

COMMON FIXED POINT FOR THE WEAKLY COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS USING F-CONTRACTION ON A COMPLETE METRIC SPACES

JIGMI DORJEE BHUTIA*

Coochbehar College, Coochbehar, West Bengal, India.

(Received On: 16-12-17; Revised & Accepted On: 18-01-18)

ABSTRACT

In 2012 Wardowski [1] defined the F-contraction. The main aim of this paper is to find the common fixed point for two mappings satisfying contraction conditions as similar to that of F-contraction.

Keywords: Weakly Compatible mappings, F- contraction.

INTRODUCTION

In1998, Jungck and Rhoades [4] introduced the notion of weakly compatible mappings. In 2012, Wardowski [1] defined the *F*-contraction The main purpose of this paper is to present fixed point results for pair of map satisfying a new contractive condition as similar to that of F-contraction by using the concept of weakly compatible maps in a complete metric space.

Definition 1.1(a): [1] Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T: X \to X$ is said to be an F-contraction if there exists $\tau > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ with

$$d(Tx,Ty) > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \tau + F\left(d\left(Tx,Ty\right)\right) < F\left(d\left(x,y\right)\right),$$

Where *F* satisfies following conditions:

(F1) *F* is strictly increasing.

(F2) For each sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$.

(F3) There exist $k \in (0,1)$ such that $\lim_{\alpha \to 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0.$

Definition 1.1 (b): [4] Two self-mappings f and g on a metric space (X, d) are said to be weakly compatible if the commute at their coincidence points, that is, if f(x) = g(x) for some $x \in X$ then fg(x) = gf(x)

Wardowski [1] stated and proved the Banach contraction principle as follows.

Theorem 1.2[1]: Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T: X \to X$ be an F- contraction. Then T has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$ and for every $x \in X$ the sequence $\{T^n x\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $x^* \in X$.

By replacing the conditions (F2) and (F3) by the following conditions

(F2') inf $F = -\infty$

(F3') F is continuous on $(0,\infty)$.

The family of functions satisfying the conditions (F1), (F2') and (F3') is denoted by \Im

Corresponding Author: Jigmi Dorjee Bhutia* Coochbehar College, Coochbehar, West Bengal, India. Piri, H., Kumam, P. [2] have proved the following result.

Theorem 1.3[2]: Let *T* be a self-mapping of a complete metric space *X* into itself. Suppose $F \in \mathfrak{I}$ and there exists $\tau > 0$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) < F(d(x, y))$

Then T has unique fixed point $x^* \in X$ and for every $x_0 \in X$ the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to x^* .

We denote the family of functions satisfying the conditions (F1), (F2) and (F3') by Φ

Recently Secelean [3] proved the following lemma.

Lemma 1.4: Let $F : R_+ \to R$ be an increasing mapping and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. Then the following conditions hold:

(a) If
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$$
, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$.
(b) If $\inf F = -\infty$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$.

By proving the above lemma 1.4, Secelean proved that the condition (F 2') and (F 2) are equivalent.

MAIN RESULT

Theorem 1.5: Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and f and g be two continuous self-mappings on X where f is one to one function and f and g weakly compatible mappings, f(X) is closed subset of X and $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$.

Suppose $F \in \Phi$ and there exist $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\forall x, y \in X, d(f(x), f(y)) > 0 \Longrightarrow \tau + F(d(f(x), f(y))) \le F(d(g(x), g(y))).$$
(1)
Then f and g has a unique common fixed point.

Proof: let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point in X. Then we consider the following sequence

 $f(x_0) = g(x_1), f(x_2) = g(x_3)$, that is $f(x_n) = g(x_{n+1}) = y_n$.

Now since *f* is one to one, it follows that f(x) = f(y) if x = y then since $x_n \neq x_{n+1} \Rightarrow f(x_n) \neq f(x_{n+1})$ i.e., $d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1})) > 0$ so from (1) it follows that

$$\tau + F(d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1}))) \le F(d(g(x_n), g(x_{n+1})))$$

$$\Rightarrow F(d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1}))) \le F(d(g(x_n), g(x_{n+1}))) - \tau .$$

$$= F(d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_n))) - \tau .$$

$$\le F(d(g(x_{n-1}), g(x_n))) - 2\tau .$$

$$= F(d(f(x_{n-2}), f(x_{n-1}))) - 2\tau$$

$$\le F(d(g(x_{n-2}), g(x_{n-1}))) - 3\tau$$

$$.$$

$$= F(d(f(x_1), f(x_2))) - n\tau .$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ both sides and using condition (F 2) we get,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1}))) = -\infty.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} d(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1})) = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0.$$
(2)

© 2018, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Now we prove that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. If not then there exist $\mathcal{E} > 0$ such that for a natural number $N, d(y_n, y_m) \ge \varepsilon$ and $d(y_{n-1}, y_m) < \varepsilon$ whenever n > m > N.

