

CERTAIN COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES FOR SAKAGUCHI TYPE FUNCTIONS
 AND APPLICATIONS TO FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVES

B. Srutha Keerthi*

Department of Applied Mathematics Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering
 Sriperumbudur, Chennai – 602105, India

E-mail: laya@svce.ac.in, sruthilaya06@yahoo.co.in

(Received on: 18-07-11; Accepted on: 02-08-11)

ABSTRACT

In the present paper, sharp upper bounds of $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ for the functions $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots$ belonging to a new subclass of Sakaguchi type functions are obtained. Also, application of our results for subclass of functions defined by convolution with a normalized analytic function are given. In particular, Fekete-Szegő inequalities for certain classes of functions defined through fractional derivatives are obtained.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C50; 30C45; 30C80.

Keywords: Sakaguchi functions, Analytic functions, Subordination, Fekete-Szegő inequality.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (z \in \Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} / |z| < 1\}) \quad (1.1)$$

and \mathcal{S} be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of univalent functions. For two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in Δ and write $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \Delta$), such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, ($z \in \Delta$). In particular, if the function g is univalent in Δ , the above subordination is equivalent to $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta)$.

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $M(\lambda, \alpha, t)$ if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-t)[\lambda z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)]}{(1-\lambda)[f(z) - f(tz)] + \lambda z [f'(z) - t f'(tz)]} \right\} > \alpha, \quad (1.2)$$

$$|t| \leq 1, t \neq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$$

For the case $\lambda = 0$ in (1.2) we get a Sakaguchi type class $S^*(\alpha, t)$. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $S^*(\alpha, t)$ if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-t)z f'(z)}{f(z) - f(tz)} \right\} > \alpha, \quad |t| \leq 1, t \neq 1 \quad (1.3)$$

which was introduced and studied by Owa et al. [9, 10]. For some $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and for all $z \in \Delta$. For $\lambda = 0, \alpha = 0$ and $t = -1$ in $M(\lambda, \alpha, t)$, we get the class the class $S^*(0, -1)$ studied by Sakaguchi [11]. A function $f(z) \in S^*(\alpha, -1)$ is called Sakaguchi function of order α .

Corresponding author: B. Srutha Keerthi, *E-mail: laya@svce.ac.in

In this paper, we define the following class $M(\lambda, \phi, t)$, which is generalization of the class $M(\lambda, \alpha, t)$.

Definition: 1.1 Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ be univalent starlike function with respect to '1' which maps the unit disk Δ onto a region in the right half plane which is symmetric with respect to the real axis, and let $B_1 > 0$. Then function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $M(\lambda, \phi, t)$ if

$$\left\{ \frac{(1-t)[\lambda z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)]}{(1-\lambda)[f(z) - f(tz)] + \lambda z[f'(z) - t f'(tz)]} \right\} \prec \phi(z),$$

$$|t| \leq 1, t \neq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \quad (1.4)$$

For $\lambda = 0$ in (1.4) we get the class $S^*(\phi, t)$ which was defined by Goyal and Goswami [3].

Definition: 1.2 Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ be univalent starlike function with respect to '1' which maps the unit disk Δ onto a region in the right half plane which is symmetric with respect to the real axis, and let $B_1 > 0$. Then function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $S^*(\phi, t)$ if

$$\left\{ \frac{(1-t)z f'(z)}{f(z) - f(tz)} \right\} \prec \phi(z), \quad |t| \leq 1, t \neq 1 \quad (1.5)$$

Again $T(\phi, t)$ denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting functions $f(z)$ such that $z f'(z) \in S^*(\phi, t)$.

When $\phi(z) = (1+Az)/(1+Bz)$, $(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$, we denote the subclasses $S^*(\phi, t)$ and $T(\phi, t)$ by $S^*[A, B, t]$ and $\mathcal{T}[A, B, t]$ respectively.

