

THE EXISTENCE OF FIXED POINT THEOREMS
IN COMPLEX VALUED b-METRIC SPACES

MANJULA TRIPATHI¹, ANIL KUMAR DUBEY^{2*}

¹Department of Mathematics,
U. P. U Govt. Polytechnic, Durg, Chhattisgarh - 491001, India.

²Department of Mathematics,
Bhilai Institute of Technology, Bhilai House, Durg - 491001, India.

(Received On: 22-06-17; Revised & Accepted On: 29-07-17)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we consider complex valued b-metric spaces which was generalized form of complex valued metric spaces. We propose to derive the existence of fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Key Words: common fixed point, complex valued b-metric spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most influential spaces is complex valued b-metric spaces, introduced by Rao *et.al* [10] in 2013, which was more general than the complex valued metric spaces [1]. They proved some fixed point results for rational type mappings in complex valued b-metric spaces. Since then, this notion has been used by many authors to obtain various fixed point theorems (see [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [11]).

The purpose of this paper is to prove common fixed point theorem for two self-mappings in a complete complex valued b-metric spaces.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us start by defining some important notations and definitions.

Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \preceq on \mathbb{C} as follows: $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if and only if $Re(z_1) \leq Re(z_2), Im(z_1) \leq Im(z_2)$. Consequently, one can infer that $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1) $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2), Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$;
- (2) $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2), Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$;
- (3) $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2), Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$;
- (4) $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2), Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$.

In particular, we write $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (i), (ii) and (iii) is satisfied, also we write $z_1 < z_2$ if only (iii) is satisfied. Notice that

- (a) if $0 \preceq z_1 \preceq z_2$ then $|z_1| < |z_2|$;
- (b) if $z_1 \preceq z_2$ and $z_2 < z_3$ then $z_1 < z_3$;
- (c) if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \leq b$ then $az \preceq bz$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$.

**Corresponding Author: Anil Kumar Dubey*², Department of Mathematics,
Bhilai Institute of Technology, Bhilai House, Durg - 491001, India.**

The following definition is recently introduced by Rao *et.al* [10].

Definition 2.1[10]: Let Y be a nonempty set and let $p \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d: Y \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex valued b-metric on Y if for all $x, y, z \in Y$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $0 \lesssim d(x, y)$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;
- (iii) $d(x, y) \lesssim p[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$.

The pair (Y, d) is called a complex valued b-metric space.

Example 2.2[10]: If $Y = [0,1]$, define the mapping $d: Y \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2 + i|x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in Y$. Then (Y, d) is a complex valued b-metric space with $p = 2$.

Definition 2.3[10]: Let (Y, d) be a complex valued b-metric space.

- (i) A point $x \in Y$ is called interior point of a set $A \subseteq Y$ whenever there exists $0 < r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $B(x, r) = \{y \in Y: d(x, y) < r\} \subseteq A$.
- (ii) A point $x \in Y$ is called limit point of a set A whenever for every $0 < r \in \mathbb{C}$, $B(x, r) \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$.
- (iii) A subset $A \subseteq Y$ is called open set whenever each element of A is an interior point of A .
- (iv) A subset $A \subseteq Y$ is called closed set whenever each element of A belongs to A .
- (v) The family $F = \{B(x, r): x \in Y \text{ and } 0 < r\}$ is a sub-basis for a Hausdorff topology τ on Y .

Definition 2.4[10]: Let (Y, d) be a complex valued b-metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in Y and $x \in Y$.

- (i) If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $0 < c$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N$, $d(x_n, x) < c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent and converges to x . We denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $0 < c$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N$, $d(x_n, x_{n+m}) < c$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be Cauchy sequence.
- (iii) If every Cauchy sequence in Y is convergent in Y , then (Y, d) is said to be a complete complex valued b-metric space.

Lemma 2.5 [10]: Let (Y, d) be a complex valued b-metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in Y . Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.6 [10]: Let (Y, d) be a complex valued b-metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in Y . Then $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 3.1: Let (Y, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $p \geq 1$ and let $P, Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying:

$$d(Px, Qy) \lesssim \alpha d(x, y) + \beta [d(x, Px) + d(y, Qy)] + \gamma [d(x, Qy) + d(y, Px)], \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in Y$, where α, β, γ are nonnegative reals with $\alpha + 2\beta + 2p\gamma < 1$.

Then P and Q have a unique common fixed point in Y .

Proof: For any arbitrary point $x_0 \in Y$, define sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Y such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2n+1} &= Px_{2n}, \\ x_{2n+2} &= Qx_{2n+1}, \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Now, we show that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy.

