.Frappier, Q. I. Rahman and St. Ruscheweyh, New Inequalities for Polynomials, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 288(1985) 69-99.
6. G. Polya and G. Szego, Aufgaben und Lehrsatze aus der Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1925.
7. M. Riesz, Uber einen Satz des Herrn Serge Bernstein, Acta Math. 40(1916), 337-347.
8. N. K. Govil and Q. I. Rahman, Functions of exponential type not vanishing in a half plane and Related Polynomials,Tran.Amer.Math.Soc.137(1969), 501-517.
9. N. K. Govil, Some Inequalities for Derivatives of Polynomials, J. Approx. Theory, 66(1991), 29-35.
10. P. D. Lax, Proof of a Conjecture of P.Erdos on the derivative of a Polynomial, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 50 (1944) 509 -513.

## Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2020. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]


[^0]:    Corresponding Author: Jahangeer Habibullah Ganai*1,
    ${ }^{1}$ Department of Mathematical Sciences, A.P.S. University, Rewa (M.P.) - 486003, India,