Obviously $S^*(\phi, 0) \equiv S^*(\phi)$. When $t = -1$, then $S^*(\phi, -1) \equiv S_S^*(\phi)$, which is a known class studied by Shanmugam et al. [12]. For $t = 0$ and $\phi(z) = (1+Az)/(1+Bz)$, $(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$, the subclass $S^*(\phi, t)$ reduces to the class $S^*[A, B]$ studied by Janowski [4]. For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ let $S^*(\alpha, t) := S^*[1-2\alpha, -1; t]$, which is a known class studied by Owa et al. [10]. Also, for

$t = -1$ and $\phi(z) = \frac{1 + (1-2\alpha)z}{1-z}$, our class reduces to a known class $S(\alpha, -1)$ studied by Cho et al. ([1], see also [10]).

In the present paper, we obtain the Fekete-Szegő inequality for the functions in the subclass $M(\lambda, \phi, t)$. We also give application of our results to certain functions defined through convolution (or Hadamard product) and in particular, we consider the class $M^\delta(\lambda, \phi, t)$ defined by fractional derivatives.

To prove our main results, we need the following lemma:

Lemma: 1.3 [6] If $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ is an analytic function with positive real part in Δ , then

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4\nu + 2 & \text{if } \nu \leq 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu \leq 1, \\ 4\nu - 2 & \text{if } \nu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

When $\nu < 0$ or $\nu > 1$, the equality holds if and only if $p(z)$ is $(1+z)/(1-z)$ or one of its rotations. If $0 < \nu < 1$, then the equality holds if and only if $p(z)$ is $(1+z^2)/(1-z^2)$ or one of its rotations. If $\nu = 0$, the equality holds if and only if

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda \right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$$

or one of its rotations. If $\nu = 1$, the equality holds if and only if $p(z)$ is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that the equality holds in the case of $\nu = 0$.

Also the above upper bound is sharp, and it can be improved as follows when $0 < \nu < 1$:

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + \nu |c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad (0 < \nu \leq 1/2)$$

and

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + (1 - \nu) |c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad (1/2 < \nu \leq 1).$$

Lemma: 1.4 [5] If $p_1(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ is a function with positive real part, then

$$|c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max \{1, |2\mu - 1|\},$$

where μ is complex and the result is sharp for the functions given by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}, \quad p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Our main result is contained in the following theorem:

Theorem: 2.1 If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $M(\lambda, \phi, t)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)} \left[B_2 + B_1^2 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) - \frac{\mu B_1^2 (1+2\lambda)(2+t)}{(1+\lambda)^2 (1-t)} \right] & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1 \\ \frac{B_1}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)} & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2 \\ -\frac{1}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)} \left[B_2 + B_1^2 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) - \frac{\mu B_1^2 (1+2\lambda)(2+t)}{(1+\lambda)^2 (1-t)} \right] & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)}{B_1(1+2\lambda)(2+t)} \left\{ -1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{B_1(1+t)}{(1-t)} \right\}$$

and

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)}{B_1(1+2\lambda)(2+t)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{B_1(1+t)}{(1-t)} \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof: Let $f \in M(\lambda, \phi, t)$. Then there exists a Schwarz function $w(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\frac{(1-t)[\lambda z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)]}{(1-\lambda)[f(z) - f(tz)] + \lambda z[f'(z) - t f'(tz)]} = \phi(w(z))$$

$$(z \in \Delta; |t| \leq 1, t \neq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1) \quad (2.1)$$

If $p_1(z)$ is analytic and has positive real part in Δ and $p_1(0) = 1$, then

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.2), we obtain

$$w(z) = \frac{c_1}{2}z + \frac{1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \quad (2.3)$$

