

## EXTENSION OF NASH EQUILIBRIUM THEOREMS USING SOFT SET

**SREELEKSHMI C.WARRIER**

Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics,  
 St. Thomas College, Palai, (Asst. Professor, MACFAST), M.G University, Kerala, India.

*E-mail: sreelekshmicwarrier@gmail.com*

### ABSTRACT

*John Nash describing Nash equilibrium using Brower's and Kakutani's fixed point theorems. Molodstov initiated the soft set theory which also deals with decision making under uncertainty. Here we extend some of the theorems on Nash equilibrium related to fixed point theory using soft set approach.*

**Keywords:** Soft set, fixed point theory, game theory, Nash equilibrium.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Game theory is originally the mathematical study of competition and cooperation [9]. Strategic decision making is the main concept behind Game theory. In classical game theory the payoff functions are real valued functions and therefore the solution of games are obtained by using arithmetic operations. In recent years, many interesting applications of game theory have been expanded by using the ideas of fuzzy sets. The main concepts of game theory revolve around the fundamentals of Nash equilibrium [13].

Molodstov introduced a new concept soft set theory, which is the generalization of fuzzy set for dealing with uncertainty [17]. Applications of soft set theory in other disciplines and real life problems are now on fast track development in the decision making area. The traditional soft set is a mapping from parameter set to crisp subset of universe. Irfan Deli and Naim Cagman proposed a new concept soft game for dealing with uncertainty [7].

The knowledge of the existence of fixed point has relevant applications in decision making area. Mujahid elaborated the fixed point concept in fuzzy soft set theory [16] Among the different important applications of fixed point theorems such as Brower's, Kakutani's etc., Nash equilibrium's existence theorems [15].

The aim of this paper is to extend some of the theorems on Nash equilibrium related to fixed point theory using soft set approach.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this portion, we present the basic definitions and results of game theory [9] soft set theory [5], [7], [17] and fixed point theory [15], [23].

**Definition 2.1[9]:** Nash equilibrium for two person game is a pair of strategies  $(\sigma_1^*, \sigma_2^*)$  such that  

$$\pi_1(\sigma_1^*, \sigma_2^*) \geq \pi_1(\sigma_1, \sigma_2^*), \text{ for all } \sigma_1 \in \Sigma_1 \text{ and } \pi_2(\sigma_1^*, \sigma_2^*) \geq \pi_2(\sigma_1^*, \sigma_2), \text{ for all } \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_2.$$

**Definition 2.2 [17]:** Consider  $U$  be a universal set,  $E$  be the set of parameters and  $P(U)$  be the power set,  $A \subseteq E$ . A soft set  $F_A$  over  $U$  is set defined by function  $f_A$  representing a mapping  $f_A: E \rightarrow P(U)$  such that  $f_A = \emptyset$  if  $x \notin A$ . A soft set over  $U$  can be represented by  $F_A = \{(x, f_A(x)), x \in E, f_A(x) \in P(U)\}$ .

**Definition 2.3[15]:** Consider a mapping  $f: X \rightarrow X$ , where  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called a fixed point of  $f$  if  $f(x) = x$ .

**Theorem 2.1[10]:** Assume that there exists a sequences  $\{x_m\}$ ,  $m \geq 0$  in  $V$  such that  $x^m \preceq x^{m+1} \in f(x^m)$  for any  $m \geq 0$  and  $\{x \in V: x^0 \preceq x\}$  is finite. Then  $f$  has fixed point  $x^* \in f(x^*)$ .

**Definition 2.4[15]:** Let  $F_A$  be the soft set over  $U$ , where  $U$  be the universal set. Consider a soft mapping  $\Psi: F \rightarrow F$ , if  $\Psi(f_A^*) = f_A^*$ , or  $f_A^* \in \Psi(f_A^*)$  then soft element  $f_A^* \in F$  is called fixed point of  $\Psi$ .

Some other definitions and results related to game theory, soft sets and fixed point theories are found in [3], [5], [6], [8], [9], [10], [13], [17] and [16].

### 3. SOFT SET APPROACH TO NASH EQUILIBRIUM USING FIXED POINT CONCEPT

In this section, first we have defined Nash equilibrium of strategies using soft set and fixed point theories. Then we have introduced some results of Nash equilibrium through soft set approach and fixed point theory.

