

IFSGB-CONNECTEDNESS IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

ANGELIN TIDY.G*¹ AND FRANCINA SHALINI.A²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, India.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, India.

(Received On: 25-11-18; Revised & Accepted On: 06-01-19)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we have introduced the intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-connected space and intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-extremally disconnected space. We investigated some of their properties. Also we characterized the intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b- super connected space.

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy topology, Intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-connected space, Intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b- super connected space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Zadeh [11] introduced the notion of fuzzy sets. Fuzzy topological space was introduced by Chang [4]. After that there have been a number of generalizations of this fundamental concept. Atanassov [3] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Using the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Coker [5] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Connectedness in intuitionistic fuzzy special topological spaces was introduced by Oscag and Coker [7]. Angelin Tidy and Francina Shalini [1] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy sgb-closed sets.

In this paper we have introduced intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-connected space, intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-super connected space, intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-strongly connected space, intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-extremally disconnected space and studied their properties and characterizations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: [3] Let X be a nonempty fixed set. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (briefly IFS) A is an object of the form $A = \{ \langle x, \mu(x), \nu(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$, where μ and ν are degrees of membership and non-membership of each $x \in X$, respectively, and $0 \leq \mu(x) + \nu(x) \leq 1$ for each $x \in X$. A class of all the IFS's in X is denoted as $\text{IFS}(X)$. When there is no danger of confusion, an IFS $A = \{ \langle x, \mu(x), \nu(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ may be written as $A = \langle \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$.

Definition 2.2: [3] Let X be a nonempty set and $A = \langle \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle, B = \langle \mu_B, \nu_B \rangle$ IFSs in X . Then

- (1) $A \subseteq B$ if $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x)$, for all $x \in X$,
- (2) $A = B$ if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$,
- (3) $\bar{A} = \{ \langle x, \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$,
- (4) $A \cap B = \{ \langle x, \mu_B \wedge \mu_A, \nu_A \wedge \nu_B \rangle : x \in X \}$ [15],
- (5) $A \cup B = \{ \langle x, \mu_A \vee \mu_B, \nu_A \vee \nu_B \rangle : x \in X \}$ [15].

Definition 2.3: [3] IFS's 0_{\sim} and 1_{\sim} are defined as $\tilde{0} = \{ \langle x, 0, 1 \rangle : x \in X \}$ and $\tilde{1} = \{ \langle x, 1, 0 \rangle : x \in X \}$, respectively.

Definition 2.4: [5] An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT for short) on a nonempty set X is a family of IFSs in X satisfying the following axioms:

- (1) $\tilde{0}, \tilde{1} \in \tau$,
- (2) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$ for any $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$,
- (3) $\cup G_i \in \tau$ for any arbitrary family $\{G_i : i \in J\} \subseteq \tau$.

Corresponding Author: Angelin Tidy.G*¹,

¹Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, India.

In this case, the pair (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (briefly, IFTS) and members of τ are called intuitionistic fuzzy open (briefly, IFO) sets. The complement \bar{A} of an IFO set A is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed (IFC) set in X . Collection of all IFO (resp., IFC) sets in IFTS X is denoted as $\text{IFO}(X)$ (resp., $\text{IFC}(X)$).

Definition 2.5: [5] Let (X, τ) be an IFTS and $A = \langle \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ an IFS in X . Then the fuzzy interior and fuzzy closure of A are denoted and defined as

$$\text{Cl } A = \bigcap \{K: K \text{ is an IFC set in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\},$$

$$\text{Int } A = \bigcup \{G: G \text{ is an IFO set in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}.$$

Proposition 2.6: [8] Let (X, τ) be an IFTS and A, B be intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X . Then the following properties hold:

- (i) $\text{cl}(\bar{A}) = \overline{(\text{int}(A))}$,
- (ii) $\text{int}(\bar{A}) = \overline{(\text{cl}(A))}$,
- (iii) $\text{int}(A) \subseteq A \subseteq \text{cl}(A)$.