Let

$$p(z) = \frac{(1-t)[\lambda z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)]}{(1-\lambda)[f(z) - f(tz)] + \lambda z[f'(z) - t f'(tz)]} = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (2.4)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= (1+\lambda)(1-t)a_2 & \text{and} \\ b_2 &= (1+\lambda)^2(t^2-1)a_2^2 + (1+2\lambda)(2-t-t^2)a_3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Since $\phi(z)$ is univalent and $p \prec \phi$, therefore using (2.3), we obtain

$$p(z) = \phi(w(z)) = 1 + \frac{B_1 c_1}{2} z + \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) B_1 + \frac{1}{4} c_1^2 B_2 \right\} z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (2.6)$$

Now from (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1+\lambda)(1-t)a_2 &= \frac{B_1 c_1}{2}, \\ (1+\lambda)^2(t^2-1)a_2^2 + (1+2\lambda)(2-t-t^2)a_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) B_1 + \frac{1}{4} c_1^2 B_2, \\ |t| &\leq 1, t \neq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{B_1}{2(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)} [c_2 - \nu c_1^2] \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - B_1 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) + \mu B_1 \frac{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)}{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)} \right].$$

Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 1.3. To shows that these bounds are sharp, we define the functions K_{ϕ_n} ($n = 2, 3 \dots$) by

$$\frac{(1-t)[\lambda z^2 K_{\phi_n}''(z) + z K_{\phi_n}'(z)]}{(1-\lambda)[K_{\phi_n}(z) - K_{\phi_n}(tz)] + \lambda z[K_{\phi_n}'(z) - t K_{\phi_n}'(tz)]} = \phi(z^{n-1}),$$

$$K_{\phi_n}(0) = 0 = [K_{\phi_n}]'(0) - 1$$

and the function F_η and G_η ($0 \leq \eta \leq 1$) by

$$\frac{(1-t)[\lambda z^2 F_\eta''(z) + z F_\eta'(z)]}{(1-\lambda)[F_\eta(z) - F_\eta(tz)] + \lambda z[F_\eta'(z) - t F_\eta'(tz)]} = \phi\left(\frac{z(z+\eta)}{(1+\eta z)}\right),$$

$$F_\mu(0) = 0 = [F_\eta]'(0) - 1$$

and

$$\frac{(1-t)[\lambda z^2 G_\eta''(z) + z G_\eta'(z)]}{(1-\lambda)[G_\eta(z) - G_\eta(tz)] + \lambda z[G_\eta'(z) - t G_\eta'(tz)]} = \phi\left(\frac{-z(z+\eta)}{(1+\eta z)}\right),$$

$$G_\mu(0) = 0 = [G_\eta]'(0) - 1.$$

Obviously the functions $K_{\phi_n}, F_\eta, G_\eta \in M(\lambda, \alpha, t)$. Also we write $K_\phi := K_{\phi_2}$. If $\mu < \sigma_1$ or $\mu > \sigma_2$, then equality holds if and only if f is K_ϕ or one of its rotations. When $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$, the equality holds if and only if f is K_{ϕ_2} or one of its

rotations. $\mu = \sigma_1$ then equality holds if and only if f is F_η or one of its rotations. $\mu = \sigma_2$ then equality holds if and only if f is G_η or one of its rotations.

If $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, in view of Lemma 1.3, Theorem 2.1 can be improved.

Theorem: 2.2 Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $M(\lambda, \alpha, t)$ and σ_3 be given by

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{1}{B_1} \left(\frac{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)} \right) \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) \right]$$

If $\sigma_1 < \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{1}{B_1^2} \left[(B_1 - B_2) \left(\frac{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)} \right) - B_1^2 \left(\frac{(1+\lambda)^2(1+t)}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)} \right) + \mu B_1^2 \right] |a_2|^2 \\ \leq \frac{B_1}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma_3 < \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{1}{B_1^2} \left[(B_1 + B_2) \left(\frac{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)} \right) + B_1^2 \left(\frac{(1+\lambda)^2(1+t)}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)} \right) - \mu B_1^2 \right] |a_2|^2 \\ \leq \frac{B_1}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2.1 we get Fekete-Szegő inequality for functions to be in the class $S^*(\phi, t)$ which was given by Goyal and Goswami [3].

