

**HYERS - ULAM STABILITY OF DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS OF SECOND ORDER**

**R. ARUL<sup>1</sup> AND C. GAYATHRI\*<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Kandaswami Kandar's College, Velur - 638 182, Tamilnadu, India.

(Received On: 19-05-18; Revised & Accepted On: 29-06-18)

---

**ABSTRACT**

*In this paper, we investigate the Hyers - Ulam stability of second order difference equations of the form*

$$\Delta^2 y(n) + p\Delta y(n) + qy(n) = r(n)$$

*and*

$$\Delta^2 y(n) - p(n)\Delta y(n) + q(n)y(n) = r(n)$$

*where  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{p(n)\}, \{q(n)\}, \{r(n)\}$  are sequences of reals. Examples are provided to illustrate the main results.*

*AMS Subject Classification: 39A45, 39B42*

*Keywords and Phrases: Hyers - Ulam stability, second order, difference equation.*

---

**1. INTRODUCTION**

In recent years, there has been a great interest in investigating the Hyers - Ulam stability of various types of functional equations. This problem was first raised by Ulam [15] concerning the stability of group homomorphism, and the answer was given by Hyers [3], we refer the reader to [13] for the exact definition of Hyers - Ulam stability. Since then, the stability problems of various functional equations has been studied by many authors, see [13] and the references contained therein.

After that, Ulam stability problem for functional equations was replaced by stability of differential equations and difference equations. The differential equation

$$a_n(t)y^{(n)}(t) + a_{n-1}(t)y^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + a_1(t)y'(t) + a_0(t)y(t) + h(t) = 0$$

has the Hyers - Ulam stability, if for given  $\varepsilon > 0, I$  be an open interval and for any function  $f$  satisfying the differential inequality

$$|a_n(t)y^{(n)}(t) + a_{n-1}(t)y^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + a_1(t)y'(t) + a_0(t)y(t) + h(t)| \leq \varepsilon$$

then there exists a solution  $f_0(t)$  of the above equation such that

$$|f(t) - f_0(t)| \leq K(\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K(\varepsilon) = 0, \quad t \in I.$$

In [9], the authors studied the Hyers - Ulam stability of second order differential equation of the form

$$y'' + \alpha y' + \beta y = 0$$

and

$$y'' + \alpha y' + \beta y = f(t)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . The Hyers - Ulam stability of differential equations have been studied in many papers, see for example [4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 14], and the references cited therein. However only few results are reported in the literature regarding the Hyers - Ulam stability of difference equations, see [1, 2, 8, 11, 12, 14] and the references cited therein.

---

**Corresponding Author: C. Gayathri\*<sup>2</sup>,**

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kandaswami Kandar's College, Velur - 638 182, Tamilnadu, India.

The aim of this paper is to study the Hyers - Ulam stability of second order difference equations of the form

$$\Delta^2 y(n) + p\Delta y(n) + qy(n) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\Delta^2 y(n) + p\Delta y(n) + qy(n) = r(n), \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\Delta^2 y(n) - p(n)\Delta y(n) + q(n)y(n) = r(n) \tag{1.3}$$

where  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{p(n)\}, \{q(n)\}, \{r(n)\}$  are sequences of reals.

**Definition 1.1:** *The difference equation*

$$a_k(n)\Delta^k y(n) + a_{k-1}(n)\Delta^{k-1} y(n) + \dots + a_1(n)\Delta y(n) + a_0(n)y(n) + b(n) = 0$$

has the Hyers - Ulam stability, if for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $I$  be an open interval and for any real function  $f(n)$  satisfying the inequality

$$|a_k(n)\Delta^k y(n) + a_{k-1}(n)\Delta^{k-1} y(n) + \dots + a_1(n)\Delta y(n) + a_0(n)y(n) + b(n)| \leq \varepsilon$$

then there exists a function  $f_0(n)$  of the above difference equation such that  $|f(n) - f_0(n)| \leq K(\varepsilon)$  and  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K(\varepsilon) = 0$  for  $n \in I \subset \mathbb{N}(0) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

**Definition 1.2:** *The difference equation (1.2) has the Hyers - Ulam stability if there exists a constant  $K > 0$  with the property: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $y(n), r(n)$  defined for  $n \in (a, b+1)$ ,  $0 < a < b < \infty$ , if*

$$|\Delta^2 y(n) + p\Delta y(n) + qy(n) - r(n)| \leq \varepsilon \tag{1.4}$$

then there exists some  $z(n), n \in (a, b+1)$  satisfying

$$\Delta^2 z(n) + p\Delta z(n) + qz(n) = r(n)$$

such that  $|y(n) - z(n)| \leq K\varepsilon$ . We call such  $K$  as a Hyers - Ulam stability constant for equation (1.2).