Definition 2.7: [1] An IFS $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle / x \in X \}$ in an IFTS (X, τ) is said to be

- 1) intuitionistic fuzzy b open set (IFbOS) if $A \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$,
- 2) intuitionistic fuzzy b- closed set (IFbCS) if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \subseteq A$,

Definition 2.8: [1] An IFS A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-closed set (IFSGbCS) if $\text{bcl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is an IFSOS in (X, τ) .

An IFS A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-open set (IFSGbOS) in (X, τ) if the complement A^c is an IFSGbCS in (X, τ) .

Definition 2.9: [1] Let (X, τ) be an IFTS and $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ be an IFS in (X, τ) . Then the intuitionistic fuzzy b closure of A ($\text{bcl}(A)$) and intuitionistic fuzzy b interior of A ($\text{bint}(A)$) are defined as

$$\text{bint}(A) = \bigcup \{ G / G \text{ is an IFbOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \},$$

$$\text{bcl}(A) = \bigcap \{ K / K \text{ is an IFbCS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K \}.$$

Definition 2.10: Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be an

- (1) intuitionistic fuzzy continuous (IF continuous) if $f^{-1}(B)$ is an IFOS in (X, τ) for every IFOS B in (Y, σ) , [6]
- (2) intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-continuous (IFSGb continuous) if $f^{-1}(B)$ is an IFSGbOS in (X, τ) for every IFOS B in (Y, σ) . [2]

Definition 2.11: Let f be a mapping from an IFTS (X, τ) into an IFTS (Y, σ) . Then f is said to be an

- (1) intuitionistic fuzzy irresolute (IF irresolute) if $f^{-1}(B)$ IFOS in (X, τ) for every IFOS B in (Y, σ) , [2]
- (2) intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-irresolute(IFSGb irresolute) mapping if $f^{-1}(B)$ is an IFSGbCS B in (X, τ) for every IFSGbCS B in (Y, σ) . [2]

3. TYPES OF IFSGb-CONNECTEDNESS IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Definition 3.1: An IFTS (X, τ) is IFSGb-disconnected if there exists intuitionistic fuzzy sgb-open sets A and B in X , $A \neq 0_{\sim}$, $B \neq 0_{\sim}$ such that $A \cup B = 1_{\sim}$ and $A \cap B = 0_{\sim}$. If X is not IFSGb-disconnected then it is said to be IFSGb-connected.

Example 3.2: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, G\}$ where $G = \{ \langle x, (0.2, 0.3), (0.5, 0.4) \rangle; x \in X \}$, $A = \{ \langle x, (0.1, 0.2), (0.6, 0.5) \rangle; x \in X \}$, $B = \{ \langle x, (0.2, 0.2), (0.5, 0.5) \rangle; x \in X \}$, A and B are intuitionistic fuzzy sgb-open sets in X , $A \neq 0_{\sim}$, $B \neq 0_{\sim}$ and $A \cup B = 1_{\sim}$, $A \cap B = 0_{\sim}$. Hence X is IFSGb-connected.

Example 3.3: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, G\}$ where $G = \{ \langle x, (0.2, 0.3), (0.5, 0.4) \rangle; x \in X \}$, $A = \{ \langle x, (0, 1), (1, 0) \rangle; x \in X \}$, $B = \{ \langle x, (1, 0), (0, 1) \rangle; x \in X \}$, A and B are intuitionistic fuzzy sgb-open sets in X , $A \neq 0_{\sim}$, $B \neq 0_{\sim}$ and $A \cup B = 1_{\sim}$, $A \cap B = 0_{\sim}$. Hence X is IFSGb-disconnected.

Definition 3.4: An IFTS (X, τ) is IFSGbC₅-disconnected if there exists IFS A in X , which is both IFSGbOS and IFSGbCS such that $A \neq 0_{\sim}$, and $A \neq 1_{\sim}$. If X is not IFSGbC₅- disconnected then it is said to be IFSGbC₅-connected.