Theorem: 2.3 If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) belongs to $M(\lambda, \phi, t)$ then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{B_1(1+t)}{(1-t)} - \frac{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)}{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)} \mu B_1 \right| \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof: By applying the Lemma 1.4 in (2.7) we get Theorem 2.3. The result is sharp for the functions defined by

$$\frac{(1-t)[\lambda z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)]}{(1-\lambda)[f(z) - f(tz)] + \lambda z[f'(z) - t f'(tz)]} = \phi(z^2)$$

and

$$\frac{(1-t)[\lambda z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)]}{(1-\lambda)[f(z) - f(tz)] + \lambda z[f'(z) - t f'(tz)]} = \phi(z).$$

3. APPLICATIONS TO FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVES

For two analytic functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n z^n$, their convolution (or Hadamard product) is

defined to be the function $(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n g_n z^n$. For a fixed $g \in \mathcal{A}$, let $M^g(\lambda, \phi, t)$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $(f * g) \in M(\lambda, \phi, t)$.

Definition: 3.1 Let $f(z)$ be analytic in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing origin. The fractional derivative of f of order δ is defined by

$${}_0D_z^\delta f(z) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\delta)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z (z-\zeta)^{-\delta} f(\zeta) d\zeta \quad (0 \leq \delta < 1), \quad (3.1)$$

where the multiplicity of $(z - \zeta)^{-\delta}$ is removed by requiring that $\log(z - \zeta)$ is real for $(z - \zeta) > 0$.

Using Definition 3.1, Owa and Srivastava (see [7, 8]; see also [13, 14]) introduced a fractional derivative operator $\Omega^\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$(\Omega^\delta f)(z) = \Gamma(2-\delta) {}_0D_z^\delta f(z), \quad (\delta \neq 2, 3, 4, \dots).$$

The class $M^\delta(\lambda, \phi, t)$ consists of the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $\Omega^\delta f \in M(\lambda, \phi, t)$. The class $M^\delta(\lambda, \phi, t)$ is a special case of the class $M^\xi(\lambda, \phi, t)$ when

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\delta)}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta)} z^n, \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Now applying Theorem 2.1 for the function $(f * g)(z) = z + g_2 a_2 z^2 + g_3 a_3 z^3 + \dots$, we get following theorem after an obvious change of the parameter μ :

Theorem: 3.2 Let $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n$ ($g_n > 0$). If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) belongs to $M^\xi(\lambda, \phi, t)$ then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{g_3(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)} \left[B_2 + B_1^2 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) - \mu \frac{g_3}{g_2^2} B_1^2 \frac{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)}{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)} \right] & \text{if } \mu \leq \eta_1 \\ \frac{B_1}{g_3(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)} & \text{if } \eta_1 \leq \mu \leq \eta_2 \\ -\frac{1}{g_3(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)} \left[B_2 + B_1^2 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) - \mu \frac{g_3}{g_2^2} B_1^2 \frac{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)}{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)} \right] & \text{if } \mu \geq \eta_2 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\eta_1 = \frac{g_2^2(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)}{B_1 g_3(1+2\lambda)(2+t)} \left\{ -1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) \right\},$$

$$\eta_2 = \frac{g_2^2(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)}{B_1 g_3(1+2\lambda)(2+t)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

Since

$$\Omega^\delta f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\delta)}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta)} z^n.$$

We have

$$g_2 := \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(2-\delta)}{\Gamma(3-\delta)} = \frac{2}{2-\delta}, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$g_3 := \frac{\Gamma(4)\Gamma(2-\delta)}{\Gamma(4-\delta)} = \frac{6}{(2-\delta)(3-\delta)}. \quad (3.3)$$

