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#### Abstract

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple finite undirected graph. A subset $S$ of $V$ is called an equivalence set if every component of the induced sub graph $\langle S\rangle$ is complete. The equivalence number $\beta_{e}(G)$ is the maximum cardinality of an equivalence set of $G$ [3]. A vertex $u$ in $V(G)$ is said to be $\beta_{e}$-good if $u$ belongs to a $\beta_{e}$ set of $G$. $G$ is said to be $\beta_{e}$ excellent if every vertex of $G$ is $\beta_{e}$-good. A graph $G=(V, E)$ is said to be very $\beta_{e}$-excellent if there exists a $\beta_{e}$-set $S$ of $G$ such that for every $u$ in $V$-S, there exists a vertex $v$ in $S$ such that $(S-\{v\}) \cup\{u\}$ is $\beta_{e}$-set of $G$. S is called a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set of $G$ and $G$ is called a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph. An equivalence graph is a vertex disjoint union of complete graphs. The concept of equivalence set, sub chromatic number, generalized coloring and equivalence covering number were studied in [1], [2], [4], [5], [6], [8], [10]. In this paper the concept of very $\beta_{e}$-excellence is studied.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Gred.H. Fricke et al [7] called a vertex u of a graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ to be $\mu$-good if u is contained in a $\mu(G)$-set of G (where $\mu$ is a parameter). G is said to be $\mu$-excellent if every vertex in V is $\mu$-good. A number of results has been proved by taking $\mu$ as the domination parameter. Sridharan and Yamuna [12], [13] introduced several types of excellence, one of them being rigid excellence. A graph G is said to be rigid $\mu$-excellent if every vertex of G belongs to a unique $\mu$-set of G. Rigid $\gamma$-excellence was studied in [13]. A similar study was made with respect to the parameter $\beta_{0}$ in [11]. A sub set S of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})$ is said to be an equivalence set if every component of $\langle S\rangle$ is complete. A graph $G$ is said to be an equivalence graph if $V(G)$ is an equivalence set. The maximum cardinality of an equivalence set is denoted by $\beta_{e}(G)$ [3]. In this paper, very $\beta_{e}$-excellence is defined and several results are derived.

## 2. Very $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{e}}$-Excellence of a Graph

Definition 2.1: A graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ is said to be very $\beta_{e}$-excellent if there exists a $\beta_{e}$-set S of G such that for every u in V-S, there exists a vertex $v$ in $S$ such that $(S-\{v\}) \cup\{u\}$ is a $\beta_{e}$-set of $G$. $S$ is called a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set of G and G is called a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph.

[^0]Example 2.2: Consider $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ with $V\left(P_{4}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$.


A graph which is very $\beta_{e}$-excellent

## Figure-2.1

$\mathrm{S}=\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{u}_{4}\right\}$ is a $\beta_{e}$-set of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$. Also $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ is $\beta_{e}$-excellent. $V-S=\left\{u_{3}\right\}$ and $\left(S-\left\{u_{2}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{u_{3}\right\}$ is a $\beta_{e}$ set of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$. Therefore, S is a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ is a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph.

Remark 2.3: Any very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph is a $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph.

Proof: Let $G$ be a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph and let $S$ be a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set of $G$. Let $u \in V-S$. Then there exist $v \in S$ such that $(S-\{v\}) \cup\{u\}$ is a $\beta_{e}$-set of G. Therefore, every vertex of V-S is an element of a $\beta_{e}$-set of G. Since S is a $\beta_{e}$-set of G , every element of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})$ is in a $\beta_{e}$-set of G . Therefore, G is $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Remark 2.4: A very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph need not be a rigid $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph. For example, $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ is a very $\beta_{e}$ excellent graph. But is not a rigid $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph.

## Very $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{e}}$-excellence for standard graphs

1. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is very $\beta_{e}$-excellent for all n .
2. $\mathrm{K}_{1, \mathrm{n}}$ is not a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph for any $n \geq 2$.
3. $\overline{K_{n}}$ is a very $\beta_{e}$ - excellent for all $n$.
4. $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent for $n \geq 5$.
5. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}}$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent .
6. Petersen graph is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.
7. Any equivalence graph is very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Proposition 2.5: $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is very $\beta_{e}$-excellent iff $n=2,3,4,6,7,9,12$.

Proof: When $n \equiv 2(\bmod 3), \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is not $\beta_{e}$-excellent and hence not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.
Therefore, the possible values of $n$ are $n=15 r, n=15 r+1, n=15 r+3, \quad n=15 r+4, n=15 r+6$, $n=15 r+7, n=15 r+9, n=15 r+10, n=15 r+12, n=15 r+13, n=15 r+15(r \geq 1)$.

Case I: $n=15 r$. Let $n=3 k$. Then $k=5 r$; If $n=3 k$ then $\beta_{e}=2 k=10 r$.