The results presented in this paper are new and complement to the results reported in the literature for difference equations.

## 2. STABILITY RESULTS

In this section, we study the Hyers - Ulam stability of equations (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3). We begin with the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1:** *If the characteristic equation  $m^2 + (p-2)m + (q-p+1) = 0$  have two different positive roots, then the equation (1.1) has the Hyers - Ulam stability.*

**Proof:** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $y(n), n \in (a, b+1)$  be a solution of equation (1.1) satisfying the property

$$|\Delta^2 y(n) + p\Delta y(n) + qy(n)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be the two different positive roots of the characteristic equation. For  $n \in (a, b+1)$ , define  $g(n) = \Delta y(n) - \lambda y(n)$ . Then

$$\Delta g(n) = \Delta^2 y(n) - \lambda \Delta y(n)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta g(n) - \mu g(n)| &= |\Delta^2 y(n) - \lambda \Delta y(n) - \mu \Delta y(n) + \lambda \mu y(n)| \\ &= |\Delta^2 y(n) + p\Delta y(n) + qy(n)| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $g(n)$  satisfies the relation

$$-\varepsilon \leq \Delta g(n) - \mu g(n) \leq \varepsilon. \tag{2.1}$$

From (2.1), we have

$$-\varepsilon(1 + \mu)^{-(n+1)} \leq (1 + \mu)^{-(n+1)} [g(n+1) - (1 + \mu)g(n)] \leq \varepsilon(1 + \mu)^{-(n+1)}$$

that is,

$$-\varepsilon(1+\mu)^{-(n+1)} \leq \Delta((1+\mu)^{-n} g(n)) \leq \varepsilon(1+\mu)^{-(n+1)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Summing (2.2) from  $n$  to  $b$ , we obtain

$$-\varepsilon \sum_{j=n}^b (1+\mu)^{-(j+1)} \leq \sum_{j=n}^b \Delta((1+\mu)^{-j} g(j)) \leq \varepsilon \sum_{j=n}^b (1+\mu)^{-(j+1)}$$

which on simplification implies that

$$-\varepsilon \frac{(1+\mu)^{-n}}{\mu} \leq (1+\mu)^{-(b+1)} g(b+1) - (1+\mu)^{-n} g(b) \leq \varepsilon \frac{(1+\mu)^{-n}}{\mu}.$$

Hence

$$-\varepsilon_1 \leq (1+\mu)^{-(b-n+1)} g(b+1) - g(n) \leq \varepsilon_1$$

where  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu}$ . Let  $z(n) = (1+\mu)^{-(b-n+1)} g(b+1)$ . Then  $\Delta z(n) - \mu z(n) = 0$ . Now  $|g(n) - z(n)| \leq \varepsilon_1$  implies that

$$-\varepsilon_1 \leq \Delta y(n) - \lambda y(n) - z(n) \leq \varepsilon_1$$

and hence

$$-\varepsilon_1(1+\lambda)^{-(n+1)} \leq \Delta(1+\lambda)^{-(n+1)}(y(n+1) - (1+\lambda)y(n) - z(n)) \leq \varepsilon_1(1+\lambda)^{-(n+1)}.$$

Proceeding as above, one obtains

$$-\varepsilon_1 \frac{(1+\lambda)^{-n}}{\lambda} \leq (1+\lambda)^{-(b+1)} y(b+1) - (1+\lambda)^{-n} y(n) - \sum_{j=n}^b (1+\lambda)^{-(j+1)} z(j) \leq \varepsilon_1 \frac{(1+\lambda)^{-n}}{\lambda}$$

or

$$-\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\lambda} \leq (1+\lambda)^{-(b-n+1)} y(b+1) - y(n) - (1+\lambda)^n \sum_{j=n}^b (1+\lambda)^{-(j+1)} z(j) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\lambda}.$$

