

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR PAIRS OF COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS OF TYPE (A)

AKLESH PARIYA¹, VISHNU BAIRAGI^{*2}

¹Department of Mathematics, Medi-caps University, Indore - (M.P), India.

²School of studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain - (M.P.), India.

(Received On: 11-01-17; Revised & Accepted On: 01-02-17)

ABSTRACT.

In this paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems for pairs of compatible mappings of type (A), A-compatible mappings and S-compatible mappings satisfying contractive condition of integral type in a complete metric space. The result of this paper extends and generalized the results of Aage and Salunke [1], Branciari[2], Murthy[5], Pathak and Khan[6], Shahidur Rahman et al. [9], Sharma and Sahu [10], for generalized contraction of integral type.

Keywords: Common fixed point, compatible mappings, compatible mappings of type (A), A- compatible, S- compatible.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Sessa [8] introduced the concept of weakly commuting mappings and obtained some common fixed point theorems in complete metric space. Jungck [3] defined compatible mappings and discussed few common fixed point theorems in complete metric space. Also he showed weak commuting mappings are compatible mappings but converse need not hold. Further, Jungck *et al.* [4] introduced the new concept i.e. compatible mappings of type (A) and proved some common fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces. Compatible mappings of type (A) is more general than weakly commuting mappings and converse is not true. Pathak and Khan [6] introduced the concept of A-compatible and S-compatible by splitting the definition of compatible mapping of type (A).

Pathak *et al.* [7] proved some common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings of type (P), as application they prove the existence and uniqueness problem of common solution for a class of functional equations arising in dynamic programming. Recently, Shahidur Rahman *et al.* [9] proved generalized common fixed point theorems of A-compatible and S-compatible mappings, and generalized the result of Murthy [5], Sharma and Sahu [10]. Aage and Salunke [1] proved some common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings of type (A) for four self mappings of a complete metric space. Recently, Branciari [2] proved Banach contraction principle for integral type contraction.

Following are the definitions of different types of compatible mappings.

Definition 1.1[8]: Self-mappings S and T of a metric space (X, d) are said to be weakly commuting pair iff $d(STx, TSx) \leq d(Sx, Tx)$ for all $x \in X$.

Clearly, commuting mappings are weakly commuting but converse is not true.

Definition 1.2[3]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space X into itself. The mappings A and S are said to be compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SAx_n) = 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Definition 1.3[4]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space X into itself. The mappings A and S are said to be compatible of type (A) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SSx_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(SAx_n, AAx_n) = 0$,

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Corresponding Author: Vishnu Bairagi^{*2}

Definition 1.5[6]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space X into itself. The mappings A and S are said to be A -compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SSx_n) = 0,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t \text{ for some } t \in X.$$

Definition 1.6[6]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space X into itself. The mappings A and S are said to be S -compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(SAx_n, AAx_n) = 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t \text{ for some } t \in X.$$

Definition 1.7[7]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space X into itself. The mappings A and S are said to be compatible of type (P) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(AAx_n, SSx_n) = 0,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Proposition 1.1[6]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. If a pair (A, S) is A -compatible on X and $St = At$ for some $t \in X$, then $ASt = SSt$.

Proposition 1.2[6]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. If a pair (A, S) is S -compatible on X and $St = At$ for some $t \in X$, then $SAt = AAAt$.

Proposition 1.3[6]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. If a pair (A, S) is A -compatible on X and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$, then $SSx_n \rightarrow At$ if A is continuous at t .

Proposition 1.4[6]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. If a pair (A, S) is S -compatible on X and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$, then $AAx_n \rightarrow St$ if S is continuous at t .

Branciari [2] proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1[2]: Let (X, d) be a complete metric space $c \in]0, 1[$ and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for each $x, y \in X$, $\int_0^{d(fx, fy)} \Phi(t) dt \leq c \int_0^{d(x, y)} \Phi(t) dt$, where $\Phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable *i.e.* with finite integral on each compact subset of $[0, +\infty)$ nonnegative and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \Phi(t) dt > 0$; then f has a unique fixed point $a \in X$ such that for each $x \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^n x = a$.

