

EXTENSION OF URYSOHN'S LEMMA ON MEASURE MANIFOLD

S. C. P. HALAKATTI*, AKSHATA KENGANGUTTI

*Department of Mathematics, Karnatak University, Dharwad - 580003, India.

Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Karnatak University, Dharwad - 580003, India.

(Received On: 05-07-17; Revised & Accepted On: 08-08-17)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of normal property on measure manifold by using the existence of measurable homeomorphism and measure invariant function on (M, τ, Σ, μ) . We also observe that this property holds μ_1 -a.e., on the measure manifold.

Keywords: Measure manifold, Measurable normal, μ_1 -a.e. on measure manifold.

MSC (2010): 28-XX, 49Q15, 54-XX, 57NXX, 58-XX.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [4] [7] it is shown that the subset A defined as the set of all points x in M such that the property $P(x)$ is true μ -a.e., and $\mu(A) > 0$ otherwise the property $P(x)$ is not true, for all other points $x \in U \subset M$ such that $\mu(A) = 0$ and is identified as the dark region of the measure manifold. In [5] S. C. P. Halakatti has proved the measurable Hausdorff, regular and normal properties on (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) by showing the existence of measurable function $f : (R^n, \tau, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow [0, 1] \subset (R, \tau, \Sigma, \mu)$. Further it is shown that these properties remain invariant on the measure manifold with the help of measurable homeomorphism and measure invariant function $f \circ \phi : (M, \tau, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow [0, 1] \subset (R, \tau, \Sigma, \mu)$ [3]. In this paper we study the necessary and sufficient condition for normal property on measure space and on measure manifold with additional conditions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We use the following basic definitions and results to develop the study on measure manifold [1].

Definition 2.1: Measurable Normal

A measure space (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) is said to be measurable normal if for every pair of disjoint Borel closed sets E and $F \in (R^n, \tau, \Sigma, \mu) \exists$ Borel open sets A and B such that $E \subset A$, $F \subset B$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$ with $\mu(A) > 0$, $\mu(B) > 0$ and $\mu(A \cap B) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$.

We extend the study of above measurable topological property on measure manifold with the help of already developed concepts of measure manifold [2].

Definition 2.2: Measure Chart

A measure $\mu_{1/U}$ on a measurable chart $((U_1, \tau_{1/U}, \Sigma_{1/U}), \phi)$ is called a measure chart, denoted by $((U_1, \tau_{1/U}, \Sigma_{1/U}, \mu_{1/U}), \phi)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) ϕ is homeomorphism
- (ii) ϕ is measurable and
- (iii) ϕ is measure invariant

then, the structure $((U_1, \tau_{1/U}, \Sigma_{1/U}, \mu_{1/U}), \phi)$ is called as a measure chart.

Theorem 2.3: Let (M, τ) be a second countable, Hausdorff topological space which is modeled on a measure space (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) then $\exists$ a C^∞ measurable homeomorphism and measure invariant function $\phi : U \subset M \rightarrow (R^n, \tau, \Sigma, \mu)$ generates a measure manifold of dimension n .

Corresponding Author: S. C. P. Halakatti*,

***Department of Mathematics, Karnatak University, Dharwad - 580003, India.**

Theorem 2.4: All Borel subsets in R^n are Lebesgue measurable.

Theorem 2.5: The Lebesgue measure of an interval is its length.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Let (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) be a measure space and let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of measurable functions converging to measure function f in (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) . The point $p \in U \subset (R^n, \tau, \Sigma, \mu)$ carries additional information in terms of the ordered pair $(\{f_n\}, f)$ which induces a measurable set A_n such that the measure of such set is always positive on measure space [4][7].