Define

$$u(n) = (1+\lambda)^{-(b-n+1)} y(b+1) - \sum_{j=n}^b (1+\lambda)^{-(j-n+1)} z(j)$$

then  $|u(n) - y(n)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\lambda} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda\mu}$ . It is easy to see that  $\Delta u(n) = \lambda u(n) + z(n)$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 u(n) &= \lambda \Delta u(n) + \Delta z(n) \\ &= \lambda \Delta u(n) + \mu z(n) \\ &= \lambda \Delta u(n) + \mu(\Delta u(n) - \lambda u(n)) \\ &= (\lambda + \mu) \Delta u(n) - \lambda \mu u(n) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\Delta^2 u(n) + p \Delta u(n) + q u(n) = 0.$$

Consequently, the equation (1.1) has the Hyers - Ulam stability with the stability constant  $K = \frac{1}{\lambda\mu}$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.2:** Assume that the characteristic equation  $m^2 + (p-2)m + (q-p+1) = 0$  have two different positive roots. If condition (1.4) holds, then the equation (1.2) has the Hyers - Ulam stability.

**Proof:** Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta g(n) - \mu g(n) - r(n)| &= |\Delta^2 y(n) - \lambda \Delta y(n) - \mu \Delta y(n) + \lambda \mu y(n) - r(n)| \\ &= |\Delta^2 y(n) + p \Delta y(n) + q y(n) - r(n)| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $g(n)$  satisfies the relation

$$-\varepsilon \leq \Delta g(n) - \mu g(n) - r(n) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$-\varepsilon(1 + \mu)^{-(n+1)} \leq \Delta\left((1 + \mu)^{-n} g(n)\right) - (1 + \mu)^{-(n+1)} r(n) \leq \varepsilon(1 + \mu)^{-(n+1)},$$

and we let  $z(n) = (1 + \mu)^{-(b-n+1)} g(b+1) - \sum_{j=n}^b (1 + \mu)^{-(j-n+1)} r(j)$ , then  $z(n)$  satisfies the equation

$$\Delta z(n) - \mu z(n) - r(n) = 0,$$

and  $|g(n) - z(n)| \leq \varepsilon_1$ . Using the same type of argument as in Theorem 2.1, one can show that there exists

$$u(n) = (1 + \lambda)^{-(b-n+1)} g(b+1) - \sum_{j=n}^b (1 + \lambda)^{-(j-n+1)} z(j)$$

such that  $|u(n) - y(n)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda\mu}$  and  $u(n)$  satisfies the equation

$$\Delta^2 u(n) + p\Delta u(n) + qu(n) - r(n) = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

Next, we study the Hyers - Ulam stability of equation (1.3). For this, we need the following result.

**Lemma 2.3:** Assume that  $0 \leq \alpha(n) \leq \alpha < \infty$  for every  $n \in I$ . Then for  $n \in (a, b+1)$ , the equation

$$\Delta y(n) - \alpha(n)y(n) - r(n) = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

has the Hyers - Ulam stability.

**Proof:** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $y(n), n \in (a, b+1)$  be a solution of equation (2.3) satisfying the property