2. MAIN RESULT

In this section, we prove some common fixed point theorem for compatible mapping of type (A) , A -compatible and S -compatible mappings satisfying contractive condition of integral type in a complete metric space. The result of this paper extends and generalized the results of Aage and Salunke [1], Branciari [2], Murthy [5], Pathak and Khan [6], Shahidur Rahman *et.al.*[9], Sharma and Sahu[10] for generalized contraction of integral type.

Theorem 2.1: Let A, B, S and T be self-maps of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$ and $T(X) \subseteq A(X)$.
- (ii) $\int_0^{d(Sx, Ty)} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \left\{ \int_0^{M(x, y)} \Phi(t) dt \right\}$, where $M(x, y) = d(Ax, Sx) \cdot \left\{ \frac{1+d(By, Ty)}{1+d(Ax, By)} \right\}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\Phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable *i.e.* with finite integral on each compact subset of $[0, +\infty)$ nonnegative and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\int_0^\varepsilon \Phi(t) dt > 0$; also $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a right continuous mapping satisfying the condition $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(t) < t$ for each $t > 0$.
- (iii) One of A, B, S and T is continuous.
- (iv) Pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are compatible mappings of type (A) .

Then A, B, S and T have unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary. Choose a point x_1 in X such that $Sx_0 = Bx_1$. This can be done since $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$. Let x_2 be another point in X such that $Tx_1 = Ax_2$. This can be done since $T(X) \subseteq A(X)$. In general, we can choose $x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, \dots$, such that $Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n+1}$ and $Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n+2}$. so that we obtain a sequence $Sx_0, Tx_1, Sx_2, Tx_3, \dots$. Hence in general, we define a sequence $\{y_{2n}\}$ in X as $y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n+2}$, where $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Now we will show that the sequence $\{y_{2n}\}$ is Cauchy.

For this put $x = x_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$ in (ii), we have

$$\int_0^{d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{M(x,y)} \Phi(t) dt$$

where $M(x, y) = d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) \left\{ \frac{1+d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}{1+d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})} \right\}$

$$\int_0^{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \left\{ \frac{1+d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})}{1+d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})} \right\}} \Phi(t) dt$$

This gives

$$\int_0^{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) [1+d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})]} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \left[\int_0^{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) [1+d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})]} \Phi(t) dt \right]$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})} \Phi(t) dt + \int_0^{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})} \Phi(t) dt \\ \leq \psi \int_0^{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})} \Phi(t) dt + \psi \int_0^{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})} \Phi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\int_0^{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})} \Phi(t) dt < \int_0^{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})} \Phi(t) dt$$

Hence as a consequence; we have $\int_0^{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})} \Phi(t) dt \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence the sequence $\{y_{2n}\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X .

Since $\{y_{2n}\}$ is Cauchy sequence and (X, d) is complete, so the sequence $\{y_{2n}\}$ has a limit point say z in X . Hence the sub sequences $\{Sx_{2n}\} = \{Bx_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{Tx_{2n+1}\} = \{Ax_{2n+2}\}$ also converges to the point z in X .

Suppose that the mapping A is continuous. Then $A^2x_{2n} \rightarrow Az$ and $ASx_{2n} \rightarrow Az$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since the pair (A, S) is compatible of type (A). We get $Sx_{2n} \rightarrow Az$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now by (ii), if we put $x = Ax_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$, we get

$$\int_0^{d(SAx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{M(x,y)} \Phi(t) dt$$

Where $M(x, y) = d(AAx_{2n}, SAx_{2n}) \left\{ \frac{1+d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}{1+d(AAx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})} \right\}$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\int_0^{d(Az, z)} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(Az, Az) \left\{ \frac{1+d(z, z)}{1+d(Az, z)} \right\}} \Phi(t) dt$$

This gives $\int_0^{d(Az, z)} \Phi(t) dt \leq 0$.

Hence $Az = z$.

Further, if we put $x = z, y = x_{2n+1}$ in (ii), we get

$$\int_0^{d(Sz, Tx_{2n+1})} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(Az, Sz) \left\{ \frac{1+d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}{1+d(Az, Bx_{2n+1})} \right\}} \Phi(t) dt$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\int_0^{d(Sz, z)} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(z, Sz) \left\{ \frac{1+d(z, z)}{1+d(z, z)} \right\}} \Phi(t) dt$$

This gives $\int_0^{d(Sz, z)} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(z, Sz)} \Phi(t) dt < \int_0^{d(z, Sz)} \Phi(t) dt$

Hence $Sz = z$. Thus $Sz = Az = z$.