This means that if $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of measurable functions on (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) then the ordered pair $(\{f_n\}, f)$ induces a Borel subset A_n satisfying the following conditions:

$A_n = \{x \in (R^n, \tau, \Sigma, \mu) : |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, where

- i) $\mu(A_n) > 0$, if $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$
- ii) $\mu(A_n) = 0$, if $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ that is, $\mu(A_n) = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Lemma 3.1: A measure space (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) is measurable normal if for each pair of disjoint Borel closed sets E and F carrying additional information $(\{f_n\}, f)$, if $\exists$ a measurable function $f : (R^n, \tau, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow [0, 1] \subset (R, \tau, \Sigma, \mu)$ such that $f(E) = 0$ and $f(F) = 1$.

Proof: Suppose (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) is measurable normal (e-normal).

Let E, F be a pair of disjoint Borel closed sets in (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) that is, $E \cap F = \emptyset$
 $\Rightarrow E \subset (R^n - F)$

E is a Borel closed set and $(R^n - F)$ is Borel open set.

Since (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) is e-normal $\exists$ Borel open set say, $G_{1/2}$ such that

$$E \subset G_{1/2} \subset \overline{G_{1/2}} \subset (R^n - F)$$

Again, $E \subset G_{1/2}$ where E is Borel closed set and $G_{1/2}$ is Borel open set and $G_{1/2} \subset (R^n - F)$ where $G_{1/2}$ is Borel closed set and $R^n - F$ is Borel open set.

By normality of $(R^n, \tau, \Sigma, \mu) \exists$ Borel open sets say $G_{1/4}$ and $G_{3/4}$ such that

$$E \subset G_{1/4} \subset \overline{G_{1/4}} \subset G_{1/2} \subset \overline{G_{1/2}} \subset G_{3/4} \subset \overline{G_{3/4}} \subset (R^n - F)$$

We continue this process,

$\forall t \in D$ is the set of all dyadic rationals in $[0, 1]$, a Borel open set G_t satisfying the following two conditions,

- i) $E \subset G_t \subset \overline{G_t} \subset (R^n - F), \forall t \in D$
- ii) if $r, s \in D$ with $r < s$ then $\overline{G_r} \subset G_s$

Define a measurable function $f : (R^n, \tau, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow [0, 1] \subset (R, \tau, \Sigma, \mu)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \inf \{t \in D : x \in G_t\}, \text{ if } x \in (R^n - F) \\ &= 1, \text{ if } x \in F \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f maps (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) into $[0, 1]$.

Let $x \in E$

implies, $x \in (R^n - F)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } f(x) &= \inf \{t \in D : x \in G_t\} \\ &= \inf \{t : t \in D\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f(t) = \{0\}$

Also, by definition of f , $f(F) = \{1\}$

Therefore, we need to show that f is measurable, that is, $f : (R^n, \tau, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is measurable since, $(b, 1]$ and $[0, a)$ are Borel open subsets in the measure subspace $[0, 1]$ of (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) are Lebesgue measurable. that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(b, 1] &= \text{Length of } (b, 1] \subset (R^n, \tau, \Sigma, \mu) \\ &= 1 - b \text{ (for any arbitrary } b) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} [0, a) &= \text{Length of } [0, a) \subset (R^n, \tau, \Sigma, \mu) \\ &= a \text{ (for any arbitrary } a)\end{aligned}$$

To show that f is measurable we need to show $f^{-1}((b, 1])$ and $f^{-1}([0, a))$ are Borel open subsets in (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) , for all $0 < a, b < 1$.

We prove that

$$f^{-1}([0, a)) = \cup \{ G_t : t < a \} \quad (1)$$

$$f^{-1}((b, 1]) = \cup \{ \overline{G_t} : t > b \} \quad (2)$$

Clearly each is arbitrary union of Borel open subset.

proof of (1): Let $x \in f^{-1}([0, a))$

implies, $f(x) \in [0, a)$ and $0 \leq f(x) < a$

Now D is dense in $[0, 1]$ implies, $\overline{D} = [0, 1]$ and $f(x) \in \overline{D}$ this implies, each Borel open set containing $f(x)$ meets D , that is, $D \cap E \neq \emptyset$ that is, $[f(x), a) \cap D \neq \emptyset \exists t_x$ in D such that $t_x \in [f(x), a)$ that is, $f(x) = \inf \{ t : x \in G_t \} \leq t_x < a$

