

ANTI-INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLDS OF ( $\epsilon$ ) –SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

C. S. BAGEWADI<sup>1</sup> AND VENKATESHA.S<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
 Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta - 577 451, Shimoga, Karnataka, INDIA.

(Received On: 08-01-18; Revised & Accepted On: 14-02-18)

ABSTRACT

The object of the present paper is to study anti-invariant submanifolds  $M$  of ( $\epsilon$ ) - Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$ . It is shown that if  $M$  is totally umbilical then  $M$  is totally geodesic. Also results have been obtained connecting totally geodesicity and anti-invariance of  $M$ . Also we find the necessary and sufficient condition for anti-invariant submanifolds of ( $\epsilon$ )-Sasakian manifold to be  $T$ -invariant and anti-invariant and condition for integrability of the distribution  $D$ .

AMS Subject Classification: 53C15, 53C20, 53C50.

Key Words: Anti-invariant submanifold, ( $\epsilon$ )-Sasakian manifold,  $T$  -invariant, Totally geodesic, Totally umbilical, Integrable condition.

INTRODUCTION

The index of a metric is very important in differential geometry as it gives rise to vector fields such as space-like, time like, and light-like fields. K.L.Duggal and A.Bejancu [9], introduced and studied ( $\epsilon$ )-Sasakian manifolds with the help of these vector fields and further such manifolds were investigated by Xufeng and Xiaoli [2], and others ([1], [3], [4]) and study of light like submanifolds is carried out by ([6], [17], [25]) because Sasakian manifolds with indefinite metrics play crucial role in Physics. The research work on the geometry of invariant submanifolds of contact and complex manifolds is carried out by M.Kon [29], in 1973, C.S.Bagewadi [27], in 1982, K.Yano and M.Kon [28], in 1984 and other authors ([7],[8][10][20]). Also the study of geometry of anti-invariant submanifolds is carried out by ([11], [13], [14], [15], [16], [21], [22], [23], [24], [26]) invarious contact manifolds. Motivated by the studies of the above authors, we study antiinvariant submanifolds of ( $\epsilon$ )-Sasakian manifold. The paper is organised as follows: the section 1 consists of preliminaries of ( $\epsilon$ )-Sasakian manifold, and section 2 contains the results as stated in abstract.

1. PRELIMINARIES

A  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold  $\bar{M}$  end owed with an almost contact structure  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta)$ , where  $\varphi$  is a tensor field of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $\eta$  is a 1-form and  $\xi$  is a vector field on  $\bar{M}$  Satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^2 X &= -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \\ \eta(\varphi X) &= 0, \quad \varphi(\xi) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

is called an almost contact manifold. If there exists a semi-Riemannian metric  $g$  satisfying,

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \epsilon \eta(X)\eta(Y) \tag{1.2}$$

then  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called an ( $\epsilon$ )-almost contact metric structure and  $M$  is known as ( $\epsilon$ )- almost contact manifold for all  $X, Y \in T(M)$  where  $\epsilon = \pm 1$ , For an ( $\epsilon$ )-almost contact manifold.

We also have

$$\eta(X) = \epsilon g(X, \xi)$$

for all  $X \in TM$ ,  $\epsilon = g(\xi, \xi)$ . Hence  $\xi$  is never a light like vector field on  $M$  and we have two classes of ( $\epsilon$ )-Sasakian manifolds. when  $\epsilon = -1$  and the index of  $g$  is odd then  $M$  is time like Sasakian manifold and  $M$  is a space like Sasakian manifold when  $\epsilon = -1$  and the index of  $g$  is even. For  $\epsilon = 1$  and index of  $g$  is zero we obtain usual Sasakian manifold and for  $\epsilon = 1$  and index of  $g$  is one then  $M$  is a Lorentz - Sasakian manifold. If  $d\eta(X, Y) = g(\varphi X, Y)$  then  $M$  is said to have ( $\epsilon$ )-contact metric structure  $(\varphi, \eta, \xi, g)$ . If moreover this structure is normal then the ( $\epsilon$ )-contact metric structure is called ( $\epsilon$ )-Sasakian structure and the manifold endowed with this structure is called an ( $\epsilon$ )- Sasakian manifold. Also the ( $\epsilon$ )-contact metric structure is an ( $\epsilon$ )-Sasakian structure if and only if

Corresponding Author: Venkatesha.S<sup>2\*</sup>, <sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
 Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta - 577 451, Shimoga, Karnataka, INDIA.