Example 3.5: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, G\}$ where $G = \{ \langle x, (0.2, 0.4), (0.7, 0.5) \rangle; x \in X \}$, $A = \{ \langle x, (0.6, 0.7), (0.3, 0.2) \rangle; x \in X \}$, A is an IFSGbOS in X , But A is not IFSGbCS since $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \not\subseteq A$, and $1_{\sim} \neq A \neq 0_{\sim}$. Thus X is IFSGbC₅-connected.

Example 3.6: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, G\}$ where $G = \{\langle x, (0.2, 0.3), (0.5, 0.4) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $A = \{\langle x, (0.1, 0.2), (0.6, 0.5) \rangle; x \in X\}$, A is an IFSGbOS in X , And A is also IFSGbCS since $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) = 0_{\sim} \subseteq A$. Hence there exists an IFS A in X such that $1_{\sim} \neq A \neq 0_{\sim}$ which is both IFSGbOS and IFSGbCS in X . Thus X is IFSGbC₅-disconnected.

Proposition 3.7: IFSGbC₅- connectedness implies IFSGb- connectedness.

Proof: Suppose that there exists nonempty intuitionistic fuzzy SGb-open sets A and B such that $A \cup B = 1_{\sim}$ and $A \cap B = 0_{\sim}$ (IFSGb-disconnected) then $\mu_A \vee \mu_B = 1$, $\nu_A \wedge \nu_B = 0$ and $\mu_A \vee \mu_B = 0$, $\nu_A \wedge \nu_B = 1$. In other words $\bar{B} = A$. Hence A is IFSGb-clopen which implies X is IFSGbC₅-disconnected.

But the converse need not be true as shown by the following example.

Example 3.8: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, G\}$ where $G = \{\langle x, (0.2, 0.3), (0.5, 0.4) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $A = \{\langle x, (0.2, 0.3), (0.6, 0.5) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $B = \{\langle x, (0.1, 0.2), (0.5, 0.4) \rangle; x \in X\}$ A is an IFSGbOS in X , And B is an IFSGbOS in X since $B \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$. $1_{\sim} \neq A \cup B = \{\langle x, (0.2, 0.3), (0.5, 0.4) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $0_{\sim} \neq A \cap B = \{\langle x, (0.1, 0.2), (0.6, 0.5) \rangle; x \in X\}$. Hence X is IFSGb-connected. Since IFS A is both IFSGbOS and IFSGbCS in X , X is IFSGbC₅-connected.

Proposition 3.9: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a IFSGb-irresolute surjection, (X, τ) is an IFSGb-connected, then (Y, σ) is IFSGb-connected.

Proof: Assume that (Y, σ) is not IFSGb-connected then there exists nonempty intuitionistic fuzzy SGb-open sets A and B in (Y, σ) such that $A \cup B = 1_{\sim}$ and $A \cap B = 0_{\sim}$. Since f is IFSGb-irresolute mapping, $C = f^{-1}(A) \neq 0_{\sim}$, $D = f^{-1}(B) \neq 0_{\sim}$ which are intuitionistic fuzzy SGb-open sets in X . And $f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(1_{\sim}) = 1_{\sim}$ which implies $C \cap D = 1_{\sim}$. $f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(0_{\sim}) = 0_{\sim}$ which implies $C \cap D = 0_{\sim}$. Thus X is IFSGb-disconnected, which is a contradiction to our hypothesis. Hence Y is IFSGb-connected.

Proposition 3.10: (X, τ) is IFSGbC₅-connected iff there exists no nonempty intuitionistic fuzzy SGb-open sets A and B in X such that $A = \bar{B}$.

Proof: Suppose that A and B are intuitionistic fuzzy SGb-open sets in X such that $A \neq 0_{\sim} \neq B$ and $A = \bar{B}$. Since $A = \bar{B}$, \bar{B} is an IFSGbOS and B is an IFSGbCS. And $A \neq 0_{\sim}$ implies $B \neq 1_{\sim}$. But this is a contradiction to the fact that X is IFSGbC₅-connected.

