

GROUP ACTION ON FUZZY LEFT N-SUBGROUP OF A NEAR RING

G. BALASUBRAMANIAN*² AND P. VANI³

¹Head & Associate Professor of Mathematics,
Government Arts College for Men, Krishnagiri, Tamil Nadu, India.

²Guest Lecturer in Mathematics,
Government Arts College for Women, Krishnagiri – 635 002, India.

(Received On: 02-02-18; Revised & Accepted On: 01-03-18)

ABSTRACT

Zadeh [1965] introduced fuzzy set. Mukherjee and Bhattacharya [1986] studied on a fuzzy groups, and some group theoretic results. Wu [1981] obtained few properties on normal fuzzy subgroup. Das [1981] found some new results on fuzzy group and level subgroups. It is also motivated to find N-fuzzy group in hemi-ring. Mukherjee and Bhattacharya [1984] analyzed on fuzzy normal subgroups and fuzzy cosets, and investigates on properties on normality. Nagarajan and Maneramanan [2013] gave few algebraic properties on M-fuzzy factor group, and obtained some its power fuzzy group, homomorphic image and preimage, union and intersection.

In this paper, the notion of Q-fuzzification of left N-subgroups is introduced in a near ring and investigated some related properties. Characterization of Q-fuzzy left N subgroup with respect to a triangular norm is given.

Keywords: Set action on a fuzzy set; group action on fuzzy left N-subgroup in a near-ring.

SECTION-1: INTRODUCTION

Ray [1992] initiated isomorphic fuzzy group. Makamba [1992] discussed direct product and isomorphism of fuzzy subgroups. Ajmal [1994] studied on homomorphism on factor fuzzy group. They gave an idea to introduce M-fuzzy group, & its normality in a ring. Fang [1994] studied on fuzzy homomorphism & fuzzy isomorphism, and it leads to N-fuzzy subgroup in near-ring. Kim [1997], Kim & Kim [1994], Mukherjee and Bhattacharya [1984, 1986], and analyzed on some characterizations of fuzzy subgroups, and it give an idea to verify also few characterizations on N-fuzzy group in a near-ring. Kumar *et.al* [1992] obtained some new structures on fuzzy normal subgroup and fuzzy quotient group which initiate to make an attempt on N-fuzzy normal subgroup in a near-ring. Kumbhoikar and Bapal [1991] found correspondence theorem for fuzzy ideals in near-ring. Liu *et.al* [2001] and Morsi & Yehia [1994] got few properties on quotient fuzzy group and quotient fuzzy ring induced from fuzzy ideals in near-ring, and same argument will argue quotient N-fuzzy subgroup in near-ring. Further in this paper, we introduce the notion of Q-fuzzification of left N-subgroups in a near ring and discuss usual algebraic properties like as union, intersection, and level cut-set of Q-fuzzification of left N-subgroups in a near ring a. Characterization of Q fuzzy left N-subgroups are given.

SECTION-2: BASIC DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: A near ring is a non – empty set R with two binary operations $+$ and \cdot satisfying the following axioms: (1). $(R, +)$ is a group; (2). (R, \cdot) is a semigroup; (3). $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ for all x, y, z, \setminus in R . Then it is called a left near – ring by (3). In this paper, it will use the word near- ring. Here xy denotes $x \cdot y$; (2). $x \cdot 0 = 0$, and $x \cdot (-y) = -(x \cdot y)$ for x, y in R .

Definition 2.2: A two sided R – subgroup of a near – ring R is a subset H of R such that (1). $(H, +)$ is a subgroup of $(R, +)$; (2). $RH \subset H$; (3). $HR \subset H$. If H satisfies (1) and (2), then it is a left R -subgroup of R , while if H satisfies (1) and (3), then it is a right R -subgroup of R .

Definition 2.3: A fuzzy set μ in a set R is a function $\mu: R \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Corresponding Author: G. Balasubramanian*²,
¹Head & Associate Professor of Mathematics,
Government Arts College for Men, Krishnagiri, Tamil Nadu, India.

