

INDEPENDENT VERTEX-EDGE DOMINATION IN GRAPHS

SHIGEHALLI V.S.¹, VIJAYAKUMAR PATIL*²

¹Professor Department of Mathematics,
Rani Channamma University, Belagavi-591156, Karnataka, India.

²Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics,
Rani Channamma University, Belagavi-591156, Karnataka, India.

(Received On: 07-01-17; Revised & Accepted On: 01-02-17)

ABSTRACT

Let the vertices and edges of a graph G be called the elements of G . A set X of elements in G is an vertex-edge dominating set of G if every element not in X is either adjacent or incident to at least one element in X . An vertex-edge dominating set X of elements in G is an independent vertex-edge dominating set of G if any two elements in X are neither adjacent nor incident. The independent vertex-edge domination number $\gamma_{ve}^i(G)$ of G , is the smallest cardinality of an independent vertex-edge dominating set of G . In this paper, we obtained bounds for $\gamma_{ve}^i(G)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C69.

Keywords: domination, vertex-edge domination, independent vertex-edge domination number.

1. INTRODUCTION

All graphs considered here are simple, finite, connected and nontrivial. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph, where $V(G)$ is the vertex set and $E(G)$ be the edge set of G . The vertex $v \in V$ is called a *pendant vertex*, if $deg_G(v) = 1$ and an *isolated vertex* if $deg_G(v) = 0$, where $deg_G(x)$ is the degree of a vertex $x \in V(G)$. A vertex which is adjacent to a pendant vertex is called a *support vertex*. We denote $\delta(G)$ ($\Delta(G)$) as the *minimum (maximum) degree* and $p = |V(G)|$, $q = |E(G)|$ the *order* and *size* of G respectively. A *spanning subgraph* is a subgraph containing all the vertices of G . A shortest $u - v$ path is often called a *geodesic*. The *diameter* $diam(G)$ of a connected graph G is the length of any longest geodesic. The *neighborhood* of a vertex u in V is the set $N(u)$ consisting of all vertices v which are adjacent with u . A *claw* is another name for the complete bipartite graph $K_{1,3}$. A *claw-free graph* is a graph that does not have a claw as an induced subgraph.

A subset $D \subseteq V$ is said to be a *dominating set* of G if every vertex $V - D$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in D . The minimum cardinality of a minimal dominating set is called the *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ of G [2].

A subset D of $V(G)$ is an *independent set* if no two vertices in D are adjacent. A dominating set D which is also an independent dominating set. The independent domination number $i(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of an independent domination set [2,3].

A set F of edges in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is called an *edge dominating set* of G if every edge in $E - F$ is adjacent to atleast one edge in F . The *edge domination number* $\gamma'(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum cardinality of an edge dominating set of G .

Corresponding Author: Vijayakumar Patil*²
²Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics,
Rani Channamma University, Belagavi-591156, Karnataka, India.

A set X of elements in G is an vertex-edge dominating set of G , if every element not in X is either adjacent or incident to at least one element in X . The vertex-edge domination number $\gamma_{ve}(G)$ is the order of a smallest vertex-edge dominating set of G [6].

In this paper, we define a new parameter as follows:

An vertex-edge dominating set X of elements in G is an independent vertex-edge dominating set of G if any two elements in X are neither adjacent nor incident. The independent vertex-edge domination number $\gamma_{ve}^i(G)$ of G is the smallest cardinality of an independent vertex-edge dominating set of G .

2. MAIN RESULTS

Observation: For any graph G , $\gamma_{ve}^i(G) = \gamma_i(T(G))$, where $T(G)$ denote the total graph of a graph G .

In next theorem, we compute the independent vertex-edge domination number of some standard class of graphs.

Theorem 2.1:

- (i) For any complete graph K_p ; $p \geq 2$, $\gamma_{ve}^i(K_p) = \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$.
- (ii) For any cycle C_p ; $p \geq 4$, $\gamma_{ve}^i(C_p) = \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$.
- (iii) For any path P_p ; $p \geq 2$, $\gamma_{ve}^i(P_p) = \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$.
- (iv) For any complete bipartite graph K_{p_1, p_2} , $\gamma_{ve}^i(K_{p_1, p_2}) = p_1$, $1 \leq p_1 \leq p_2$.
- (v) For any wheel W_p ; $p \geq 4$, $\gamma_{ve}^i(W_p) = \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$

In the following theorem, a relation between $\gamma_{ve}(G)$ and $\gamma_{ve}^i(G)$ is obtained.

