

ON $\# \alpha$ -REGULAR GENERALIZED OPEN SETS AND $\# \alpha - RG$ NEIGHBOURHOODS IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

S. THILAGA LEELAVATHI*¹ AND M. MARIASINGAM²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,
Pope's College, (Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundarnar University,
Tirunelveli) Sawyerpuram, Thoothukudi, Tamilnadu – 628 251, India.

²Head and Associate Professor (Rtd), Post Graduate and Research,
Department of Mathematics, V.O.Chidambaram College, (Affiliated to Manonmaniam
Sundarnar University, Tirunelveli) Thoothukudi, Tamilnadu – 628 008, India.

(Received On: 10-06-18; Revised & Accepted On: 09-07-18)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce a new class of open sets called the class of $\# \alpha rg$ -open and study their relationship with other open sets. Also we introduce of $\# \alpha rg$ neighbourhood (shortly $\# \alpha rg$ -nbhd) in topological spaces $\# \alpha rg$ -closure.

Keywords: $\# \alpha rg$ -open sets, $\# \alpha rg$ -nbhd, $\# \alpha rg$ -closure

AMS Subject classification (2000): 54A05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Regular open sets and rw-open sets have been introduced and investigated by Stone [18] and Benchalli and Wali [1] Maki [10] defined α -generalized closed sets in 1994. Dontchev, Gnanambal and Palaniappan and Rao [5, 7, 13] introduce gsp closed sets. Syed Ali Fatima [19] defined $\# rg$ -closed sets. In this paper we introduce new class of sets called: $\# \alpha rg$ -open sets which is properly placed in between the class of $\# rg$ -open sets and the class of αg -open sets.

Throughout this paper (X, τ) represents a non-empty topological space on which no separation axiom is assumed unless otherwise mentioned. For a subset A of a topological space X , $cl(A)$ and $int(A)$ denote the closure of A and the interior of A respectively (X, τ) will be replaced by X if there is no chance of confusion. $X \setminus A$ or A^c denotes the complement of A in X . Let us recall the following definitions.

Definition 1.1: A Subset A of a space X is called

- (1) a preopen set [11] if $A \subseteq int(cl(A))$ and a preclosed set if $cl(int(A)) \subseteq A$
- (2) a semiopen set [8] if $A \subseteq cl(int(A))$ and a semiclosed set if $int(cl(A)) \subseteq A$
- (3) an α -open set [21] if $A \subseteq int(cl(int(A)))$ and an α -closed set [] if $cl(int(cl(A))) \subseteq A$
- (4) a regular open set [13] if $A = int(cl(A))$ and a regular closed set if $A = cl(int(A))$
- (5) a π -open set [1] if A is a finite union of regular open sets.
- (6) regular semi open [15] if there is a regular open U such $U \subseteq A \subseteq cl(U)$

Definition 1.2: A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called.

- (1) an α -generalized closed set (briefly αg -closed) [14] if $acl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in (X, τ) .
 - (2) a generalized pre closed set (briefly gp-closed) [14] if $pcl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open (X, τ)
 - (3) a generalized semi pre closed set (briefly gsp-closed) [14] if $spcl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is a open (X, τ)
 - (4) a generalized α -closed (i.e., $g \alpha$ -closed) set [21] if $acl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open set in X .
 - (5) $\# rg$ -closed (19) if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is rw-open.
 - (6) $\# \alpha rg$ -closed () if $acl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is rw-open,
-

Corresponding Author: S. Thilaga Leelavathi*¹,
¹Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,
Pope's College, (Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundarnar University,
Tirunelveli) Sawyerpuram, Thoothukudi, Tamilnadu – 628 251, India.

2. $\# \alpha$ -REGULAR GENERALIZED OPEN SETS

In this section we introduce the concept of $\# arg - open$ sets and characterize their properties.

Definition 2.1: A subset A of a space X is called $\# \alpha - regular$ generalized open (briefly $\# arg - open$) set if its complement is $\# arg - closed$. We denote the set of all $\# arg - open$ sets in X by $\# \alpha RGO(X)$

Remark 2.2: $acl(X \setminus A) = X \setminus aint(A)$

Theorem 2.3: A subset A of X is $\# arg - open$ if and only if $F \subseteq aint(A)$ whenever F is rw -closed and $F \subseteq A$.

