

## BIVARIATE NEW BETTER THAN USED CLASS OF LIFE DISTRIBUTIONS

U. RIZWAN\*<sup>1</sup> AND A. SATHIYARAJ<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
 Islamiah College (Autonomous), Vaniyambadi 635 752, India.

(Received On: 03-12-17; Revised & Accepted On: 18-12-17)

### ABSTRACT

*Some new results on Bivariate New Better than Used of order 3 (BNBU (3)) class of life distributions are obtained. Closure of the BNBU(3) class under convolution is given. Shock models where shocks are arriving according to homogeneous Poisson Process are established.*

**Key Words:** BNBU(3), convolution, shock models, life distributions.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 60K10.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Several classes of life distributions have been introduced in reliability. The applications of these classes of life distribution can be seen in engineering, medical, maintenance, biometrics, etc. Therefore, statisticians and reliability analysts have shown a growing interest in modeling survival data using classifications of life distributions based on some aspects of aging. Among the most well known families of life distributions are the classes of increasing failure rate (IFR), increasing failure rate average (IFRA), new better than used (NBU), new better than used in expectation (NBUE), and harmonic new better than used in expectation (HNBUE). For more details, one may refer to Barlow and Proschan (1981), Hendi and Mashhour (1993), Chen (1994), Li *et al.* (2000), Belzunce *et al.* (2001), Li and Kochar (2001), Nanda *et al.* (2003), Li and Zou (2004), and Ahmad *et al.* (2006) among others.

A complete study on bivariate ageing classes of life distributions was carried out by Rizwan and Syed Tahir Hussainy (2016). The authors have investigated the relationship among the bivariate ageing classes of life distributions. They have also presented the closure and preservation properties of some of the bivariate ageing classes of life distributions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some preliminaries and the definition of the BNBU (3) class. Closure properties under convolution and preservation under homogeneous Poisson shock model of this ageing class is given in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4, Conclusion is given.

### 2. BASIC TOOLS

In this section, we give the definition of the BNBU (3) ageing class and its discrete version is also given.

**Definition 2.1:** A bivariate life distribution  $F$  is called a bivariate new better than used in a third order BNBU (3), if

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(x+t, y+s) ds dt dv du \leq \bar{F}(x, y) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(t, s) ds dt dv du.$$

**Remark 1:** The above definition is a very strong version of the BNBU (3) ageing class. This class may be referred as BNBU (3)-S. A somewhat weaker version of the BNBU (3) ageing class is given below.

- *weak Bivariate New Better than Used (BNBU (3)-W) :*

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(x+t, x+s) ds dt dv du \leq \bar{F}(x, x) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(t, s) ds dt dv du$$

for  $x, t, s \geq 0$ .

**Corresponding Author: U. Rizwan\*<sup>1</sup>.**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Islamiah College (Autonomous), Vaniyambadi 635 752, India.

**Definition 2.2:** A discrete bivariate distribution  $\{\bar{P}(i, j)\}_{i,j=0}^{\infty}$  is said to be discrete bivariate new better than used of third order, BNBU (3), if

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^n \bar{P}(i+k, j+l) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^n \bar{P}(i, j) \bar{P}(k, l).$$

### 3. CLOSURE PROPERTIES CONVOLUTION

As an important reliability operation, convolution of life distribution of a certain class is often paid much attention. The closure properties of BIFR, BNBU, BNBUE and BIFRA can be found in Rizwan and Syed Tahir Hussainy (2016). In the next theorem, we establish the closure property of the BNBU (3) class under the convolution operation.

**Theorem 3.1:** Suppose that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are two independent BNBU (3) life distributions. Then their convolution is also BNBU(3).

