International Journal of Mathematical Archive-9(6), 2018, 82-85 MAAvailable online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 - 5046

G-DOMATIC NUMBER OF A GRAPH

S. KALAVATHI*1 AND K. PALANI²

¹Research Scholar, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India.

²Department of Mathematics, A.P.C Mahalaxmi College for Women, Thoothukudi. Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tamil Nadu, India.

(Received On: 03-05-18; Revised & Accepted On: 05-06-18)

ABSTRACT

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. The maximum order of a partition of V into (G, D)-sets of G is called the G-domatic number of G and is denoted by $d_G(G)$. In this paper we initiate the study of this parameter.

Keywords: Domination, Geodomination, (G, D)-sets, G-domatic Number.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C69.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we consider the graph G as a finite undirected simple graph with no loops and multiple edges. The study of domination in graphs was begun by Ore and Berge[6]. Let G = (V, E) be any graph. A dominating set of a graph G is a set D of vertices of G such that every vertex in V–D is adjacent to atleast one vertex in D and the minimum cardinality among all dominating sets is called the domination number $\gamma(G)$. The concept of geodominating (or geodetic) set was introduced by Buckley and Harary in [1] and Chartrand, Zhang and Harary in [2, 3, 4]. Let u, v \in V(G). A u-v geodesic is a u-v path of length d(u, v). A vertex $x \in V(G)$ is said to lie on a u-v geodesic P if x is a vertex of P including the vertices u and v. A set S of vertices of G is a geodominating (or geodetic) set if every vertex of G lie on an x-y geodesic for some x, y in S. The minimum cardinality of a geodominating set is the geodomination (or geodetic) number of G and is denoted as g(G)[1, 2, 3, 4]. A (G, D)-set of G is a subset S of V(G) which is both a dominating and geodetic set of G. A (G, D)-set S of G is said to be a minimal (G, D)-set of G if no proper subset of S is a (G, D)-set of G. The minimum cardinality of all (G, D)-set of G is called the (G, D)-number of G and it is denoted by $\gamma_G(G)$. Any (G, D)-set of G of cardinality γ_G is called a γ_G -set of G [8, 9, 10].

An excellent treatment of fundamentals of domination is given in [6] by Haynes et al. and survey papers on several advanced topics are given in [7] edited by Haynes *et al.*. A domatic partition of G is a partition of V(G) into classes that are pairwise disjoint dominating sets. The domatic number of G is the maximum cardinality of a domatic partition of G and it is denoted by d(G). The domatic number was introduced by Cockayne and Hedetniemi [5] and we extend the definition of domatic number as follows: Let G = (V, E) be a graph. The maximum order of a partition of V into (G, D)-sets of G is called the G-domatic number of G and is denoted by $d_G(G)$. A vertex v in G is an *extreme* (or simplicial or link complete) vertex of G if the subgraph induced by its neighbours is complete. A *dominating vertex* is a vertex which forms a dominating set, i.e. a vertex adjacent to all other vertices. The *complement* \overline{G} of a graph G is the graph with vertex set V(G) such that two vertices are adjacent in \overline{G} if and only if they are not adjacent in G. A perfect matching of a graph is a matching (ie, an independent edge set) in which every vertex of the graph is incident to exactly one edge of the matching. A graph G is called *acyclic* if it has no cycles. A connected acyclic graph is called a *tree*.

Theorem 1.1: [8] Let G = (V, E) be any graph. Then, every (G, D)-set of G contains all the extreme vertices of G.

Corresponding Author: S. Kalavathi^{*1}, ¹Research Scholar, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India.

2. G-DOMATIC NUMBER OF GRAPHS

Definition 2.1: Let G = (V, E) be a graph. The maximum order of a partition of V into (G, D)-sets of G is called the G-domatic number of G and is denoted by $d_G(G)$.

Example 2.2: (i) If $G \cong K_n$, then $d_G(G) = 1$. (ii) Consider the graph G as in figure (2.1). In G, $X = \{v_1, v_4, v_7\}$ and $Y = \{v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6\}$ are disjoint (G, D)-sets and $X \cup Y = V(G)$. Also, $\{X, Y\}$ is the unique G-domatic partition of G and hence $d_G(G) = 2$.



Proposition 2.3: If $G \cong K_{2n} - X$, $n \ge 2$ where X is a perfect matching, then $d_G(G) = n$.