Definition 2.4: Let G be any group. A mapping $\mu: G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a fuzzy group if (FG1). $\mu(xy) \geq \min \{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ and (FG2). $\mu(x^{-1}) = \mu(x)$, for all $x, y \in G$.

Definition 2.5: Let $(S, +)$ be a group, and G be a non-empty set. Then G acts on S if there exists a function $*$: $G \times S \rightarrow S$ (denoted $*(g, s) = gs$ for all $g \in G$, and $s \in S$) such that $es = s$ and $(g + h) * s = g * (h * s)$ for all s in S , and for all g, h in G .

Definition 2.6: A map $f: R \rightarrow S$ is called homomorphism if $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all x, y in S .

Definition 2.7: (T-norm) A triangular norm is a function $T: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ that satisfies the following conditions for all x, y, z in $[0, 1]$.

(T1): $T(x, 1) = x$;

(T2): $T(x, y) = T(y, x)$;

(T3): $T(x, T(y, z)) = T(T(x, y), z)$;

(T4): $T(x, y) \leq T(x, z)$ if $y \leq z$.

Definition 2.8: Let there exist a map (namely multiplication) from $N \times R \rightarrow R$. A group (G, Δ) with identity 0 acts on a N -fuzzy group A of a near-ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ if (GAFS1) the group G acts on R [there exists a function $*$: $G \times R \rightarrow R$ with the conditions $g * (h * s) = (g + h) * s$ and $e * s = s$ or all s in S , and for all g, h in G];

(GAFG2) $A(x * n(s - t)) \geq T\{A(x * s), A(x * t)\}$;

(GAFG3) $A(x * (ns)) \geq T(A(x * s))$; for all n in N ; and for all $x, y \in G$ and $s, t \in S$.

Definition 2.9: Let θ be a mapping from X to Y . (i) Let (G', Δ') a group acting on R' -fuzzy group B under a near-ring $(R', +', \cdot')$. Then the inverse image of B under θ denoted by $\theta^{-1}(B)$ is fuzzy set in (G, Δ) defined by $\theta^{-1}(B) = \mu_{\theta^{-1}(B)}$ where $\mu_{\theta^{-1}(B)}(x) = \mu_B(\theta(x))$; (ii) Let (G, Δ) be a group acting on R -fuzzy group A under a near-ring $(R, +, \cdot)$.

Definition 2.10: Then the image of A under θ denoted by $\theta(A)$, where $\mu_{\theta(A)}(y) = \{\text{Sup } \mu_{A(x)} : x \in \theta^{-1}(y) \text{ if } \theta^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset; 0, \text{ otherwise. Then } \mu_{\theta^{-1}(B)}(x * s) = \mu_B(\theta(x) * s) \text{ for all } s \text{ in } S. \text{ Also } \mu_{\theta(A)}(y * s) = \{\text{Sup } \mu_{A(x * s)} : (x * s) \in \theta^{-1}(y) * s \text{ for all } s \text{ in } S \text{ if } \theta^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset; = 0, \text{ otherwise.}\}$

SECTION-3: GROUP ACTION ON FUZZY N-GROUP OF A NEAR-RING

Theorem 3.1: Let 'T' be a t-norm. Then every imaginable fuzzy left N-subgroup μ of a near ring R acted by a group (G, Δ) is a fuzzy left N-subgroup of R acted by G .

