

ON UNIQUE COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS  
FOR THREE AND FOUR SELF MAPPINGS IN SYMMETRIC G-METRIC SPACE

Saurabh Manro\*, S. S. Bhatia\*, Sanjay Kumar \*\* and Rashmi Mishra\*\*\*

\* School of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Thapar University, Patiala (Punjab), India

\*\*Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology, Murthal (Sonapat), India

\*\*\* Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar (Punjab), India

(Received on: 26-06-11; Accepted on: 06-07-11)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove two unique common fixed point theorems for three and four self mappings in symmetric G – metric spaces.

**Key words:** Symmetric G-metric space, owc maps, common fixed point theorem.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION:

In 1992, Dhage[1] introduced the concept of D – metric space. Recently, Mustafa and Sims [5] shown that most of the results concerning Dhage's D – metric spaces are invalid. Therefore, they introduced an improved version of the generalized metric space structure and called it as G – metric space. For more details on G – metric spaces, one can refer to the papers [5]-[9]. In this paper, we prove two unique common fixed point theorems for three and four self mappings in symmetric G – metric spaces.

Now we give basic definitions and some basic results ([5]-[9]) which are helpful for proving our main result.

In 2006, Mustafa and Sims[6] introduced the concept of G-metric spaces as follows:

**Definition: 1.1[6]** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set, and let  $G: X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function satisfying the following axioms:

(G1)  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $x = y = z$ ,

(G2)  $0 < G(x, x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ ,

(G3)  $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $z \neq y$ ,

(G4)  $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$  (symmetry in all three variables) and

(G5)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ , (rectangle inequality)

then the function  $G$  is called a generalized metric, or, more specifically a G – metric on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, G)$  is called a G – metric space.

**Definition: 1.2[6]** A G-metric space  $(X, G)$  is symmetric if

(G6)  $G(x, y, y) = G(x, x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition: 1.3[6]** Let  $(X, G)$  be a G-metric space then for  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $r > 0$ , the G-ball with centre  $x_0$  and radius  $r$  is

$$B_G(x_0, r) = \{y \in X : G(x_0, y, y) < r\}.$$

**Proposition: 1.1[6]** Let  $(X, G)$  be a G-metric space then for any  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $r > 0$ , we have,

(1) if  $G(x_0, y, y) < r$  then  $x, y \in B_G(x_0, r)$ ,

(2) if  $y \in B_G(x_0, r)$  then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_G(y, \delta) \subseteq B_G(x_0, r)$ .

**\*Corresponding author: Saurabh Manro\*, \*E-mail: sauravmanro@hotmail.com**

It follows from (2) of the above proposition that the family of all G-balls,  $B = \{B_G(x, r) : x \in X, r > 0\}$  is the base of a topology  $\tau(G)$  on X, the G-metric topology.

**Proposition: 1.2[6]** Let  $(X, G)$  be a G-metric space then for all  $x_0 \in X$  and  $r > 0$ , we have,

$$B_G(x_0, \frac{1}{3}r) \subseteq B_{d_G}(x_0, r) \subseteq B_G(x_0, r)$$

where  $d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(x, x, y)$ , for all  $x, y$  in X.

Consequently, the G-metric topology  $\tau(G)$  coincides with the metric topology arising from  $d_G$ . Thus, while ‘isometrically’ distinct, every G-metric space is topologically equivalent to a metric space. This allows us to readily transport many results from metric spaces into G-metric spaces settings.

**Definition: 1.4[6]** Let  $(X, G)$  be a G–metric space, and let  $\{x_n\}$  a sequence of points in X, a point ‘x’ in X is said to be the limit of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  if  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$ , and one says that sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is G–convergent to x.

Thus, that if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  in a G-metric space  $(X, G)$  then for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer N such that  $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$  for all  $m, n \geq N$ .