Proof: Let $V(K_{2n}) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{2n}\}$ and $X = \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}$. Suppose $e_k = v_i v_j \in X$. Let $S_k = \{v_i, v_j\}$. Then, v_i is not adjacent to v_j in $K_{2n} - X$. Clearly, both v_i and v_j are adjacent to all other vertices and each vertex in the set $\{V(K_{2n} - X) - \{v_i, v_j\}\}$ lie in a geodesic joining v_i and v_j . So, for every k = 1, 2, ..., n, S_k is a (G,D)-set of $K_{2n} - X$. Further, since X is a perfect matching, G(X) is a spanning subgraph of G. Therefore, $\{S_k: 1 \le k \le n\}$ forms a partition of V(G) into (G,D)-sets. Since $|S_k|=2$ for every k=1 to n, $\{S_k: k=1$ to n $\}$ is a G-domatic partition of G with maximum cardinality. Hence, $d_G(G) = n$.

Lemma 2.4: $\gamma_G(\overline{C_n}) = 3$.

Proof: Let $V(\overline{C_n}) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$. Then, $E(\overline{C_n}) = E(K_n) - E(C_n)$. For any graph G, $2 \le \gamma_G(G) \le n$. Suppose $\gamma_G(\overline{C_n}) = 2$. For any two consecutive vertices v_i and v_j , $d(v_i, v_j) = 2$ and any two non-consecutive vertices v_i and v_j , $d(v_i, v_j) = 1$. For $1 \le i \le n$, take $S_i = \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ where $v_{n+1} = v_1$. Then, S_i dominate all the vertices of $V(\overline{C_n}) - S_i$. But, S_i geodominate only the vertices of $(V(\overline{C_n}) - S_i) - \{v_{i-1}, v_{i+2}\}$. That is, exactly two vertices in $V(\overline{C_n}) - S_i$ does not lie on any geodesic joining the vertices of S_i . Clearly, $X_i = S_i \cup \{v_{i-1}\}$ or $Y_i = S_i \cup \{v_{i+2}\}$ dominate and geodominate all the vertices of $V(\overline{C_n}) - S_i$. Therefore, X_i or Y_i are minimum (G, D)-sets of $\overline{C_n}$ for every i=1 to n. Hence, $\gamma_G(\overline{C_n}) = |X_i| = |Y_i| = |S_i| + 1 = 3$.



Proposition 2.5: For $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $n \neq 3$, $d_G(\overline{C_n}) = \frac{n}{3}$, where $\overline{C_n}$ denote the complement of C_n .

Proof: Let $V(\overline{C_n}) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{3k}\}$. By lemma (2.4), $\gamma_G(\overline{C_n}) = 3$. Therefore, $X = \{\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}, \{v_4, v_5, v_6\}, ..., \{v_{3k-2}, v_{3k-1}, v_{3k}\}$ is a maximum partition of $V(\overline{C_n})$ into (G, D)-sets.. Therefore, $d_G(\overline{C_n}) = |X| = \frac{3k}{3} = \frac{n}{3}$.

Proposition 2.6: If G is any connected graph which contains at least one pendant vertex, then $d_G(G) = 1$.

Proof: Let $A \subset V(G)$ be a set of pendant vertices of G. Then, the set A must be contained in every (G, D)-set of G. Therefore, V - S cannot contain any (G, D)-set of G. But, V is always a (G, D)-set of G. Therefore, $\{V\}$ forms a partition of V(G). Hence, $d_G(G) = 1$.

Corollary 2.7: For any tree T, $d_G(T) = 1$.

Proof: Since any tree contains atleast two end vertices, the proof follows by proposition 2.6.

Theorem 2.8: If G is a graph which contains atleast one extreme vertex, then $d_G(G)=1$.

Proof: By theorem (1.1), every extreme vertex lie in every (G, D)-set. Therefore, the proof follows the lines of proposition 2.6.

Remark 2.9: (i) If G is a graph with an isolated vertex, then $d_G(G) = 1$.(ii) $d_G(P_n) = 1$ and (iii) $d_G(K_n) = 1 = d_G(\overline{K_n})$.

Theorem 2.10: For any graph $G, 1 \le d_G(G) \le \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Proof: Since the vertex set itself is a (G, D)-set, $\{V\}$ forms a partition of V(G). Therefore, $1 \le d_G(G)$. Since minimum value of $\gamma_G(G)$ is 2, the maximum partition of V(G) contains $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ elements. Therefore, $d_G(G) \le \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$. Hence, $1 \le d_G(G) \le \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Remark 2.11: In the above inequality, the bounds are sharp for $d_G(K_n) = 1$ and $d_G(K_n - X) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor = \frac{n}{2}$, where $n \ge 4$ is even and X is a perfect matching of K_n .

