COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM IN MENGER SPACES UNDER EXPANSIVE MAPPING

S. M. Subhani* and M.Vijaya Kumar

Bhagwant University, Ajmer (R.J.), India.

(Received On: 21-03-18; Revised & Accepted On: 03-04-18)

ABSTRACT

In the present paper we introduced a new concept of on common fixed point theorem in menger spaces under expansive mapping.

Key words: fixed point, common fixed point, menger space, expansive mapping.

1.1. INTRODUCTION

There have been lots of generalizations of metric space. One such generalization is Menger space in which, used distribution functions instead of nonnegative real numbers as value of metric.

A Menger space is a space in which the concept of distance is considered to be a probabilistic, rather than deterministic. For detail discussion of Menger spaces and their applications we refer to Schweizer and Sklar [91]. The theory of Menger space is fundamental importance in probabilistic functional analysis.

A probabilistic metric space shortly PM-Space, is an ordered pair (X, F) consisting of a non empty set X and a mapping F from X × X to L, where L is the collection of all distribution functions (a distribution function F is non decreasing and left continuous mapping of reals in to [0,1] with properties, inf F(x) = 0 and sup F(x) = 1).

The value of F at $(u, v) \in X \times X$ is represented by $F_{u,v}$. The function $F_{u,v}$ are assumed satisfy the following conditions;

- 1.1(a) $F_{u,v}(x) = 1$, for all x > 0, iff u = v;
- 1.1 (b) $F_{u,v}(0) = 0$, if x = 0;
- 1.1 (c) $F_{u,v}(x) = F_{v,u}(x)$;
- 1.1 (d) $F_{u,v}(x) = 1$ and $F_{v,w}(y) = 1$ then $F_{u,w}(x + y) = 1$.

A mapping t: $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a t-norm, if it satisfies the following conditions;

- 1.1 (e) t(a, 1) = a for every $a \in [0,1]$;
- 1.1 (f) t(0,0) = 0,
- 1.1 (g) t(a, b) = t(b, a) for every $a, b \in [0,1]$;
- 1.1 (h) $t(c, d) \ge t(a, b)$ for $c \ge a$ and $d \ge b$
- 1.1 (i) t(t(a,b),c) = t(a,t(b,c)) where $a,b,c,d \in [0,1]$.

A Menger space is a triplet (X, F, t), where (X, F) is a PM-Space, X is a non-empty set and a t-norm satisfying instead of 1.1(i) a stronger requirement.

1.1 (j)
$$F_{u,w}(x + y) \ge t(F_{u,v}(x), F_{v,w}(y))$$
 for all $x \ge 0, y \ge 0$.

For a given metric space (X, d) with usual metric d, one can put $F_{u,v}(x) = H(x - d(u, v))$ for all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0. where H is defined as;

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } s \le 0. \end{cases}$$

and t-norm is defined as $t(a, b) = min \{a, b\}$.

Corresponding Author: S. M. Subhani, Bhagwant University, Ajmer (R.J.), India.

Common Fixed Point Theorem in Menger Spaces Under Expansive Mapping / IJMA- 9(4), April-2018.

Definition 1.1: A probabilistic metric space (PM- space) is an ordered pair (X, F) consisting of a non empty set X and a mapping F from $X \times X$ into the collections of all distribution $F \in R$. For $x,y \in X$ we denote the distribution function F(x,y) by $F_{x,y}$ and $F_{x,y}(u)$ is the value of $F_{x,y}$ at u in R.

Definition 1.2: Self maps A and B of a Menger space (X, F,*) are said

- 1. to be weakly compatible (or coincidentally commuting) if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e. if Ax = Bx for some $x \in X$ then ABx = BAx.
- 2. to be compatible if $F_{ABx_m,BAx_n}(t) \to 1$ for all t > 0, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $Ax_n \to x$, $Bx_n \to x$ for some x in X as $n \to \infty$.

Definition 1.3: Let (X, F, t) be a Menger space. If $x \in X, \varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then (ε, λ) – neighborhood of x is called $U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda)$, is defined by

$$U_{x}(\varepsilon,\lambda) = \{ y \in X: F_{x,y}(\varepsilon) > (1-\lambda) \}.$$

an (ε, λ) – topology in X is the topology induced by the family

$$\{U_x (\varepsilon, \lambda): x \in X \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$$

of neighborhood.

Remark 1: If t is continuous, then Menger space (X, F, t) is a Housdorff space in (ε, λ) – topology.

