

GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS ON SEMIPRIME NEAR-RINGS

Dr. L. MADHUCHELVI*¹ AND V. SUGANYA²

¹Associated Professor, Department of Mathematics,
Sri Sarada College for Women (Autonomous) Salem-16, India.

²Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics,
Sri Sarada College for Women (Autonomous) Salem-16, India.

(Received On: 09-05-18; Revised & Accepted On: 08-07-18)

ABSTRACT

Let N be a semiprime left near-ring and α any mapping on N . A mapping $F: N \rightarrow N$ is a generalized derivation and $f: N \rightarrow N$ is a derivation. In this paper our main motive is to study the commutativity of semiprime near-rings and the nature of mappings.

Keywords: Near-ring, Semiprime near-ring, derivation, generalized derivation, ideal.

AMS Classification Number: 16Y30, 16W25.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, $Z(N)$ will denote the multiplicative center of N . Numerous outcomes in literature indicate how the worldwide structure of a near-ring N is often tightly connected to the behavior of additive mappings characterized on N . More recently several authors consider similar situation in the case the derivation d is replaced by a generalized derivation. Recently, there has been a lot of work concerning commutativity of prime and semiprime rings admitting suitably constrained derivations and generalized derivations [5]. Obviously, every derivation is a generalized derivation but the converse need not be true in general [6]. In this paper, we have proved comparable results of [6] for near-rings.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect all basic concepts in near-rings mostly from A. Boua and L. Oukhtite [1], H. E. Heatherly [2], G. Pilz [3], Mehsin Jabel Atteya, Dalal Jbrahee Rasen [4] and M. Samman, L. outkhite, A. Boua [5] which are required for our study.

Definition 2.1: A left near-ring is a set N together with two binary operations “+” and “ \cdot ” such that

- $(N, +)$ is a group (not necessarily abelian)
- (N, \cdot) is a semigroup
- $\forall n_1, n_2, n_3 \in N: n_1 \cdot (n_2 + n_3) = n_1 \cdot n_2 + n_1 \cdot n_3$.

Definition 2.2: An additive endomorphism D of N is called a **derivation** on N if $D(xy) = xD(y) + D(x)y$ for all $x, y \in N$.

Definition 2.3: An additive mapping $F: N \rightarrow N$ is said to be a right (resp., left) generalized derivation with associated derivation d if $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$ (resp., $F(xy) = d(x)y + xF(y)$), for all $x, y \in N$, and $F: N \rightarrow N$ is said to be a **generalized derivation** with associated derivation d on F if it is both a right and left generalized derivation on N with associated derivation d .

Definition 2.4: A near-ring N is said to be **semiprime near-ring** if $xNx = \{0\}$ for $x \in N$ implies $x = 0$.

*Corresponding Author: Dr. L. Madhuchelvi*¹,
¹Associated Professor, Department of Mathematics,
Sri Sarada College for Women (Autonomous) Salem-16, India.*

Definition 2.5: For any $x, y \in N$, $[x, y] = xy - yx$ will denote the **commutator** and $(x \circ y) = xy + yx$ will denote the **anti-commutator**.

For any $x, y, z \in N$, the following identities hold:

- i) $[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z$
- ii) $[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y$

Definition 2.6: A normal subgroup I of $(N, +)$ is called an **ideal** of N ($I \trianglelefteq N$) if

- α) $IN \subseteq I$
- β) $\forall n, n' \in N \quad \forall i \in I : n(n' + i) - nn' \in I$.

Normal subgroups R of $(N, +)$ with α) are called right ideals of N ($R \trianglelefteq_r N$), while normal subgroups L of $(N, +)$ with β) are said to be left ideals of N ($L \trianglelefteq_l N$).

Definition 2.7: A **distributive near-ring** is a near-ring satisfying both distributive laws.

Definition 2.8: The symbol $Z(N)$ will represent the **multiplicative center of N** , that is, $Z(N) = \{x \in N / xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in N\}$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1: Let N be an additive abelian semiprime left near-ring and I a non-zero ideal of N . Suppose that F is a left generalized derivation associated with the mapping f on N . If $F[x, y] - [x, f(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $[f(y), y] = 0$ for all $y \in I$.