Proof: Assume μ is an imaginable fuzzy left N-subgroup of R . Then it gets that

$$\mu(x * n(s-t)) \geq T\{\mu(x * s), \mu(x * t)\} \text{ and } \mu(x * (ns)) \geq \mu(x * s). \text{ for all } s, t \text{ in } R \text{ and } x \text{ in } G.$$

Since μ is imaginable, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \min \{\mu(x * s), \mu(x * t)\} &= T\{\min \{\mu(x * s), \mu(x * t)\}, \min \{\mu(x * s), \mu(x * t)\}\} \\ &\leq T\{\min \{\mu(x * s), \mu(x * t)\}\} \\ &\leq \min \{\mu(x * s), \mu(x * t)\} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$T\{\min \{\mu(x * s), \mu(x * t)\}\} \leq \min \{\mu(x * s), \mu(x * t)\}.$$

It also finds that $\mu(x * n(s-t)) \geq T\{\min \{\mu(x * s), \mu(x * t)\}\}$

$$= \min \{\min \{\mu(x * s), \mu(x * t)\}\} \text{ for all } s, t \text{ in } R, \text{ and } x \text{ in } G.$$

Hence G acts on μ 'μ' is a fuzzy left N-subgroup of R acted by G .

Theorem 3.2: If μ is fuzzy left N-subgroup of a near ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ acted by a group (G, Δ) , and Q is an endomorphism of R , then $\mu_{(Q)}$ is a fuzzy left N-subgroup of R acted by G .

Proof: μ is fuzzy left N-subgroup of a near ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ acted by a group (G, Δ) .

Define $\mu_{(Q)}: R \rightarrow R$ by $\mu_{(Q)}(x * s) = \mu(Q(x * s))$ for all x in G , and s in R . Clearly G acts also on fuzzy subgroup $\mu_{(Q)}$ of R .

For any s, t in R , and x in G , it gets that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i). } \mu_{(Q)}(x * n(s-t)) &= \mu(Q(x * ((n(s-t)))) \\ &= \mu(Q(x * s), Q(x * t)) \\ &\geq T\{\mu(Q(x * s), Q(x * t))\} \\ &= T\{\mu_{(Q)}(x * s), \mu_{(Q)}(x * t)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii). } \mu_{(Q)}(x * (ns)) &= \mu(Q(x * (ns))) \\ &\geq \mu(Q(x * s)) \\ &\geq \mu_{(Q)}((x * s)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence G acts on fuzzy N-subgroup $\mu_{(Q)}$ of R.

Theorem 3.3: Onto homomorphism pre-image of a fuzzy left N-subgroup of near ring R' acted by a group (G, Δ) , is fuzzy left N-subgroup of a near-ring R acted by G.

Proof: Let $f: R \rightarrow R'$ be an onto homomorphism of near rings and A be a fuzzy left N-subgroup of R' acted by G. Let B be the pre-image of A under f.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then (i). } B((x * (s-t))) &= A(f(x * (s-t))) \\ &= A(f(x * s), f(x * t)) \\ &\geq T\{B(x * s), B(x * t)\} \\ &= T(f(x * s), f(x * t)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii). } B((x * (ns))) &= A(f(x * (ns))) \\ &= A(f(x * s)) \\ &= B(x * s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus B is a fuzzy left N-subgroup of R acted by G.

Theorem 3.3: Onto homomorphism pre-image **under supreme property** of a fuzzy left N-subgroup of near ring R' acted by a group (G, Δ) , is fuzzy left N-subgroup of a near-ring R' acted by G.

Proof: Let $f: R \rightarrow R'$ be an onto homomorphism on near-rings. Let μ be fuzzy N-subgroup of R' .

By superme property, let $x^1, y^1 \in R'$ and $x_0 \in f^{-1}(x^1), y_0 \in f^{-1}(y^1)$, be such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x * x_0) &= \sup_{(x * h) \in f^{-1}(x^1)} \mu(x * h) \\ \mu(x * y_0) &= \sup_{(x * h) \in f^{-1}(y^1)} \mu(x * h) \text{ respectively.} \end{aligned}$$