Proof:

Necessity: Let A be $\# arg - open$. Let F be rw -closed and $F \subseteq A$ then $X \setminus A \subseteq X \setminus F$ Since $X \setminus A$ is $\# arg - closed$, $acl(x \setminus A) \subseteq X \setminus F$ by Remark 2.2 $X \setminus aint(A) \subseteq X \setminus F$ that is $F \subseteq aint(A)$.

Sufficiency: Suppose F is rw -closed and $F \subseteq A$ implies $F \subseteq aint(A)$. Let $X \setminus A \subseteq U$ where U is rw -open. Then $X \setminus U \subseteq A$ and $X \setminus U$ is rw -closed. By hypothesis $X \setminus U \subseteq aint(A)$. That is $X \setminus aint(A) \subseteq U$ by Remark 2.2 $acl(x \setminus A) \subseteq U$. Hence $X \setminus A$ is $\# arg - closed$. Thus A is $\# arg - open$.

Theorem 2.4: If $aint(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$ and A is $\# arg - open$ then B is $\# arg - open$.

Proof: Let A be $\# arg - open$ set and $aint(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$. Now $aint(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$ implies $X \setminus A \subseteq X \setminus B \subseteq X \setminus aint(A)$. That is $X \setminus A \subseteq X \setminus B \subseteq acl(x \setminus A)$. Since $X \setminus A$ is $\# arg - closed$ then A is $\# arg - open$. $X \setminus B$ is $\# arg - closed$ then B is $\# arg - open$.

Remark 2.5: For any $A \subseteq X$, $aint(acl(A) \setminus A) = \phi$

Theorem 2.6: If $A \subseteq X$ is $\# arg - closed$ then $acl(A) \setminus A$ is $\# arg - open$.

Proof: Let A be $\# arg - closed$. Let F be rw -closed set such that $F \subseteq acl(A) \setminus A$. Then by theorem 3.2 [21] $F = \phi$ So, $F \subseteq aint(acl(A) \setminus A)$. This shows that $acl(A) \setminus A$ is $\# arg - open$.

Theorem 2.7: If a subset A of a space X is $\# rg - open$ then it is $\# arg - open$ but not conversely.

Proof: Let A be $\# rg - open$ set in space X . Then $X \setminus A$ is $\# rg - closed$ set. By Theorem 3.2 [21] $X \setminus A$ is $\# arg - closed$. Therefore A is $\# arg - open$ set in X .

The converse of the theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.8: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be with topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a, b\}\}$ then the set $A = \{a, b, c\}$ is $\# arg - open$ but not $\# rg - open$ in X .

Corollary 2.9: Every regular open set is $\# arg - open$ but not conversely.

Proof: Follows from Fathima [20] and Theorem [2.7]

Corollary 2.10: Every $\pi - open$ set is $\# arg - open$ but not conversely.

Proof: Follows from Fathima [20] and Theorem [2.7]

Theorem 2.11: If a subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is open that it is $\# arg - open$ but not conversely.

Proof: Let A be an open set in a space X . Then $X \setminus A$ is closed set. By Theorem 3.7 [21] $X \setminus A$ is $\# arg - closed$. Therefore A is $\# arg - open$ set in X . The converse of the theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.12: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be with topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ then the set $\{b\}$ is $\# arg - open$ but not open set in X .

Theorem 2.13: If a subset A of a topological Space (X, τ) is α – open then it is #arg – open but not conversely.

Proof: Let A be α – open set in X. Then $X \setminus A$ is α – closed set then by theorem 3.10 [21] $X \setminus A$ is #arg – closed set in X. Therefore A is #arg – open set in X.

The converse of the theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.14: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be with topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ then the set $\{a\}$ is #arg – open but not α – open set in X.

Theorem 2.15: If a subset A of X is #arg – open then it is gp-open but not conversely.