**Proposition: 1.3[6]** Let  $(X, G)$  be a G – metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\{x_n\}$  is G-convergent to x,
- (2)  $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (3)  $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (4)  $G(x_m, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition: 1.5[6]** Let  $(X, G)$  be a G – metric space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called G – Cauchy if, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer N such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m, l \geq N$ ; i.e. if  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$

**Proposition: 1.4[6]** If  $(X, G)$  is a G – metric space then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is G – Cauchy,
- (2) for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a positive integer N such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq N$ .

**Proposition: 1.5 [6]** Let  $(X, G)$  be a G – metric space. Then the function  $G(x, y, z)$  is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

**Definition: 1.6 [6]** A G – metric space  $(X, G)$  is said to be G–complete if every G-Cauchy sequence in  $(X, G)$  is G-convergent in X.

**Proposition: 1.6[6]** A G – metric space  $(X, G)$  is G – complete if and only if  $(X, d_G)$  is a complete metric space.

**Proposition: 1.7[6]** Let  $(X, G)$  be a G – metric space. Then, for any  $x, y, z, a$  in X it follows that:

- (i) If  $G(x, y, z) = 0$ , then  $x = y = z$ ,
- (ii)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z)$ ,
- (iii)  $G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(y, x, x)$ ,
- (iv)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)$ ,
- (v)  $G(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2}{3}(G(x, y, a) + G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z))$ ,
- (vi)  $G(x, y, z) \leq (G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a))$ .

**Definition: 1.7** Let  $(X, G)$  be a G-metric space. f and g be self maps on X. A point x in X is called a coincidence point of f and g iff  $fx = gx$ . In this case,  $w = fx = gx$  is called a point of coincidence of f and g.

**Definition: 1.8** A pair of self mappings (f, g) of a G-metric space  $(X, G)$  is said to be weakly compatible if they commute at the coincidence points i.e., if  $fu = gu$  for some  $u \in X$ , then  $fgu = gfu$ .

It is easy to see that two compatible maps are weakly compatible but converse is not true.

**Definition: 1.9** Two self mappings f and g of a G-metric space  $(X, G)$  are said to be occasionally weakly compatible (owc) iff there is a point x in X which is coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

**2. MAIN RESULTS:**

**2.1 A unique common fixed point theorem for three mappings**

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $(X, G)$  be symmetric G-metric space. Suppose  $f, g,$  and  $h$  are three self mappings of  $(X, G)$  satisfying the conditions:

(1) for all  $x, y \in X$

$$\int_0^{G(fx,gy,gy)} \phi(t)dt \leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hx,hy,hy)+\beta[G(fx,hx,hx)+G(gy,hy,hy)]+\gamma[G(hx,gy,gy)+G(hy,fx,fx)]} \phi(t)dt \quad \text{where } \phi :$$

$\mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable, nonnegative and such that  $\int_0^\epsilon \phi(t)dt > 0$  for each

$\epsilon > 0$ , and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are non-negative reals such that  $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$

(2) pair of mappings  $(f, h)$  or  $(g, h)$  is owc.

Then  $f, g$  and  $h$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $f$  and  $h$  are owc then there is an element  $u$  in  $X$  such that  $fu = hu$  and  $hfu = hfu$ .

First, we prove that  $fu = gu$ . Indeed, by inequality (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(fu,gu,gu)} \phi(t)dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hu,hu,hu)+\beta[G(fu,hu,hu)+G(gu,hu,hu)]+\gamma[G(hu,gu,gu)+G(hu,fu,fu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\beta[G(gu,fu,fu)]+\gamma[G(fu,gu,gu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\beta[G(gu,gu,fu)]+\gamma[G(fu,gu,gu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\beta+\gamma)G(fu,gu,gu)} \phi(t)dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(fu,gu,gu)} \phi(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, hence,  $gu = fu = hu$ .

Again, suppose that  $ffu \neq fu$ . The use of condition (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(ffu,gu,gu)} \phi(t)dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hfu,hu,hu)+\beta[G(ffu,hfu,hfu)+G(gu,hu,hu)]+\gamma[G(hfu,gu,gu)+G(hu,ffu,ffu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha G(ffu,gu,gu)+2\gamma[G(ffu,gu,gu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\alpha+2\gamma)G(ffu,gu,gu)} \phi(t)dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(ffu,gu,gu)} \phi(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

this contradiction implies that  $ffu = fu = hfu$ .