$$|\Delta y(n) - \alpha(n)y(n) - r(n)| \leq \varepsilon$$

or

$$-\varepsilon \leq y(n+1) - (1 + \alpha(n))y(n) - r(n) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon \left( \prod_{i=a}^n (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} &\leq [y(n+1) - (1 + \alpha(n))y(n) - r(n)] \left( \prod_{i=a}^n (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left( \prod_{i=a}^n (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon \left( \prod_{i=a}^n (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} &\leq \Delta \left( y(n) \left( \prod_{i=a}^n (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} \right) \\ -r(n) \left( \prod_{i=a}^n (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} &\leq \varepsilon \left( \prod_{i=a}^n (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing the last inequality from  $a$  to  $n-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon \sum_{j=a}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{i=a}^j (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} &\leq \sum_{j=a}^{n-1} \Delta \left( y(j) \left( \prod_{i=a}^j (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} \right) \\ -\sum_{j=a}^{n-1} r(j) \left( \prod_{i=a}^j (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{j=a}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{i=a}^j (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon \sum_{j=a}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{i=a}^j (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} &\leq y(n) \left( \prod_{i=a}^{n-1} (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} - y(a) \\ -\sum_{j=a}^{n-1} r(j) \left( \prod_{i=a}^j (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{j=a}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{i=a}^j (1 + \alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the convention  $\prod_{i=a}^{a-1}(1+\alpha(i)) = 1$ . Now  $1+\alpha \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=a}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{i=a}^j (1+\alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} &= \frac{1}{1+\alpha(a)} + \frac{1}{(1+\alpha(a))(1+\alpha(a+1))} + \dots + \frac{1}{(1+\alpha(a)) \dots (1+\alpha(n-1))} \\ &\leq \frac{(1+\alpha)^n - 1}{\alpha} \left( \prod_{i=a}^{n-1} (1+\alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{(1+\alpha)^b - 1}{\alpha} \left( \prod_{i=a}^{n-1} (1+\alpha(i)) \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this in (??), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon \frac{(1+\alpha)^b - 1}{\alpha} &\leq y(n) - y(a) \left( \prod_{i=a}^{n-1} (1+\alpha(i)) \right) \\ - \prod_{i=a}^{n-1} (1+\alpha(i)) \sum_{j=a}^{n-1} r(j) \left( \prod_{i=a}^j (1+\alpha(i)) \right)^{-1} &\leq \varepsilon \frac{(1+\alpha)^b - 1}{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \in (a, b+1)$ , if we define

$$z(n) = y(a) \left( \prod_{i=a}^{n-1} (1+\alpha(i)) \right) + \prod_{i=a}^{n-1} (1+\alpha(i)) \sum_{j=a}^{n-1} r(j) \left( \prod_{i=a}^j (1+\alpha(i)) \right)^{-1}$$

then it is easy to see that

$$\Delta z(n) - \alpha(n)z(n) - r(n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |y(n) - z(n)| \leq \frac{(1+\alpha)^b - 1}{\alpha} \varepsilon.$$

Hence equation (2.3) has the Hyers - Ulam stability with stability constant  $K = \frac{(1+\alpha)^b - 1}{\alpha}$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.4:** Assume that  $\{p(n)\}$  and  $\{q(n)\}$  are positive real sequences for every  $n$ . If  $\{c(n)\}$  is a particular solution of

$$\Delta u(n) + u(n+1)u(n) - p(n)u(n) + q(n) = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

such that  $0 < c(n) \leq c < \infty$  and  $d(n) = \frac{q(n) + \Delta c(n)}{c(n)} \leq d < \infty$  for  $n \in (a, b+1)$ , then equation (1.3) has the Hyers - Ulam stability.

**Proof:** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $y(n), n \in (a, b+1)$  be a solution of equation (1.3) satisfying the property

$$|\Delta^2 y(n) - p(n)\Delta y(n) + q(n)y(n) - r(n)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

For  $n \in (a, b+1)$ , define  $v(n) = \Delta y(n) - c(n)y(n)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta v(n) - d(n)v(n) - r(n)| &= |\Delta^2 y(n) - (c(n+1) + d(n))\Delta y(n) + (c(n)d(n) - \Delta c(n))y(n) - r(n)| \\ &= |\Delta^2 y(n) - p(n)\Delta y(n) + q(n)y(n) - r(n)| \leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $\{c(n)\}$  is a particular solution of equation (2.4). From Lemma 2.3, it follows that

$$\Delta v(n) - d(n)v(n) - r(n) = 0$$

has the Hyers - Ulam stability with the property that  $|v(n) - w(n)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{d}$ , where

$$w(n) = v(a) \left( \prod_{i=a}^{n-1} (1+d(i)) \right) + \prod_{i=a}^{n-1} (1+d(i)) \sum_{j=a}^{n-1} r(j) \left( \prod_{i=a}^j (1+d(i)) \right)^{-1}.$$

Using  $v(n)$  in  $|v(n) - w(n)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{d}$ , we have

$$|\Delta y(n) - c(n)y(n) - w(n)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{d}$$

for  $n \in (a, b+1)$ . Again using Lemma 2.3, we see that

$$\Delta y(n) - c(n)y(n) - w(n) = 0$$

has the Hyers - Ulam stability with the property that  $|y(n) - z(n)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{cd}$ , where

$$z(n) = y(a) \left( \prod_{i=a}^{n-1} (1+c(i)) \right) + \prod_{i=a}^{n-1} (1+c(i)) \sum_{j=a}^{n-1} w(j) \left( \prod_{i=a}^j (1+c(i)) \right)^{-1}.$$

Hence, equation (1.3) has the Hyers - Ulam stability with the stability constant  $K = \frac{1}{cd}$ . The proof is now complete.

