

ON UNIQUE COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS
FOR THREE AND FOUR SELF MAPPINGS IN SYMMETRIC G-METRIC SPACE

Saurabh Manro*, S. S. Bhatia*, Sanjay Kumar ** and Rashmi Mishra***

* School of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Thapar University, Patiala (Punjab), India

**Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology, Murthal (Sonapat), India

*** Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar (Punjab), India

(Received on: 26-06-11; Accepted on: 06-07-11)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove two unique common fixed point theorems for three and four self mappings in symmetric G – metric spaces.

Key words: Symmetric G-metric space, owc maps, common fixed point theorem.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION:

In 1992, Dhage[1] introduced the concept of D – metric space. Recently, Mustafa and Sims [5] shown that most of the results concerning Dhage's D – metric spaces are invalid. Therefore, they introduced an improved version of the generalized metric space structure and called it as G – metric space. For more details on G – metric spaces, one can refer to the papers [5]-[9]. In this paper, we prove two unique common fixed point theorems for three and four self mappings in symmetric G – metric spaces.

Now we give basic definitions and some basic results ([5]-[9]) which are helpful for proving our main result.

In 2006, Mustafa and Sims[6] introduced the concept of G-metric spaces as follows:

Definition: 1.1[6] Let X be a nonempty set, and let $G: X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function satisfying the following axioms:

(G1) $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$,

(G2) $0 < G(x, x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,

(G3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $z \neq y$,

(G4) $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$ (symmetry in all three variables) and

(G5) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$, (rectangle inequality)

then the function G is called a generalized metric, or, more specifically a G – metric on X and the pair (X, G) is called a G – metric space.

Definition: 1.2[6] A G-metric space (X, G) is symmetric if

(G6) $G(x, y, y) = G(x, x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition: 1.3[6] Let (X, G) be a G-metric space then for $x_0 \in X$, $r > 0$, the G-ball with centre x_0 and radius r is

$$B_G(x_0, r) = \{y \in X : G(x_0, y, y) < r\}.$$

Proposition: 1.1[6] Let (X, G) be a G-metric space then for any $x_0 \in X$, $r > 0$, we have,

(1) if $G(x_0, y, y) < r$ then $x, y \in B_G(x_0, r)$,

(2) if $y \in B_G(x_0, r)$ then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $B_G(y, \delta) \subseteq B_G(x_0, r)$.

***Corresponding author: Saurabh Manro*, *E-mail: sauravmanro@hotmail.com**

It follows from (2) of the above proposition that the family of all G-balls, $B = \{B_G(x, r) : x \in X, r > 0\}$ is the base of a topology $\tau(G)$ on X, the G-metric topology.

Proposition: 1.2[6] Let (X, G) be a G-metric space then for all $x_0 \in X$ and $r > 0$, we have,

$$B_G(x_0, \frac{1}{3}r) \subseteq B_{d_G}(x_0, r) \subseteq B_G(x_0, r)$$

where $d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(x, x, y)$, for all x, y in X.

Consequently, the G-metric topology $\tau(G)$ coincides with the metric topology arising from d_G . Thus, while ‘isometrically’ distinct, every G-metric space is topologically equivalent to a metric space. This allows us to readily transport many results from metric spaces into G-metric spaces settings.

Definition: 1.4[6] Let (X, G) be a G–metric space, and let $\{x_n\}$ a sequence of points in X, a point ‘x’ in X is said to be the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ if $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$, and one says that sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G–convergent to x.

Thus, that if $x_n \rightarrow x$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ in a G-metric space (X, G) then for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $m, n \geq N$.

Proposition: 1.3[6] Let (X, G) be a G – metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\{x_n\}$ is G-convergent to x,
- (2) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (3) $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (4) $G(x_m, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition: 1.5[6] Let (X, G) be a G – metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called G – Cauchy if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m, l \geq N$; i.e. if $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$

Proposition: 1.4[6] If (X, G) is a G – metric space then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G – Cauchy,
- (2) for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a positive integer N such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N$.

Proposition: 1.5 [6] Let (X, G) be a G – metric space. Then the function $G(x, y, z)$ is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

Definition: 1.6 [6] A G – metric space (X, G) is said to be G–complete if every G-Cauchy sequence in (X, G) is G-convergent in X.

Proposition: 1.6[6] A G – metric space (X, G) is G – complete if and only if (X, d_G) is a complete metric space.

Proposition: 1.7[6] Let (X, G) be a G – metric space. Then, for any x, y, z, a in X it follows that:

- (i) If $G(x, y, z) = 0$, then $x = y = z$,
- (ii) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z)$,
- (iii) $G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(y, x, x)$,
- (iv) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)$,
- (v) $G(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2}{3}(G(x, y, a) + G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z))$,
- (vi) $G(x, y, z) \leq (G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a))$.

Definition: 1.7 Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. f and g be self maps on X. A point x in X is called a coincidence point of f and g iff $fx = gx$. In this case, $w = fx = gx$ is called a point of coincidence of f and g.