Then we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(1). } \mu^f(x * (n(x^1 - y^1))) &= \sup_{(x * z) \in f^{-1}(x * (n(x^1 - y^1)))} \mu(x * z) \\ &\geq T\{\mu(x * x_0), \mu(x * y_0)\} \\ &\geq T\left\{\sup_{(x * h) \in f(x^1)} \mu(x * h), \sup_{(x * h) \in f(y^1)} \mu(x * h)\right\} \\ &= T\{\mu^t(x * x^1), \mu^t(x * y^1)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(2). } \mu^f(x * nx^1) &= \sup_{(x * z) \in f^{-1}(x * (nx^1))} \mu(x * z) \\ &\geq \mu(x * x_0) \\ &= \sup_{(x * x_0) \in f^{-1}(x * x^1)} \mu(x * x_0) \\ &= \mu^t(x * x^1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence μ^f is a fuzzy left N-subset of R acted by G.

Theorem 3.5: Let T be a continuous t-norm and f be a homomorphism on a near ring R. If μ is fuzzy left N-subgroup of S acted by a group (G, Δ) , then μ^f is a fuzzy left N-subgroup of $f(R)$ acted by G.

Proof: It follows that μ^f is a fuzzy left N-subset $f f(R)$ acted by G

$$\text{Let } A_1 = f^{-1}(x * y_1); A_2 = f^{-1}(x * y_2);$$

$$\text{Let } A_{12} = f^{-1}(x * n(y_1, y_2)) \text{ where } y_1, y_1 \text{ in } f(R), n \text{ in } N, \text{ and } x \text{ in } G.$$

Consider the set $A_1 - A_2 = \{s \in R: (x * s) = (x * a_1) - (x * a_2)\}$ for some $(x * a_1) \in A_1$, and $(x * a_2) \in A_2$.

If $f((x * s)) \in A_1 - A_2$, then $(x * s) = (x * a_1) - (x * a_2)$ for some $(x * a_1) \in A_1$, and $(x * a_2) \in A_2$.

$$\text{It gets that } f(x * s) = f(x * a_1) - f(x * a_2) = y_1 - y_2$$

$$\text{Thus } (x * s) \in f^{-1}(x * y_1) - (x * y_2) = f^{-1}(x * n(y_1 - y_2)) = A_{12}.$$

Implies that $A_1 - A_2 \subset A_{12}$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^f[x * n(y_1 - y_2)] &= \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x * s) : (x * s) \in f^{-1}((x * n(y_1 - y_2))) \\ &= \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x * s) : (x * s) \in A_{12} \} \\ &\geq \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x * s) : (x * s) \in A_1 - A_2 \} \\ &\geq \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x * x_1) - (x * x_2) : x * x_1 \in A_1 \text{ and } x * x_2 \in A_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since T is continuous, and every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x * x_1) : (x * x_1) \in A_1 \} - (x * x_2) \in A_2 \} &\leq \delta \text{ and} \\ \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x * x_2) : x * x_1 \in A_2 \} - (x * x_2) \in A_2 &\leq \delta, \text{ then we get} \\ T \{ \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x * x_1) : (x * x_1) \in A_1 \}, \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x * x_2) : x * x_2 \in A_2 \} \} &- T \{ (x * x_1), (x * x_2) \} \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $(x * a_1) \in A_1$, and $(x * a_2) \in A_2$ with $\text{Sup} \{ \mu(x * x_1) : (x * x_1) \in A_1 \} - \mu(x * a_1) \leq \delta$ then it finds that $T \{ \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x * x_1) : (x * x_1) \in A_1 \}, \text{Sup} \{ \mu(x * x_2) : (x * x_2) \in A_2 \} \} - T \{ \mu(x * a_1) - \mu(x * a_2) \} \leq \epsilon$.

It becomes now that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^f(x * n(y_1 - y_2)) &\geq \text{sup} \{ T(\mu(x * x_1) \in A_1 \text{ and } \mu(x * x_2) \in A_2) : (x * x_1) \in A_1 ; (x * x_2) \in A_2 \} \\ &\geq T \{ \text{sup} \{ \mu(x * x_1) : (x * x_1) \in A_1 \}, \text{sup} \{ \mu(x * x_2) : (x * x_2) \in A_2 \} \} \\ &\geq T \{ \mu^f(x * y_1), \mu^f(x * y_2) \} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it can show $\mu^f(nx, q) \geq \mu^f[x, q]$. Hence G acts fuzzy left N-subgroup μ^f of $f(R)$.