Now, suppose that  $gfu \neq fu$ . By inequality (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(fu, gfu, gfu)} \phi(t) dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hu, hfu, hfu) + \beta[G(fu, hu, hu) + G(gfu, hfu, hfu)] + \gamma[G(hu, gfu, gfu) + G(hfu, fu, fu)]} \phi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\beta G(gfu, fu, fu) + \gamma[G(fu, gfu, gfu)]} \phi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\beta + \gamma)G(fu, gfu, gfu)} \phi(t) dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(fu, gfu, gfu)} \phi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

This above contradiction implies that  $gfu = fu$ . Put  $fu = gu = hu = t$ , so,  $t$  is a common fixed point of mappings  $f, g$  and  $h$ .

Now, let  $t$  and  $z$  be two distinct common fixed points of  $f, g$  and  $h$ . That is  $ft = gt = ht = t$  and  $fz = gz = hz = z$ . As  $t \neq z$ , then from condition (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(t, z, z)} \phi(t) dt &= \int_0^{G(ft, gz, gz)} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{\alpha G(ht, hz, hz) + \beta[G(ft, ht, ht) + G(gz, hz, hz)] + \gamma[G(ht, gz, gz) + G(hz, ft, ft)]} \phi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha G(t, z, z) + 2\gamma G(t, z, z)} \phi(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\alpha + 2\gamma)G(t, z, z)} \phi(t) dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(t, z, z)} \phi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction, hence  $z = t$ . Thus the common fixed point is unique.

If we put  $\phi(t) = 1$  in the above theorem, we get the following result:

**Corollary 2.1:** Let  $(X, G)$  be symmetric  $G$ -metric space. Suppose  $f, g$ , and  $h$  are three self mappings of  $(X, G)$  satisfying the conditions:

(1) for all  $x, y \in X$

$$G(fx, gy, gy) \leq \alpha G(hx, hy, hy) + \beta[G(fx, hx, hx) + G(gy, hy, hy)] + \gamma[G(hx, gy, gy) + G(hy, fx, fx)]$$

$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are non-negative reals such that  $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$

(2) pair of mappings  $(f, h)$  or  $(g, h)$  is owc.

Then  $f, g$  and  $h$  have a unique common fixed point.

## 2.2 A unique common fixed point theorem for four mappings

Now, we give our second main result:

**Theorem 2.2:** Let  $(X, G)$  be symmetric  $G$ -metric space. Suppose  $f, g, h$  and  $k$  are four self mappings of  $(X, G)$  satisfying the following conditions: (1)

$$\int_0^{G(fx, gy, gy)} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hx, ky, ky) + \beta[G(fx, hx, hx) + G(gy, ky, ky)] + \gamma[G(hx, gy, gy) + G(ky, fx, fx)]} \phi(t) dt \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable, nonnegative and such that

$$\int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt > 0 \text{ for each } \epsilon > 0, \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \text{ are non-negative reals such that } \alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$$

(2) pair of mappings  $(f, h)$  and  $(g, k)$  are owc.

Then f, g, h and k have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Since pairs of mappings (f, h) and (g, k) are owc, then , there exists two points u and v in X such that fu = hu and fhu = hfu, gv = kv and gkv = kgv.

First, we prove that fu = gv. Indeed, by inequality (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(fu,gv,gv)} \phi(t)dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hu,kv,kv)+\beta[G(fu,hu,hu)+G(gv,kv,kv)]+\gamma[G(hu,gv,gv)+G(kv,fu,fu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha[G(hu,kv,kv)]+\gamma[G(fu,gv,gv)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\alpha+\gamma)G(fu,gv,gv)} \phi(t)dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(fu,gv,gv)} \phi(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, hence, gv = fu = hu = kv.