Theorem 2.2: For any graph G , $\gamma_{ve}(G) \leq \gamma_{ve}^i(G)$.

Proof: It is known that, for any graph G , $\gamma(G) \leq \gamma_i(G)$. Therefore similarly $\gamma_{ve}(G) \leq \gamma_{ve}^i(G)$.

Next we obtain the relation between $\gamma_i(G)$, $\gamma_i'(G)$ and $\gamma_{ve}^i(G)$.

Theorem 2.3: For any graph G

$$\frac{\gamma_i(G) + \gamma_i'(G)}{2} \leq \gamma_{ve}^i(G) \leq \gamma_i(G) + \gamma_i'(G).$$

Proof. First we establish the lower bound. D and F be the minimum independent dominating and independent edge dominating sets of G respectively. Let $X = D \cup F$ be a minimum independent vertex-edge dominating set of G . For each edge $e = uv$ in F , choose a vertex u or v but not both which are independent. Let F' be the collection of such vertices. Clearly $D \cup F'$ is an independent dominating set of G . Therefore

$$\gamma_i(G) \leq |D \cup F'| = |D \cup F| = \gamma_{ve}^i(G). \tag{2.1}$$

Now for each vertex u in D , choose exactly one edge incident with u which is independent. Let D' be the collection of such edges. Clearly $D' \cup F$ is an independent edge dominating set of G . Therefore

$$\gamma_i'(G) \leq |D' \cup F| = |D \cup F| = \gamma_{ve}^i(G). \tag{2.2}$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) it follows that $\frac{\gamma_i(G) + \gamma_i'(G)}{2} \leq \gamma_{ve}^i(G)$.

Now for the upper bound, let D and F be the minimum independent dominating and independent edge dominating sets of G respectively. Then $D \cup F$ is an independent vertex-edge dominating set. Thus $\gamma_{ve}^i(G) \leq |D \cup F| = \gamma_i(G) + \gamma_i'(G)$.

Hence, $\gamma_{ve}^i(G) \leq \gamma_i(G) + \gamma_i'(G)$.

Theorem 2.4: If G is a claw-free graph, then $\gamma_{ve}^i(G) \leq \gamma_i(G) + \gamma_i(L(G))$.

Proof: In [1], it is proved that, if G is a claw free-graph, then $\gamma(G) = \gamma_i(G)$ and $\gamma(L(G)) = \gamma_i(L(G))$. Therefore using Theorem 2.3, we get the required result.

Theorem A[6]: For any connected graph G of order p

$$\frac{p+q}{2\Delta(G)+1} \leq \gamma_{ve}(G).$$

Now we establish another lower bound for $\gamma_{ve}^i(G)$.

Theorem 2.5: For any graph G

$$\frac{p+q}{2\Delta(G)+1} \leq \gamma_{ve}^i(G).$$

Proof: The proof follows from Theorem A and the fact that $\gamma_{ve}(G) \leq \gamma_{ve}^i(G)$.

In a graph G if $deg(v) = 1$, then v is called a pendant vertex of G

Lemma 1: Let G be a connected graph of order $p \geq 3$. Then there exist two nonadjacent vertices u and v having a common neighbor w such that $G - \{u, v\}$ is connected.

Proof: Let T denote a spanning tree of G . If T has exactly one nonpendant vertex, then the removal of any two pendant vertices u and v of T , results in a connected graph. Suppose T has at least two nonpendant vertices. Then there exist at least two nonpendant vertices each of which is adjacent to exactly one nonpendant vertex. If w is adjacent to at least two pendant vertices u and v , then removal of u and v results in a connected graph. If w is adjacent to exactly one pendant vertex u , then removal of w and u results in a connected graph. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.6: For any connected graph G of order $p \geq 2$ and $\delta(G) \geq 2$, $\gamma_{ve}^i(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{p}{2} \right\rceil$.

Proof: We prove the result by induction on p .

