

FIXED POINTS FOR CYCLIC WEAK ϕ -CONTRACTION
 IN COMPLETE GENERALIZED METRIC SPACE

A. JENNIE SEBASTY PRITHA*¹, U. KARUPPIAH²

¹Department of Mathematics,
 Holy Cross College (Autonomous), Trichy - 620 002, India.

²Department of Mathematics
 St. Joseph's College (Autonomous), Trichy - 620 002, India.

(Received On: 29-07-17; Revised & Accepted On: 09-09-17)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, when the number of cyclic sets is even, we obtain a fixed point theorem for a mapping satisfying cyclic weak ϕ -contraction in complete generalized metric spaces, which gives a positive answer to the question raised by Fei He and Alataancang Chen (Fixed point theory Appl. 2016:67).

Keywords: Fixed point, comparison function, generalized metric space, Cyclic ϕ -contraction, cyclic weak ϕ -contraction.

Mathematics subject classification: Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The main purpose of this paper is to answer an open question raised by He and Chen in [12]. We show these results are valid in complete generalized metric spaces when the number of cyclic sets is even.

Before going to the main results. Let us recall the basic definitions and theorems.

Definition 1.1: ([4]) A function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a comparison function if it satisfies :

- (i) φ is increasing,
- (ii) $(\varphi^n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $t \in (0, \infty)$.

If the condition (ii) is replaced by (iii) $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi^k(t) < \infty$, for all $t \in (0, \infty)$ then φ is called a strong comparison function.

Definition 1.2: ([12]) Let (X, d) be a metric space, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, A_1, \dots, A_p non empty subsets of X , and $Y := \bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$. An

operator $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ is called a cyclic ϕ -contraction if :

- (i) $\bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$ is a cyclic representation of Y with respect to f ,
- (ii) there exists a comparison function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)) \tag{1}$$

for any $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}$, where $A_{p+1} = A_1$.

Theorem 1.1: ([12]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, A_1, \dots, A_p non empty closed subsets of X , and $Y := \bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$. Assume that $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a cyclic ϕ -contraction. Then f has a unique fixed point $x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^p A_i$ and a picard

iteration $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ given by $x_n = fx_{n-1}$ converging to x^* for any starting point $x_0 \in \bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$.

Corresponding Author: A.Jennie Sebasty Pritha*¹,

¹Department of Mathematics, Holy Cross College(Autonomous), Trichy - 620 002, India.

Theorem 1.2: ([12]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, A_1, \dots, A_p closed non empty subsets of X , $Y := \bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$, and $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ an operator. Assume that:

- (i) $\bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$ is a cyclic representation of Y with respect to f ,
- (ii) there exists a function $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\phi(t) < t$ and $t - \phi(t)$ is non decreasing for $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $\phi(0) = 0$ such that

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \phi(d(x, y)) \tag{2}$$
 for any $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}$, where $A_{p+1} = A_1$. Then f has a unique fixed point $x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^p A_i$.

Definition 1.3: ([12]) A function $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a (w)-comparison function if it satisfies:

- (i) $\phi(0) = 0$;
- (ii) $\phi(t) < t$, for all $t \in (0, \infty)$;
- (iii) the function $\psi(t) := t - \phi(t)$ is increasing, that is., $t_1 \leq t_2$ implies $\psi(t_1) \leq \psi(t_2)$, for $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \infty)$.

Lemma 1.1: ([12]) If $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a (w)-comparison function, then the following hold :

- (i) $\phi(t) \leq t$, for all $t \in [0, \infty)$;
- (ii) for $k \geq 1$, $\phi^k(t) \leq t$, for any $t \in (0, \infty)$;
- (iii) $(\phi^n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $t \in (0, \infty)$.

Definition 1.4: ([12]) Let (X, d) be a generalized metric space, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, A_1, \dots, A_p non empty subsets of X , and $Y := \bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$. An operator $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ is called a cyclic weak ϕ -contraction if :

- (i) $\bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$ is a cyclic representation of Y with respect to f ,
- (ii) there exists a (w)-comparison function $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \phi(d(x, y)) \tag{3}$$
 for any $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}$, where $A_{p+1} = A_1$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

This section deals with fixed point theorem which provides a positive answer to the question raised by Fei He and Alatanchang Chen [12].

Question: If the number of cyclic sets is even, then prove the following theorem is valid or not.

