



A NOTE ON DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS ON HILBERT C^* –MODULES
AND DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS ON C^* –ALGEBRAS

M. Khaneghir*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of science, Islamic Azad University-Mashhad Branch,
Mashhad, Iran, P. O. Box 413-91735.

E-mail: khaneghir@mshdiau.ac.ir

(Received on: 22-08-11; Accepted on: 30-09-11)

ABSTRACT

Suppose that C is a unitary operator on a full Hilbert C^* –module M . We describe dynamical systems on a full Hilbert C^* –module M over a C^* –algebra A as a one-parameter C –group of unitaries on M . We investigate dynamical systems on a full Hilbert module over a Frechet locally C^* –algebra. Then we discuss two-parameter dynamical systems on a full Hilbert C^* –module M over a C^* –algebra. Finally we characterize infinitesimal generator of a uniformly continuous positive C – semigroup on a C^* – algebra.

2000 AMS subject classification: 46L05,46L08,47B48,47B65,47D03.

KeyWords: Full Hilbert C^* –module, Dynamical system, Unitary operator, Frechet locally C^* –algebra, C – semigroup, Infinitesimal generator and generalized derivation.

1.INTRODUCTION:

A pre-Hilbert C^* –module over a C^* –algebra A is an algebraic left A –module M equipped with an A –valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ which is A –linear in the first variable and for every $x, y \in M$ satisfies the following relations:

- (1) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$;
- (2) $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ if and if $x = 0$;
- (3) $\langle x, y \rangle^* = \langle y, x \rangle$.

We say M is a Hilbert C^* –module if it is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. A Hilbert module M is called full if the closed linear span $\langle M, M \rangle$ of all elements of the form $\langle x, y \rangle$ ($x, y \in M$) is equal to A . It can be easily proved that if M is a full Hilbert module over a C^* –algebra A then $ax = 0$ if and only if $a = 0$ for all $x \in M$. For more details on Hilbert modules one can see [9].

Now let M and N be Hilbert modules over C^* –algebras A and B respectively. Following [2] we call a map $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ is a unitary operator if there exists an injective morphism of C^* –algebras $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ such that Φ is a surjective φ –morphism. The set of all unitary operators on M together with composition of maps form a group which is denoted by $U(M)$. If M is full and $\alpha : M \rightarrow M$ is a unitary operator than there is a $*$ –isomorphism $\alpha' : A \rightarrow A$ such that α is an α' – morphism ([2] Remark 2.9).

Abbaspour, Moslehian and Niknam in [1] characterized a dynamical system on a full Hilbert module M over a C^* – algebra A as a one-parameter group of unitaries on M . They proved that if $\alpha : R \rightarrow U(M)$ is a dynamical system, then it corresponds to a C^* –dynamical system α' on A such that if δ and d are the infinitesimal generators

Corresponding author: M.Khaneghir, *E-mail: khaneghir@mshdiau.ac.ir

of α and α' respectively, then δ is a d -generalized derivation. In this paper we first extend this to one-parameter C -group of unitaries on a full Hilbert C^* -module M where C is a unitary operator on M . For more details on C -groups see [4]. We discuss dynamical systems on a full Hilbert module over a Frechet locally C^* -algebra. A locally C^* -algebra is a complete Hausdorff complex $*$ -algebra A whose topology is determined by its continuous C^* -seminorms. The set of all continuous C^* -seminorms on A is denoted by $S(A)$. A Frechet locally C^* -algebra is a locally C^* -algebra whose topology is determined by a countable family of C^* -seminorms. Clearly any C^* -algebra is a Frechet locally C^* -algebra. In [8], Joita characterized a unitary operator $\Phi: E \rightarrow F$, where A and B are two Frechet locally C^* -algebras and E and F are full Hilbert modules over A and B respectively. We describe dynamical systems on these spaces. We also investigate two-parameter dynamical systems on a full Hilbert C^* -module. The reader is referred to [7] for more details on two-parameter dynamical systems and to [11] on C^* -dynamical systems.

In Sec. 3, we describe dynamical systems on a C^* -algebra. More precisely we characterize the infinitesimal generator of a uniformly continuous (strongly continuous) positive C -semigroups on a C^* -algebra.