Proof:

Assume that

$$F[x, y] - [x, f(y)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I$$

Replacing x by yx ,

$$\begin{aligned} F[yx, y] - [yx, f(y)] &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow yF[x, y] + f(y)[x, y] - yxf(y) + f(y)yx &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Adding and subtracting $y[x, f(y)]$,

$$\begin{aligned} yF[x, y] - y[x, f(y)] + f(y)[x, y] + y[x, f(y)] - yxf(y) + f(y)yx &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow f(y)xy - yf(y)x &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Replace x by $xf(y)$,

$$f(y)xf(y)y - yf(y)xf(y) = 0 \tag{2}$$

Post multiply (1) by $f(y)$,

$$f(y)xyf(y) - yf(y)xf(y) = 0 \tag{3}$$

Subtracting (3) from (2),

$$\begin{aligned} f(y)x(f(y)y - yf(y)) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow [f(y), y]x[f(y), y] &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I \end{aligned}$$

Since N is semiprime near-ring, $[f(y), y] = 0$ for all $y \in I$.

Theorem 3.2: Let N be an additive abelian semiprime left near-ring and I a non-zero ideal of N . Suppose that F is a left generalized derivation associated with the mapping f on N . If $F(x \circ y) - x \circ f(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $[f(y), y] = 0$ for all $y \in I$.

Proof:

Assume that

$$F(x \circ y) - x \circ f(y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I$$

On replacing x by yx ,

$$\begin{aligned} F(yx \circ y) - yx \circ f(y) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow yF(x \circ y) + f(y)(x \circ y) - yxf(y) - f(y)yx &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Adding and subtracting $y(x \circ f(y))$ and using hypothesis, we have

$$f(y)xy + yf(y)x = 0 \tag{4}$$

Let $x = xf(y)$,

$$f(y)xf(y)y + yf(y)xf(y) = 0 \tag{5}$$

Post multiply (4) by $f(y)$,

$$f(y)xyf(y) + yf(y)xf(y) = 0 \tag{6}$$

Subtracting (6) from (5),

$$[f(y), y]x[f(y), y] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I$$

Since N is semiprime near-ring, we get $[f(y), y] = 0$ for all $y \in I$.

Theorem 3.3: Let N be an additive abelian semiprime left near-ring and I a non-zero ideal of N . Suppose that G and F are two left generalized derivations associated with the mappings g and f respectively on N .

If $G(xy) \pm [x, F(y)] \pm xy = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $g(x) \in Z(N)$ for all $x \in I$.

Proof:

Case (i):

Assume that

$$G(xy) + [x, F(y)] + xy = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I$$

Substituting zx for x ,

$$\begin{aligned} G((zx)y) + [zx, F(y)] + zxy &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \\ g(z)xy + [z, F(y)]x &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Substituting xt for x ,

$$g(z)xt y + [z, F(y)]xt = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \text{ and } t \in N \tag{8}$$

Right multiply (7) by t ,

$$g(z)xyt + [z, F(y)]xt = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \text{ and } t \in N \tag{9}$$

Subtracting (8) from (9), we get

$$[y, g(z)]x[y, t] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \text{ and } t \in N$$

Let $t = g(z)$ and since N is a semiprime near-ring, $[y, g(z)] = 0$ for all $y, z \in I$

Substituting yr in place of y ,

$$y[r, g(z)] = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in I \text{ and } r \in N$$

Again by semiprimeness of N , $g(z) \in Z(N)$ for all $z \in I$. Hence $g(x) \in Z(N)$ for all $x \in I$.

Case (ii):

Assume that $G(xy) - [x, F(y)] - xy = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$

Substituting zx for x ,

$$g(z)xy - [z, F(y)]x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \tag{10}$$

On replacing x by xt in (10),

$$g(z)xt y - [z, F(y)]xt = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \text{ and } t \in N \tag{11}$$

Post multiply (10) by t and subtract (11)

$$g(z)x[y, t] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \text{ and } t \in N$$

Further, proceeding as in the proof of case (i), we have $g(x) \in Z(N)$ for all $x \in I$.

Using similar approach, the same result holds for $G(xy) \pm [x, F(y)] + xy = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$.

Theorem 3.4: Let N be an additive abelian semiprime left near-ring and I a non-zero ideal of N . Suppose that G and F are two left generalized derivations associated with the mappings g and f respectively on N . If $G(xy) \pm x \circ F(y) \pm xy = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $g(x) \in Z(N)$ for all $x \in I$.

Proof:

Assume that $G(xy) - x \circ F(y) - xy = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$

Substituting zx in place of x ,

$$g(z)xy + [z, F(y)]x = 0 \tag{12}$$

On replacing x by xt in (12),

$$g(z)xt y + [z, F(y)]xt = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \text{ and } t \in N \tag{13}$$

Post multiply (12) by t and subtract (13)

$$[y, g(z)]x[y, t] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \text{ and } t \in N$$

Let $t = g(z)$ and since N is semiprime, $[y, g(z)] = 0$ for all $y, z \in I$.