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \varphi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \epsilon\eta(Y)X \tag{1.3}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -\epsilon\varphi X \tag{1.4}$$

Let  $M$  be a submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ . Let  $T_x(M)$  and  $T_x^\perp(M)$  denote the tangent and normal space of  $M$  at  $x \in M$  respectively. The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y) \tag{1.5}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N \tag{1.6}$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y$  tangent to  $M$  and any vector field  $N$  normal to  $M$ , where  $\bar{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  are the operator of covariant differentiation on  $\bar{M}$  and  $M$ , is  $\nabla^\perp$  the linear connection induced in the normal space  $T_x^\perp(M)$  Both  $A_N$  and  $\sigma$  are called the Shape operator and the second fundamental form and they satisfy

$$g(\sigma(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y) \tag{1.7}$$

If the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  of  $M$  is of the form  $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\mu$ , then  $M$  is called totally umbilical. where  $\mu$  is the mean curvature. If the second fundamental form vanishes identically then  $M$  is said to be totally geodesic. If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $M$  is said to be minimal.

A submanifold  $M$  of a  $(\epsilon)$ -Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  is said to be invariant if the structure vector field  $\xi$  of  $\bar{M}$  is tangent to  $M$  and  $\varphi(T_x(M)) \subset T_x(M)$ , where  $T_x(M)$  is the tangent space for all  $x \in M$  and If  $\varphi(T_x(M)) \subset T_x^\perp(M)$  where  $T_x^\perp(M)$  is the normal space at  $x \in M$  then  $M$  is said to be anti-invariant in  $\bar{M}$ .

Now we define  $(\epsilon)$ -Sasakian manifold with constant  $\varphi$ -holomorphic sectional curvature; A plane section  $\pi$  on  $\bar{M}$  is called an invariant  $\varphi$ -section if it is determined by the plane formed by an orthonormal pair  $X$  and  $\varphi X$  spanning the section. The sectional curvature of the plane section  $\varphi$  is called the  $\varphi$ -sectional curvature. If  $\bar{M}$  is an  $(\epsilon)$ -Sasakian manifold of constant  $\varphi$ -sectional curvature  $k$ , then its curvature tensor has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y, Z) = & (K+3C)/4 \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + (K-C)/4 \{ \eta(X)\eta(Z) Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z) X \\ & + g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi + g(\varphi Y, Z)\varphi X - g(\varphi X, Z)\varphi Y - 2g(\varphi X, Y)\varphi Z \} \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

For any vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  on  $\bar{M}$

Define a Tensor field  $T$  on  $\bar{M}$  by [12] setting

$$T(X, Y, Z) = \bar{R}(X, Y, Z) + g(\varphi Y, Z)\varphi X - g(\varphi X, Z)\varphi Y - 2g(\varphi X, Y)\varphi Z \tag{1.9}$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  on  $\bar{M}$

A sub manifold  $M$  is said to be  $\bar{R}$ -invariant and  $T$ -invariant if and only if

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)T_x(M) \subset T_x(M) \text{ and } (T(X, Y))T_x(M) \subset T_x(M) \text{ respectively.}$$

## 2. SOME THEOREMS

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $M$  be a submanifold tangent to the structure vector field  $\xi$  of an  $(\epsilon)$ -Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  is totally umbilical then  $M$  is totally geodesic.

**Proof:** Since  $\xi$  is tangent to  $M$ , we have from Gauss formu la

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = \nabla_X \xi + \sigma(X, \xi)$$

Using (1.4) we have

$$-\epsilon\varphi X = \nabla_X \xi + \sigma(X, \xi)$$

Equating tangential and normal components

$$(\epsilon\varphi X)^T = -\nabla_X \xi, \quad (\epsilon\varphi X)^\perp = \sigma(X, \xi)$$

Putting  $X = \xi$  in second equation then by (1.1) we have  $\sigma(\xi, \xi) = 0$ . Let us assume that  $M$  is totally umbilical then  $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\mu$  for all  $X, Y \in TM$  where  $\mu$  is the mean curvature vector, Putting in  $X = Y = \xi$  we get

$$\sigma(\xi, \xi) = g(\xi, \xi)\mu$$

This shows that  $\mu = 0$ , Hence  $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\mu$  implies  $\sigma(X, Y) = 0$ .