2. C-GROUP OF UNITARIES ON A FULL HILBERT MODULE:

Definition: 2.1. Suppose that C is a unitary operator on a full Hilbert C^* -module M . The family of unitary operators $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on M is a C -group if it has the following properties:

- (i) $\alpha_0 = C$.
- (ii) $\alpha_t \alpha_s(x) = C \alpha_{t+s}(x)$, $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (iii) α_t is strongly continuous, that is, for all $x \in M$ the map $t \rightarrow \alpha_t(x)$ from \mathbb{R} into M is continuous.

The operator δ is the infinitesimal generator of C -group $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ if

$$D(\delta) = \{x \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha_t(x) - C(x)}{t} \text{ exists}\}$$

with

$$\delta(x) = C^{-1} \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha_t(x) - C(x)}{t} \right)$$

Note that by Theorem 3.4 of [8] C^{-1} exists.

A small modification in the proof of Theorem 4.3 in Ref. 1 gives the following result.

Theorem: 2.2. Let M be a full Hilbert A -module and C be a unitary operator on M . Let α be a C -group on M and δ be the infinitesimal generator of dynamical system α . Then there exists a derivation $d: D(d) \subseteq A \rightarrow A$ such that $D(\delta)$ is a left $D(d)$ -module and

$$\delta(ax) = a\delta(x) + d(a)x, a \in D(d), x \in D(\delta).$$

Proof: Since α is a C -group on M , for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the mapping $\alpha_t: M \rightarrow M$ is a unitary, so there exists $*$ -isomorphism $\alpha_t': A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\langle \alpha_t(x), \alpha_t(y) \rangle = \alpha_t'(\langle x, y \rangle), \text{ and } \alpha_t(ax) = \alpha_t'(a)\alpha_t(x) (a \in A, x \in M).$$

Analogy since C is a unitary operator on M , so there exists a $*$ -isomorphism $c': A \rightarrow A$ such that $\langle C(x), C(y) \rangle = c'(\langle x, y \rangle)$ and $C(ax) = c'(a)C(x)$. Now we show that $\alpha': \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ is a c' -dynamical system on the C^* -algebra A . For each $a \in A, x \in M$ we have

$$c'(a)C(x) = C(ax) = \alpha_0(ax) = \alpha'_0(a)\alpha_0(x) = \alpha'_0(a)C(x)$$

and this implies that $(c'(a) - \alpha'_0(a))C(x) = 0$. Since C is surjective and M is full so, $\alpha'_0(a) = c'(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Therefore $\alpha'_0 = c'$.

Also for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} c'(\alpha'_{t+s}(a))C(\alpha_{t+s}(x)) &= C(\alpha'_{t+s}(a)\alpha_{t+s}(x)) \\ &= C\alpha_{t+s}(ax) \\ &= \alpha_t\alpha_s(ax) \\ &= \alpha_t(\alpha'_s(a)\alpha_s(x)) \\ &= \alpha'_t\alpha'_s(a)\alpha_t\alpha_s(x) \\ &= \alpha'_t\alpha'_s(a)C\alpha_{t+s}(x). \end{aligned}$$

It implies that $[c'\alpha'_{t+s}(a) - \alpha'_t\alpha'_s(a)]C(\alpha_{t+s}(x)) = 0$. So we have

$$c'\alpha'_{t+s}(a) = \alpha'_t\alpha'_s(a).$$

Since for each $x \in M$, $\alpha_t(x) \rightarrow C(x)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha'_t(a)C(x) - c'(a)C(x)\| &\leq \|\alpha'_t(a)C(x) - \alpha'_t(a)\alpha_t(x)\| + \|\alpha'_t(a)\alpha_t(x) - C(ax)\| \\ &= \|\alpha'_t(a)(C(x) - \alpha_t(x))\| + \|\alpha_t(ax) - C(ax)\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \alpha'_t(a)x = c'(a)x$ for all $x \in \text{range } C (= M)$, whence $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \alpha'_t(a) = c'(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Therefore $\alpha' : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ is a c' -dynamical system on the C^* -algebra A . If d is the infinitesimal generator of α' then for each $a \in D(d)$, $x \in D(\delta)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(ax) &= C^{-1} \left[\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha_t(ax) - C(ax)}{t} \right] \\ &= C^{-1} \left[\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha'_t(a)\alpha_t(x) - c'(a)\alpha_t(x)}{t} + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{c'(a)\alpha_t(x) - c'(a)C(x)}{t} \right] \\ &= c'^{-1} \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha'_t(a) - c'(a)}{t} \right) C^{-1} \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \alpha_t(x) \right) + c'^{-1}(c'(a)) C^{-1} \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha_t(x) - C(x)}{t} \right) \\ &= d(a)x + a\delta(x). \end{aligned}$$