Since the number of vertices is 15 r , there are 3 r consecutive five vertices set. For very $\beta_{e}$-excellence, from each set at most 3 vertices can be taken. Therefore, at most $3(3 r)=9 r$ vertices can be taken for constructing a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set. But $\beta_{e}\left(P_{n}\right)=10 \mathrm{r}$ where $n=15 r$. Therefore, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ where $n=15 r$ is not very $\beta_{e}$ excellent.

Case II: $n=15 r+1$.
$n=3 k+1$ implies $k=5 r ; \beta_{e}=2 k+1=10 r+1$.

Since there are $15 r+1$ vertices, we have $3 r$ five consecutive element sets. From these sets as per the definition of very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set, at most 3 vertices can be taken from each set. The number of possible vertices chosen is $3(3 \mathrm{r})+1=9 \mathrm{r}+1$. But $\beta_{e}=10 r+1$. Therefore, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ where $\mathrm{n}=15 \mathrm{r}+1$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Case III: $n=15 r+3$
$\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{k}$ where $k=5 r+1, \beta_{e}=2 k=2(5 r+1)$.

The number of possible vertices in a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set chosen is $3(3 r)+2=9 r+2$.

Hence, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ where $\mathrm{n}=15 \mathrm{r}+3$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.
Case IV: $n=15 r+4 . n=3 k+1$ where $k=5 r+1, \beta_{e}=2 k+1=2(5 r+1)+1=10 r+3$.

The number of possible vertices chosen with respect to the definition of very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set is $3(3 r)+3=9 r+3$.
But $\beta_{e}=10 r+3$.
Therefore, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ where $\mathrm{n}=15 \mathrm{r}+4$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.
Case $\mathrm{V}: n=15 r+6, \quad n=3 k$ where $k=5 r+2, \quad \beta_{e}=2 k=2(5 r+2)=10 r+4$. There are $3 r+1$ five consecutive elements sets and from each set at most 3 vertices can be chosen is at most $3(3 r+1)+1=9 r+4$. But $\beta_{e}=10 r+4$. Therefore, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ where $\mathrm{n}=15 \mathrm{r}+6$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Case VI: $n=15 r+7, n=3 k+1$ where $k=5 r+2, \beta_{e}=2 k+1=2(5 r+2)+1=10 r+5$.

The number of maximum possible vertices chosen for a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set is $3(3 r+1)+2=9 r+5$. But $\beta_{e}=10 r+5$. Therefore, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ where $\mathrm{n}=15 \mathrm{r}+7$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Case VII: $n=15 r+9 ; n=3 k+3$ where $k=5 r+3, \beta_{e}=2 k=2(5 r+3)=10 r+6$.

The number of possible vertices chosen for constructing a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set is $3(3 r+1)+3=9 r+6$. But $\beta_{e}=10 r+6$. Therefore, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ where $\mathrm{n}=15 \mathrm{r}+9$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Case VIII: $n=15 r+10 . n=3 k+1$ where $k=5 r+3 ; \beta_{e}=2 k+1=2(5 r+3)+1=10 r+7$. The number of possible vertices chosen for constructing a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set is $3(3 r+2)=9 r+6$. But $\beta_{e}=10 r+7$. Therefore, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ where $\mathrm{n}=15 \mathrm{r}+10$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Case IX: $n=15 r+12, n=3 k$ where $k=5 r+4 ; \beta_{e}=2 k=2(5 r+4)=10 r+8$.

The number of possible vertices chosen for constructing a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set is $3(3 \mathrm{r}+2)+2=9 \mathrm{r}+8$. But $\beta_{e}=10 r+8$. Therefore, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ where $n=15 r+12$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set.

Case X: $n=15 r+13 . n=3 k+1$ where $k=5 r+4 ; \beta_{e}=2 k+1=2(5 r+4)+1=10 r+9$.

The number of possible vertices chosen for constructing a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set is $3(3 \mathrm{r}+2)+2$. But $\beta_{e}=10 r+9$. Therefore $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ where $n=15 r+13$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set.

Case XI: $n=15 r+15 . n=3 k$ where $k=5 r+5 ; \beta_{e}=2 k=2(5 r+5)=10 r+10$.

The number of possible vertices chosen for constructing a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set is $3(3 \mathrm{r}+5)=9 \mathrm{r}+15$. But $\beta_{e}=10 r+10$. Therefore $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ where $n=15 r+15$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set.

When $n=1,2,3,4 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is clearly very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

When $\mathrm{n}=6,\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{u}_{5}, \mathrm{u}_{6}\right\}$ is a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set where $V\left(P_{6}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}, u_{5}, u_{6}\right\}$.

When $\mathrm{n}=7,\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{u}_{4}, \mathrm{u}_{6}, \mathrm{u}_{7}\right\}$ is a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set.
When $n=9,\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \mathrm{u}_{4}, \mathrm{u}_{6}, \mathrm{u}_{7}, \mathrm{u}_{9}\right\}$ is a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.
When $n=10 ; n=3 k+1$ where $k=3$. There are two five consecutive elements set in $\mathrm{P}_{10}$ and at most 6 element are possible for a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent. Hence $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

When $n=12$, $\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{k}$ where $k=4 . \beta_{e}\left(P_{n}\right)=8$.