From (2), we have

$$\varepsilon \leq d(y_n, y_m) \leq d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_m) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{m \to \infty} d(y_n, y_m) = \varepsilon.$$
(3)

Now $d(f(x_n), f(x_m)) = d(y_n, y_m) > 0.$

So Now from (1) we have

$$\tau + F(d(f(x_n), f(x_m))) \le F(d(g(x_n), g(x_m))).$$

$$F(d(f(x_n), f(x_m))) \le F(d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_{m-1}))) - \tau.$$

Since $\tau > 0$ is arbitrary

$$\Rightarrow F(d(f(x_{n}), f(x_{m}))) < F(d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_{m-1}))).$$

Since *F* is strictly increasing we have,

$$d(f(x_n), f(x_m)) < d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_{m-1})).$$
(4)

So from (2), (4)

$$\varepsilon \leq d(f(x_{n}), f(x_{m})) < d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_{m-1}))$$

$$\leq d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_{n})) + d(f(x_{n}), f(x_{m})) + d(f(x_{m}), f(x_{m-1}))$$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_{m-1})) = \varepsilon.$$
(5)

Since
$$d(f(x_n), f(x_m)) = d(y_n, y_m) > 0$$
. from (1) we have
 $\tau + F(d(f(x_n), f(x_m))) \le F(d(g(x_n), g(x_m)))$.
 $\tau + F(d(f(x_n), f(x_m))) \le F(d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_{m-1})))$

So by condition(F3), (3), (5) we have,

$$\tau + F(\varepsilon) \le F(\varepsilon).$$

Which is a contradiction. Therefore the sequence $\{y_n\}$ must be Cauchy sequence in X. Now since X is complete we have

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = z.$ Where $z \in X$. That is we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g(x_{n+1}) = z$$

Since f(X) is closed it follows that $z \in f(X)$. So there exist some $u \in X$ such that z = f(u).

Now,
$$d(z, g(u)) = \lim_{\substack{n \to \infty \\ n \to \infty}} d(z, g(x_{n+1})) = 0.$$

 $\Rightarrow z = g(u).$ So $f(u) = z = g(u).$

Therefore z is the coincident point of f and g. since f and g are weakly compatible mappings we have,

$$f(u) = g(u). \Rightarrow gf(u) = fg(u). \Rightarrow g(z) = f(z).$$
(6)
If $f(z) \neq z$. Then $d(f(z), z) > 0$,
 $\Rightarrow d(f(z), f(u)) > 0$

So from (1),

τ + F(d(f(z), f(u)) ≤ F(d(g(z), g(u))).τ + F(d(f(z), f(u)) ≤ F(d(f(z), f(u)))

Since $\tau > 0$, it follows that this is a contradiction. Hence f(z) = z = g(z). so z is the common fixed point of f and g.

To prove the uniqueness. Let us assume that u is another fixed point of f and g. Then f(z) = z = g(z), and f(u) = u = g(u).

If
$$u \neq z$$
 $d(u, z) > 0 \Rightarrow d(f(u), f(z)) > 0$. So from (1) we have
 $\tau + F(d(f(u), f(z))) \leq F(d(g(u), g(z)))$.
 $\Rightarrow \tau + F(d(u, z)) \leq F(d(u, z))$.

Since $\tau > 0$, this is a contradiction. So u = z.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. Wardowski, (2012): Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2012:94 (2012).
- Piri, H., Kumam, P (2014): Some fixed point theorems concerning F-contraction in complete metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014, Article ID 210 (2014). Doi: 10.1186/1687-1812-2014-210.
- 3. Secelean, NA (2013) Iterated function systems consisting of F-contractions. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, Article ID 277 (2013). Doi: 10.1186/1687-1812-2013-277.
- 4. G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., 29 (1998), no. 3, 227-238.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2018. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]