For g_2, g_3 given by (3.2) and (3.3) respectively, Theorem 3.2 reduces to the following:

Theorem: 3.3 Let $\delta < 2$. If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) belongs to $M^\delta(\lambda, \phi, t)$ then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(2-\delta)(3-\delta)}{6(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)} \left[B_2 + B_1^2 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) - \frac{3}{2} \mu \left(\frac{2-\delta}{3-\delta} \right) \frac{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)}{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)} B_1^2 \right] & \text{if } \mu \leq \eta_1^* \\ \frac{(2-\delta)(3-\delta)B_1}{6(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)} & \text{if } \eta_1^* \leq \mu \leq \eta_2^* \\ \frac{-(2-\delta)(3-\delta)}{6(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)} \left[B_2 + B_1^2 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) - \frac{3}{2} \mu \left(\frac{2-\delta}{3-\delta} \right) \frac{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)}{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)} B_1^2 \right] & \text{if } \mu \geq \eta_2^* \end{cases}$$

where

$$\eta_1^* = \frac{2}{3B_1} \left(\frac{3-\delta}{2-\delta} \right) \left(\frac{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)} \right) \left\{ -1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) \right\},$$

$$\eta_2^* = \frac{2}{3B_1} \left(\frac{3-\delta}{2-\delta} \right) \left(\frac{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)} \right) \left\{ 1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

For the case $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 3.2, we get Fekete-Szegő inequality for functions to be in the class $S^\delta(\phi, t)$ which are given by Goyal and Goswami [3].

Theorem: 3.4 Let $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n$ ($g_n > 0$). If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) belongs to $M^\delta(\lambda, \phi, t)$ then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)g_3} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) - \frac{(1+2\lambda)(2+t)\mu g_3 B_1}{(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)g_2^2} \right| \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

Theorem: 3.5 If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) belongs to $M^\delta(\lambda, \phi, t)$ then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1(2-\delta)(3-\delta)}{6(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(1-t)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t} \right) - \frac{6(1+2\lambda)(2+t)(2-\delta)\mu B_1}{4(1+\lambda)^2(1-t)(3-\delta)} \right| \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

Theorems 3.4, Theorem 3.5 were obtained by applying Lemma 1.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon and S. Owa, Certain subclasses of Sakaguchi functions, *SEA Bull. Math.*, **17** (1993), 121–126.
- [2] A. W. Goodman, Uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **56** (1991), 87–92.
- [3] S. P. Goyal and P. Goswami, Certain coefficient inequalities for Sakaguchi type functions and applications to fractional derivative operator, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, **19** (2009), 159–166.
- [4] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronomy*, **21** (1973), 17–25.
- [5] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **20** (1969), 8–12.
- [6] W. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in : *Proceedings of Conference of Complex Analysis*, Z. Li., F. Ren, L. Yang, and S. Zhang (Eds.), Intenational Press (1994), 157–169.
- [7] S. Owa, On distortion theorems I, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **18** (1) (1978), 53–59.

- [8] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, *Canad. J. Math.*, **39** (5) (1987), 1057–1077.
- [9] S. Owa, T. Sekine and R. Yamakawa, Notes on Sakaguchi type functions, *RIMS Kokyuroku*, **1414** (2005), 76–82.
- [10] S. Owa, T. Sekine, and R. Yamakawa, On Sakaguchi type functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **187** (2007), 356–361.
- [11] K. Sakaguchi, On a certain univalent mapping, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **11** (1959), 72–75.
- [12] T. N. Shanmugham, C. Ramachandran and V. Ravichandran, Fekete-Szegő problem for a subclasses of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **43** (3) (2006), 589–598.
- [13] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, An application of fractional derivative, *Math. Japon.*, **29** (3) (1984), 383–389.
- [14] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Univalent Functions, *Fractional Calculus and Their Applications*, Halsted Press/ John Wiley and Sons, Chichester / New York, 1989.