Theorem 2.1: Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space, p an odd number, A_1, \dots, A_p non empty closed subsets of X , and $Y := \bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$. Assume that $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a cyclic weak ϕ -contraction. Then f has a unique fixed point $x^* \in$

$\bigcap_{i=1}^p A_i$ and a Picard iteration $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ given by $x_n = fx_{n-1}$ converging to x^* for any starting point $x_0 \in \bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$.

Theorem 2.2: Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space, p an even number, A_1, \dots, A_p non empty closed subsets of X , and $Y := \bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$. Assume that $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ is a cyclic weak ϕ -contraction. Then f has a unique fixed point $x^* \in$

$\bigcap_{i=1}^p A_i$ and a Picard iteration $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ given by $x_n = fx_{n-1}$ converging to x^* for any starting point $x_0 \in \bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in Y$ and $x_n = fx_{n-1}$; $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If there exists n_0 such that $x_{n_0+1} = x_{n_0}$ then $fx_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} = x_{n_0}$ and the existence of the fixed point is proved. Consequently, we will assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step-1: We will prove that $x_n \neq x_m$ for all $n \neq m$

Suppose that $x_n = x_m$ for some $n \neq m$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n > m + 1$.

Due to the property of ϕ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, x_{m+1}) &= d(x_m, f x_m) \\ &= d(x_n, f x_n) \\ &= d(f x_{n-1}, f x_n) \\ &\leq \phi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \phi^{n-m}(d(x_m, x_{m+1})) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By Lemma 1.1(2), we get $\phi^{n-m}(d(x_m, x_{m+1})) < (d(x_m, x_{m+1}))$, which is a contradiction.

Step-2: We will prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+2}) = 0 \dots \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+p}) = 0. \tag{5}$$

Using (3), we get

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \leq \phi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using the definition of ϕ , we see that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \tag{6}$$

This implies the sequence $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ is decreasing and bounded below.

Consequently, $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow r$ for some $r \geq 0$.

Suppose that $r > 0$. Then $\phi(r) < r$.

Using the definition of ϕ and $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq r$, we get

$$r - \phi(r) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) - \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})), \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))$, we see that

$$r - \phi(r) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) - d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we get $r - \phi(r) \leq 0$, which is a contradiction with $\phi(r) < r$. Thus we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0 \tag{7}$$

Using the triangle inequality, we get

$$d(x_n, x_{n+2}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$$

From (7), we see that $d(x_n, x_{n+2}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\text{Also since } d(x_n, x_{n+4}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + d(x_{n+3}, x_{n+4})$$

From (7), we see that $d(x_n, x_{n+4}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

By induction, we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+k}) = 0 \tag{8}$$

for all $k \in \{2, 4, \dots, p\}$

Now to Prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+p-1}) = 0 \tag{9}$$

Since x_n and x_{n+p-1} lie in different adjacently labeled sets A_i and A_{i+1} for certain $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, from(3) we get

$$d(x_n, x_{n+p-1}) = d(fx_{n-1}, x_{n+p-2}) \leq \phi(d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-2}))$$

Similar to the proof of the conclusion (7), we can deduce that $\{d(x_n, x_{n+p-1})\}$ is decreasing and converges to 0. This means that (9) holds

For $k = 2, 4, \dots, p-4$, using the rectangular inequality, we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+k}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+p-1}) + d(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}) + d(x_{n+p}, x_{n+k}) \quad (10)$$

Since $p-k$ is even, from (8) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+p}, x_{n+k}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+p-k}, x_n) = 0 \quad (11)$$

Therefore, from (8), (9), (10) and (11) we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+k}) = 0, \text{ for all } k \in \{2, 4, \dots, p-2\} \quad (12)$$

Combining $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+k}) = 0$

We see

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+2}) = 0, \dots, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+p}) = 0.$$

is proved.

Step-3: We will prove the following claim.

Claim: For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n > m > N$ with $n - m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ then $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$.

In fact, if this is not true, then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find $n > m > N$ with $n - m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ satisfying $d(x_n, x_m) \geq \varepsilon_0$.