Proof: Let A be #arg – open set in a space X. Then $X \setminus A$ is #arg – closed set in X then by theorem 3.12 [21] $X \setminus A$ is gp-closed set in X. Therefore A is gp-open in X.

The converse of the theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.16: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be with the topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a, b\}\}$ then the set $A = \{a, d\}$ is gp-open but not #arg – open set in X.

Theorem 2.17: If a subset A of a space X is #arg – open then it is ag – open.

Proof: Let A be #arg – open in X. Then $X \setminus A$ is #arg – closed set in X. Then By theorem 3.14 [21] $X \setminus A$ is ag – closed set in X. Therefore A is ag – open in X.

The converse of the theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.18: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ be with the topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{c\}, \{a, b\}\}$ the set $A = \{a, c\}$ is ag – open but not #arg – open set in X.

Theorem 2.19: If a subset A of a space X is #arg – open then it is gsp-open.

Proof: Let A be #arg – open in X. Then $X \setminus A$ is #arg – closed set in X. Then by theorem 3.16 [21] $X \setminus A$ is gsp-closed set in X. Therefore A is gsp-open in X.

The converse of the theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 2.20: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ be with the topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{c\}, \{a, b\}\}$ then the set $A = \{a, c\}$ is gsp-open but not #arg – open

Theorem 2.21: If A and B are #arg – open set in a space X. The $A \cap B$ is also #arg – open set in X.

Proof: If A and B are #arg – open sets in a space X. Then $X \setminus A$ and $X \setminus B$ are #arg – closed sets in a space X. By theorem 3.20 [21] $(X \setminus A) \cup (X \setminus B)$ is also #arg – closed sets in X. Therefore $A \cap B$ is #arg – open set in X.

Theorem 2.22: The union of two #arg – open sets in X is not a #arg – open set in X.

Example 2.23: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be with topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ then the set $A = \{b, c\}$ and $B = \{b, d\}$ are #arg – open set in X but $A \cup B = \{b, c, d\}$ is not #arg – open set in X.

Theorem 2.24: If a set A is #arg – open set in X then $G = X$ whenever G is rw-open and $(\alpha \text{ int}(A) \cup (X \setminus A)) \subseteq G$.

Proof: Suppose that A is #arg – open set in X. Let G be rw-open and $(\alpha \text{ int}(A) \cup (X \setminus A)) \subseteq G$. This implies $G^c \subseteq (\alpha \text{ int}(A) \cup (X \setminus A))^c = (\alpha \text{ int}(A))^c \cap A$. That is $G^c \subseteq (\alpha \text{ int}(A))^c \cap A$. Thus $G^c \subseteq \alpha \text{ cl}(A^c) \setminus A^c$. Now G^c is rw-closed and A^c is #arg – closed, by theorem 3.23 [21] it follows that $G^c = \phi$. Hence $G = X$.

Theorem 2.25: Every Singleton point set in a space is either #arg – open or rw-closed.

Proof: Follows from theorem 3.27 [21]

3. # α -REGULAR GENERALIZED NEIGHBOURHOODS

Definition 3.1: Let X be a topological space and let $x \in X$. A subset N of x is said to be # α rg – Neighbourhood (briefly # α rg – nbhd) of x if and only if there exists a # α rg – open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq N$.

Definition 3.2: A subset N of space X is called a # α rg – neighbourhood (briefly # α rg – nbhd) of $A \subset X$ if and only if there exists a # α rg – open set U such that $A \subset U \subset N$.

Theorem 3.3: Every neighbourhood of N of $x \in X$ is a # α rg – Neighbourhood of X .

Proof: Let N be a neighbourhood of point $x \in X$ by definition of neighbourhood, there exists an open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq N$. Since every open set is # α rg – open set, U is # α rg – open set such that $x \in U \subseteq N$. This implies N is # α rg – Neighbourhood of X .

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.4: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be with topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ Then # α RGO(X) $\{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. The set $\{b, d\}$ is # α rg – nbhd of the point b , since the # α rg – open set $\{b\}$ is such that $b \in \{b\} \subseteq \{b, d\}$. However the set $\{b, d\}$ is not a nbhd of the point b . Since no open set U exists such that $b \in \{b\} \subseteq \{b, d\}$. However the set $\{b, d\}$ is not a nbhd of the point b . Since no open set U exists such that $b \in U \subseteq \{b, d\}$.