Substituting yr in place of y , we get

$$y[r, g(z)] = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in I \text{ and } r \in N$$

Again by semiprimeness of N , $g(z) \in Z(N)$ for all $z \in I$. Hence $g(x) \in Z(N)$ for all $x \in I$.

By using similar approach, the same result holds for $G(xy) + x \circ F(y) \pm xy = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$.

Theorem 3.5: Let N be an additive abelian semiprime left near-ring and I a non-zero ideal of N . Suppose that G and F are two left generalized derivations associated with the mappings g and f respectively on N . If one of the following holds:

- i) $G(xy) \pm x \circ F(y) \pm [x, y] = 0$;
- ii) $G(xy) \pm x \circ F(y) \pm x \circ y = 0$;
- iii) $G(xy) \pm x \circ F(y) = 0$
for all $x, y \in I$, then $g(x) \in Z(N)$ for all $x \in I$.

Proof:

i) Assume that $G(xy) \pm x \circ F(y) \pm [x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$

Assume further that $G(xy) - x \circ F(y) - [x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$ (14)

Substituting zx instead of x in (14),

$$g(z)xy + [z, F(y)]x - [z, y]x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \tag{15}$$

Replace x by xt , $t \in N$ in (15)

$$g(z)xt y + [z, F(y)]xt - [z, y]xt = 0 \tag{16}$$

Post multiply (15) by t and subtract (16)

$$[y, g(z)]x[y, t] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \text{ and } t \in N$$

Putting $t = g(z)$, then $[y, g(z)]x[y, g(z)] = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in I$

Since N is semiprime, we have $[y, g(z)] = 0$ for all $y, z \in I$

Substituting yr in place of y , we get

$$y[r, g(z)] = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in I \text{ and } r \in N$$

Again by semiprimeness of N , $g(z) \in Z(N)$ for all $z \in I$. Hence $g(x) \in Z(N)$ for all $x \in I$.

Using similar approach, the same result holds for $G(xy) + x \circ F(y) \pm [x, y] = 0$ and $G(xy) - x \circ F(y) + [x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$.

ii) Assume that $G(xy) \pm x \circ F(y) \pm x \circ y = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$

Let $G(xy) - x \circ F(y) - x \circ y = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$

On replacing zx in place of x ,

$$g(z)xy + [z, F(y)]x + [z, y]x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I$$

Further, proceeding as in the proof of part (i), we have $g(x) \in Z(N)$ for all $x \in I$.

By using similar argument we can prove the same result for the following cases $G(xy) + x \circ F(y) \pm x \circ y = 0$ and $G(xy) - x \circ F(y) + x \circ y = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$.

iii) Assume that $G(xy) \pm x \circ F(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$

Suppose that $G(xy) - x \circ F(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$

Substituting zx instead of x ,

$$g(z)xy + [z, F(y)]x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \quad (17)$$

Now replacing xt in place of x ,

$$g(z)xt + [z, F(y)]xt = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \text{ and } t \in N \quad (18)$$

Right multiply (17) by t and subtract (18)

$$[y, g(z)]x[y, t] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \text{ and } t \in N$$

Putting $t = g(z)$ and since N is semiprime, we have

$$[y, g(z)] = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in I$$

Substituting yr in place of y , we get

$$y[r, g(z)] = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in I \text{ and } r \in N$$

Again by semiprimeness of N , $g(z) \in Z(N)$ for all $z \in I$. Hence $g(x) \in Z(N)$ for all $x \in I$.

By using similar approach, the same result holds for $G(xy) + x \circ F(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$.

Theorem 3.6: Let N be an additive abelian semiprime distributive near-ring and I a non-zero ideal and α any mapping on N . Suppose that G and F are two left generalized derivations associated with the mappings g and f respectively on N . If $G(xy) + F(x)F(y) \pm [x, \alpha(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $[f(x), x] = 0$ and $[g(x), x] = 0$ for all $x \in I$. Moreover, if α is an automorphism, then N is commutative.