Since $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$, there is a point $u \in X$ such that $z = Sz = Bu$.

Now by (ii),

$$\int_0^{d(z,Tu)} \Phi(t)dt = \int_0^{d(Sz,Tu)} \Phi(t)dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(Az,Sz) \cdot \left\{ \frac{1+d(Bu, Tu)}{1+d(Az, Bu)} \right\}} \Phi(t)dt$$

$$\int_0^{d(z,Tu)} \Phi(t)dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(Az,Az) \cdot \left\{ \frac{1+d(Bu, Tu)}{1+d(Az, Bu)} \right\}} \Phi(t)dt$$

Hence $\int_0^{d(z,Tu)} \Phi(t)dt \leq 0$

This gives $Tu = z = Bu$.

Take $y_n = u$ for $n \geq 1$.

Then $Ty_n \rightarrow Tu = z$ and $By_n \rightarrow Bu = z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since the pair (B, T) is compatible of type (A), we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(TBy_n, BBy_n) = 0$

Implies $(Tz, Bz) = 0$, since $By_n = z$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence $Tz = Bz$.

Finally, by (ii), we have

$$\int_0^{d(z,Tz)} \Phi(t)dt = \int_0^{d(Sz,Tz)} \Phi(t)dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(Az,Sz) \cdot \left\{ \frac{1+d(Bz, Tz)}{1+d(Az, Bz)} \right\}} \Phi(t)dt$$

We get $\int_0^{d(z,Tz)} \Phi(t)dt \leq 0$.

Hence $z = Tz = Bz$. Thus $z = Sz = Az = Tz = Bz$.

Therefore z is common fixed point of S, A, T and B , when the continuity of A is assumed.

Now suppose that S is continuous. Then $S^2x_{2n} \rightarrow Sz, SAx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since the pair (A, S) is compatible of type (A) therefore $ASx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By condition (ii), we have

$$\int_0^{d(S^2x_{2n},Tx_{2n+1})} \Phi(t)dt = \psi \int_0^{d(SSx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1})} \Phi(t)dt$$

$$\leq \psi \int_0^{d(ASx_{2n},SSx_{2n}) \cdot \left\{ \frac{1+d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}{1+d(ASx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})} \right\}} \Phi(t)dt$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\int_0^{d(Sz,z)} \Phi(t)dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(Sz,Sz) \cdot \left\{ \frac{1+d(z, z)}{1+d(Sz, z)} \right\}} \Phi(t)dt$$

We get $\int_0^{d(Sz,z)} \Phi(t)dt \leq 0$. Hence $Sz = z$.

But $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$, there is a point $v \in X$ such that $z = Sz = Bv$.

Now by (ii), we have

$$\int_0^{d(S^2x_{2n},Tv)} \Phi(t)dt = \int_0^{d(SSx_{2n},Tv)} \Phi(t)dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(ASx_{2n},SSx_{2n}) \cdot \left\{ \frac{1+d(Bv, Tv)}{1+d(ASx_{2n}, Bv)} \right\}} \Phi(t)dt$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using $Sz = z$, we get

$$\int_0^{d(z,Tv)} \Phi(t)dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(z,z) \cdot \left\{ \frac{1+d(Bv, Tv)}{1+d(z, Bv)} \right\}} \Phi(t)dt$$

This gives $\int_0^{d(z, Tv)} \Phi(t) dt \leq 0$. Hence $Tv = z$.

Thus $z = Bv = Tv$ for $v \in X$.

Let $y_n = v$. Then $Ty_n \rightarrow Tv = z$ and $By_n \rightarrow Tv = z$.

Since (B, T) is compatible of type (A), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(TBy_n, BBy_n) = 0$, this gives $TBv = BTv$ or $Tz = Bz$. Further by (ii), we have

$$\int_0^{d(Sx_{2n}, Tz)} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) \left\{ \frac{1+d(Bz, Tz)}{1+d(Ax_{2n}, Bz)} \right\}} \Phi(t) dt$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the results above, we get

$$\int_0^{d(z, Tz)} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(z, z) \left\{ \frac{1+d(Bz, Tz)}{1+d(z, Bz)} \right\}} \Phi(t) dt$$

This gives $\int_0^{d(z, Tz)} \Phi(t) dt \leq 0$. Thus $z = Tz$. Hence $z = Tz = Bz$.