Theorem 3.6: Let μ is fuzzy left N-subgroup of a near-ring R acted by a group (G, Δ) . Then the fuzzy set $\langle \mu \rangle$: is a fuzzy left N-subgroup of R generated by μ . Also G acts on $\langle \mu \rangle$ as the smallest fuzzy left N-subgroup containing it.

Proof: Let $u, v \in R$; $\mu(x * u) = t_1$; $\mu(x * v) = t_2$; $\mu(x * n(u-v)) = t$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let it possible } t &= \langle \mu \rangle (x * n(u-v)) \\ &\leq T \{ \langle \mu \rangle (x * (nu)), \langle \mu \rangle ((x * (nv))) \} \\ &\leq T \{ \langle \mu \rangle (x * u), \langle \mu \rangle ((x * v)) \} \\ &= T \{ t_1, t_2 \} = t_1 \text{ (say)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $t_1 = \langle \mu \rangle (x * u) = \text{sup} \{ k : (x * u) \in \langle \mu_k \rangle \} \geq t$.

Therefore there exists k with $(x * u) \in \langle \mu_k \rangle$.

Also $t_2 = \langle \mu \rangle (x * v) = \text{sup} \{ k : (x * v) \in \langle \mu_k \rangle \} \geq t$.

Therefore there exists $m > t$ with $(x * v) \in \langle \mu_m \rangle$.

Without loss of generality, assume that k, m with $\langle \mu_k \rangle \subset \langle \mu_m \rangle$.
hen $u, v \in \langle \mu_k \rangle$, which is a contradiction since $m > t$. Therefore $t \geq t_1$.

$$\text{Consequently, } \mu(x * n(u - v)) \geq T \{ \langle \mu \rangle (x * u), \mu \rangle (x * v) \} \tag{1}$$

Now let, if possible $t_3 = \{ \langle \mu \rangle (x * (nu)) \leq \langle \mu \rangle (x * u) = t_1$.

Then $t_1 = \langle \mu_k \rangle (x * u) = \text{Sup} \{ k : (x * u) \in \langle \mu_k \rangle \} > t_3$.

So there exists k with $x * u \in \langle \mu_k \rangle$, and $t_1 > k > t_3$ so that $n(x * u) \in \langle \mu_k \rangle \subset \langle \mu_t \rangle$, which is a contradiction. Hence $t_3 = \{ \langle \mu \rangle (x * (nu)) \geq \langle \mu \rangle (x * u) = t_2$ (2)

The equations (1) and (2) yield that G acts on fuzzy left N-subgroup $\langle \mu \rangle$ of R .

Finally to show that $\langle \mu \rangle$ is the smallest fuzzy left N-subgroup containing μ acted by G .

For this, assume that G acts on fuzzy left N-subgroup Q of R such that $\mu \subset Q$, and show that $\langle \mu \rangle \subset Q$. Let it possible, $t = \langle \mu \rangle (x * u) \geq Q(x * u)$ for some x in G , and u in R .

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then $t = \mu_t = \text{Sup} \{ k : (x * u) \in \langle \mu_k \rangle \}$ and $t - \epsilon \leq k < t$ implies that $(x * u) \in \langle \mu \rangle \subset \langle \mu_{k-t-\epsilon} \rangle$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Now $a = a_1(x * x_1) + a_2(x * x_2) + a_3(x * x_3) + \dots + a_n(x * x_n)$ where $a_i \in N$, and $(x * x_i)$ belongs to $(t-\epsilon)$. $(x * x_i) \in \mu_{t-\epsilon}$ implies that $\mu(x * x_i) \geq t - \epsilon$. Thus $Q(x * u) \geq t - \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.

