

EMBEDDED RELATIONS AND VARYING DISTANCE FUNCTION IN FUZZY METRIC SPACES

T. RAMESH*

H. No: 3-10-255, Reddy Colony, Hanamkonda – Warangal, India.

(Received On: 14-03-17; Revised & Accepted On: 30-04-17)

ABSTRACT

In this present paper investigation on emended relations and varying distance function in fuzzy metric spaces,

Key words: fixed point, fixed point theorem. Fuzzy metric space, implicitly relations.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1994, Mishra, Sharma and Singh [9] introduced the notion of compatible maps under the name of asymptotically commuting maps in FM-spaces. Singh and Jain [17] studied the notion of weak compatibility in FM-spaces (introduced by Jungck and Rhoades [6] in metric spaces). However, the study of common fixed points of non compatible maps is also of great interest. Pant [10] initiated the study of common fixed points of on compatible maps in metric spaces. In 2002, Aamri and Moutawakil [1] studied a new property for pair of maps i.e. the so-called property (E.A), which is a generalization of the concept of non compatible maps in metric spaces. Recently, Pant and Pant [11] studied the common fixed points of a pair of non compatible maps and the property (E. A) In FM-spaces.

Recently, implicit relations are used as a tool for finding common fixed point of contraction maps (see, [2], [8], [12], [13], [15], [16]). These implicit relations guarantee coincidence point of pair of maps that ultimately leads to the existence of common fixed points of a quadruple of maps satisfying weak compatibility criterion. In 2008, Altun and Turkoglu [3] proved two common fixed point theorems on complete FM-space with an implicit relation. In [3], common fixed point theorems have been proved for continuous compatible maps of type (α) or (β) .

Our objective of this chapter is to prove a common fixed point theorem by removing the assumption of continuity, relaxing compatibility to compatible maps of type (α) or (β) . weak compatibility and replacing the completeness of the space with a set of alternative conditions for functions satisfying an implicit relation in FM-space.

In our paper, we deal with implicit relation used in [3]. In [3], Altun and Turkoglu used the following implicit relation: Let $I = [0, 1]$, $*$ be a continuous t-norm and F be the set of all real continuous functions $F : I^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions

- I. F is no increasing in the fifth and sixth variables,
- II. if, for some constant $k \in (0, 1)$ we have
 - (a) $F\left(u(kt), v(t), v(t), u(t), 1, u\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) * v\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right) \geq 1$, or
 - (b) $F\left(u(kt), v(t), u(t), v(t), u\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) * v\left(\frac{t}{2}\right), 1\right) \geq 1$

for any fixed $t > 0$ and any nondecreasing functions $u, v : (0, \infty) \rightarrow I$ with $0 \leq u(t), v(t) \leq 1$ then there exists $h \in (0, 1)$ with $u(ht) \geq v(t) * u(t)$, if, for some constant $k \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$F(u(kt), u(t), 1, 1, u(t), u(t)) \geq 1$$

for any fixed $t > 0$ and any nondecreasing function $u : (0, \infty) \rightarrow I$ then $u(kt) \geq u(t)$.

Lemma 1.1: In a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ limit of a sequence is unique.

Lemma 1.2: Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space. Then

- I. Then for all $x, y \in X$ $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is a non decreasing function.
- II. If there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$, $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t) \forall t > 0$, then $x = y$.
- III. If there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, kt) \geq M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \forall t > 0 \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Corresponding Author: T. Ramesh*, E-mail: greengirl_ias2001@yahoo.com

Definition 1.3: The only t – norm \star satisfying $r \star r = r$ for all $r \in [0,1]$ is the minimum t – norm that is $a \star b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0,1]$.

2. COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR COMPATIBLE MAPS OF Type (β) AND Type (α)

In this section we prove a common fixed point theorem for compatible map of type (β) in fuzzy metric space. In fact we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1: Let (X, M, \star) be a complete fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S, T, P and Q be mappings from X into itself such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- 2.1(a) $P(X) \subset ST(X)$ and $Q(X) \subset AB(X)$,
- 2.1(b) (P, AB) is compatible of type (β) and (Q, ST) is weak compatible,
- 2.1(c) there exists $k \in (0,1)$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(Px, Qy, kt), M^2(ABx, STy, t), M^2(Px, ABx, t), \\ M^2(Qy, STy, t), M^2(Px, STy, t), M^2(ABx, Qy, t) \end{matrix} \right) \geq 1$$

Then A, B, S, T, P and Q have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$, then from (a) we have $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that

$$Px_0 = STx_1 \text{ and } Qx_1 = ABx_2$$

Inductively, we construct sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$Px_{2n-2} = STx_{2n-1} = y_{2n-1} \text{ and } Qx_{2n-1} = ABx_{2n} = y_{2n}$$

Put $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (b) then we have

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, kt), M^2(ABx_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}, t), M^2(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, t) \\ M^2(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, t), M^2(Px_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}, t), M^2(ABx_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, t) \end{matrix} \right) > 1$$

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt), M^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) \\ M^2(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t), M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t), M^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2}, t) \end{matrix} \right) > 1$$

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt), M^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t), M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t), \\ M^2(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t), M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t), M^2 \left(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2} \right) \star M^2 \left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, \frac{t}{2} \right) \end{matrix} \right) > 1$$

From condition (a) we have

$$M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq M^2 \left(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2} \right) \star M^2 \left(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2} \right)$$

we have

$$M^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq M^2 \left(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2} \right)$$

That is

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq M \left(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2} \right)$$

Similarly we have

$$M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, kt) \geq M \left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, \frac{t}{2} \right)$$

Thus we have

$$M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq M \left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2} \right)$$

$$M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t) \geq M \left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2^k} \right)$$

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \geq M \left(y_0, y_1, \frac{t}{2^{nk}} \right) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and hence $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $t > 0$.

For each $\epsilon > 0$ and $t > 0$, we can choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ for all } n > n_0.$$

For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we suppose that $m \geq n$. Then we have

$$M(y_n, y_m, t) \geq M\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{m-n}\right) \star M\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{m-n}\right) \star \dots \star M\left(y_{m-1}, y_m, \frac{t}{m-n}\right)$$

$$M(y_n, y_m, t) \geq (1 - \epsilon) \star (1 - \epsilon) \star \dots \star (1 - \epsilon) \text{ (m - n) times}$$

$$M(y_n, y_m, t) \geq (1 - \epsilon)$$

And hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Since (X, M, \star) is complete, $\{y_n\}$ converges to some point $z \in X$. Also its subsequences converges to the same point $z \in X$.

That is

$$\{Px_{2n+2}\} \rightarrow z \text{ and } \{STx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z \tag{2.1 (i)}$$

$$\{Qx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z \text{ and } \{ABx_{2n}\} \rightarrow z \tag{2.1(ii)}$$

As (P, AB) is compatible pair of type (β) , we have

$$M(PPx_{2n}, (AB)(AB)x_{2n}, t) = 1, \quad \text{for all } t > 0$$

$$\text{Or } M(PPx_{2n}, ABz, t) = 1$$

Therefore, $PPx_{2n} \rightarrow ABz$.

Put $x = (AB)x_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in 2.1(c) we have

$$F\left(\begin{matrix} M^2(P(AB)x_{2n}, Qy, kt), M^2(AB(AB)x_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}, t), M^2(P(AB)x_{2n}, AB(AB)x_{2n}, t) \\ M^2(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, t), M^2(P(AB)x_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}, t), M^2(AB(AB)x_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, t) \end{matrix}\right) > 1$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and 2.1(a) we get

$$M^2((AB)z, z, kt) \geq M^2((AB)z, z, t)$$

That is $M((AB)z, z, kt) \geq M((AB)z, z, t)$

Therefore we have

$$ABz = z. \tag{2.1(iii)}$$

Put $x = z$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in 3.2.1(c) we have

$$F\left(\begin{matrix} M^2(Pz, Qx_{2n+1}, kt), M^2(ABz, STx_{2n+1}, t) \star M^2(Pz, ABz, t) \\ M^2(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, t), M^2(Pz, STx_{2n+1}, t), M^2(ABz, Qx_{2n+1}, t) \end{matrix}\right) > 1$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ (a) and using equation 2.1 (i) we have

That is $M^2(Pz, z, kt) \geq M^2(Pz, z, t)$

And hence $M(Pz, z, kt) \geq M(Pz, z, t)$

Therefore by using lemma 3.1.6, we get $Pz = z$

So we have $ABz = Pz = z$.