**Proof:** The convolution of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  is

$$\bar{F}(t_1, t_2) = \int_0^{\infty} \bar{F}_1(t_1 - u, t_2 - v) dF_2(u, v).$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \bar{F}(x+t, y+s) ds dt dv du &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \left[ \int_0^{\infty} \bar{F}_1(x+t-z, y+s-w) dF_2(z, w) \right] ds dt dv du \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \left[ \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \int_0^{\infty} \bar{F}_1(x+t-z, y+s-w) ds dt dv du \right] dF_2(z, w) \\ &\leq \int_0^{\infty} \left[ \bar{F}_1(x, y) \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \bar{F}_1(t-z, s-w) ds dt dv du \right] dF_2(z, w) \\ &= \bar{F}_1(x, y) \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \left[ \int_0^{\infty} \bar{F}_1(t-z, s-w) dF_2(z, w) \right] ds dt dv du \\ &\leq \bar{F}(x, y) \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \left[ \int_0^{\infty} \bar{F}_1(t-z, s-w) dF_2(z, w) \right] ds dt dv du \\ &= \bar{F}(x, y) \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \bar{F}(t, s) ds dt dv du. \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality is because  $F_1$  is BNBU(3) and the next inequality is because  $\bar{F}_1 \leq \bar{F}$ . It then follows that  $F$  is BNBU(3).

**Theorem 3.2:** Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be two independent discrete BNBU(3) life distributions. Then their convolution is BNBU (3).

**Proof:** Clearly

$$\bar{P}(i+k, j+l) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} \bar{P}_1(i+k-\alpha, j+l-\beta) p_2(\alpha, \beta)$$

For  $i, j, k, l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^n \bar{P}(i+k, j+l) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^n \left[ \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} \bar{P}_1(i+k-\alpha, j+l-\beta) p_2(\alpha, \beta) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^n \bar{P}_1(i+k-\alpha, j+l-\beta) p_2(\alpha, \beta) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} p_2(\alpha, \beta) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^n \bar{P}_1(i+k-\alpha, j+l-\beta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} p_2(\alpha, \beta) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^n \bar{P}_1(i, j) \bar{P}_1(k - \alpha, l - \beta) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^n \bar{P}(i, j) \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} \bar{P}_1(k - \alpha, l - \beta) p_2(\alpha, \beta) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^n \bar{P}(i, j) \bar{P}(k, l).
 \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality is because  $\bar{P}_1$  is BNBU(3) and the next inequality is because  $\bar{P}_1 \leq \bar{P}$ . It then follows that  $F$  discrete is BNBU (3).

### SHOCK MODELS

Suppose that a device with two components is subjected to shocks occurring randomly as events in Poisson process with constant intensity  $\lambda$ . Suppose further that the device has the probability  $P(i, j)$  of surviving  $i$  shocks on the first component and  $j$  shocks on the second component. The survival function of the device is then given by

$$\bar{H}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t_1} \frac{(\lambda t_1)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{[\lambda(t_2 - t_1)]^l}{l!} \bar{P}(k, l), \quad (1)$$

for  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$ .

**Theorem 3.3:** The survival function  $\bar{H}(t)$  in (1) is BNBU(3) if and only if  $\{\bar{P}(i, j)\}_{i,j=0}^{\infty}$  has the discrete BNBU (3) property.

**Proof:** Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \bar{H}(x+t, y+s) ds dt dv du &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(x+t)} \frac{[\lambda(x+t)]^i}{i!} e^{-\lambda[(y+s)-(x+t)]} \frac{[\lambda[(y+s)-(x+t)]]^j}{j!} \\
 &\quad \bar{P}(i, j) ds dt dv du \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} (\lambda x)^{i-k} (\lambda t)^k \right) \frac{e^{-\lambda(x+t)}}{i!} \\
 &\quad \left( \sum_{l=0}^j \binom{j}{l} (\lambda(y-x))^{j-l} (\lambda(s-t))^l \right) \frac{e^{-\lambda[(y-x)+(s-t)]}}{j!} \bar{P}(i, j) ds dt dv du \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^i \frac{i!}{k!(i-k)!} (\lambda t)^k (\lambda x)^{i-k} \frac{e^{-\lambda x} e^{-\lambda t}}{i!} \\
 &\quad \sum_{l=0}^j \frac{j!}{l!(j-l)!} (\lambda(s-t))^l (\lambda(y-x))^{j-l} \frac{e^{-\lambda(y-x)} e^{-\lambda(s-t)}}{j!} \bar{P}(i, j) ds dt dv du \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^k (\lambda x)^{i-k}}{k!(i-k)!} e^{-\lambda x} e^{-\lambda t} \\
 &\quad \sum_{l=0}^j \frac{[\lambda(s-t)]^l [\lambda(y-x)]^{j-l}}{l!(j-l)!} e^{-\lambda(y-x)} e^{-\lambda(s-t)} \bar{P}(i, j) ds dt dv du \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^k (\lambda x)^{\alpha}}{k!(\alpha)!} e^{-\lambda x} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{[\lambda(s-t)]^l [\lambda(y-x)]^{\beta}}{l!(\beta)!} \\
 &\quad e^{-\lambda(y-x)} e^{-\lambda(s-t)} \bar{P}(k+\alpha, l+\beta) ds dt dv du \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u \int_0^v \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^k}{k!} \frac{e^{-\lambda(y-x)} [\lambda(y-x)]^{\beta}}{\beta!} \\
 &\quad \frac{e^{-\lambda(s-t)} [\lambda(s-t)]^l}{l!} \bar{P}(k, l) \bar{P}(\alpha, \beta) ds dt dv du
 \end{aligned}$$