So that $Q(x * u) \geq T\{Q(x * u_1), Q(x * u_2), \dots, Q(x * u_n)\} \geq t - \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Hence $Q(x * u) = t$ which is a contradiction to our supposition

Theorem 3.7: Let a group (G, Δ) acts a fuzzy left N-subgroup μ of a near ring R and μ^+ be a fuzzy set in R defined by $\mu^+(x * u) = \mu(x * u) + 1 - \mu(x * 0)$ for all u in R , and x in G . Then G acts on a normal fuzzy N-subgroup μ^+ of R containing μ' .

Proof: Let $u, v \in R$, and $x \in G$. We have

- (i). $\mu^+(x * n(u-v)) = \mu(x * n(u-v)) + 1 - \mu(x * 0)$
 $\geq \{\mu(x * u) + 1 - \mu(x * nv)\} + 1 - \mu(x * 0)$
 $\geq T\{\mu(x * u) + 1 - \mu(x * 0), \mu(x * v)\} + 1 - \mu(x * 0)$
 $\geq T\{\mu^+(x * u), \mu^+(x * v)\}$
- (ii). $\mu^+(x * nu) = \mu(x * nu) + 1 - \mu(x * 0)$
 $\geq \mu(x * u) + 1 - \mu(x * 0)$
 $= \mu^+(x * u)$

REFERENCES

1. Abou.Zoid, S., On Fuzzy sub near rings and ideals, Fuzzy sets and systems, 44,(1991),139-146.
2. Ajmal, N., Homomorphism of fuzzy groups, correspondence theorem and fuzzy quotient groups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Volume 61, (1994), 329-339.
3. Das: P.S., Fuzzy groups and level subgroups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. Volume 84, (1981), 264-269.
4. Fang: J.X., Fuzzy homomorphism and fuzzy isomorphism, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Volume 63 (1994), 237-242:
5. Kim: J.G., Some characterizations of fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Volume 87 (1997), 243-249:
6. Kim, J.G., and Kim: H.D., A characterization of fuzzy subgroups of some abelian groups, Inform. Sci. Volume 80, (1994), 243- 252:
7. Kumar, I.J., Saxena, P.K., and Yadav, P., Fuzzy normal subgroups and fuzzy quotients, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Volume 46, (1992), 121-132
8. Kumbhojkar, H.V, and Bapat,M.S., Correspondence theorem for fuzzy ideals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Volume 41, (1991), 213-219.
9. Liu, Y.L., Meng, J., and Xin, X.L., Quotient rings induced via fuzzy ideals, Korean J. Comput & Appl. Math. Volume 8, (2001), 631-643.
10. Makamba, B.B., Direct products and isomorphism of fuzzy subgroups, Inform. Sci. Volume 65 (1992), 33-43.
11. Morsi, N.N., & Yehia, S.E.B., Fuzzy-quotient groups, Inform. Sci. Vol. 81, (1994), 177-191.
12. Mukherjee, N.P., and Bhattacharya, P., Fuzzy groups: some group theoretic analogs, Inform. Sci. Volume 39, (1986), 247-268.
13. Mukherjee, N.P., and Bhattacharya,P., Fuzzy normal subgroups and fuzzy cosets, Inform. Sci. Volume 34, (1984), 225-239.
14. Nagarajan, R., and Manermaran, S.V., Contributions on M-fuzzy factor group, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, Volume 4 (7), (2013), 253-256.
15. Ray, S., Isomorphic fuzzy groups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Volume 50 (1992), 201-207.
16. Rosenfeld: A., Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. Volume 35, (1971), 512-517.
17. Wu: W.M, Normal fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy Math. Volume 1, (1981), 21-30.
18. Zadeh, L.A., Fuzzy sets, Inform. Control, Volume 8, (1965), 338-353.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2018. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]