Again, suppose that ffu = fhu = hfu ≠ fu. The use of condition (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(ffu,gv,gv)} \phi(t)dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha G(hfu,kv,kv)+\beta[G(ffu,hfu,hfu)+G(gv,kv,kv)]+\gamma[G(hfu,gv,gv)+G(kv,ffu,ffu)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha G(ffu,fu,fu)+2\gamma[G(ffu,gv,gv)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\alpha+2\gamma)G(ffu,gv,gv)} \phi(t)dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(ffu,gv,gv)} \phi(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

this contradiction implies that ffu = fu = hfu = fhu.

Similarly gfu = kfu = fu. Put fu = t, therefore t is a common fixed point of mappings f, g, h and k.

Now, let t and z be two distinct common fixed points of f, g, h and k. That is ft = gt = ht = kt = t and fz = gz = hz = kz = z. As t ≠ z, then from condition (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{G(t,z,z)} \phi(t)dt &= \int_0^{G(ft,gz,gz)} \phi(t)dt \leq \int_0^{\alpha G(ht,hz,hz)+\beta[G(ft,ht,ht)+G(gz,hz,hz)]+\gamma[G(ht,gz,gz)+G(hz,ft,ft)]} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha G(t,z,z)+2\gamma G(t,z,z)} \phi(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{(\alpha+2\gamma)G(t,z,z)} \phi(t)dt \\ &< \int_0^{G(t,z,z)} \phi(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, hence z = t. Thus the common fixed point is unique.

If we put  $\phi(t) = 1$  in the above theorem, we get the following result:

**Corollary: 2.2** Let (X,G) be symmetric G-metric space. Suppose f, g, h and k are four self mappings of (X,G) satisfying the following conditions:

(1)  $G(fx, gy, gy) \leq \alpha G(hx, ky, ky) + \beta [G(fx, hx, hx) + G(gy, ky, ky)] + \gamma [G(hx, gy, gy) + G(ky, fx, fx)]$   
for all  $x, y \in X$ , and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are non-negative reals such that  $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$ .

(2) pair of mappings (f, h) and (g, k) are owc.

Then f, g, h and k have a unique common fixed point.

**Example 2.1:** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  with the symmetric G-metric  $G(x, y, z) = (x - y)^2 + (y - z)^2 + (z - x)^2$ . Define

$$f(x) = g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, 1) \\ 1 & x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}, h(x) = \begin{cases} 3 & x \in [0, 1) \\ \frac{1}{x} & x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases},$$

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 9 & x \in [0, 1) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} & x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

Clearly (f,h) and (g,k) are occasionally weakly compatible. By taking  $\phi(x) = 3x^2, \alpha = \frac{1}{4}, \beta = \frac{1}{5}, \gamma = \frac{1}{6}$ , all the hypothesis of theorem 2.2 are satisfied and  $x = 1$  is the unique common fixed point of mappings f, g, h and k.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT:**

I would like to thank the referee for the critical comments and suggestions for the improvement of my paper.

**3. REFERENCES:**

[1] B. C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* 84 (1992), 329-336.

[2] H. Bouhadjera, on unique common fixed point theorems for three and four self mappings, *Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Nis, Serbia* 23(3) (2009), 115-123.

[3] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed point, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 83 (1976), 261-263.

[4] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 29 (1998), 227-238.

[5] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, *Proceedings of International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Yokohama Publishers, Valencia Spain*, July 13-19(2004), 189-198.

[6] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to a generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, 7(2006), 289-297.

[7] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorems for mappings on complete G-metric spaces, *Fixed point theory and applications*, Volume2008, Article ID 18970, 12 pages.

[8] Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi and M. Bataineh, Existence of fixed points results in G-metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, Volume 2009, Article ID. 283028, 10 pages.

[9] S. Manro, S. S. Bhatia and Sanjay Kumar, Expansion Mapping Theorems in G-metric spaces, *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, 5(51) (2010), 2529-2535.

\*\*\*\*\*