Note that by Remark 3.3 and Corollary 3.6 of [8], C^{-1} is a module map in the sense $C^{-1}(ax) = c'^{-1}(a)C^{-1}(x)$. From above relations we conclude that $ax \in D(\delta)$ and $\delta(ax) = a\delta(x) + d(a)x$. Furthermore, $D(\delta)$ is a left $D(d)$ -module.

Analogue of Theorem 2.2 holds for full Hilbert module M over Frechet locally C^* -algebra A (see[8]). In this case a linear map $\Phi : E \rightarrow F$, (E, F are Hilbert modules over locally C^* -algebra A, B respectively) is a unitary operator if Φ is surjective and there is an injective morphism of locally C^* -algebra $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ with closed range such that $\varphi(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = \langle \Phi(\xi), \Phi(\eta) \rangle$ for all $\xi, \eta \in E$.

Now we describe two-parameter dynamical systems on a Hilbert C^* -module.

Definition: 2.3. By a two-parameter dynamical system on a Hilbert C^* -module M we mean a function from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ into $U(M)$ such that

- (i) $\alpha(s + s', t + t') = \alpha(s, t)\alpha(s', t')$ ($t, t', s, s' \in \mathbb{R}$);
- (ii) $\alpha(0, 0) = I$.

It is called strongly continuous if $(s, t) \rightarrow \alpha(s, t)x$ is continuous for all x in M .

To any two-parameter dynamical system $\alpha(s, t)$ we associate two one-parameter groups $\alpha(s, 0)$ and $\alpha(0, t)$, the group property of α implies that $\alpha(s, t) = \alpha(s, 0)\alpha(0, t)$.

One can see that $\alpha(s, t)$ is strongly continuous if and only if $\alpha(s, 0)$ and $\alpha(0, t)$ are strongly continuous (see[7]). The infinitesimal generator of $\alpha(s, 0)$ and $\alpha(0, t)$ are denoted by δ_1 and δ_2 , respectively. We will think of the pair (δ_1, δ_2) as the infinitesimal generator of $\alpha(s, t)$.

Theorem: 2.4. Let A be a C^* -algebra and M be a full Hilbert A -module. Also let α be a two-parameter dynamical system on M and (δ_1, δ_2) be the infinitesimal generator of α . Then $D(\delta_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ is a dense subspace of M and there exist derivations $d_i : D(d_i) \subseteq A \rightarrow A$, $i = 1, 2$ such that $D(\delta_i)$ is a left $D(d_i)$ -module and $\delta_i(ax) = a\delta_i(x) + d_i(a)x$, $a \in D(d_i)$, $x \in D(\delta_i)$.

Proof: By Hille-Yosida Theorem [10], $D(\delta_i)$ is a dense subspace of M . Since α is a two-parameter dynamical system on M , for each $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, the mapping $\alpha(s, t)$ is a unitary, so there exist a $*$ -isomorphism

$$\alpha'(s, t) : A \rightarrow A \text{ such that } \langle \alpha(s, t)x, \alpha(s, t)y \rangle = \alpha'(s, t)(\langle x, y \rangle) \text{ and}$$

$\alpha(s, t)(ax) = \alpha'(s, t)(a)\alpha(s, t)(x)$, ($a \in A$, $x \in M$). Now by applying the argument similar to Theorem 4.3 of [1], it can be easily proved.

3. CHARACTERIZATION OF UNIFORMLY (STRONGLY) CONTINUOUS POSITIVE C -SEMIGROUPS ON A C^* -ALGEBRA:

In 1979 Evans and Olsen in [6] characterized infinitesimal generator of a positive uniformly continuous semigroup on a C^* -algebra A . They proved L is the infinitesimal generator of this semigroup if it satisfies in the one of the following inequalities:

- (i) $L(a^2) + aL(1)a \geq L(a)a + aL(a)$ for all self-adjoint operator $a \in A$.
- (ii) $L(1) + u^*L(1)u \geq L(u^*)u + u^*L(u)$ for all unitary operators $u \in A$.