Proposition 2.12: If *G* contains a dominating vertex, then $d_G(\bar{G}) = 1$.

Proof: Let v be a dominating vertex of G. Then, v is an isolated vertex in \overline{G} . So, v belongs to every (G, D)-set of \overline{G} . Thus, \overline{G} has $\{V\}$ as its only G-domatic partition. Therefore, $d_G(\overline{G}) = 1$.

Proposition 2.13: $d_G(G_1 \cup G_2) = \min \{ d_G(G_1), d_G(G_2) \}$ for any two graphs G_1 and G_2 .

Proof: Let G_1 , G_2 be two graphs with $d_G(G_1) = m$ and $d_G(G_2) = n$ with m < n. Let $D_1 = \{S_1, S_2, ..., S_m\}$ and $D_2 = \{S'_1, S'_2, ..., S'_n\}$ be maximum *G*-domatic partitions of G_1 and G_2 respectively. Then, $S_1 \cup S'_1, S_2 \cup S'_2, ..., S_m \cup (S'_m \cup S'_{m+1} \cup ... \cup S'_n)$ is obviously a partition of $V(G_1 \cup G_2)$.

Further, it is obvious that corresponding to any partition of $V(G_1 \cup G_2)$ into (G, D)-sets of $G_1 \cup G_2$, there exist partitions of $V(G_1)$ and $V(G_2)$ into (G, D)-sets of G_1 and G_2 respectively and vice versa. Therefore, $d_G(G_1 \cup G_2) \le \min\{m, n\} = m - - - - - - (2)$

Hence, by (1) and (2), $d_G(G_1 \cup G_2) = m = min\{m, n\} = min\{d_G(G_1), d_G(G_2)\}.$

Remark 2.14: Let $G \cong G_1$, where G_1 is given in figure (2.3). Then, (v_1, v_6) , (v_2, v_5) , (v_3, v_8) and (v_4, v_7) are (G, D)-sets of G. Therefore, $d_G(G) = 4 = \delta(G) + 1$.



Proposition 2.15: For $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $n \neq 3$, $d_G(C_n) = \delta(C_n) + 1$.

Proof: Let $V(C_n) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{3k}\}$. Then, the sets $A = \{v_1, v_4, v_7, ..., v_{3k-2}\}$, $B = \{v_2, v_5, v_8, ..., v_{3k-1}\}$ and $C = \{v_3, v_6, v_9, ..., v_{3k}\}$ form a maximum partition of V(G) into (G, D)-sets and so, $d_G(C_n) = 3 = \delta(C_n) + 1$.

REFERENCES

- 1. Buckley F, Harary F and Quintas V L, Extremal results on the geodetic number of a graph, Scientia, volume A2 (1988), 17-26.
- 2. Chartrand G, Harary F and Zhang P, Geodetic sets in graphs, Discussiones Mathematicae Graph theory, 20 (2000), 129-138e.
- 3. Chartrand G, Harary F and Zhang P, On the Geodetic number of a graph, Networks, Volume 39(1) (2002), 1-6.
- 4. Chartrand G, Zhang P and Harary F, Extremal problems in Geodetic graph Theory, Congressus Numerantium 131 (1998), 55-66.
- 5. Cockayne E J and Hedetniemi S T, Towards a theory of domination in graphs, Networks 7 (1977), 247-261.
- 6. Haynes T W, Hedetniemi S T and Slater P J, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs, Marcel Dekker Inc., 1998.
- Haynes T W, Hedetniemi S T and Slater P J, Domination in Graphs Advanced Topics, Marcel Dekker Inc., 1998.
- 8. Palani K and Nagarajan A, (G, D)-Number of a graph, International Journal of Mathematics Research, Volume 3, Number 3 (2011), 285-299.
- Palani K and Kalavathi S, (G,D) Number of some special graphs, International Journal of Engineering and Mathematical Sciences, January-June 2014, Volume 5, Issue-1, pp.7-15, ISSN(Print) – 2319 – 4537, (Online) – 2319 – 4545.
- Palani K, Nagarajan A and Mahadevan G, Results connecting domination, geodetic and (G, D) number of graph, International Journal Of Combinatorial graph theory and applications, Volume 3, No.1, January – June (2010) (pp.51 -59).

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2018. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]