Definition: 1.8 A pair of self mappings (f, g) of a G-metric space (X, G) is said to be weakly compatible if they commute at the coincidence points i.e., if $fu = gu$ for some $u \in X$, then $fgu = gfu$.

It is easy to see that two compatible maps are weakly compatible but converse is not true.

Definition: 1.9 Two self mappings f and g of a G-metric space (X, G) are said to be occasionally weakly compatible (owc) iff there is a point x in X which is coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

2. MAIN RESULTS:

2.1 A unique common fixed point theorem for three mappings

Theorem 2.1: Let (X, G) be symmetric G-metric space. Suppose $f, g,$ and h are three self mappings of (X, G) satisfying the conditions:

(1) for all $x, y \in X$

$$\int_0^{G(fx,gy,gy)} \phi(t)dt \leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hx,hy,hy)+\beta[G(fx,hx,hx)+G(gy,hy,hy)]+\gamma[G(hx,gy,gy)+G(hy,fx,fx)]} \phi(t)dt \quad \text{where } \phi :$$

$\mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable, nonnegative and such that $\int_0^\epsilon \phi(t)dt > 0$ for each

$\epsilon > 0$, and α, β, γ are non-negative reals such that $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$

(2) pair of mappings (f, h) or (g, h) is owc.

Then f, g and h have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Suppose that f and h are owc then there is an element u in X such that $fu = hu$ and $hfu = hfu$.

First, we prove that $fu = gu$. Indeed, by inequality (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(fu,gu,gu)} \phi(t)dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hu,hu,hu)+\beta[G(fu,hu,hu)+G(gu,hu,hu)]+\gamma[G(hu,gu,gu)+G(hu,fu,fu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\beta[G(gu,fu,fu)]+\gamma[G(fu,gu,gu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\beta[G(gu,gu,fu)]+\gamma[G(fu,gu,gu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\beta+\gamma)G(fu,gu,gu)} \phi(t)dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(fu,gu,gu)} \phi(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, hence, $gu = fu = hu$.

Again, suppose that $ffu \neq fu$. The use of condition (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(ffu,gu,gu)} \phi(t)dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hfu,hu,hu)+\beta[G(ffu,hfu,hfu)+G(gu,hu,hu)]+\gamma[G(hfu,gu,gu)+G(hu,ffu,ffu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha G(ffu,gu,gu)+2\gamma[G(ffu,gu,gu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\alpha+2\gamma)G(ffu,gu,gu)} \phi(t)dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(ffu,gu,gu)} \phi(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

this contradiction implies that $ffu = fu = hfu$.

Now, suppose that $gfu \neq fu$. By inequality (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(fu, gfu, gfu)} \phi(t) dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hu, hfu, hfu) + \beta[G(fu, hu, hu) + G(gfu, hfu, hfu)] + \gamma[G(hu, gfu, gfu) + G(hfu, fu, fu)]} \phi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\beta G(gfu, fu, fu) + \gamma[G(fu, gfu, gfu)]} \phi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\beta + \gamma)G(fu, gfu, gfu)} \phi(t) dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(fu, gfu, gfu)} \phi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

This above contradiction implies that $gfu = fu$. Put $fu = gu = hu = t$, so, t is a common fixed point of mappings f, g and h .

Now, let t and z be two distinct common fixed points of f, g and h . That is $ft = gt = ht = t$ and $fz = gz = hz = z$. As $t \neq z$, then from condition (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(t, z, z)} \phi(t) dt &= \int_0^{G(ft, gz, gz)} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{\alpha G(ht, hz, hz) + \beta[G(ft, ht, ht) + G(gz, hz, hz)] + \gamma[G(ht, gz, gz) + G(hz, ft, ft)]} \phi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha G(t, z, z) + 2\gamma G(t, z, z)} \phi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\alpha + 2\gamma)G(t, z, z)} \phi(t) dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(t, z, z)} \phi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction, hence $z = t$. Thus the common fixed point is unique.

If we put $\phi(t) = 1$ in the above theorem, we get the following result:

Corollary 2.1: Let (X, G) be symmetric G -metric space. Suppose f, g , and h are three self mappings of (X, G) satisfying the conditions:

(1) for all $x, y \in X$

$$G(fx, gy, gy) \leq \alpha G(hx, hy, hy) + \beta[G(fx, hx, hx) + G(gy, hy, hy)] + \gamma[G(hx, gy, gy) + G(hy, fx, fx)]$$

α, β, γ are non-negative reals such that $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$

(2) pair of mappings (f, h) or (g, h) is owc.

Then f, g and h have a unique common fixed point.