Then in 1981 Bratteli and Robinson generalized it for C_0 -semigroup of positive operators (see [3]). In this generalization, they obtained above inequalities with resolvent $R(\lambda, L)$ instead of L .

Later the notion of C -semigroups was introduced by Da Prato (1967), E. B. Davies and M. M. Pang (1989) independently. C -semigroups are a significant generalization of strongly continuous semigroups. C may be any bounded, injective operator, where C equals the identity operator, then a C -semigroup is a strongly continuous semigroup (see[4]). The aim of this section is to characterize the infinitesimal generator of uniformly continuous and strongly continuous positive C -semigroups on a C^* -algebra A .

In the following we recall the definition of uniformly continuous C -semigroup.

Definition: 3.1. Suppose that C is a bounded injective linear operator on a Banach space X . The family of bounded

linear operators $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on X is called uniformly continuous C -semigroup if in conditions (i), (ii) of Definition 2.1, we put $\alpha_t = S(t)$ and condition (iii) is replaced with the following condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|S(t) - C\| = 0.$$

Infinitesimal generator A of a uniformly continuous C -semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is defined as Definition 2.1 with $\alpha_t = S(t)$.

For example if $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a uniformly continuous semigroup generated by A (see[10]) then for any C that commutes with $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$, A is the generator of uniformly continuous C -semigroup $S(t) = T(t)C$.

Our next results are generalization of Theorem 1.2 of [10].

Theorem: 3.2. If A is a bounded linear operator and C is a bounded injective linear operator on a Banach space X and A commuting with C then A is the infinitesimal generator of a uniformly continuous C -semigroup.

Proof: Set $T(t) = Ce^{tA} = C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tA)^n}{n!}$. This converges in norm for every $t \geq 0$ and defines a bounded linear operator $T(t)$.

It is clear that $T(0) = C$. A straight forward calculation shows that $CT(t+s) = T(t)T(s)$. We have

$$\|T(t) - C\| \leq t \|A\| \|C\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \|A\|^n}{n!}.$$

The righthand side of above assertion tends to zero as t tends to infinity. Also we have

$$\left\| \frac{T(t) - C}{t} - CA \right\| \leq \|A\| \|T(t) - C\|.$$

Similarly the righthand side tends to zero when t tends to infinity by uniform continuity of $T(t)$. So $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a uniformly continuous C -semigroup of bounded linear operators on X and A is its infinitesimal generator.

Theorem: 3.3. Let $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a uniformly continuous C -semigroup on a Banach space X and for each $t \geq 0$, $range T(t) \subseteq range(C)$ then infinitesimal generator A is bounded.

Proof: Fix $\rho > 0$ small enough such that $\|I - \rho^{-1} \int_0^{\rho} C^{-1}T(s)ds\| \leq 1$. Since $I = \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^{\rho} Ids$ so

$I - \rho^{-1} \int_0^{\rho} C^{-1}T(s)ds = \int_0^{\rho} \frac{I - C^{-1}T(s)}{\rho} ds \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\rho^{-1} \int_0^{\rho} C^{-1}T(s)ds$ is invertible and we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-1}(T(h) - C) \int_0^{\rho} C^{-1}T(s)ds &= h^{-1} \left[\int_0^{\rho} T(h+s)ds - \int_0^{\rho} T(s)ds \right] \\ &= h^{-1} \left[\int_h^{\rho+h} T(s)ds - \int_0^{\rho} T(s)ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$h^{-1}(T(h) - C) = h^{-1} \left[\int_{\rho}^{\rho+h} T(s)ds - \int_0^h T(s)ds \right] \left(\int_0^{\rho} C^{-1}T(s)ds \right)^{-1}.$$

Letting $h \rightarrow 0$ so $h^{-1}(T(h) - C)$ converges in norm and therefore strongly to the bounded linear operator $(T(\rho) - I) \left(\int_0^{\rho} C^{-1}T(s)ds \right)^{-1}$.