Proof:

Assume that $G(xy) + F(x)F(y) - [x, \alpha(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$

Substituting zx for x ,

$$g(z)xy + f(z)xF(y) - [z, \alpha(y)]x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \quad (19)$$

Substituting xr in place of x , we obtain

$$g(z)xry + f(z)xrF(y) - [z, \alpha(y)]xr = 0 \quad (20)$$

Substituting ry in place of y in (20) and subtract from (20)

$$-f(z)xf(r)y + [z, \alpha(ry)]x - [z, \alpha(y)]xr = 0 \quad (21)$$

On replacing x by xr in (21),

$$-f(z)xrf(r)y + [z, \alpha(ry)]xr - [z, \alpha(y)]xr^2 = 0 \quad (22)$$

Post multiply (21) by r and subtract from (22)

$$f(z)x[f(r)y, r] = 0 \quad (23)$$

Replace x by xzy in (23),

$$f(z)xzy[f(r)y, r] = 0 \quad (24)$$

Replace x by xy in (23),

$$f(z)xy[f(r)y, r] = 0 \quad (25)$$

Pre multiply (25) by z and subtract from (24)

$$[f(z)x, z]y[f(r)y, r] = 0$$

Let $r = z$ and $y = x$ and since N is semiprime,

$$f(z)[x, z] + [f(z), z]x = 0 \quad (26)$$

Substituting xr for x in (26),

$$f(z)x[r, z] + f(z)[x, z]r + [f(z), z]xr = 0 \quad (27)$$

Post multiply (26) by r and subtract from (27)

$$[f(z), z]x[f(z), z] = 0$$

Since N is semiprime, $[f(z), z] = 0$ for all $z \in I$

$$\Rightarrow [f(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in I.$$

Again replacing x by zx in (19),

$$g(z)zxy + f(z)zxF(y) - [z, \alpha(y)]zx = 0 \tag{28}$$

Pre multiply (19) by z and subtract from (28)

$$[g(z), z]xy + [z, [z, \alpha(y)]]x = 0 \tag{29}$$

Putting $x = xr$,

$$[g(z), z]xry + [z, [z, \alpha(y)]]xr = 0 \tag{30}$$

Post multiply (29) by r and subtract (30)

$$[g(z), z]x[y, r] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I \text{ and } r \in N$$

Let $r = g(z)$ and $y = z$,

$$[g(z), z] = 0 \text{ for all } z \in I \tag{31}$$

Hence $[f(x), x] = 0$ and $[g(x), x] = 0$ for all $x \in I$.

Next, we assume the case, when α is an automorphism.

Applying (31) in (29), we have

$$[z, [z, \alpha(y)]] = 0 \tag{32}$$

Linearizing this expression,

$$[x, [z, \alpha(y)]] + [z, [x, \alpha(y)]] = 0 \tag{33}$$

On replacing xz for x ,

$$([x, [z, \alpha(y)]] + [z, [x, \alpha(y)]])z + [z, x][z, \alpha(y)] = 0$$

Using (33), we obtain

$$[z, x][z, \alpha(y)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I$$

Substituting $\alpha(y)x$ for x ,

$$[z, \alpha(y)] = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in I$$

Put $y = y\alpha^{-1}(r)$

$$[z, \alpha(y\alpha^{-1}(r))] = 0 \Rightarrow [z, r] = 0 \text{ for all } z \in I \text{ and } r \in N$$

i.e. $I \subset Z(N)$.

Hence N is commutative. Using similar approach, the same result holds for $G(xy) + F(x)F(y) + [x, \alpha(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$.

Using similar techniques with some necessary variations we can prove the following Theorem:

Theorem 3.7: Let N be an additive abelian semiprime distributive near-ring and I a non-zero ideal and α any mapping on N . Suppose that G and F are two left generalized derivations associated with the mappings g and f respectively on N . If $G(xy) - F(x)F(y) \pm [x, \alpha(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $[f(x), x] = 0$ and $[g(x), x] = 0$ for all $x \in I$. Moreover, if α is an automorphism, then N is commutative.

REFERENCES

1. A. Boua and L. Oukhtite., Derivations on prime near-rings. Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math., Vol 4, No 2, Jun 2011.
2. H. E. Heatherly., Distributive near-rings The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, vol. 24, Issue 1, pp. 63-70, 1 Jan. 1973.
3. Mehsein Jabel Atteya, Dalal Jbraheem Rasen., Derivations on semiprime near-rings. International Journal of Mathematical Engineering and Science, vol. 1, Issue 5, May 2012.

4. G. Pilz., Near-Rings North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1983.
5. M. Samman, L. Oukhtite, A. Boua., A study of near-rings with generalized derivations Journal of Taibah University for science, 9, pp. 407-413, 2015.
6. S. K. Tiwari, R. K. Sharma, B. Dhara., Multiplicative (generalized) -derivation in semiprime rings Beitr Algebra Geom, 2015.
7. Xue-Kuan Wang., Derivations in prime near-rings Proceedings of the American mathematical society, vol. 121, No 2, Jun. 1994.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2018. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]