#### 3.1 Nash equilibrium of pure strategies using soft set approach

Consider finite strategic structure of a game  $G = \{N, \{S_i\}, \{f_i\}, i \in N\}$ , where  $N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$  be set of players. A strategic set  $S_i = \{f_{A1}^*, f_{A2}^* \dots f_{An}^*\}$  is a pure strategy Nash equilibrium iff  $f_A^* \in (\Psi(f_A^*))_i$  for all  $i \in N$ , where  $f_i((f_A^*)_i)$  represents the best responses of  $i^{\text{th}}$  player. That is  $\Psi((f_A^*)_i) = \{(f_A)_i \in S_i, f_i(f_{Ai}, (f_A)_i)\}$ . We proceed to define the composite correspondence  $\Psi: S \rightarrow S$  as  $\Psi(f_{A1}, f_{A2} \dots f_{An}) = \Psi_1((f_A)_{-1} \times (f_A)_{-2} \times \dots (f_A)_{-n})$ . Now  $(f_{A1}^*, f_{A2}^* \dots f_{An}^*)$  is Nash equilibrium is equivalent to  $f_A^* \in (\Psi(f_A^*))_i, \forall i \in N$ . This means that  $(f_{A1}^*, f_{A2}^*, \dots, f_{An}^*) \in \Psi_1((f_A^*)_{-1}) \times \Psi_2((f_A^*)_{-2}) \times \dots \Psi_n((f_A^*)_{-n})$  which turns that  $(f_{A1}^*, f_{A2}^* \dots f_{An}^*) \in \Psi(f_A^*)$ . This makes us to conclude that  $f_A^*$  is a fixed point of the best correspondence  $\Psi$ .

#### 3.2 Nash equilibrium of mixed strategies using soft set theory

Let  $G = \{N, \{S_i\}, \{g_i\}, i \in N\}$  be a finite strategic structure of game. Consider a mixed strategic profile  $\{g_{B1}^*, g_{B2}^* \dots g_{Bn}^*\}$  is a Nash equilibrium iff  $g_B^* \in (\Psi(g_B^*))_i$  for all  $i \in N$  where  $g_i((g_B^*)_i)$  represents the set of best responses of  $i^{\text{th}}$  player.

**Theorem 3.1:** Every finite game has mixed strategy Nash equilibrium.

**Proof:** We have a mixed strategic set  $\{g_{B1}^*, g_{B2}^* \dots g_{Bn}^*\}$  is a Nash equilibrium iff  $g_B^* \in (\Psi_i(g_B^*))_i$  for all  $i \in N$ , where  $\Psi_i$  is the best response of  $i^{\text{th}}$  player, defined by  $\Psi_i((g_B^*)_i) = \{(g_B)_i \in S_i, g_i(g_{Bi}, (g_B)_i)\}$ .

Let the composite correspondence  $\Psi: \Delta(S_1) \times \Delta(S_2) \times \dots \times \Delta(S_n) \rightarrow \Delta(S_1) \times \Delta(S_2) \times \dots \times \Delta(S_n)$  as follows

$\Psi(g_{B1}, g_{B2}, \dots, g_{Bn}) = \Psi((g_B)_{-1} \times (g_B)_{-2} \times \dots (g_B)_{-n})$ . Clearly a mixed strategy profile  $g_B^* = (g_B)_{1}^*, (g_B)_{2}^* \dots (g_B)_{n}^*$  is a Nash equilibrium iff  $g_B^*$  is a fixed point of the best response of  $\Psi$ . Similar argument shows that  $\Psi$  is a best response to  $g_B^*$ . Since  $g_B^*$  and  $\Psi$  are mutual best response, we have Nash equilibrium.

#### 3.3 Nash equilibrium of pure strategies of sequentially bi matrix game using soft set approach

Consider the following

- Let  $X = (x_{ij})$  be soft pay off matrix of  $P_1$ , where  $x_{ij} = (f_A)_i$ ,  $Y = (y_{ij})$  be pay off matrix of  $P_2$ , where  $y_{ij} = (f_B)_j$
- Let  $S_1 = \{f_{A1}, f_{A2} \dots f_{An1}\}$  and  $S_2 = \{f_{B1}, f_{B2} \dots f_{Bn2}\}$  be pure strategies of Player 1 & 2 respectively.
- For any  $(f_B)_j \in S_2$ ,  $\Psi((f_A)_{-j}) = \{(f_A)_i \in S_1, x_{ij} = \max_{f_A \in S_1} x_{ij}\}$  is the best response of Player  $P_1$ .
- For any  $(f_A)_i \in S_1$ ,  $\Psi((f_B)_{-i}) = \{(f_B)_j \in S_2, y_{ij} = \max_{f_B \in S_2} y_{ij}\}$  is the best response of Player  $P_2$ .
- The set of best response of  $((f_A)_i, (f_B)_j) \in S_1 \times S_2$  denotes  $f(f_A, f_B) = (\Psi((f_A)_{-i}) \times \Psi((f_B)_{-j}))$ . A pair  $((f_A)_i^*, (f_B)_j^*)$  is a Nash equilibrium of pure strategies if  $((f_A)_i^*, (f_B)_j^*) \in \Psi((f_A)_{-i}^*, (f_B)_{-j}^*)$ .

**Definition 3.3.1:** A soft payoff matrix  $X$  is said to be sequentially monotone if there exist a sequence of best responses  $(f_A)_i^k \in \Psi((f_A)_{-i}^k)$  such that  $f_A^k \subseteq f_A^{k+1}$  for any  $k = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n_1 - 1\}$ . Another soft payoff matrix  $Y$  is said to be sequentially monotone if there exist a sequence of best responses  $(f_B)_j^k \in \Psi((f_B)_{-j}^k)$  such that  $f_B^k \subseteq f_B^{k+1}$ , for any  $k = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n_2 - 1\}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.1:** Any sequentially monotone bi matrix game has Nash equilibrium of pure strategies.