Let (X, F, t) be a complete Menger space and $A \subset X$. Then A is called a bounded set if

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\inf_{x,y\in A}F_{x,y}(u) = 1.$$

Definition 1.4: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, F, t) is said

- 1. to be convergent to a point x in X if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists an integer $N = N(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ such that $x_n \in U_x(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ for all $n \ge N$ or equivalently $F(x_n, x; \varepsilon) > 1 \lambda$ for all $n \ge N$.
- 2. to be Cauchy sequence if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, \exists an integer $N = N(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ such that $F(x_n, x_m, \varepsilon) > 1 \lambda$ for all $n, m \ge N$.

Note: A Menger space (X, F, t) with

- 1. the continuous t –norm is said to be complete if every cauchy sequence
- 2. two mappings $f, g: X \to X$ are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at coincidence point.

Lemma 1.5: Let X be a set f, g OWC self maps of X. If f and g have a unique point of coincidence, w = fx = gx, then w is the unique common fixed point of f and g.

Lemma 1.6: Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a Menger space (X, F, t), where t is continuous and $t(p, p) \ge p$ for all $p \in (0,1)$ and $n \in N$

$$F(x_n, x_{n+1}, kp) \ge F(x_{n-1}, x_n, p)$$
, then $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

Lemma 1.7: If (X, d) is a metric space, then the metric d induces a mapping $F: X \times X \to L$ defined by

$$F(p,q) = H(x - d(p,q)), p,q \in R.$$

Further if t: $[0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is defined by $t(a,b) = min\{a,b\}$, then (X,F,t) is a Menger space. It is complete if (X,d) is complete.

Lemma 2.1: Let (X, M,*) be a complete Menger space.

- 1. Then for all x, y in X, M(x, y, .) is non-decreasing.
- 2. If there exists $q \in (0,1)$ such that

$$M(x, y, qt) \ge M\left(x, y, \frac{t}{q^n}\right)$$

for positive integer n. Taking limit as $n \to \infty$, $M(x, y, t) \ge 1$ and hence x = y.

- 3. Menger space and let A and S be continuous mappings of X then A and S are compatible if and only if they are compatible of type (P).
- 4. Menger space and let A and S be compatible mappings of type (P) and Az=Sz for some $z \in X$, then AAz = ASz = SAz = SSz.

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR EXPANSIVE MAPPING

In this section we prove some common fixed point theorems for expansive mapping in Menger spaces. For the proof of our next theorem we need following results

2. MAIN THEOREM

Theorem 2.1: Let (X, M, *) be a complete Menger space and let A, B, S and T be self-mappings of X satisfying the following conditions:

 $2.1(a) A(X) \subset T(X), B(X) \subset S(X);$

2.1(b) S and T are continuous,

2.1(c) the pair $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ are expansive mappings of type (P) on X. 2.1(d) there exists q > 1 such that for every $x, y \in X$ and t > 0,

$$M_{(Ax,By)}(qt) \le M_{(Sx,Ty)}(t) * M_{(Ax,Sx)}(t)$$
 2.1(i)

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: Since $A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $B(X) \subset S(X)$, for any $x_0 \in X$, there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$ and for this $x_1 \in X$, there exists $x_2 \in X$ such that $Bx_1 = Sx_2$. Inductively, we define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $y_{2n-1} = Tx_{2n-1} = Ax_{2n-1}$ and $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}$, for all n = 0,1,2,......

From 2.1(d),

$$\begin{split} M_{(y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2})}(qt) &= M_{(Ax_{2n},Bx_{2n+1})}(qt). \\ &\leq M_{(Sx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1})}(t) * M_{(Ax_{2n},Sx_{2n})}(t) \\ &= M_{(y_{2n},y_{2n+1})}(t) * M_{(y_{2n+1},y_{2n})}(t) \\ &\leq M_{(y_{2n},y_{2n+1})}(t) * M_{(y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2})}(t) \end{split}$$

From lemma 1.4(a) and 1.4(c), we have

$$M_{(y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2})}(qt) \le M_{(y_{2n},y_{2n+1})}(t)$$
 2.1(ii)

Similarly, we have

$$M_{(y_{2n+2},y_{2n+3})}(qt) \le M_{(y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2})}(t)$$
 2.1(iii)

From 1.1(1) and 1.1(2), we have

$$M_{(y_{n+1},y_{n+2})}(qt) \le M_{(y_n,y_{n+1})}(t)$$
 2.1(iv)

From 2.1(1), we have

$$\begin{split} M_{(y_n,y_{n+1})}(t) &\leq M_{(y_n,y_{n-1})}\Big(\frac{t}{q}\Big) \leq M_{(y_{n-2},y_{n-1})}\Big(\frac{t}{q^2}\Big) \\ &\leq \cdots \leq M_{(y_1,y_2)}(\frac{t}{q^n}) \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \end{split}$$