Conversely, let A be both IFSGbOS and IFSGbCS in X such that $0_{\sim} \neq A \neq 1_{\sim}$. Now take $B = \bar{A}$. B is an IFSGbOS and $A \neq 1_{\sim}$ which implies $B = \bar{A} \neq 0_{\sim}$ which is a contradiction.

Definition 3.11: An IFTS (X, τ) is IFSGb-strongly connected if there exists no nonempty IFSGbCS A and B in X such that $\mu_A + \mu_B \subseteq 1$, $\nu_A + \nu_B \supseteq 1$.

In otherwords, an IFTS (X, τ) is IFSGb-strongly connected if there exists no nonempty IFSGbCS A and B in X such that $A \cap B = 0_{\sim}$.

Proposition 3.12: An IFTS (X, τ) is IFSGb-strongly connected if there exists no IFSGbOS A and B in X , $A \neq 1_{\sim} \neq B$ such that $\mu_A + \mu_B \supseteq 1$, $\nu_A + \nu_B \subseteq 1$.

Example 3.13: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, G\}$ where $G = \{\langle x, (0.4, 0.4), (0.5, 0.4) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $A = \{\langle x, (0.3, 0.4), (0.6, 0.5) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $B = \{\langle x, (0.2, 0.2), (0.8, 0.7) \rangle; x \in X\}$ A is an IFSGbOS in X , And B is an IFSGbOS in X since $B \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$. $\mu_A + \mu_B \subseteq 1$, $\nu_A + \nu_B \supseteq 1$. Hence X is IFSGb-strongly connected.

Proposition 3.14: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a IFSGb-irresolute surjection. If X is an IFSGb-strongly connected, then so is Y .

Proof: Suppose that Y is not IFSGb-strongly connected then there exists IFSGbCS C and D in Y such that $C \neq 0_{\sim}$, $D \neq 0_{\sim}$, $C \cap D = 0_{\sim}$. Since f is IFSGb-irresolute, $f^{-1}(C)$, $f^{-1}(D)$ are IFSGbCSs in X and $f^{-1}(C) \cap f^{-1}(D) = 0_{\sim}$, $f^{-1}(C) \neq 0_{\sim}$, $f^{-1}(D) \neq 0_{\sim}$. (If $f^{-1}(C) = 0_{\sim}$ then $f(f^{-1}(C)) = C$ which implies $f(0_{\sim}) = C$. So $C = 0_{\sim}$ a contradiction) Hence X is IFSGb-strongly disconnected, a contradiction. Thus (Y, σ) is IFSGb-strongly connected.

IFSGb-strongly connected does not imply IFSGbC₅-connected, and IFSGbC₅-connected does not imply IFSGb-strongly connected. For this purpose we see the following examples.

Example 3.15: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, G\}$ where $G = \{\langle x, (0.2, 0.3), (0.5, 0.4) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $A = \{\langle x, (0.1, 0.2), (0.6, 0.5) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $B = \{\langle x, (0.4, 0.5), (0.4, 0.5) \rangle; x \in X\}$. A is an IFSGbOS in X , and B is an IFSGbOS in X since $B \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$. $\mu_A + \mu_B \subseteq 1$, $\nu_A + \nu_B \supseteq 1$. Hence X is IFSGb-strongly connected. But X is not IFSGbC₅-connected, since A is both IFSGbOS and IFSGbCS in X .

Example 3.16: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, G\}$ where $G = \{\langle x, (0.2, 0.4), (0.7, 0.5) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $A = \{\langle x, (0.6, 0.7), (0.3, 0.2) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $B = \{\langle x, (0.8, 0.9), (0.2, 0.1) \rangle; x \in X\}$, X is IFSGbC₅-connected. But X is not IFSGb-strongly connected since A and B are intuitionistic fuzzy SGB-open sets in X such that $\mu_A + \mu_B \supseteq 1$, $\nu_A + \nu_B \subseteq 1$.