Theorem 3.5: If a subset N of a space X is # α rg – open then N is # α rg – nbhd of each of its point.

Proof: Suppose N is # α rg – open let $x \in N$, For N is # α rg – open set such that $x \in N \subseteq N$ Since ‘ x ’ is an arbitrary point of N , it follows that N is a # α rg – nbhd of each of its points. The converse of the theorem is not true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.6: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be with topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ then # α RGO(X) $= \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ The set $\{b, c\}$ is a # α rg – nbhd of the point b . Since the # α rg – open set $\{b\}$ is such that $b \in \{b\} \subseteq \{b, c\}$. Also the set $\{b, c\}$ is # α rg – nbhd of the point $\{c\}$ since the # α rg – open set $\{c\}$ is such that $c \in \{c\} \subseteq \{b, c\}$. That is $\{b, c\}$ is a # α rg – nbhd of each of its points. However the set $\{b, c\}$ is not # α rg – open set in X .

Theorem 3.7: If F is a # α rg – closed subset of X and $x \in F^c$ then there exists a # α rg – nbhd N of x such that $N \cap F = \phi$.

Proof: Let F be # α rg – closed subset of X and $x \in F^c$ then F^c is # α rg – open set of X . So by theorem 3.5 F^c contains a # α rg – nbhd of each of its points. Hence there exists a # α rg – nbhd N of x such that $N \subseteq F^c$. Hence $N \cap F = \phi$

Definition 3.8: Let x be a point in a space X . The set of all # α rg – nbhd of x is called # α rg – nbhd system at X and is denoted by # α rg – $N(x)$.

Theorem 3.9: Let X be a topological space and for each $x \in X$. # α rg – $N(x)$ be the collection of all # α rg – nbhds of x . Then we have the following results.

- (i) $\forall x \in X, \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x) \neq \phi$
- (ii) $N \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x) \Rightarrow x \in N$
- (iii) $N \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x), N \subset M \Rightarrow M \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x)$
- (iv) $N \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x), M \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x) \Rightarrow N \cap M \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x)$
- (v) $N \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x) \Rightarrow$ there exists $M \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x)$ such that $M \subset N$ and $M \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(y)$ For every $y \in M$.

Proof:

- (i) Since X is a # α rg – open set, it is # α rg – nbhd of every $x \in X$. Hence there exists atleast one # α rg – nbhd (namely X) for each $x \in X$. Hence # α rg – $N(x) \neq \phi$ for every $x \in X$.
- (ii) If $N \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x)$, then N is a # α rg – nbhd of x . So by the definition of # α rg – nbhd, $x \in N$.
- (iii) Let $N \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x)$ and $N \subset M$. Then there is a # α rg – open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq N$ since $N \subset M, x \in U \subseteq N$ and so M is # α rg – nbhd of x . Hence $M \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x)$.
- (iv) Let $N \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x)$ and $M \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x)$. Then by definition of # α rg – nbhd there exists # α rg – open sets U_1 and U_2 such that $x \in U_1 \subseteq N$ and $x \in U_2 \subseteq M$. Hence $x \in U_1 \cap U_2 \subseteq N \cap M$. Since $U_1 \cap U_2$ is # α rg – open set, $N \cap M$ is a # α rg – nbhd of x . Hence $N \cap M \in \# \alpha \text{rg} - N(x)$.

(v) If $N \in \#arg - N(x)$ then there exists a # arg – open set M . Such that $x \in M \subset N$. Since M is a # arg – open set, it is # arg – nbhd of each of its points. Therefore $M \in \#arg - N(y)$ for every $y \in M$.

4. # α -REGULAR GENERALIZED CLOSURE AND THEIR PROPERTIES.

Definition 4.1: For a subset A of X $\#arg-cl(A) = \cap \{F : A \subseteq F, F \text{ is } \#arg - \text{closed in } X\}$

Definition 4.2: Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $\tau_{\#arg} = \{V \subseteq X, \#arg - cl(X \setminus V) = X \setminus V\}$.