So, $M_{(v_n,v_{n+1})}(t) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$ for any t > 0.

for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and t > 0, we can choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$M_{(y_n,y_{n+1})}(t) < 1 - \varepsilon \text{ for all } n > n_0.$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we suppose $m \ge n$. Then we have that

$$\begin{split} M_{(y_n,y_m)}(t) &\leq M_{(y_n,y_{n+1})} \left(\frac{t}{m-n}\right) * M_{(y_{n+1},y_{n+2},)} \left(\frac{t}{m-n}\right) \\ &* \ldots ... * M_{(y_{m-1},y_m,)} \left(\frac{t}{m-n}\right) \\ &\leq (1-\epsilon) * (1-\epsilon) * \ldots ... (m-n) \text{ times.} \\ &\leq (1-\epsilon) \end{split}$$

and hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Since (X, M, *) is complete, $\{y_n\}$ converges to some point $z \in X$, and so $\{Ax_{2n-2}\}, \{Sx_{2n}\}, \{Bx_{2n-1}\}$ and $\{Tx_{2n-1}\}$ also converges to z.

From Lemma 1.4(iii) and Lemma 1.4(iv), we have

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{AAx}_{2n-2} \rightarrow \text{Sz and } \text{SSx}_{2n} \rightarrow \text{Az} \\ \text{BBx}_{2n-1} \rightarrow \text{Tz and } \text{TTx}_{2n-1} \rightarrow \text{Bz} \end{array} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} 2.1(v) \\ 2.1(vi) \end{array}$$

From 2.1 (d), we get

$$\begin{split} M_{(AAx_{2n-2},BBx_{2n-1})}(qt) &\leq M_{(SAx_{2n-2},TBx_{2n-1})}(t) \\ &* M_{(AAx_{2n-2},SAx_{2n-2})}(t) \\ &* M_{(BBx_{2n-1},TBx_{2n-1})}(t) \\ &* M_{(AAx_{2n-2},TBx_{2n-1})}(t) \end{split}$$

Common Fixed Point Theorem in Menger Spaces Under Expansive Mapping / IJMA- 9(4), April-2018.

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ and using 2.1(v) and 2.1(vi), we have

$$\begin{split} M_{(Sz,Tz)}(qt) &\leq M_{(Sz,Tz)}(t) * M_{(Sz,Sz)}(t) \\ &\quad * M_{(Tz,Tz)}(t) * M_{(Sz,Tz)}(t) \\ &\leq M_{(Sz,Tz)}(t) * 1 * 1 * M_{(Sz,Tz)}(t) \\ &\leq M_{(Sz,Tz)}(t). \end{split}$$
 2.1(vii)

It follows that Sz = Tz.

Now, from 2.1 (d),

$$\begin{split} M_{(Az,BTx_{2n-1})}(qt) &\leq M_{(Sz,TTx_{2n-1})}(t) * M_{(Az,Sz)}(t) \\ &* M_{(BTx_{2n-1},TTx_{2n-1})}(t) * M_{(Az,TTx_{2n-1})}(t) \end{split}$$

Again taking limit as $n \to \infty$ and using 2.1 (vi) and 2.1(vii), we have

$$\begin{split} M_{(Az,Tz)}(qt) &\leq M_{(Sz,Sz)}(t) * M_{(Az,Tz)}(t) \\ &* M_{(Tz,Tz)}(t) * M_{(Az,Tz)}(t) \\ &\leq M_{(Az,Tz)}(t). \end{split}$$
 2.1 (viii)

and hence Az = Tz.

From 2.1 (d), 2.1 (vii) and 2.1 (viii),

$$\begin{split} M_{(Az,Bz)}(qt) &\leq M_{(Sz,Tz)}(t) * M_{(Az,Sz)}(t) \\ &\quad * M_{(Bz,Tz)}(t) * M_{(Az,Tz)}(t) \\ &= M_{(Az,Az)}(t) * M_{(Az,Az)}(t) \\ &\quad * M_{(Bz,Az)}(t) * M_{(Az,Az)}(t) \\ &\quad * M_{(Bz,Az)}(t) * M_{(Az,Az)}(t) \\ &\leq M_{(Az,Bz)}(t). \end{split}$$

and hence Az = Bz.

From 2.1 (vii), 2.1 (viii) and 2.1 (ix), we have
$$Az = Bz = Tz = Sz$$
. 2.1 (x)

Now, we show that Bz = z.