Lemma 3.17: [10] (i) $A \cap B = 0_{\sim} \Rightarrow A \subseteq \bar{B}$.
(ii) $A \not\subseteq \bar{B} \Rightarrow A \cap B \neq 0_{\sim}$.

Definition 3.18: A and B are non-zero intuitionistic fuzzy sets in (X, τ) . Then A and B are said to be

- (i) IFSGb-weakly separated if $\text{Sgb-cl}(A) \subseteq \bar{B}$ and $\text{Sgb-cl}(B) \subseteq \bar{A}$
- (ii) IFSGb-q-separated if $(\text{Sgb-cl}(A)) \cap B = 0_{\sim} = A \cap (\text{Sgb-cl}(B))$.

Definition 3.19: An IFTS (X, τ) is said to be IFSGbC₅-disconnected if there exists IFSGb-weakly separated non-zero intuitionistic fuzzy sets A and B in (X, τ) such that $A \cup B = 1_{\sim}$.

Example 3.20: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, G\}$ where $G = \{\langle x, (0.4, 0.3), (0.5, 0.6) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $A = \{\langle x, (1, 0), (0, 1) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $B = \{\langle x, (0, 1), (1, 0) \rangle; x \in X\}$, A and B are intuitionistic fuzzy SGB-open sets in X , $\text{Sgb-cl}(A) \subseteq \bar{B}$ and $\text{Sgb-cl}(B) \subseteq \bar{A}$. Hence A and B are IFSGb-weakly separated and $A \cup B = 1_{\sim}$. So X is IFSGbC₅-disconnected.

Definition 3.21: An IFTS (X, τ) is said to be IFSGbC_M-disconnected if there exists IFSGb-q-separated non-zero IFS's A and B in (X, τ) such that $A \cup B = 1_{\sim}$.

Example 3.22: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, G\}$ where $G = \{\langle x, (0.5, 0.6), (0.4, 0.3) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $A = \{\langle x, (1, 0), (0, 1) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $B = \{\langle x, (0, 1), (1, 0) \rangle; x \in X\}$, A and B are intuitionistic fuzzy SGB-open sets in X , $\text{Sgb-cl}(A) \cap B = 0_{\sim}$ and $A \cap \text{Sgb-cl}(B) = 0_{\sim}$. Which implies A and B are IFSGb-q-separated and $A \cup B = 1_{\sim}$. So X is IFSGbC_M-disconnected.

Remark 3.23: An IFTS (X, τ) is IFSGbC₅-connected if and only if (X, τ) is IFSGbC_M-connected.

Definition 3.24: An intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-open set A is called an intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open set if $A = \text{Sgb-int}(\text{Sgb-cl}(A))$.

The complement of an intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open set is called an intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-closed set.

Definition 3.25: An IFTS (X, τ) is said to be IFSGb-super disconnected if there exists an intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-open set A in X such that $0_{\sim} \neq A \neq 1_{\sim}$. X is called IFSGb-super connected if X is not IFSGb-super disconnected.

Example 3.26: Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, G\}$ where $G = \{\langle x, (0.5, 0.4), (0.2, 0.3) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $A = \{\langle x, (1, 0), (0, 1) \rangle; x \in X\}$, $B = \{\langle x, (0, 1), (1, 0) \rangle; x \in X\}$, A and B are intuitionistic fuzzy SGB-open sets in X , $\text{Sgb-int}(\text{Sgb-cl}(A)) = A$. This implies A is an intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-open set in X . Hence X is an IFSGb-super disconnected.

Theorem 3.27: Let (X, τ) be an IFTS, then the following are equivalent.