**Proof:** Consider the set  $S$  be product of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , so it has minimum element. Assume that  $x^k = (f_A, f_B) \in S$ . Then by sequential monotonicity, there exist  $(f_A)_i^k \in \Psi((f_A)_{-i}^k)$  and  $(f_B)_j^k \in \Psi((f_B)_{-j}^k)$ , such that  $(f_A^k, f_B^k) \subseteq (f_A^{k+1}, f_B^{k+1})$  which implies  $(f_A, f_B) \subseteq (f_A^k, f_B^k)$ . Define  $x^{k+1} = (f_A^k, f_B^k)$ , then  $x^{k+1} \in \Psi((f_A)_{-i}^k, (f_B)_{-j}^k) = f((f_A, f_B)) = f(x^k)$  and  $x^k \subseteq x^{k+1}$ . Then by theorem 2.1, the bi matrix game has a Nash equilibrium of pure strategies.

### CONCLUSION

Soft set theory is one of the methods for solving problems of uncertainty. Recently, many authors have already studied the notion of fixed point on soft set, because fixed point theory is an important area of mathematics with many

applications in various fields of computer science and engineering science etc. In this paper, first we have introduced Nash equilibrium of pure strategies and mixed strategies using soft set approach, then we have to extend this idea to Nash equilibrium of bi matrix game using fixed point and soft set theories.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I express my sincere gratitude to my research guide Dr. M. S. Samuel, Director, Dept. of Computer Applications, MACFAST (Research guide, M.G university) for his continuous support and guidance that helped me a lot in this paper work.

## REFERENCES

1. Abdulkadir Aygunoglu, Vildan Cetkin, Halis Ayun, An Introduction To Fuzzy Soft topological Spaces, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics. Volume 43(2), (2014), 193 – 204.
2. Çağman N., Enginoğlu S., Fuzzy Soft set Theory and its applications, Iranian journal of Fuzzy Systems Vol 8 No.3 2011.
3. Dariuz Wardowski, On soft mapping and its fixed points, fixed point theory and its applications, Springer open journal, 2013:182.
4. Ferguson T. S., Game Theory, Book, 2nd edition Academic Press.
5. Ibrahim A.M., Yusuf A.O., Development of Soft set Theory, American International Journal of Contemporary Research Vol.2 No: 9, September 2012.
6. Friedrich Eisenbrand, Two-person Games, Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem, Thomas Schandlong, 2010.
7. Irfan Deli, Naim Çağman, Application of Soft sets in Decision Making based on Game theory, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 10, ISSN- 2093-9310.
8. Irfan Ali M., Feng., On Some new operations in Soft set theory, Comput. Math. Appl. 57, 2009.
9. James N Webb - Game Theory Decisions, Interactions and Evolutions, Springer 2006.
10. Jun-ichi Sato and Hidefumi Kawaski, Discrete fixed point theorems and their applications to Nash equilibrium,
11. Maji P. K., Roy A. R., A Fuzzy Soft set Theoretic Approach to decision making problems, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Vol 203, 412-418, 2007.
12. Maji P. K., Roy A. R., An Applications of Soft sets in Decision Making Problem Comp. And Mathematics with applns. Vol 44, 1077-1083, 2003.
13. Martin J., Osborne and Ariel Rubinstein –A Course in Game Theory, the MIT Press Cambridge, Massachusetts London, England.
14. Mary M. J, Sudheer K, Discrete Fixed Point Theorems using lattice approach, ICMCM Proceedings 2013.
15. Mujahid ABBAS, Soft set Theory: Generalizations, Fixed Point Theorems, and Applications, Universitat Politècnica De Valencia, Valencia, October 2014.
16. Mujahid ABBAS, Asma Khalid and Salvador Romaguera, Fixed Points of Fuzzy Soft Mappings, Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences An International Journal, 2014.
17. Molodstov D., Soft set theory, Comp. Cet. of the Russian Aca. of Sciences, 1999.
18. Narahari Y., Lecture Notes on Game Theory, August 2012, IISc Press, August 2012.
19. Peter Kumlin, A note on Fixed point Theory. TMA 401/MAN 670 Functional Analysis 2003/2004.
20. Peyghan E., Samadi B.S., and Tayebi A., Some Results Related to Soft topological spaces, January 2014.
21. Taiwanese Journal of mathematics, Vol.13, No:2 pp-431-440, April 2009.
22. Vijayabalagi S., Ramesh R., A New decision making theory in Soft matrices, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 86, 6, 2013 927-939, ISSN 1311-8080.
23. Zorlutuna, Akdag M., Min W. K., Atmaca S., Remarks on soft topological spaces, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, Volume 3, No. 2, (April 2012), pp. 171- 185, ISSN 2093-9310.

**Source of support: Proceedings of UGC Funded International Conference on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Systems (ICIFSS-2018), Organized by: Vellalar College for Women (Autonomous), Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.**