### 3. EXAMPLE

In this section we provide two examples to illustrate the main results.

**Example 3.1:** Consider the second order difference equation

$$\Delta^2 y(n) + \frac{7}{6} \Delta y(n) + \frac{1}{3} y(n) = 0, n \geq 1. \tag{3.1}$$

The characteristic equation is  $m^2 - \frac{7}{6}m + \frac{1}{3} = 0$  and hence the characteristic roots are  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{3}$ . Therefore by Theorem 2.1 the equation (3.1) has Hyers-Ulam stability with stability constant  $K = 6$ .

**Example 3.2:** Consider the second order difference equation

$$\Delta^2 y(n) - \frac{1}{(n+2)} \Delta y(n) + \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} y(n) = \frac{2}{n(n+1)(n+2)} \tag{3.2}$$

on the interval  $I = (1, \infty)$ .

Let  $c(n) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ ,  $n \in I$  be a particular solution of equation

$$\Delta u(n) + u(n+1)u(n) - \frac{2}{n+2} u(n) + \frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)} = 0.$$

By Theorem 2.4,  $d(n) = \frac{1}{n+2} \leq d < 1$ ,  $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1+d(i)) = \frac{n+2}{3}$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1+c(i)) = \frac{n+1}{2}$ . It is easy to verify that  $w(n) \geq \frac{n+2}{3}$  and  $z(n) \geq \frac{n+1}{3n}$ ,  $n \in I$ . Indeed,  $y(n) = \frac{1}{n}$  is a solution of equation (3.2) and

$|y(n) - z(n)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{cd}$ . Hence equation (3.2) has the Hyers - Ulam stability.

We conclude this paper with the following remark.

**Remark 3.3:** In this paper we investigated the Hyers - Ulam stability of different types of second order difference equations, and the results presented here are new and complement to the results reported in the literature for difference equations.

## REFERENCES

1. Arul.R and Gayathri.C, Hyers - Ulam stability of first order linear difference equation, *Int. J. Math. And Appl.*, (to appear).
2. Brzdek.J, Popa.D and Xu.B, Remarks as stability of linear recurrence of higher order, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 23(2010), 1459-1463.
3. Hyers.D.H, On the stability of the linear functional equaitons, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 27(1941), 222-224.
4. Jung.S.M, Hyers - Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 17(2004), 1135-1140.
5. Jung.S.M, Hyers - Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order-II, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 19(2006), 854-858.
6. Jung.S.M, Hyers - Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order-III, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 311(2005), 139-146.
7. Jung.S.M, Hyers - Ulam stability of the first order matrix difference equations, *Adv. Differ. Equs*, 2015 (2015), 1-13.
8. Jung.S.M and Nam.Y.W, On the Hyers - Ulam stability of the first order difference equation, *J. Function Spaces*, (2016), Article ID 6078298, 6 Pages.
9. Li.Y and Shen.Y, Hyers - Ulam stability of linear differential equations of second order, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 23(2010), 306-309.
10. Miura.T, Jung.S.M and Takahasi.S.E, Hyers - Ulam - Rassias stability of the Banach space valued linear differential equations  $y' = \lambda y$ , *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 41(2004), 995-1005.
11. Popa.D, Hyers - Ulam - Rassias stability of a linear recurrence, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 309(2005), 591-597.
12. Popa.D, Hyers - Ulam - Rassias stability of the linear recurrence with constant coefficients, *Adv. Difference Equ.* 2005, Article ID 407076, 7 Pages.
13. Rassias.J.M, Thandapani.E, Ravi.K and Senthil Kumar.B.V, Functional Equations and Inequalities: Solutions and Stablty Results, *World Scientific, Singapore*, 2017.
14. Takahasi.S.E, Miura.T and Miyajima.S, On the Hyers - Ulam stability of the Banach space valued differential equation  $y' = \lambda y$ , *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 39(2002), 309-315.
15. Ulam.S.M, Problems in Modern Mathematics, *John Wiley & Sons, New York*, 1964.

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.**

**[Copy right © 2018. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]**