- (i) (X, τ) is an IFSGb-super connected space.
- (ii) For every non-zero intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open set A , $\text{Sgb-cl}(A) = 1_{\sim}$.
- (iii) For every intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-closed set A with $A \neq 1_{\sim}$, $\text{Sgb-int}(A) = 0_{\sim}$.
- (iv) There exists no intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open sets A and B in (X, τ) such that $A \neq 0_{\sim} \neq B$, $A \subseteq B^c$.
- (v) There exists no intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open sets A and B in (X, τ) such that $A \neq 0_{\sim} \neq B$, $B = (\text{Sgb-cl}(A))^c$, $A = (\text{Sgb-cl}(B))^c$.
- (vi) There exists no intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-closed sets A and B in (X, τ) such that $A \neq 1_{\sim} \neq B$, $B = (\text{Sgb-int}(A))^c$, $A = (\text{Sgb-int}(B))^c$.

Proof:

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that there exists an intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open set A in (X, τ) such that $A \neq 0_{\sim}$ and $\text{Sgb-cl}(A) \neq 1_{\sim}$. Now let $B = \text{Sgb-int}(\text{Sgb-cl}(A))^c$. Then B is a proper intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open set in (X, τ) . But this is a contradiction to the fact that (X, τ) is an IFSGb-super connected space. Therefore $\text{Sgb-cl}(A) = 1_{\sim}$.

(ii) ⇒ (iii): Let $A \neq 1_{\sim}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-closed set in (X, τ) . If $B = A^c$, then B is an intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open set in (X, τ) with $B \neq 0_{\sim}$. Hence $\text{SGb-cl}(B) = 1_{\sim}$. This implies $(\text{SGb-cl}(B))^c = 0_{\sim}$. That is $\text{SGb-int}(B^c) = 0_{\sim}$. Hence $\text{SGb-int}(A) = 0_{\sim}$.

(iii) ⇒ (iv): Let A and B be two intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open sets in (X, τ) such that $A \neq 0_{\sim} \neq B$, $A \subseteq B^c$. Since B^c is an intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-closed set in (X, τ) and $B \neq 0_{\sim}$ implies $B^c \neq 1_{\sim}$, $B^c = \text{SGb-cl}(\text{SGb-int}(B^c))$ and we have $\text{SGb-int}(B^c) = 0_{\sim}$. But $A \subseteq B^c$. Therefore $0_{\sim} \neq A = \text{SGb-int}(\text{SGb-cl}(A)) \subset \text{SGb-int}(\text{SGb-cl}(B^c)) = \text{SGb-int}(\text{SGb-cl}(\text{SGb-cl}(\text{SGb-int}(B^c)))) = \text{SGb-int}(\text{SGb-cl}(\text{SGb-int}(B^c))) = \text{SGb-int}(B^c) = 0_{\sim}$. A contradiction arises. Therefore (iv) is true.

(iv) ⇒ (i): Let $0_{\sim} \neq A \neq 1_{\sim}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open set in (X, τ) . If we take $B = (\text{SGb-cl}(A))^c$, then B is an intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open set, since $\text{SGb-int}(\text{SGb-cl}(B)) = \text{SGb-int}(\text{SGb-cl}(\text{SGb-cl}(A))^c) = \text{SGb-int}(\text{SGb-int}(\text{SGb-cl}(A)))^c = \text{SGb-int}(A^c) = (\text{SGb-cl}(A))^c = B$. Also we get $B \neq 0_{\sim}$, since otherwise, we have $B = 0_{\sim}$ and this implies $(\text{SGb-cl}(A))^c = 0_{\sim}$. This is $\text{SGb-cl}(A) = 1_{\sim}$. Hence $A = \text{SGb-int}(\text{SGb-cl}(A)) = \text{SGb-int}(1_{\sim}) = 1_{\sim}$. This is $A = 1_{\sim}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $B \neq 0_{\sim}$ and $A \subseteq B^c$. But this is a contradiction to (iv). Therefore (X, τ) is an IFSGb- super connected space.