The set $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{4}, u_{6}, u_{7}, u_{9}, u_{11}, u_{12}\right\}$ is a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent and hence $\mathrm{P}_{12}$ is a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph.

When $\mathrm{n}=13, \mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{k}+1$ where $\mathrm{k}=4 . \quad \beta_{e}\left(P_{13}\right)=9$. There are two five consecutive element sets with 3 elements remaining in the last. Hence at most 6 elements can be taken from the two consecutive elements sets and all the three remaining elements are to be taken for having 9 elements. This might will not give a $\beta_{e}$-set, since 3 consecutive elements cannot be taken in a $\beta_{e}$-set. Hence $P_{13}$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Proposition 2.6: $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is very $\beta_{e}$-excellent only if $\mathrm{n}=3,4,5,7,10,13$.
Proof: Arguing as in the previous proposition 2.5 the above result is obtained.
Remark 2.7: If a graph G has a unique $\beta_{e}$-set which is not $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})$ then G is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Proposition 2.8: $C_{n} \circ K_{1}$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

## Proof:

Case I: Let n be even.
Let $V\left(C_{n} \circ K_{1}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Any $\beta_{e}$-set S of $C_{n} \circ K_{1}$ consists of all $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's and alternate $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's. Any vertex outside $S$ cannot come inside by replacing a vertex of $S$ without affecting the equivalence nature of $S$. Therefore, $C_{n} \circ K_{1}$ is not very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Case II: Let n be odd.
A similar argument as before shows that there exist no $\beta_{e}$ excellent set which is very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Observation 2.9: A very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph may have isolates. Also, there are non-equivalence graphs which have isolates and which are very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

For example, $K_{m} \cup \overline{K_{n}}$ is a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph which have isolates, but this is an equivalence graph. $C_{4} \cup K_{1}$ is a non equivalence graph which is very $\beta_{e}$-excellent and which has an isolate.

Remark 2.10: If $G$ is a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph then $G \cup \overline{K_{m}}$ is also very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Proposition 2.11: Let $G$ be very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph without isolates. Let S be a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set of G . Then for any $u \in S,|p n[u, S]| \geq 1$.

Proof: Let G be a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph and let S be a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set of G . Let $u \in S$. Suppose u is an isolate of $S$ and any neighbor of $u$ in $G$ is adjacent with some vertex of $S$ other than $u$. Then $p n[u, S]=1$. Also, if all the neighbors of $u$ form a complete sub graph with $u$, then $p n[u, S]=1$.

Corollary 2.12: $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ is very $\beta_{e}$-excellent. $S=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{5}, u_{6}\right\}$ is a very $\beta_{e}$ excellent set of $G$ and $p n\left[u_{5}, S\right]=2>1$
Remark 2.13: Let $G$ be a graph without isolates. Let S be a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set of G . Let $x \in V-S$. Then there exist $u \in S$ such that $(S-\{u\}) \cup\{x\}$ is a $\beta_{e}$-set of $G$. x need not be a private neighbour of $u$. For example, $P_{7}$ is very $\beta_{e}$-excellent. Let $V\left(P_{7}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}, u_{5}, u_{6}, u_{7}\right\}$. Let $S=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{4}, u_{6}, u_{7}\right\}$. Then S is a very $\beta_{e}$ excellent subset of $V(G)$. $\left(S-\left\{u_{2}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{u_{3}\right\}$ is a $\beta_{e}$-set of $G$. But $u_{3}$ is not a private neighbour of $u_{2}$.

Theorem 2.14: Let $G$ be a graph without isolates. Suppose there exist a $\beta_{e}$-set S of G such that for every $x \in V-S$, there exist $u \in S$ such that $x \in p n(u, S)$. Then $G$ is very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Proof: By hypothesis, there exist a $\beta_{e}$-set S of $G$ such that for every $x \in V-S$, there exist $u \in S$ such that $x \in p n(u, S)$. Then $(S-\{u\}) \cup\{x\} \quad$ is a $\beta_{e}$-set of G . Therefore S is a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent set of G . Hence G is a very $\beta_{e}$-excellent graph.

Illustration 2.15: Let $V\left(C_{4}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$. Let $S=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$. Then $u_{3}$ and $u_{4}$ are private neighbours of S . $\left(S-\left\{u_{2}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{u_{3}\right\}$ is a $\beta_{e}$-set.
$\left(S-\left\{u_{1}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{u_{4}\right\}$ is a $\beta_{e}$-set.
Theorem 2.16: Let G be a graph such that G is an equivalence graph. Let $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{k}$ be the components of G which are complete. Add vertices $\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{u}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{u}_{k}$. Join $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}$ only with every vertex of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}, 1 \leq i \leq k$. Let H be the resulting graph. Then H is very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.

Proof: Clearly H is an equivalence graph and H is very $\beta_{e}$-excellent.
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