From 2.1 (d),

$$\begin{split} M_{(Ax_{2n},Bz)}(qt) &\leq M_{(Sx_{2n},Tz)}(t) * M_{(Ax_{2n},Sx_{2n})}(t) \\ &* M_{(Bz,Tz)}(t) * M_{(Ax_{2n},Tz)}(t) \end{split}$$

and taking limit as $n \to \infty$ and using 2.1 (vii) and 2.1 (viii), we have

$$\begin{split} M_{(z,Bz)}(qt) &\leq M_{(z,Tz)}(t) * M_{(z,z)}(t) \\ &* M_{(Bz,Tz)}(t) * M_{(z,Tz)}(t) \\ &= M_{(z,Bz)}(qt) * 1 * M_{(Az,Az)}(t) * M_{(z,Bz)}(t) \\ &\leq M_{(z,Bz)}(t). \end{split}$$

and hence Bz = z. Thus from 2.1 (x), z = Az = Bz = Tz = Sz and z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

In order to prove the uniqueness of fixed point, let w be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T. Then

$$\begin{split} M_{(z,w)}(qt) &= M_{(Az,Bw)}(qt) \\ &\leq M_{(Sz,Tw)}(t) * M_{(Az,Sz)}(t) \\ &* M_{(Bw,Tw)}(t) * M_{(Az,Tw)}(t) \\ &\leq M_{(z,w)}(t). \end{split}$$

From Lemma 1.4 (ii), z = w. This completes the proof of theorem.

3. REFERENCE

- 1. Aage, C. T. and Salunke, J. N., "On Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces." Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math., 3 (2), (2010), 123-131.
- 2. Alaca, C., Altun, I. and Turkoglu, D., "On Compatible Mapping of Type (I) and (II) in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces." Korean Mathematical Society, 23(3), (1986), 771-779.
- 3. Atanssove, K., "Intuitionstic Fuzzy Sets." Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 2(1), (1986), 87-96.
- 4. Banach, S. "Surles Operation Dansles Ensembles Abstraites Etleur Application Integrals." Fund. Math., 3, (1922), 133-181.
- 5. Balasubramaniam, P., Muralisankar S., Pant R.P., "Common Fixed Points of Four Mappings in a Fuzzy Metric Space." J. Fuzzy Math., 10 (2), (2002), 379-384.

S. M. Subhani* and M. Vijaya Kumar /

Common Fixed Point Theorem in Menger Spaces Under Expansive Mapping / IJMA- 9(4), April-2018.

- 6. Cho, Y.J., Sharma, B.K. and Sahu, D.R., "Semi-Compatibility and Fixed Points." Math. Japon., 42(1), (1995), 91-98
- 7. Fang, J. X., "Common Fixed Point Theorems of Compatible and Weakly Compatible Maps in Menger Spaces." Non Linear Analysis Theory, Methods & Applications Vol.71, (2009), 1833-1843.
- 8. Jungck, G., "Fixed Points for Non Continuous Non Self Maps on Non Metric Spaces." Far East. J. Math. Sci., 4(2), (1996), 199-215.
- 9. Kannan, R., "Some Results on Fixed Point Theorems." Bull. Cat. Math. Soc., 60, (1968), 71-78.
- 10. Karapinar, E. Yuksel, U., "On Common Fixed Point Theorem without Commuting Conditions in TVS-Cone Metric Spaces." J. Comput Anal Appl. 13, (2011), 1123-1131.
- 11. Kutukcu Servet, Sharma Sushil and Tokgoz Hanifi," A Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Spaces." Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 1(18), (2007), 861-872.
- 12. International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Science, 3(1), (2011), 13-15.
- 13. Pant, R. P., "R-Weak Commutatibility and Common Fixed Points." Soochow Journal Math, 25, (1999), 37-42.
- 14. Pathak, H.K., Kang, S.M. and Back, J.H., "Weak Compatible Mappings of Type (A) and Common Fixed Points." Kyungbook Math. J., 35, (1995), 345-359.
- 15. Popa, V., "Fixed Points for Non-Surjective Expansion Mappings Satisfying an Implicit Relation." Bull. Stiint. Univ. Baia Mare Ser. B. Fasc. Mat. Inform. 18(1), (2002), 105-108.
- 16. Singh, B. and Jain, S., "Semi Compatibility and Fixed Point Theorem in Menger Space." J. Chungcheong Math Soc., 17(1), (2004), 1-
- 17. Zadeh, L.A., "Fuzzy sets." Inform and Control, 8, (1965), 338-353.
- 18. Zhang, Q, Song, Y., "Fixed Point Theory for Generalized Φ-Weakly Contraction." Appl. Math Lett., 22, (2009), 75-78.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2018. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]