(i) ⇒ (v): Let A and B be two intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open sets in (X, τ) such that $A \neq 0_{\sim} \neq B$, $B = (\text{SGb-cl}(A))^c$ and $A = (\text{SGb-cl}(B))^c$. Now we have $\text{SGb-int}(\text{SGb-cl}(A)) = \text{SGb-int}(B^c) = (\text{SGb-cl}(B))^c = A$, $A \neq 0_{\sim}$ and $A \neq 1_{\sim}$, since if $A = 1_{\sim}$, then $1_{\sim} = (\text{SGb-cl}(B))^c \Rightarrow \text{SGb-cl}(B) = 0_{\sim} \Rightarrow B = 0_{\sim}$. But $B \neq 0_{\sim}$. Therefore $A \neq 1_{\sim}$. A is proper intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open set in (X, τ) , which is a contradiction to (i). Hence (v) is true.

(v) ⇒ (i): Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open set in (X, τ) such that $A = \text{SGb-int}(\text{SGb-cl}(A))$ and $0_{\sim} \neq A \neq 1_{\sim}$. Now take $B = (\text{SGb-cl}(A))^c$. In this case we get $B \neq 0_{\sim}$ and B is intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized open set in (X, τ) , $B = (\text{SGb-cl}(A))^c$ and $(\text{SGb-cl}(B))^c = (\text{SGb-cl}(\text{SGb-cl}(A))^c)^c = \text{SGb-int}(\text{SGb-cl}(A))^c = \text{SGb-int}(\text{SGb-cl}(A)) = A$. But this is a contradiction to (v). Therefore (X, τ) is an IFSGb-super connected space.

(v) ⇒ (vi): Let A and B be two intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-closed sets in (X, τ) such that $A \neq 1_{\sim} \neq B$, $B = (\text{SGb-int}(A))^c$ and $A = (\text{SGb-int}(B))^c$. Taking $C = A^c$ and $D = B^c$, C and D become intuitionistic fuzzy regular semi generalized b-open sets in (X, τ) with $C \neq 0_{\sim} \neq D$, $D = (\text{SGb-cl}(C))^c$ and $C = (\text{SGb-cl}(D))^c$, which is a contradiction to (v). Hence (vi) is true.

(vi) ⇒ (v): can be easily proved by the similar way as in (v) ⇒ (vi).

Proposition 3.28: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ be a IFSGb-irresolute surjection. If X is an IFSGb-super connected, then so is Y .

Proof: Suppose that Y is IFSGb-super disconnected. Then there exists IFSGbOS's C and D in Y such that $C \neq 0_{\sim} \neq D$, $C \subseteq \bar{D}$. Since f is IFSGb-irresolute, $f^{-1}(C)$ and $f^{-1}(D)$ are IFSGbOSs in X and $C \subseteq \bar{D}$ implies $f^{-1}(C) \subseteq f^{-1}(\bar{D}) = f^{-1}(D)$. Hence $f^{-1}(C) \neq 0_{\sim} \neq f^{-1}(D)$ which means that X is IFSGb-super disconnected which is a contradiction.

Definition 3.29: An IFTS (X, τ) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy GO-connected (IFGO-connected) space if the only IFSs which are both intuitionistic fuzzy generalized open and intuitionistic fuzzy generalized closed are 0_{\sim} and 1_{\sim} .

Theorem 3.30: Every IFSGb-connected space is an IFGO-connected space but not conversely.

Proof: Let (X, τ) be an intuitionistic fuzzy semi generalized b-connected space. Suppose (X, τ) is not an intuitionistic fuzzy GO-connected space, then there exists a proper IFS A which both intuitionistic fuzzy g-open and intuitionistic fuzzy g-closed in (X, τ) . That is A is both intuitionistic fuzzy SGb-open and intuitionistic fuzzy SGb-closed in (X, τ) . This implies that (X, τ) is not an IFSGb-connected space. This is a contradiction. Therefore (X, τ) is an IFGO-connected space.

Definition 3.31: Let (X, τ) be any IFTS. X is called IFSGb- extremally disconnected if the SGb-closure of every IFSGbOS in X is IFSGbOS.