In the following theorems we describe dynamical systems of positive C^* -semigroups on a C^* -algebra A . Recall that if S is a set of states on a C^* -algebra A , then S is said to be full if $x \in A_h$ (set of self adjoint elements of A) and $f(x) \geq 0$ for all f in S , then $x \geq 0$. Moreover S is said to be invariant if $f \in S$ and $x \in A$ satisfies $f(x^*x) \neq 0$, then $f[x^*(.)x] / f(x^*x) \in S$.

Theorem 3.4. Let L be a bounded self adjoint linear map on a unital C^* -algebra A and C be a positive injective bounded linear map on A and $CL = LC, C(ab) = C(a)C(b)$ for each a, b in A and $C(1) = 1$ then the following conditions are equivalent

- (i) Ce^{tL} is positive for all positive t .
- (ii) $(\lambda - CL)^{-1}$ is positive for all large positive λ .
- (iii) If $y \in A_+, a \in A$ satisfy $C(ya) = 0$ then $a^*CL(y)a > 0$.
- (iv) For some full invariant set of states S on A that $y \in A_+, f \in S$ with $f(C(y)) = 0$ imply $f(CL(y)) \geq 0$.
- (v) $CL(x)C(x) + C(x)CL(x) \leq CL(x^2) + C(x)CL(1)C(x)$ for all self adjoint x in A .

Proof. (iv) \Rightarrow (iii)

Let S be a full invariant set of states satisfying (iv). Let $y \in A_+, a \in A$ satisfy $C(ya) = 0$ then $f(C(a^*ya)) > 0$ for all f in S . So by (iv), $f(CL(a^*ya)) \geq 0$ and hence $f(a^*CL(y)a) \geq 0$, since S is invariant. Thus $a^*CL(y)a > 0$ since S is full.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)

Let $\lambda \leq \|CL\|$. In order to show that $(\lambda - CL)^{-1} \geq 0$ it is enough to show that if $x \in A_h$ satisfies $(\lambda - CL)x \geq 0$ then $x \geq 0$. Let $x = x^+ - x^-$ where $x^+, x^- \in A_+$ and $x^+x^- = 0$. Thus $C(x^+x^-) = 0$ and by

(iii) $x^-CL(x^+)x^- \geq 0$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{x^-}{\lambda} [(\lambda - CL)x]x^- = x^-xx^- - x^- \left[\frac{CL(x)}{\lambda} \right] x^- \\ &= -(x^-)^3 - x^- \left[\frac{CL(x^+)}{\lambda} \right] x^- + x^- \left[\frac{CL(x^-)}{\lambda} \right] x^-. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$0 \leq (x^-)^3 \leq x^- \left[\frac{CL(x^-)}{\lambda} \right] x^-.$$

So we have

$$\|x^-\|^3 \leq \frac{\|CL\|}{\lambda} \|x^-\|^3.$$

Hence $x^- = 0$, as $\lambda \geq \|CL\|$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i)

$$Ce^{tL} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C \left(1 - \frac{t}{n} L \right)^{-n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C^{n+1} \left(C(1) - \frac{t}{n} CL \right)^{-n}.$$

(i) \Rightarrow (v)

Let $L'(x) = L(x) - \frac{1}{2}[L(1)x + xL(1)]$. Then $e^{tL'} \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ by the Lie-Trotter formula and since $Ce^{L'}(1) = C(1) = 1$ so $\|Ce^{L'}(1)\| = 1$. We have by differentiating Kadison's Schwarz inequality, namely

$$Ce^{tL'}(x^2) \geq C(e^{tL'(x)})^2$$

which is valid for all $t \geq 0$, and all self adjoint x , that

$$C[L'e^{tL'}(x)e^{tL'(x)}] + C[e^{tL'}(x)L'e^{tL'}(x)] \leq CL'e^{tL'}(x^2).$$

Put $t = 0$ so we have

$$CL'(x)C(x) + C(x)CL'(x) \leq CL'(x^2).$$

Substituting for L' gives the desired result.