The inequality is because  $\{\bar{P}(i, j)\}_{i,j=0}^{\infty}$  is discrete-BNBU (3). It follows that  $\bar{H}$  is BNBU (3) and this completes the proof.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the discrete version of the bivariate ageing classes BNBU (3) of life distribution have been introduced. The closure property of these bivariate ageing class of life distributions under convolution and the Poisson shock models have been studied.

#### REFERENCES

1. Abouammoh, A., and Ahmed, A., The new better than used class of life distribution, *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, **20** (1988), 237 – 240.
2. Nanda, A., Singh, H., Mitraand, N., Paul, P., Reliability properties of reversed residual life time, *Communications in Statistics, Part A - Theory and Methods*, 32(10) (2003), 2031 – 2042.
3. Klefsjo, B., HNBUE survival under Some Shock Models, *Scand. J. Statist.*, 8(1981), 39 – 47.
4. Ahmad, I., Ahmed, A., Kayid, M., and El Batal, I., An ageing notion derived from the increasing convex ordering the NBUC class, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 136(2006), 555 – 569.
5. Cao, J., and Wang, Y., The NBUC and NWUC classes of life distributions, *J. Appl. Probab.*, 28(1991), 473 – 479.
6. Deshpande, J.V., Kochar, S.C., and Sing, H., Aspects of positive ageing, *Journal of Appl. probab.*, 23(1986), 748–758.
7. Esary, J.D., Marshall, A.W., and Proschan, F., Shock models and wear processes, *Ann. Probab.*, 1(1973), 627 – 649.
8. Franco, M., Ruiz, J.M., and Ruiz, M.C., On closure of the IFR (2) and NBU(2) classes, *Journal of Applied Probability*, 38(2001), 235 – 241.
9. Hendi, M., and Mashhour, A., Closure of the NBUC class under formation of parallel systems, *J. Appl. Probab.*, 31(1993), 458 – 469.
10. Huand, T., and Xie, H., Proofs of the closure property of NBUC and NBU(2) under convolution, *J. Appl. Probab.*, 39(1993), 224 – 227.
11. Loh, W.Y., A new generalization of the class of NBU distributions, *IEEE Trans. Reliability*, 33(1984), 419 – 422.
12. Li, X.H., and Kochar, S.C., Some new results involving the NBU(2) lass of life distributions, *J. Appl. Probab.*, 38(2001), 242 – 247.
13. Rizwan. U., and Syed Tahir Hussainy, Relationship Among Bivariate Ageing Classes, *International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications*, 4 (1) (2016), 23 – 32.
14. Syed Tahir Hussainy, On Some Ageing Properties Of Bivariate Life Distribution Under Convolution, *International Journal of Science and Humanities*, 1 (1) (2015), 47 – 52.
15. Shaked, M., and Shanthikumar, J.G., Stochastic Orders and Their Application, *Academic Press* (1994), New York.
16. Li, X., Li, Z., and Jing, B., Some results about the NBUC class of life distributions, *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 46(2000), 229 – 237.

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.**

**[Copy right © 2018. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]**