Theorem 3.32: For an IFTS (X, τ) the following are equivalent:

- (i) (X, τ) is an IFSGb-extremally disconnected space.
- (ii) For each IFSGbCS A , $\text{SGb-int}(A)$ is an IFSGbCS.
- (iii) For each IFSGbOS A , $\text{SGb-cl}(A) = \overline{\text{SGb-cl}(\text{SGb-cl}(A))}$
- (iv) For each IFSGb-open sets A and B with $\text{SGb-cl}(A) = \bar{B}$, $\text{SGb-cl}(A) = \overline{\text{SGb-cl}(B)}$.

Proof:

(i) ⇒ (ii): Let A be any IFSGbCS. Then \overline{A} is an IFSGbOS. So $\text{SGb-cl}(\overline{A}) = \overline{\text{SGb} - \text{int}(A)}$ is an IFSGbOS. Thus $\text{SGb-int}(A)$ is an IFSGbCS in (X, τ) .

(ii) ⇒ (iii): Let A be an IFSGbOS. Then $\text{SGb-cl}(\overline{\text{SGb} - \text{cl}(A)}) = \text{SGb-cl}(\text{SGb-int}(\overline{A}))$. $\overline{\text{SGb} - \text{cl}(\overline{A})} = \overline{\text{SGb} - \text{cl}(\overline{\text{SGb} - \text{int}(A)})}$. Since A is an IFSGbOS, \overline{A} is an IFSGbCS. So by (ii) $\text{SGb-int}(\overline{A})$ is an IFSGbCS.. That is $\text{SGb-cl}(\text{SGb-int}(\overline{A})) = \text{SGb-int}(\overline{A})$. Hence $\overline{\text{SGb} - \text{cl}(\overline{\text{SGb} - \text{cl}(A)})} = \overline{\text{SGb} - \text{cl}(\overline{\overline{B}})} = \overline{\text{SGb} - \text{cl}(B)}$.

(iii) ⇒ (iv): Let A and B be any two intuitionistic fuzzy SGb-open sets in (X, τ) such that $\text{SGb-cl}(A) = \overline{B}$. (iii) implies $\text{SGb-cl}(A) = \overline{\text{SGb} - \text{cl}(\overline{\text{SGb} - \text{cl}(A)})} = \overline{\text{SGb} - \text{cl}(\overline{\overline{B}})} = \overline{\text{SGb} - \text{cl}(B)}$.

(iv) ⇒ (i): Let A be any IFSGbOS in (X, τ) . Put $B = \overline{\text{SGb} - \text{cl}(A)}$. Then $\text{SGb-cl}(A) = \overline{B}$. Hence by (iv) $\text{SGb-cl}(A) = \overline{\text{SGb} - \text{cl}(B)}$. Therefore $\text{SGb-cl}(A)$ is IFSGbOS in (X, τ) . That is (X, τ) is an IFSGb-extremally disconnected space.

REFERENCES

1. Angelin Tidy G and Francina Shalini A, "On intuitionistic fuzzy sgb-closed sets in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces," International journal of research trends and innovation, vol. 3, issue 7, 2018.
2. Angelin Tidy G and Francina Shalini A, "On intuitionistic fuzzy sgb-continuous mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, (communicated)
3. K.T.Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20(1986), 87-96.
4. C.L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24(1968), 182-190.
5. D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 88(1997), 81-89.
6. H. Gurcay, D. Coker and A.H. Es, On fuzzy continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, J. Fuzzy Math., 5(1997), 365-378.
7. S.Ozcag and D. Coker, On connectedness in intuitionistic fuzzy special topological spaces, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci. 21 (1998) 33-40.
8. R. Renuka and V. Seenivasan, On β -Connectedness in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces, Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 19, No. 1, November, 2013, pp.16-27.
9. R. Santhi and D. Jayanthi, Generalised semi-pre connectedness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 3(2) (2012), 243-253.
10. N. Turnali and D. Coker, Fuzzy connectedness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 116(2000), 369-375.
11. L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control, 8(1965), 338-353.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2019. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]