2.2 A unique common fixed point theorem for four mappings

Now, we give our second main result:

Theorem 2.2: Let (X, G) be symmetric G -metric space. Suppose f, g, h and k are four self mappings of (X, G) satisfying the following conditions: (1)

$$\int_0^{G(fx, gy, gy)} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hx, ky, ky) + \beta[G(fx, hx, hx) + G(gy, ky, ky)] + \gamma[G(hx, gy, gy) + G(ky, fx, fx)]} \phi(t) dt \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable, nonnegative and such that $\int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt > 0$ for each $\epsilon > 0$, and α, β, γ are non-negative reals such that $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$

(2) pair of mappings (f, h) and (g, k) are owc.

Then f, g, h and k have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Since pairs of mappings (f, h) and (g, k) are owc, then , there exists two points u and v in X such that fu = hu and fhu = hfu, gv = kv and gkv = kgv.

First, we prove that fu = gv. Indeed, by inequality (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(fu,gv,gv)} \phi(t)dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hu,kv,kv)+\beta[G(fu,hu,hu)+G(gv,kv,kv)]+\gamma[G(hu,gv,gv)+G(kv,fu,fu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha[G(hu,kv,kv)]+\gamma[G(fu,gv,gv)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\alpha+\gamma)G(fu,gv,gv)} \phi(t)dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(fu,gv,gv)} \phi(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, hence, gv = fu = hu = kv.

Again, suppose that ffu = fhu = hfu ≠ fu. The use of condition (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(ffu,gv,gv)} \phi(t)dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hfu,kv,kv)+\beta[G(ffu,hfu,hfu)+G(gv,kv,kv)]+\gamma[G(hfu,gv,gv)+G(kv,ffu,ffu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha G(ffu,fu,fu)+2\gamma[G(ffu,gv,gv)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\alpha+2\gamma)G(ffu,gv,gv)} \phi(t)dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(ffu,gv,gv)} \phi(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

this contradiction implies that ffu = fu = hfu = fhu.

Similarly gfu = kfu = fu. Put fu = t, therefore t is a common fixed point of mappings f, g, h and k.

Now, let t and z be two distinct common fixed points of f, g, h and k. That is ft = gt = ht = kt = t and fz = gz = hz = kz = z. As t ≠ z, then from condition (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(t,z,z)} \phi(t)dt &= \int_0^{G(ft,gz,gz)} \phi(t)dt \leq \int_0^{\alpha G(ht,hz,hz)+\beta[G(ft,ht,ht)+G(gz,hz,hz)]+\gamma[G(ht,gz,gz)+G(hz,ft,ft)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha G(t,z,z)+2\gamma G(t,z,z)} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\alpha+2\gamma)G(t,z,z)} \phi(t)dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(t,z,z)} \phi(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, hence z = t. Thus the common fixed point is unique.

If we put $\phi(t) = 1$ in the above theorem, we get the following result:

Corollary: 2.2 Let (X,G) be symmetric G-metric space. Suppose f, g, h and k are four self mappings of (X,G) satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $G(fx, gy, gy) \leq \alpha G(hx, ky, ky) + \beta [G(fx, hx, hx) + G(gy, ky, ky)] + \gamma [G(hx, gy, gy) + G(ky, fx, fx)]$
for all $x, y \in X$, and α, β, γ are non-negative reals such that $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$.

(2) pair of mappings (f, h) and (g, k) are owc.

Then f, g, h and k have a unique common fixed point.

Example 2.1: Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with the symmetric G-metric $G(x, y, z) = (x - y)^2 + (y - z)^2 + (z - x)^2$. Define

$$f(x) = g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, 1) \\ 1 & x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}, h(x) = \begin{cases} 3 & x \in [0, 1) \\ \frac{1}{x} & x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases},$$

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 9 & x \in [0, 1) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} & x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

Clearly (f,h) and (g,k) are occasionally weakly compatible. By taking $\phi(x) = 3x^2, \alpha = \frac{1}{4}, \beta = \frac{1}{5}, \gamma = \frac{1}{6}$, all the hypothesis of theorem 2.2 are satisfied and $x = 1$ is the unique common fixed point of mappings f, g, h and k.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

I would like to thank the referee for the critical comments and suggestions for the improvement of my paper.

3. REFERENCES:

[1] B. C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* 84 (1992), 329-336.

[2] H. Bouhadjera, on unique common fixed point theorems for three and four self mappings, *Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Nis, Serbia* 23(3) (2009), 115-123.

[3] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed point, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 83 (1976), 261-263.

[4] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 29 (1998), 227-238.

[5] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, Proceedings of International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, *Yokohama Publishers, Valencia Spain*, July 13-19(2004), 189-198.

[6] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to a generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, 7(2006), 289-297.

[7] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorems for mappings on complete G-metric spaces, *Fixed point theory and applications*, Volume2008, Article ID 18970, 12 pages.

[8] Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi and M. Bataineh, Existence of fixed points results in G-metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, Volume 2009, Article ID. 283028, 10 pages.

[9] S. Manro, S. S. Bhatia and Sanjay Kumar, Expansion Mapping Theorems in G-metric spaces, *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, 5(51) (2010), 2529-2535.