If $p = 3$ or 4 , then the result can be verified. Assume the result is true for all connected graphs G with $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and $p-1$ vertices. Let G_1 be a connected graph with $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and p vertices. Let u and v denote two nonadjacent vertices having a common neighbor w such that $G = G_1 - \{uv\}$ is connected. Let X be a minimum independent vertex-edge dominating set of G , then either $X \cup \{w\}$ or $X \cup \{uv\}$ is an vertex-edge dominating set of G_1 .

$$\text{Thus } \gamma_{ve}^i(G_1) \leq |X| + 1 \leq \left\lceil \frac{p-1}{2} \right\rceil + 1 \leq \left\lceil \frac{p}{2} \right\rceil.$$

In the following theorem we give characterization of graphs in which $\gamma_{ve}(G) = \gamma_{ve}^i(G)$.

Theorem 2.7: For any graph G of order $p \geq 2$, $\gamma_{ve}(G) = \gamma_{ve}^i(G)$. if and only if every vertex-edge dominating set of G is independent.

Proof: Let $\gamma_{ve}(G) = \gamma_{ve}^i(G)$. If possible, suppose every vertex-edge dominating set of G is not independent. Then there exists at least one vertex-edge dominating set X of G such that at least two elements of X are either adjacent or incident. Therefore $\gamma_{ve}(G) < \gamma_{ve}^i(G)$, a contradiction. Sufficiency is obvious.

Theorem 2.8: Let G be a connected graph of order at least 2. Then $\gamma(G) = \gamma_{ve}^i(G)$ if and only if any two adjacent vertices form a minimal dominating set.

Proof: Let G be a connected graph and let a set consisting of any two vertices of G form a minimal dominating set of G , Also by the fact that a set consisting of any two adjacent vertices of G forms a minimal dominating set of G if and only if G is isomorphic to the complete k -partite graph K_{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k} ; $p_i \geq 2$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ with

the partite sets of sizes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k .

$$G = K_{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k}. \text{ Hence } \gamma(G) = \gamma_{ve}^i(G).$$

Conversely, let $\gamma(G) = \gamma_{ve}^i(G)$ and any two adjacent do not form a minimal dominating set. Let $D = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ be the set of all maximal independent vertex set of G . Then $\gamma(G) = |D|$. Let $D' = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s\}$ be the maximal independent set of edges of G . Then $\gamma_{ve}^i(G) \leq |D \cup D'| > \gamma(G)$, a contradiction. Hence any two adjacent vertices of G form a minimal dominating set of G or $G = K_{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k}$.

This completes the proof.

We need the following definition for our next results.

Definition: A graph G is k -partite, $k \geq 1$, if it is possible to partition $V(G)$ into k - subsets V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k called partite sets, such that every element of $E(G)$ joins a vertex of V_i to a vertex of V_j , $i \neq j$.

Theorem 2.9: For any connected graph G of order at least 2, $\gamma_i(G) = \gamma_{ve}^i(G)$, if and only if $G = K_{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k}$.

Proof: Since every independent dominating set is a dominating set, therefore the proof of the following theorem is similar to Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 2.10: Let G be any connected graph of order at least 2. Then $\gamma^i(G) = \gamma_{ve}^i(G)$, if and only if G is k -partite graph.

Proof: The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.8.

Finally we prove Nordhaus-Gaddum type results for $\gamma_{ve}^i(G)$

Theorem 2.11: Let a graph G and its complement \bar{G} be connected with $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Then

- (i) $\gamma_{ve}^i(G) + \gamma_{ve}^i(\bar{G}) \leq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor$
- (ii) $\gamma_{ve}^i(G) \cdot \gamma_{ve}^i(\bar{G}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor^2$

Proof: The result follows from Theorem 2.6.

REFERENCES

1. R.B.Allan and R.Laskar, *On domination and independent domination of a graph*, Discrete Math. 23(1978), 73-76.
2. C.Berge, *Theory of graphs and its Applications* (Mehtuen. London, 1962).
3. E. J. Cockayne and S. T. Hedetniemi, *Towards a theory of domination in graphs*. Networks 7, 1977, 247-261.
4. F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, 1969.
5. T. W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, and P.J.Slater, *Fundamentals of domination in graphs*, Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, 1998.
6. A. Vijayan and T. Nagarajan, *Vertex-edge domination polynomial of graphs*. International journal of Mathematical Archive5 (2), (2014), 281-292.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared.

[Copy right © 2016. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]