Since $T(X) \subseteq A(X)$, there is a point $w \in X$ such that $z = Tz = Aw$.

Thus by (ii), we have

$$\int_0^{d(Sw, z)} \Phi(t) dt = \int_0^{d(Sw, Tz)} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(Aw, Sw) \left\{ \frac{1+d(Bz, Tz)}{1+d(Aw, Bz)} \right\}} \Phi(t) dt$$

$$\int_0^{d(Sw, z)} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(z, Sw) \left\{ \frac{1+d(z, z)}{1+d(z, z)} \right\}} \Phi(t) dt$$

$$\int_0^{d(Sw, z)} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(z, Sw)} \Phi(t) dt < \int_0^{d(z, Sw)} \Phi(t) dt$$

This gives $Sw = z$.

Take $y_n = w$ then $Sy_n \rightarrow Sw = z$, $Ay_n \rightarrow Aw = z$. Since (A, S) is compatible of type (A), we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(SAy_n, AAy_n) = 0$.

This implies that $SAw = ASw$ or $Sz = Az$. Thus we have $z = Sz = Az = Bz = Tz$.

Hence z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T , when S is continuous.

The proof is similar that z is common fixed point of A, B, S and T , when the continuity of B or T is assumed.

Uniqueness

Let z and t be two common fixed point of A, B, S and T .
i.e. $z = Sz = Az = Tz = Bz$ and $t = St = At = Tt = Bt$.

By condition (ii), we have

$$\int_0^{d(z, t)} \Phi(t) dt = \int_0^{d(Sz, Tt)} \Phi(t) dt \leq \psi \int_0^{d(Az, Sz) \left\{ \frac{1+d(Bt, Tt)}{1+d(Az, Bt)} \right\}} \Phi(t) dt$$

This gives $\int_0^{d(z, t)} \Phi(t) dt \leq 0$.

Thus we have $z = t$. Hence z is a unique common fixed point of mappings A, B, S and T .

Theorem 2.2: Let A, B, S and T be self maps of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying the conditions (i), (ii), (iv) and ψ be as in theorem 2.1 satisfying the inequality

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \psi d(Ax, Sx) \left\{ \frac{1+d(By, Ty)}{1+d(Ax, By)} \right\},$$

Then A, B, S and T have unique common fixed point in X

Proof: The proof of the theorem 2.2 is follows from theorem 2.1 by putting $\Phi(t) = 1$ in (ii).

Corollary 2.1: Let A, B, S and T be self-maps of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying the following conditions (i),(ii),(iii) of theorem 2.1 and if pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are A- compatible or S- compatible. Then A, B, S and T have unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: The proof of the corollary directly follows by splitting the definition of compatible mappings of type (A) into A-compatible or S- compatible mappings and using the Proposition 1.1 to 1.4.

REFERENCES

1. Aage, C. T. and Salunke, J.N., "On common fixed point theorem in complete metric space", International Mathematical Forum, 4, (2009), no, 3, 151-159.
2. Branciari, A., "A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type", International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 29:9 (2002) 531-536.
3. Jungck, G., "Compatible mappings and common fixed points", Int. J. Math. Sci. 9(4)(1986), 771-779.
4. Jungck, G., Murthy, P. P. and Cho, Y. J., "Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points", Math. Japonica, 38, (1993), 381-386.
5. Murthy P. P., "Remarks on fixed point theorem of Sharma and Sahu", Bull. of Pure and Appl. Sc., 12 E(1-2), 1993 7-10.
6. Pathak H.K. and Khan M.S., "A comparison of various types of compatible maps and common fixed points", Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 28(4): April 1997. 477-485.
7. Pathak H.K., Cho Y.J., Kang S.M. and Lee B.S., "Fixed point theorems for compatible mapping of type (p) and application to dynamic programming", Le Matematiche, Vol. 50, No. 1 (1995)-Fasc. I, pp. 15-33.
8. Sessa, S., "On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations", Publ. Instt. Math. 32(1982), 149-153.
9. Shahidur Rahman, YumnamRohen, M.Popeshwar Singh, "Generalised common fixed point theorems of A-compatible and S-compatible mappings", American Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, 2013, Vol. 1, No. 2, 27-29.
10. Sharma B. K. and Sahu N. K., Common fixed point of three continuous mappings, The Math. Student, 59 (1), 1991, 77-80.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2016. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]