(v) \Rightarrow (iv)

Let $y \in A_+$, $f \in A_+^*$ with $f(Cy) = 0$ then by Schwartz inequality

$$|f((Cy)^{\frac{1}{2}}z)|^2 \leq f(Cy)f(z^*z).$$

So $f((Cy)^{\frac{1}{2}}z) = f(z(Cy)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$ for all $z \in A$. By (v) we have

$$CL(x)C(x) + C(x)CL(x) \leq CL(x^2) + C(x)CL(1)C(x)$$

for all self adjoint x in A . Put $x = y^{\frac{1}{2}}$ so

$$CL(y^{\frac{1}{2}})C(y^{\frac{1}{2}}) + C(y^{\frac{1}{2}})CL(y^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq CL(y) + C(y^{\frac{1}{2}})CL(1)C(y^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Since $C(y^{\frac{1}{2}}) = (C(y))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the above statement implies that $f(CL(y)) \geq 0$ and the proof is complete.

Theorem 3.5. Let A be a C^* -algebra with identity 1 and C be a bounded injective operator on A which is positive and for each $a, b \in A$, $C(ab) = C(a)C(b)$.

Let $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be an exponentially bounded C -semigroup on A ($\|T_t\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$ for some $M > 0$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $t \geq 0$) with generator L such that $T_t(a^*) = (T_t(a))^*$ for all $a \in A$ and $t \geq 0$. Then the following conditions are equivalent

- (i) The C -semigroup T_t is positive for all $t \geq 0$.
- (ii) The resolvent $(\lambda - L)^{-1}C$ is positive for all $\lambda > 0$ large enough.
- (iii) $C(\lambda - L)^{-1}(x)C(x) + C(x)C(\lambda - L)^{-1}(x) \leq C(\lambda - L)^{-1}(x^2) + C(x)C(\lambda - L)^{-1}(1)C(x)$ for all self adjoint x in A and all large $\lambda > 0$.

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)

This is a standard result which follows from

$$\forall x \in A; T_t(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I - \frac{t}{n}L)^{-1}Cx, \quad t \geq 0$$

and

$$C(\lambda - L)^{-1}x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t}T_t(x)dt, \quad (x \in X).$$

If L is bounded, it is known by the previous theorem that $S_t = Ce^{tL}$ is positive if and only if $CL(x)C(x) + C(x)CL(x) \leq CL(x^2) + C(x)CL(1)C(x)$ for all self adjoint x in A . Hence to establish the theorem it is enough to show that (i) or (ii) is equivalent to the following condition

(iv) $Ce^{t(\lambda-L)^{-1}} \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and all large $\lambda \geq 0$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iv) This is evident from this expansion

$$Ce^{t(\lambda-L)^{-1}} = C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} (\lambda - L)^{-1}$$

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) It obtains from

$$T(t)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp\{t[n^2(n-L)^{-1} - nI]\}Cx, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Now proof is complete.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Abbaspour Tabadkan, Gh. Moslehian, M. S. and Niknam, A.: Dynamical systems on Hilbert C^* – modules, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, Vol. 31, No. 1(2005), pp. 25-35.
- [2] Bakic, D. and Guljas, B.: On class of module maps of Hilbert C^* – modules. Math. Commun. 7(2002), 177-192.
- [3] Bratteli, O. and Robinson, W.D.: Positive C_0 – semigroups on C^* -algebras, Math. Scand, Vol. 49, pp. 259-274, 1981.
- [4] DeLaubenfels, R.: Existence families, functional calculi and evolution equations, Lecture Notes in Math. 1570, Springer Verlag, 1994.
- [5] Engle, J. K. and Nagle, R.: One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evaluation Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [6] Evans, E. D. and Olsen, H.: The generators of positive semigroups, Journal of Functional Analysis, Vol 32, pp. 207-212, 1979.
- [7] Janfada, M. and Niknam, A.: On two-parameter dynamical systems and applications. Journal of Sciences, Islamic Republic of Iran 15(2): 163169(2004).
- [8] Joita, M.: A Note about full Hilbert modules over Frechet locally C^* -algebras. Novi Sad J. Math. Vol. 37, No. 1, 2007, pp. 27-32.
- [9] Lance, E. C.: Hilbert C^* -modules, LMS Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [10] Pazy, A.: Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Appl. Math. Sci, Vol. 44, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [11] Sakai, S.: Operator algebra in dynamical systems. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [12] Shaw, S.Y. and Li, Y.C.: Representation formulas for C – semigroups. Semigroup Forum, Vol. 46, pp. 123-125, 1993.