By the definition of ϕ , we get

$$\varepsilon_0 - \phi(\varepsilon_0) \leq d(x_n, x_m) - \phi(d(x_n, x_m)). \quad (13)$$

Using (3), we get

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{m+1}) \leq \phi(d(x_n, x_m)). \quad (14)$$

By (13), (14) and the rectangular inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0 - \phi(\varepsilon_0) &\leq d(x_n, x_m) - d(x_{n+1}, x_{m+1}) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{m+1}) + d(x_{m+1}, x_m) - d(x_{n+1}, x_{m+1}) \\ &= d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{m+1}, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

From (6), it follows that

$$\varepsilon_0 - \phi(\varepsilon_0) \leq 2d(x_{m+1}, x_m)$$

and
$$d(x_{m+1}, x_m) \geq \frac{\varepsilon_0 - \phi(\varepsilon_0)}{2} > 0$$

Therefore, $\{d(x_{m+1}, x_m)\}$ does not converge to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts (7).

Step-4: We will prove $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given.

Using the claim, we find that $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n > m > N_1$ with $n - m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ then $d(x_n, x_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

On the other hand, using (5), we also find $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any $n > N_2$,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, d(x_n, x_{n+2}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \dots, d(x_n, x_{n+p}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

Let $n, m > N = \max\{N_1, N_2\} + 1$ with $n > m$. Then we can find $s \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $n - (m + s) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

In the case where $s = 0$, we have

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} < \varepsilon$$

In the other case where $s \geq 1$, using the rectangular inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, x_n) &\leq d(x_m, x_{m-1}) + d(x_{m-1}, x_{m+s}) + d(x_{m+s}, x_n) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \\ &= \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Step-5: We will prove that f has a unique fixed point $x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^p A_i$ and the Picard iteration $\{x_n\}$ converges to x^* .

Since X is a complete generalized metric space, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$.

Using the cyclic character of f , there exists a subsequence $\{x_n\}$ for which belongs to A_i for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$.

Hence, from A_i is closed for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, we see that $x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^p A_i$. Now, we will prove $d(x_n, f x^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This means $\varphi(d(y, z)) = d(y, z)$.

In fact using (3), we have

$$d(x_n, f x^*) = d(f x_{n-1}, f x^*) \leq \phi(d(x_{n-1}, x^*)) \leq d(x_{n-1}, x^*) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

which implies $d(x_n, f x^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Using Proposition 3 of [11], we deduce that $f x^* = x^*$, that is, x^* is a fixed point of f .

In order to prove that the uniqueness of the fixed point, we take $y, z \in Y$ such that y and z are fixed points of f .

The cyclic character of f implies that $y, z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^p A_i$

Using (3), $d(y, z) = d(f y, f z) \leq \phi(d(y, z)) \leq d(y, z)$.

Since $\phi(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, we get $d(y, z) = 0$ and $y = z$.

This finishes the proof.

Remark 2.1: From theorem 2.2, we see that the open question raised by Fei He and Alataancang Chen has been answered.

REFERENCES

1. Radenovic, S. (2015). A note on fixed point theory for cyclic ϕ -contraction. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2015, 189.
2. Karapinar, E. (2011). Fixed point theory for cyclic weak ϕ -contraction. Appl. Math. Lett. 24, 822-825.
3. Karapinar, E., Sadarangani, K. (2012). Corrigendum to Fixed point theory for cyclic weak ϕ -contraction. Appl. Math. Lett. 25, 1582-1584.
4. Pacurar, M., Rus, IA. (2010). Fixed point theory for cyclic ϕ -contractions. Nonlinear Anal. 72, 1181-1187.
5. Branciari, A. (2000). A Fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces. Publ. Math. (Debr). 57, 31-37.
6. Lakzian, H., Samet, B. (2012). Fixed points for (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive mappings in generalized metric spaces. Appl. Math. Lett. 25, 902-906.

7. Alghamdi, MA., Chen, C-M., Karapinar, E. (2014). The generalized weaker $(\alpha-\phi-\phi)$ -contractive mappings and related fixed point results in complete generalized metric spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2014, Article ID 985080.
8. Aydi, H, Karapinar, E, Samet, B. (2014). Fixed points for generalized $(\alpha-\psi)$ -contractions on generalized metric spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2014,229.
9. La Rosa, V, Vetro, P. (2014). Common Fixed points for $\alpha-\phi-\psi$ contractions in generalized metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal., Model. Control* 19(1), 43-54.
10. Ninsri, A., Sintunavarat, W. (2016). Fixed point theorems for partial contractive $\alpha-\psi$ mappings in generalized metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 9, 83-91.
11. Kirk, WA., Shahzad, N. (2013). Generalized metrics and Caristi's theorem. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013, 129.
12. Fei He and Alatancang Chen. (2016). Fixed points for cyclic ϕ -contractions in generalized metric spaces. *Fixed point theory Appl.* 2016:67.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2017. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]