Remark 4.3: If $A \subseteq X$ is # arg – closed then $\#arg - cl(A) = A$. But the converse is not true, it is seen from the following example.

Example 4.4: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be with topology. $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ Let $A = \{b, c\}$ then $\#arg-cl(A) = A$ but A is not # arg – closed.

Remark 4.5: $\#arg - cl(\phi) = \phi$ and $\#arg - cl(X) = X$.

- (i) $A \subseteq \#arg - cl(A)$ for every subset A of X .
- (ii) Let A and B be subsets of X if $A \subseteq B$ then $\#arg-cl(A) \subseteq \#arg-cl(B)$.

Theorem 4.6: Suppose $\tau_{\#arg}$ is a topology. If A is # arg -closed in (X, τ) then A is α – closed in $(X, \tau_{\#arg})$.

Proof: Suppose A is # arg -closed in (X, τ) , $\#arg - cl(A) = A$. This implies $X \setminus A \in \tau_{\#arg}$. That is $X \setminus A$ is α – open in $(X, \tau_{\#arg})$. Hence A is α – closed in $(X, \tau_{\#arg})$.

Theorem 4.7: For any $x \in X$, $x \in \#arg - cl(A)$ if and only if $\forall V \ni x, V \cap A \neq \phi$ for every # arg – open set V containing x .

Proof:

Necessity: Let $x \in \#arg - cl(A)$. Suppose there exists a # arg – open set V containing x such that $V \cap A = \phi$. Since $A \subseteq X \setminus V$, $\#arg - cl(A) \subseteq X \setminus V$ this implies that $x \notin \#arg - cl(A)$ a contradiction.

Sufficiency: Suppose $x \notin \#arg - cl(A)$, then there exists a # arg – closed subset F containing A such that $x \notin F$. Then $x \in X \setminus F$ and $X \setminus F$ is # arg – open. Also $(X \setminus F) \cap A = \phi$ a contradiction.

Theorem 4.8: Let A and B be subsets of X then $\#arg-cl(A \cap B) \subseteq \#arg - cl(A) \cap \#arg - cl(B)$.

Proof: Since $A \cap B \subseteq A$ and B , by Remark 4.5 $\#arg - cl(A \cap B) \subseteq \#arg-cl(A)$ and $\#arg - cl(A \cap B) \subseteq \#arg-cl(B)$ thus $\#arg - cl(A \cap B) \subseteq \#arg-cl(A) \cap \#arg - cl(B)$.

Remark 4.9: $\#arg - cl(A) \cap \#arg-cl(B) \not\subseteq \#arg-cl(A \cap B)$ it is seen from the following example.

Example 4.10: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be with topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Let $A = \{a\}$ and $B = \{d\}$ $A \cap B = \emptyset$ $\#arg-cl(A) = \{a, d\}$ and $\#arg-cl(B) = \{d\}$ Then $\#arg-cl(A) \cap \#arg-cl(B) = \{d\} \not\subseteq \#arg-cl(A \cap B)$

Theorem 4.11: If A and B are # arg -closed sets then $\#arg-cl(A \cup B) = \#arg-cl(A) \cup \#arg-cl(B)$.

Proof: Let A and B be # arg -closed in X . Then $A \cup B$ is also # arg -closed. Then $\#arg-cl(A \cup B) = A \cup B = \#arg-cl(A) \cup \#arg-cl(B)$.

Definition 4.12: For any $A \subseteq X$, # $arg - int(A)$ is defined as the union of all # arg -open set contained in A .

Theorem 4.13: $(X \setminus \#arg - int(A)) = \#arg-cl(X \setminus A)$

Proof: Let $x \in X \setminus \#arg - int(A)$ then $x \notin \#arg - int(A)$ That is every # arg – open set B containing x is such that $B \not\subseteq A$. This implies that every # arg – open set B containing x intersects $X \setminus A$. By theorem 4.6 $x \in \#arg-cl(X \setminus A)$. Hence $(X \setminus \#arg - int(A)) \subseteq \#arg-cl(X \setminus A)$. Conversely let $x \in \#arg-cl(X \setminus A)$. Then every # arg -open set U containing x intersects $X \setminus A$. That is every # arg -open set U containing x is such that $U \not\subseteq A$, implies $x \notin \#arg - int(A)$. Hence $\#arg-cl(X \setminus A) \subseteq (X \setminus \#arg - int(A))$ Thus $(X \setminus \#arg - int(A)) = \#arg-cl(X \setminus A)$.