The second fundamental form  $\sigma = 0$  thus  $M$  is totally geodesic.

**Remark 2.1:** If M is totally geodesic then  $(\epsilon(\varphi X))^\perp = \sigma(X, \xi) = 0$ , i.e  $\epsilon^2(\varphi X)^\perp = 0$

i.e  $(\varphi X)^\perp = 0$ ,  $\varphi X$  is tangent to M and hence M is invariant submanifold of (C)-Sasakian manifold. Therefore M will also be (C)-Sasakian manifold.

**Theorem 2.2:** Let M be a submanifold of a (C)-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  tangent to the structure vector field  $\xi$  of  $\bar{M}$  then  $\xi$  is parallel with respect to the induced connection on M if and only if M is anti-invariant submanifold in  $\bar{M}$ .

**Proof:** Suppose the structure vector field  $\xi$  is tangent to M. By Gauss formula

$$-\epsilon(\varphi X) = \bar{\nabla}_X \xi = \nabla_X \xi + \sigma(X, \xi) \tag{2.1}$$

Next suppose  $\xi$  is parallel w.r.t induced connection on M, then we have  $\nabla_X \xi = 0$  from equation (2.1) we have  $-\epsilon \varphi X = \sigma(X, \xi)$  i.e  $\varphi X = -\epsilon \sigma(X, \xi)$

Hence  $\epsilon \varphi X$  is normal to M,  $\varphi X \in T^\perp_x(M)$  Thus M is anti-invariant.

**Conversely:** suppose M is anti-invariant, then by definition of anti-invariant if  $X \in T_x(M)$  Then  $\varphi X \in T^\perp_x(M)$  so  $\varphi X = \sigma(X, \xi)$  for convenience we choose  $-\epsilon(\varphi X) = \sigma(X, \xi)$

Hence from (2.1), We have  $\nabla_X \xi = 0$

This shows that  $\xi$  is parallel w.r.to the induced connection on M.

Hence the theorem.

**Theorem 2.3:** Let M be a sub manifold of (C)-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  If  $\xi$  is normal to M then M is totally geodesic if and only if M is anti-invariant submanifold.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\xi$  is normal to M then Weingarten formula implies

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_N \xi + \nabla^\perp_X \xi$$

Using (1.4) and (2.2) we have

$$g(-\epsilon \varphi X, Y) = g(\bar{\nabla}_X \xi, Y) = g(-A_\xi X, Y) + g(\nabla^\perp_X \xi, Y) = -g(A_\xi X, Y)$$

for any X and Y tangent on M, that is,

$$g(\varphi X, Y) = g(A_\xi X, Y) \tag{2.3}$$

Interchange X and Y in the above and adding and by virtue of (1.2) we have

$$g(A_\xi X, Y) + g(A_\xi Y, X) = 0$$

and

$$g(\sigma(X, Y), \xi) = g(A_\xi X, Y)$$

and

$$A_\xi X \text{ is symmetric we must have}$$

$$g(A_\xi X, Y) = 0$$

If M is totally geodesic, then  $\sigma(X, Y) = 0$ , i.e  $A_\xi X = 0$ , then by (1.4)  $-\epsilon \varphi X = \nabla^\perp_X \xi$

Hence M is anti-invariant

**Conversely:** suppose M is anti-invariant then  $\epsilon \varphi X \in T^\perp_x(M)$  then from (2.2) we get

$$-g(A_\xi X, Y, Y) = 0 \text{ i.e by (1.7), } g(\sigma(X, Y), \xi) = 0$$

i.e  $\sigma(X, Y) = 0$

Hence M is totally geodesic.

We have the following known result;

**Proposition 2.1:** [11] Let M be a submanifold tangent to the structure vector field  $\xi$  of a normal almost para contact metric manifold with constant  $c(c \neq 3)$  Then M is T-invariant if and only if M is invariant or anti-invariant.