Let $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}) &= d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \\ &\lesssim \alpha d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \beta [d(x_{2n}, Px_{2n}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + \gamma [d(x_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Px_{2n})] \\ &= \alpha d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \beta [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + \gamma [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})] \\ &\lesssim \alpha d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \beta [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + p\gamma [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| \leq \delta |d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})|$,

$$\text{where } \delta = \frac{\alpha + \beta + p\gamma}{1 - \beta - p\gamma} < 1.$$

Similarly, we have $|d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3})| \leq \delta |d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})|$,
 where $\delta = \frac{\alpha+\beta+p\gamma}{1-\beta-p\gamma} < 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus for all } n, |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| &\leq \delta |d(x_{n-1}, x_n)| \\ &\leq \delta^2 |d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})| \\ &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\ &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\ &\leq \delta^n |d(x_0, x_1)|. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Now for any $m > n, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_n, x_m)| &\leq p |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + p |d(x_{n+1}, x_m)| \\ &\leq p |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + p^2 |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + p^2 |d(x_{n+2}, x_m)| \\ &\leq p |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + p^2 |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + p^3 |d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})| + p^3 |d(x_{n+3}, x_m)| \\ &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\ &\leq p |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + p^2 |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + p^3 |d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})| + \\ &\dots \dots \dots + p^{m-n-2} |d(x_{m-3}, x_{m-2})| + p^{m-n-1} |d(x_{m-2}, x_{m-1})| \\ &+ p^{m-n} |d(x_{m-1}, x_m)|. \end{aligned}$$

By using (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_n, x_m)| &\leq p \delta^n |d(x_0, x_1)| + p^2 \delta^{n+1} |d(x_0, x_1)| + p^3 \delta^{n+2} |d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &+ \dots \dots \dots + p^{m-n-2} \delta^{m-3} |d(x_0, x_1)| + p^{m-n-1} \delta^{m-2} |d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &+ p^{m-n} \delta^{m-1} |d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} p^i \delta^{i+n-1} |d(x_0, x_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_n, x_m)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} p^{i+n-1} \delta^{i+n-1} |d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &= \sum_{t=n}^{m-1} p^t \delta^t |d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &\leq \sum_{t=n}^{\infty} (p\delta)^t |d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &= \frac{(p\delta)^n}{1-p\delta} |d(x_0, x_1)| \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$|d(x_n, x_m)| \leq \frac{(p\delta)^n}{1-p\delta} |d(x_0, x_1)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4}$$

Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y . Since Y is complete, there exists some $w \in Y$ such that $x_n \rightarrow w$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Assume not, then there exists $z \in Y$ such that

$$|d(w, Pw)| = |z| > 0. \tag{5}$$

So by using the triangular inequality and (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} z = d(w, Pw) &\preceq pd(w, x_{2n+2}) + pd(x_{2n+2}, Pw) = pd(w, x_{2n+2}) + pd(Qx_{2n+1}, Pw) \\ &\preceq pd(w, x_{2n+2}) + p\alpha d(w, x_{2n+1}) + p\beta [d(w, Pw) + d(x_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1})] \\ &+ p\gamma [d(w, Qx_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Pw)] \\ &= pd(w, x_{2n+2}) + p\alpha d(w, x_{2n+1}) + p\beta [d(w, Pw) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] + p\gamma [d(w, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Pw)] \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |z| = |d(w, Pw)| &\leq p |d(w, x_{2n+2})| + p\alpha |d(w, x_{2n+1})| + p\beta |d(w, Pw) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| \\ &+ p\gamma |d(w, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Pw)|. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Taking the limit of (6) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $|z| = |d(w, Pw)| \leq 0$, a contradiction with (5). So $|z| = 0$. Hence $Pw = w$. Similarly, we obtain $Qw = w$.

Now, we show that P and Q have unique common fixed point of P and Q . To prove this, assume that w^* is another common fixed point of P and Q . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} d(w, w^*) &= d(Pw, Qw^*) \\ &\preceq \alpha d(w, w^*) + \beta [d(w, Pw) + d(w^*, Qw^*)] + \gamma [d(w, Qw^*) + d(w^*, Pw)] \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} |d(w, w^*)| &\leq \alpha |d(w, w^*)| + \beta |d(w, Pw) + d(w^*, Qw^*)| + \gamma |d(w, Qw^*) + d(w^*, Pw)| \\ &\leq \alpha |d(w, w^*)| \end{aligned}$$

So that $w = w^*$, which proves the uniqueness of common fixed point.

Corollary 3.2: Let (Y, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $p \geq 1$ and let $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying:

$$d(Qx, Qy) \lesssim \alpha d(x, y) + \beta[d(x, Qx) + d(y, Qy)] + \gamma[d(x, Qy) + d(y, Qx)], \quad (7)$$

for all $x, y \in Y$, where α, β, γ are nonnegative reals with $\alpha + 2\beta + 2p\gamma < 1$. Then Q has a unique fixed point in Y .

Proof: We can prove this result by applying Theorem 3.1 with $P = Q$.