CONCLUSION

In this paper we study #arg- open sets and their basic properties relationship with some generalized sets in topological space also we have discuss #arg-neighbourhood and #arg-closure and their properties..

REFERENCES

1. Benchalli, S.S., and Wali. R.S., on RW-Closed sets in topological spaces, Bull, Malays, Math.Sci.Soc(2) 30(2)(2007), 99-110.
2. Bhattacharyya. P. And Lahiri. B.K. Semi-generalized closed sets in topology, Indian J. Math 29(1987), 376-382.
3. Buswas, N., On Characterization of semi-continuous functions, Atti Accad, Naz. Lincei Rend, Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. 48(8) (1970), 399-402.
4. Cameron, D.E., Properties of S-closed spaces, Proc. Amer Math.Soc.72 (1978), 581-586.
5. Dontchev. J and Noiri. T Quasi-normal spaces and πg -closed sets, Acta Math. Hungar, 89(3)(2000), 211-219.
6. Gnanmbal Y., On generalized pre-regular closed sets in topological spaces, Indian J. Pure App. Math. 28 (1997), 351-360.
7. Gnanambal. Y. And Balachandran. K., On gpr-continuous functions in topological spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 30(6) (1999), 581-593.
8. Levine. N., Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer, Math. Monthly, 70(1973), 36-
9. Levine. N., Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend Circ. Mat. Palermo 19(1970), 89-96.
10. Maki. H. Devi. R and Balachandran, K., Associated topologies of generalized α -cloed and α - generalized closed sets, Mem.Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math 15(1994), 51-63.
11. Mashhour. A.S., ABD. El-Monsef. M.e and El-Deeb S.N., On pre continuous mappings and weak pre-continuous mappings, Proc Math, Phys. Soc. Egypt 53(1982), 47-53.
12. Nagaveni. N., Studies on Generalizations of Homeomorphisms in Topological Spaces., Ph.D. Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, 1999.
13. Palaniappan N., and Rao. K.c., Regular generalized closed sets, Kyungpook Math. J. 33(1993), 211-219.
14. Palaniappan N, Pious Missier S and Antony Rex Rodrigo $\alpha g p$ -closed sets in Topological Spaces, Acta Ciencia Indica Vol. XXXI M, No.1,243 (2006).
15. Park. J.K. and Park, J.H., Mildly generalized closed sets, almost normal and mildly normal spaces, Chaos Solitions and Fractals 20(2004), 1103-1111.
16. Pushpalatha. A., Studies on Generalizations of Mappings in Topological Spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, 2000.
17. Sundaram. P and Shiek John. M., On w-closed sets in topology, Acta Ciencia Indica 4(2000), 389-392.
18. Stone. M., Application of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer .Math. Soc.41 (1937), 374-481.
19. Syed Ali Fathima S and Mariasingam M on #regular generalized closed sets in Topological Spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Archive -2(11),2011 2497-2502.
20. Syed Ali Fathima S and Mariasingam M on #regular generalized open sets in Topological Spaces, International Journal of Computer Applications (0795-8887) Volume 42-No.7 March 2012.
21. Thilaga Leelavathi S and Mariasingam M on # α -regular generalised closed in Topological Spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Trends and Technology (IJMTT) Volume 56 Number 8 – April 2018.
22. Tong.J., Weak almost continuous mapping and weak nearly compact spaces, Boll. Un.Mat.Ital. (1982), 385-391.
23. Thakur C.K. Raman, Vidyottamma Kumari and Sharma M.K α -Generalized & α^* - Separation Axioma for Topological Spaces, IOSR Journal of Mathematics Volume 10, Issue 3 Ver.VI (May-Jun 2014) PP 32-36.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2018. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]