On the basis of the above we can prove the following Theorem.

**Theorem 2.4:** Let M be a submanifold tangent to  $\xi$  the structure vector field of (C)-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  with constant k ( $k \neq 3$ ) then M is T-invariant if and only if M is invariant or anti-invariant.

**Proof:** Easily follows from the Proposition 2.1

**Theorem 2.5:** Let M be an anti-invariant submanifold tangent to  $\xi$  the structure vectorfield of (C)-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  with constant k. If  $A_N X = 0$  for any  $N \in T_x^\perp(M)$ , then  $\phi(T_x(M))$  is parallel w.r.t the normal connection.

**Proof:** To show that  $\phi(T_x(M))$  is parallel w.r.t to the normal connection,  $\nabla^\perp$  we have to show that for every local section  $\phi Y \in \phi(T_x(M))$  is also a local section in  $\phi(T_x(M))$ .

Using Gauss and Weingarten formula

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^\perp_X \phi Y &= \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y + A_{\phi Y} X \\ \nabla^\perp_X \phi Y &= \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y) + A_{\phi Y} X \\ &= \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y + g(X, Y)\xi - \epsilon \square(Y)X + A_{\phi Y} X \end{aligned}$$

By virtue (1.3) and (1.5).

Since  $A_N X = 0$  for any  $N \in T_x^\perp(M)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla^\perp_X \phi Y, N) &= g(X, Y)g(\xi, N) - \epsilon \eta(Y)g(X, N) + g(\phi \nabla_X Y, N) + g(\sigma(X, Y), N) + g(A_{\phi Y} X, N) \\ &= -g(\nabla_X Y, \phi N) - g(\sigma(X, Y), \phi N) + g(A_{\phi Y} X, N) \\ &= -g(\nabla_X Y, \phi N) - g(A_{\phi N} X, Y) + g(A_{\phi Y} X, N) \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $\phi N$  is also in  $T_x^\perp(M)$ , R.H.S of the above equation is zero

Hence  $g(\nabla^\perp_X \phi Y, N) = 0$

Hence the result.

If D denotes the orthogonal subspace of  $T\bar{M}$  to  $\xi$  then we can write  $T\bar{M} = D \oplus \{\xi\}$ .

We Prove the following Theorem.

**Theorem 2.6:** Let M be a submanifold of an (C)-Sasakian manifold  $\bar{M}$  then M is anti-invariant if and only if D is integrable.

**Proof:** Let  $X, Y \in D$  then  $X, Y \in T\bar{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, Y], \xi) &= g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X, \xi) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \xi) - g(\bar{\nabla}_Y X, \xi) = Xg(Y, \xi) - g(Y, \bar{\nabla}_X \xi) - Yg(X, \xi) + g(X, \bar{\nabla}_Y \xi) \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, Y], \xi) &= -g(Y, -\epsilon \phi X) + g(X, -\epsilon \phi Y) \\ &= \epsilon [g(Y, \phi X) - g(X, \phi Y)] \end{aligned}$$

i.e  $g([X, Y], \xi) = 2g \epsilon (\phi X, Y)$  Thus  $[X, Y] \in D$  if and only if  $\phi X$  is normal to Y

i.e  $[X, Y] \in D$  if and only if  $\phi X \in T_x^\perp(M)$  i.e  $[X, Y] \in D$  if and only if M is anti-invariant

i.e D is integrable if and only if M is anti-invariant.

Hence the theorem.

**REFERENCES**

1. Rakeshkumar ,Rachna Rani, and R.K.Nagaich, On Sectional curvature of (C)-Sasakian Manifold, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences (2007), 8 .ID 93562
2. Xu Xufeng, Chaoxiaoli, Two Theorems on (C)-Sasakian Manifolds, Internat.J Math. and Math. Sci 21 No.2 (1998), 249-254.
3. Barnal,i , ArindamBhattacharyya, On CR-Submanifolds of (C))-Para Contact Sasakian Manifold, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematicae, 94 No.3 (2014), 403-417.
4. SadikKeles; Erolkilic; MukutManiTriIpathi and Selcenyukselperktas, Einstein like (C))-Para Sasakian Manifolds, KuwaitJ.Sci.40(2) (2013), 31-42.
5. Blair.DE, Contact Manifolds in Riemannian geometry, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 509. (1976) Springer verlag,Berlin and NewYork.
6. K.L.Duggal, and B.Sahin., Differential geometry of Lightlike submanifolds, Frontiers in Mathematics, 2010. Springer-verlag,Berlin and NewYork.