Corollary 3.3: Let (Y, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $p \geq 1$ and let $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (for some fixed n):

$$d(Q^n x, Q^n y) \lesssim \alpha d(x, y) + \beta[d(x, Q^n x) + d(y, Q^n y)] + \gamma[d(x, Q^n y) + d(y, Q^n x)], \quad (8)$$

for all $x, y \in Y$, where α, β, γ are nonnegative reals with $\alpha + 2\beta + 2p\gamma < 1$. Then Q has a unique fixed point in Y .

Proof: Set $P = Q^n$ and $Q = Q^n$ in inequality (1) and use the Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2.

Following results is obtained from Corollary 3.2.

Corollary 3.4: Let (Y, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $p \geq 1$ and let $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying:

$$d(Qx, Qy) \lesssim \alpha d(x, y), \quad (9)$$

for all $x, y \in Y$, where $p\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Then Q has a unique fixed point in Y .

Proof: We can prove this result applying Corollary 3.2 with $\beta = \gamma = 0$. Corollary 3.4 is the Banach type version of a fixed point results for contractive mappings in a complex valued b-metric space.

Corollary 3.5: Let (Y, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $p \geq 1$ and let $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying:

$$d(Qx, Qy) \lesssim \alpha d(x, y) + \beta[d(x, Qx) + d(y, Qy)], \quad (10)$$

for all $x, y \in Y$, where α, β are nonnegative reals with $p(\alpha + 2\beta) < 1$. Then Q has a unique fixed point in Y .

Proof: We can prove this result by applying Corollary 3.2 with $\gamma = 0$.

Corollary 3.6: Let (Y, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $p \geq 1$ and let $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying:

$$d(Qx, Qy) \lesssim \alpha d(x, y) + \gamma[d(x, Qy) + d(y, Qx)], \quad (11)$$

for all $x, y \in Y$, where α, γ are nonnegative reals with $\alpha + 2p\gamma < 1$. Then Q has a unique fixed point in Y .

Proof: We can prove this result by applying Corollary 3.2 with $\beta = 0$.

Corollary 3.7: Let (Y, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $p \geq 1$ and let $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying:

$$d(Qx, Qy) \lesssim \alpha_1 d(x, y) + \alpha_2 d(x, Qx) + \alpha_3 d(y, Qy) + \alpha_4 d(x, Qy) + \alpha_5 d(y, Qx), \quad (12)$$

for all $x, y \in Y$, where $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2p\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 < 1$. Then Q has a unique fixed point in Y .

Proof: In (12) interchanging the roles of x and y , and adding the new inequality to (12), gives (7) with

$$\alpha = \alpha_1, \beta = \frac{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}{2} \text{ and } \gamma = \frac{\alpha_4 + \alpha_5}{2}.$$

4. CONCLUSION

In this attempt, we prove some fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces. These results generalize and improve the recent results of [8], [9], [10], [11], which extend the further scope of our results.

REFERENCES

1. A.Azam, B. Fisher and M.Khan, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, 32, No.3 (2011), pp 243-253.
2. A.K. Dubey, Common fixed point results for contractive mappings in complex valued b-metric spaces, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications, Vol.20, No.2 (2015), pp 257-268.
3. A.K. Dubey, Complex Valued b-Metric Spaces and Common Fixed Point Theorems under Rational Contractions, Journal of Complex Analysis, Vol.2016, Article ID 9786063(2016), 7 pages.

4. A.K. Dubey and Manjula Tripathi, Some generalized common fixed point theorems under rational contractions in complex valued b-metric spaces and Applications, Asian Journal of Mathematics and Applications, Vol. 2016, Article ID ama0323(2016), 10 pages.
5. A.K. Dubey and Manjula Tripathi, Common Fixed Point Theorems in Complex Valued b-Metric Spaces for Rational Contractions, Journal of Informatics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 7, No. 3(2015), pp 149-161.
6. Anil Kumar Dubey, Manjula Tripathi and Ravi Prakash Dubey, Various Fixed Point Theorems in Complex Valued b-Metric Spaces, International Journal of Engineering Mathematics, Vol.2016, Article ID 7072606(2016), 7 Pages.
7. A.A.Mukheimer, Some common fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces, The Scientific World Journal, Vol.2014 (2014), Article ID587825, 6 pages.
8. A.A.Mukheimer, Common fixed point theorems for a pair of mappings in complex valued b-metric spaces, Adv. Fixed Point Theory, Vol.4, No.3 (2014), pp 344-354.
9. Deepak Singh, O.P. Chauhan, Naval Singh, Vishal Joshi, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces, Adv. Fixed Point Theory, Vol.5, No.2 (2015), pp 263-280.
10. K.P.R. Rao, P.R.Swamy and J.R.Prasad, A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b-metric spaces, Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research, Vol.1, Issue 1 (2013), pp 1-8.
11. Sunanda R. Patil, J.N. Salunke, Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible maps in complex valued b-metric spaces, Adv. Inequal. Appl. Vol.2016, No.8 (2016), pp 1-20.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2017. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]