Putting $x = Bz$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in 2.1(d), we get

$$F\left(\begin{matrix} M^2(PBz, Qx_{2n+1}, kt), M^2(ABBz, STx_{2n+1}, t), M^2(PBz, ABBz, t) \\ M^2(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, t), M^2(PBz, STx_{2n+1}, t), M^2(ABBz, Qx_{2n+1}, t) \end{matrix}\right) > 1$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, (a) and using 2.1(i) we get

$$M^2(Bz, z, kt) \geq M^2(Bz, z, t)$$

That is $M(Bz, z, kt) \geq M(Bz, z, t)$

Therefore by Lemma 1.1. we have $Bz = z$

And also we have $ABz = z$ implies $Az = z$

Therefore $Az = Bz = Pz = z$.

2.1 (iv)

As $P(X) \subset ST(X)$ there exists $u \in X$ such that
 $z = Pz = STu$

Putting $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = u$ in 2.1(c) we get

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(Px_{2n}, Qu, kt), M^2(ABx_{2n}, STu, t), M^2(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, t) \\ M^2(Qu, STu, t), M^2(Px_{2n}, STu, t), M^2(ABx_{2n}, Qu, t) \end{matrix} \right) > 1$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using 3.2.1(i) and 3.2.1(ii) we get

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(z, Qu, kt), M^2(z, STu, t), M^2(z, z, t) \\ M^2(Qu, STu, t), M^2(z, STu, t), M^2(z, Qu, t) \end{matrix} \right) > 1$$

$$M^2(z, Qu, kt) \geq M^2(z, Qu, t)$$

That is $M(z, Qu, kt) \geq M(z, Qu, t)$

we have $Qu = z$

Hence $STu = z = Qu$.

Hence (Q, ST) is weak compatible, therefore, we have
 $QSTu = STQu$

Thus $Qz = STz$.

Putting $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = z$ in 2.1(c) we get

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(Px_{2n}, Qz, kt), M^2(ABx_{2n}, STz, t), M^2(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, t) \\ M^2(Qz, STz, t), M^2(Px_{2n}, STz, t), M^2(ABx_{2n}, Qz, t) \end{matrix} \right) > 1$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using 2.1(ii) we get

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(z, Qz, kt), M^2(z, STz, t), M^2(z, z, t) \\ M^2(Qz, STz, t), M^2(z, STz, t), M^2(z, Qz, t) \end{matrix} \right) > 1$$

$$M^2(z, Qz, kt) \geq M^2(z, Qz, t)$$

And hence $M(z, Qz, kt) \geq M(z, Qz, t)$

we get $Qz = z$.

Putting $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = Tz$ in 2.1(c) we get

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(Px_{2n}, QTz, kt), M^2(ABx_{2n}, STTz, t), M^2(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, t) \\ M^2(QTz, STTz, t), M^2(Px_{2n}, STTz, t), M^2(ABx_{2n}, QTz, t) \end{matrix} \right) > 1$$

As $QT = TQ$ and $ST = TS$ we have
 $QTz = TQz = Tz$

And $ST(Tz) = T(STz) = TQz = Tz$.

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(z, Tz, kt), M^2(z, Tz, t), M^2(z, z, t) \\ M^2(Tz, Tz, t), M^2(z, Tz, t), M^2(z, Tz, t) \end{matrix} \right) > 1$$

$$M^2(z, Tz, kt) \geq M^2(z, Tz, t)$$

Therefore $M(z, Tz, kt) \geq M(z, Tz, t)$

Therefore by Lemma 1.1. we have $Tz = z$

Now $STz = Tz = z$ implies $Sz = z$.

Hence $Sz = Tz = Qz = z$ 2.1(v)

Combining 2.1(iv) and 2.1(v) we have

$$Az = Bz = Pz = Sz = Tz = Qz = z$$

Hence z is the common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q .