7. C.S Bagewadi and Anitha B.S. Invariant submanifolds of Trans-Sasakian manifolds 67 no, 10(2016), Ukrainian mathematical Journal .
8. Anitha B.S and C.S.Bagewadi. Invariant submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds Admitting Semisymmetric Non-metric Connection, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical science. (2012),18
9. K.L.Duggal and A.Bajancu. Lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds and applications, mathematics and Its Applications.Kluwer Publisher, 1996
10. M.S.Siddesha and C.S Bagewadi. Submanifold of a  $(k, \mu)$ -Contact manifold, CUBO A mathematical Journal J. 18.N 01 59-68(2016).
11. H.B.Pandey and Anilkumar, Anti-invariant Submanifolds of almost para contact manifolds, Indian Pure appl.Math. 16(6); 586-590 (1985).
12. Ishihara, and M. Kon, , Ann.di mat.Pure Appl. Serie Iv Tohoku 14(1977).
13. Mohammed Hasan Shaid . Some Results on Anti-invariant Submanifolds of a Trans Sasakian manifold., Bull.Malays.Math. Sci.(2) 27 (2004),117-127
14. A.Brasil, G.A.Lobos, M.Mariano , C-Totally Real submanifolds with Parallel mean Curvature in  $(\lambda)$  -Sasakian space Forms, Matematica Contemporanea, sociedade Brasileira de Matematica 34, 83-102 2008, .
15. Yano,K; Kon, Anti- -Invariant Submanifolds of a Sasakian Space forms, II., J.Korean Math Soc.13(1976).1-14
16. Yildiz, A;Murathan, C;Arslan, E., R, C-totally real pseudo parallel submanifold of Sasakian Space forms, Monatsh.Math 151, (2007), 247-256.
17. T.H.Kang,S.D.Jung, B.H.Kim,H.K.Pak and J.S.pak,, Lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Sasakian manifolds, Indian Journal of pure and applied mathematics. Vol34, no 9 (2003), 1369-1380.
18. Alegre P;Carriazo,, A Structures on generalized Sasakian-Space forms-, Differential Geom.App). 26 (2008), 656-666.
19. B.Y. Chen, Geometry of submanifolds and its applications, Science University of Tokyo, Tokyo (1981).
20. C. Ozgur, C. Murathan, On invariant submanifolds of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds, The Arabian J. Sci. Engg., 34, 24(2009), 177-185.
21. Gerald D.Ludden, Masafumi Okumura, and Kentaro Yano, Anti- invariant submanifolds of almost contact metric manifolds, Math. Ann. Springer-Verlag 225.253-261 (1977),
22. Sibel Sular, Cihan Ozgur and Cengizhan Murathan Pseudoparallel anti-invariant submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifolds, Hacettepe , Journal of mathematics and statistics. 39(4) (2010), 535-543.
23. K.Yano and M.Kon, Anti-invariant submanifolds,pure and Applied Mathematics, N0.2 Marcel Dekker Newyork, (1976).
24. K.Yano and M.Kon, Anti-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian space form Tohokumath J. 9(1977), 923.
25. K.L.Duggal, and B.Sahin, Lightlike submanifolds of Indefinite Sasakian manifolds, International Journal of mathematics and Mathematical science, Hindawi Publishing Corporation (2007), 1-22.
26. M.Hasan Shahid, Anti-invariant submanifolds of a Kenmotsu manifold), Kuwait J.Sci. and Eng.23(2),(1996).
27. C.S.Bagewadi, On totally real submanifolds of a Kahlerian manifold admitting semisymmetric metric, Indian J.pure Appl.Math.13(5)(1982),528-536.
28. K. Yano, M. Kon, Structures on manifolds, World scientific publishing, (1984).
29. M. Kon, Invariant submanifolds of normal contact metric manifolds, Kodai Mat.

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.**

**[Copy right © 2018. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]**