Suppose, $\inf \{ t : x \in G_t \} = r$ then $x \in G_r$

Also, $r \leq t_x \Rightarrow G_r \subset \overline{G_r} \subset G_{t_x}$ implies, $x \in G_{t_x}$

Therefore, $x \in G_{t_x}$, where $t_x < a$

Therefore, $x \in \cup \{ G_t : t < a \}$

Hence, $f^{-1}([0, a)) \subset \cup \{ G_t : t < a \} \dots \dots \dots (i)$

On the other hand,

Let $y \in \cup \{ G_t : t < a \}$

then $\exists t_y \in D$ such that $y \in G_{t_y}$ and $t_y < a$

Therefore, $f(y) = \inf \{ t : y \in G_t \} \leq t_y < a$

implies, $0 \leq f(y) \leq t_y < a$

and $0 \leq f(y) < a, f(y) \in [0, a)$

implies, $y \in f^{-1}([0, a))$

Therefore, $\cup \{ G_t : t < a \} \subset f^{-1}([0, a)) \dots \dots \dots (ii)$

Therefore from (i) and (ii), equality (1) follows,

Now, we prove (2):

Let $x \in f^{-1}((b, 1])$ implies, $f(x) \in (b, 1]$ and $b < f(x) \leq a$

Now D is dense in $[0, 1]$ implies, $\overline{D} = [0, 1]$ and $f(x) \in \overline{D}$

Therefore each Borel subset containing $f(x)$ meets D

Hence, $\exists t_1, t_2 \in D$ such that $b < t_1 < t_2 < f(x)$

Therefore, $f(x) = \inf \{ t : x \in G_t \} > t_2$

suppose, $\inf \{ t : x \in G_t \} = r$ then $r > t_2$

Therefore, $G_{t_2} \subset G_r$

Therefore, $x \notin G_{t_2}$, also $t_1 < t_2$ and $G_{t_1} \subset G_{t_2}$ implies, $x \notin \overline{G_{t_1}}$

Therefore, $x \in R^n - \overline{G_{t_1}}$, where $t_1 > b$ implies, $x \in \cup \{R^n - \overline{G_t} : t > b\}$

Therefore, $f^{-1}((b, 1]) \subset \cup \{R^n - \overline{G_t} : t > b\} \dots \dots \dots$ (iii)

On the other hand,

Let $y \in \cup \{R^n - \overline{G_t} : t > b\}$ then $\exists, t_y \in D$ such that $y \in R^n - \overline{G_{t_y}}$ and $t_y > b$ that is, $y \notin \overline{G_{t_y}}$

But $\forall t < t_y$ we have $G_t \subset G_{t_y} \subset \overline{G_{t_y}}$

Therefore, $y \notin \overline{G_{t_y}}, \forall t < t_y$

Therefore, $f(y) = \inf\{t : y \in G_t\} \geq t_y > b$ that is, $b < t_y \leq f(y) \leq 1$

Therefore, $f(y) \in [0, a)$ implies, $y \in f^{-1}([0, a))$

Therefore, $\cup \{R^n - \overline{G_t} : t > b\} \subset f^{-1}([0, a)) \dots \dots \dots$ (iv)

From (iii) and (iv) equality (2) holds.

The right hand side of (1) and (2) being union of Borel open subsets in R^n are Borel open in R^n .

Therefore, $f^{-1}([0, a))$ and $f^{-1}((b, 1])$ are Borel open subsets in R^n are Lebesgue measurable.

Therefore f is measurable.

Hence $\exists$ a measurable function $f: (R^n, \tau, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(A) = \{0\}$ and $f(B) = \{1\}$.

Conversely, suppose for each pair of disjoint Borel closed sets $E, F \in R^n \exists$ a measurable function $f: (R^n, \tau, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow [0, 1] \subset (R, \tau, \Sigma, \mu)$ such that $f(E) = \{0\}$ and $f(F) = \{1\}$.