Uniqueness: Let u be another common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q . Then

$$Au = Bu = Su = Tu = Pu = Qu = u$$

Putting $x = u$ and $y = z$ in 3.2.1(c) then we get

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(Pu, Qz, kt), M^2(ABu, STz, t), M^2(Pu, ABu, t) \\ M^2(Qz, STz, t), M^2(Pu, STz, t), M^2(ABu, Qz, t) \end{matrix} \right) > 1$$

Taking limit both side then we get

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(u, z, kt), M^2(u, z, t), M^2(u, u, t) \\ M^2(z, z, t), M^2(u, z, t), M^2(u, z, t) \end{matrix} \right) > 1$$

$$M^2(u, z, kt) \geq M^2(u, z, t)$$

And hence $M(u, z, kt) \geq M(u, z, t)$

we get $z = u$.

That is z is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q in X .

Remark 3.2.2: If we take $B = T = I$ identity map on X in Theorem 2.1 then we get following Corollary

Corollary 2.1: Let (X, M, \star) be a complete fuzzy metric space and let A, S, P and Q be mappings from X into itself such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- 2.1(a) $P(X) \subset S(X)$ and $Q(X) \subset A(X)$,
- 2.2(b) (P, A) is compatible of type (β) and (Q, S) is weak compatible,
- 2.3(c) there exists $k \in (0,1)$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(Px, Qy, kt), M^2(Ax, Sy, t), M^2(Px, Ax, t) \\ M^2(Qy, Sy, t), M^2(Px, Sy, t), M^2(Ax, Qy, t) \end{matrix} \right) \geq 1$$

Then A, S, P and Q have a unique common fixed point in X .

Remark 3.2.4: If we take weakly compatible mapping in place of compatible mapping of type (β) then we get following result.

Corollary 2.2: Let (X, M, \star) be a complete fuzzy metric space and let A, B, S, T, P and Q be mappings from X into itself such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- 2.1(a) $P(X) \subset ST(X)$ and $Q(X) \subset AB(X)$,
- 2.2(b) (P, AB) and (Q, ST) is are weak compatible,
- 2.3(c) there exists $k \in (0,1)$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} M^2(Px, Qy, kt), M^2(ABx, STy, t), M^2(Px, ABx, t) \\ M^2(Qy, STy, t), M^2(Px, STy, t), M^2(ABx, Qy, t) \end{matrix} \right) \geq 1$$

Then A, B, S, T, P and Q have a unique common fixed point in X .

3. REFERENCES

1. M. Aamri and D. El Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 270(2002), 181-188.
2. A. Aliouche and V. Popa Common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings via implicit relations, Filomat, 22(2) (2008), 99- 107.
3. I. Altun and D. Turkoglu, Some fixed point theorems on fuzzy metric spaces with implicit relations, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 23(1) (2008), 111-124.
4. A. George and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64(1994), 395-399.
5. M. Grabiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27(1988), 385-389.
6. G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 29(1998), 227-238.

7. I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Ky-bernetika*, 11(1975), 336-344.
8. S. Kumar and B. D. Pant, A common fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric space using implicit relation, *Filomat*, 22(2)(2008), 43-52.
9. S.N. Mishra, N. Sharma and S. L. Singh, Common fixed point of maps on fuzzy metric spaces, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 17(1994), 253-258.
10. R. P. Pant, Common fixed points of contractive maps, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 226(1998), 251-258.
11. V. Pant and R. P. Pant, Fixed points in fuzzy metric space for noncompatible maps, *Soochow J. Math.*, 33(4) (2007), 647-655.
12. H. K. Pathak, R. R. L'opez and R. K. Verma, A common fixed point theorem using implicit relation and property (E.A) in metric spaces, *Filomat*, 21(2)(2007), 211-234.
13. V. Popa, A generalization of Meir-Keeler type common fixed point theorem for four noncontinuous mappings, *Sarajevo J. Math.*, 1(13)(2005), 135-142.
14. B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
15. S. Sedghi, K. P. R. Rao and N. Shobe, A general common fixed point theorem for multi-maps satisfying an implicit relation on fuzzy metric spaces, *Filomat*, 22(1)(2008), 1-10
16. B. Singh and S. Jain, Semicompatibility and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space using implicit relation, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 2005(16) (2005), 2617-2629.
17. B. Singh and S. Jain, Weak compatibility and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, *Ganita*, 56(2) (2005), 167-176.
18. P. V. Subrahmanyam, A common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, *Information Sciences*, 83(1995), 109-112.
19. L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Infor. and Control*, 8(1965), 338-353.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2017. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]