To prove: (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) is e-normal.

proof follows from theorem 3.7 [5].

Using Theorem 3.8 [5], we show that the measurable normal property on (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) is invariant under measurable homeomorphism and measure invariant function ϕ .

Theorem 3.2: A measure manifold $(M, \tau_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$ is measurable normal if and only if for each pair of disjoint Borel closed sets E and F carrying additional information $(\{f_n \circ \phi\}, f \circ \phi)$, if $\exists$ a measurable function $f \circ \phi: (M, \tau_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1) \rightarrow [0, 1] \subset (R, \tau, \Sigma, \mu)$ such that $f \circ \phi(E) = 0$ and $f \circ \phi(F) = 1$.

Proof: Let $[0, a)$ and $(b, 1]$ are Borel open subsets in the measure subspace $[0, 1]$.

Let $(U_1, \phi_1) = f^{-1}([0, a))$ and $(U_2, \phi_2) = f^{-1}((b, 1])$.

Since $(f \circ \phi)$ is measurable, (U_1, ϕ_1) and (U_2, ϕ_2) are measure charts in $(M, \tau_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$.

Therefore all measure charts in $(M, \tau_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$ being measurable homeomorphic to (R^n, τ, Σ, μ) are Lebesgue measurable.

Hence $(U_1, \phi_1) = (f \circ \phi)^{-1}[0, 1/2)$ and $(U_2, \phi_2) = (f \circ \phi)^{-1}(1/2, 1]$ are Lebesgue measurable in $(M, \tau_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$.

According to the above Lemma and theorem 3.8[5] it follows that, $(M, \tau_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$ is measurable normal if and only if for each pair of disjoint Borel closed sets E and F carrying additional information $(\{f_n \circ \phi\}, f \circ \phi) \exists$ a measurable function $f \circ \phi: (M, \tau_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1) \rightarrow [0, 1] \subset (R, \tau, \Sigma, \mu)$ such that $f \circ \phi(E) = 0$ and $f \circ \phi(F) = 1$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Second author was supported by UGC's-BSR (RFSMS) fellowship, Department of Mathematics, Karnatak University, Dharwad. Ref. No. KU/Sch/RFSMS/2013-14/583.

REFERENCES

1. Barra G. de, Measure Theory and Integration, New Age International publishers, Delhi, 2003.
2. Halakatti S. C. P. and H. G. Haloli, Introducing the Concept of Measure Manifold $(M, \tau_1, \Sigma_1, \mu_1)$, IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM), Vol-10, Issue 3, ver -II, 2014, 01-11.
3. Halakatti S. C. P., Akshata Kengangutti and Soubhagya Baddi, Generating a Measure Manifold, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, Vol. 6, Issue 3, 2015, 164-172.
4. Halakatti S. C. P. and H. G. Haloli, Convergence and Connectedness on Complete Measure Manifold, International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), Vol. 4, Issue 03, 2015.
5. Halakatti S. C. P. and Akshata Kengangutti, A Study of Measurable Hausdorff, Regular and Normal Properties on the Measure Manifold, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, 7(4) (2016), 295-300.
6. Hunter John K, Measure Theory, Springer Publications, Varlag Heidelberg, 2011.
7. Papachristodoulos christos and Nikolaos Papanastassiou, On Convergence of Sequences of Measurable functions, Department of mathematics University of Athens, Greece, 2014, 01-12.
8. Papadimitrakis M., Notes on measure theory, Department of Mathematics, University of Crete, Autum, 2004.
9. Patty C.W., Foundations of Topology, Jones and Bartlett Publications, Inc., First Indian Edition, 2010.
10. Rao M.M., Measure Theory and Integration, Second Edition, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York Basel, 2004.
11. Tao Terence, An Introduction to Measure Theory, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI (2012).
12. Viro O. Ya., O. A. Iranov, N. Yu. Netsvetaer and V. M. Kharlamay, Elementary Topology Problem Text Book, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island (2012).

Source of support: UGC, India, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2017. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]