

CERTAIN INTEGRAL TRANSFORMATIONS PERTAINING
 TO THE MULTIVARIABLE A- FUNCTION

PINKY LATA, MUKESH AGNIHOTRI*

Mathematics, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

(Received On: 04-02-16; Revised & Accepted On: 19-05-16)

ABSTRACT

The object of the present paper is to obtain few general multiple integral transformations of the multivariable A-function (1981), as a kernel product with Fox's H-function [3, p. 408] and Laguerre polynomials respectively with the general class of polynomial ([4] and [7]). Several possible cases are also included.

Key Words And Phrases: A-function, H-function, Laguerre polynomials, General class of polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gautam and Goyal [1981] defined the multivariable A-function, which is a generalization of multivariable H-function of Srivastava and Panda [1976 b]. The definition of multivariable A-function runs as follows:

$$F[z_1, \dots, z_r] = A_{\nu, C: \nu_1, C_1; \dots; \nu_r, C_r}^{\mu, \lambda: \mu_1, \lambda_1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 & (a_j; A_j'; \dots; A_j^{(r)})_{1, \nu} & (\tau_j', C_j')_{1, \nu_1} & \dots & (\tau_j^r, C_j^r)_{1, \nu_r} \\ z_r & (b_j; B_j'; \dots; B_j^{(r)})_{1, C} & (d_j', D_j')_{1, C_1} & \dots & (d_j^r, D_j^r)_{1, C_r} \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \theta_1(s_1) \dots \theta_r(s_r) \Phi(s_1, \dots, s_r) z_1^{s_1} \dots z_r^{s_r} ds_1 \dots ds_r, \quad (1.1)$$

where, $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$

$$i(s_i) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\mu_i} \Gamma(d_j^{(i)} - D_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \Gamma(1 - \tau_j^{(i)} + C_j^{(i)} s_i)}{\prod_{j=\mu_i+1}^{c_i} \Gamma(1 - d_j^{(i)} + D_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=\lambda_i+1}^{D_i} \Gamma(\tau_j^{(i)} - C_j^{(i)} s_i)}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, r, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\Phi(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda} \Gamma(1 - a_j + \sum_{i=1}^r A_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=1}^{\mu} \Gamma(b_j^{(i)} - \sum_{i=1}^r B_j^{(i)} s_i)}{\prod_{j=\mu+1}^c \Gamma(1 - b_j + \sum_{i=1}^r B_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=\lambda+1}^D \Gamma(a_j^{(i)} - \sum_{i=1}^r A_j^{(i)} s_i)}, \quad (1.3)$$

Here $\mu, \lambda, \nu, C, \mu_i, \lambda_i, \nu_i$ and c_i are non-negative integers and all a_j 's, b_j 's, $d_j^{(i)}$'s, $\tau_j^{(i)}$'s, $B_j^{(i)}$'s complex numbers.

The multiple integral defining the A-function of r-variables converges absolutely if

$$\xi_i^* = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

$$d_i > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\text{and } |\arg(\xi_i) z_k| < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta_i, \quad (1.6)$$

Corresponding Author: Mukesh Agnihotri*, Mathematics, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

where

$$\xi_i = \prod_{j=1}^D \{A_j^{(i)}\}^{A_j^{(i)}} \prod_{j=1}^C \{B_j^{(i)}\}^{-B_j^{(i)}} \prod_{j=1}^{c_i} \{D_j^{(i)}\}^{D_j^{(i)}} \prod_{j=1}^{v_i} \{C_j^{(i)}\}^{-C_j^{(i)}} , \tag{1.7}$$

$$\xi_i^* = \text{img} \left[\sum_{j=1}^v A_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^C B_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{c_i} D_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^{v_i} C_j^{(i)} \right] [0,1], \tag{1.8}$$

$$\eta_i = \text{Re} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} A_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^v A_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} B_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^C B_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\mu_i} D_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^{c_i} D_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} C_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^{v_i} C_j^{(i)} \right], \forall i = 1, \dots, r. \tag{1.9}$$

If we take A_j 's, B_j 's, C_j 's and $D_j^{(i)}$'s as real and $\mu = 0$, the A-function reduces to multivariable H-function of Srivastava and Panda [1976 b].

Srivastava [4]introduce the general class of polynomials (see also Srivastava and Singh [7])

$$S_{\alpha}^{\beta}[z] = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \beta/\alpha \rfloor} \frac{(-\beta)_{k\alpha}}{k!} A_{\beta,k} z^k, \beta = 0,1,2,\dots, \tag{1.10}$$

where α an arbitrary positive integer and coefficients $A_{\beta,k} (\beta, k \geq 0)$ are arbitrary constants, real or complex.

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

$$(i) \int_0^{\infty} \dots \int_0^{\infty} x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^{\sigma} S_{\alpha}^{\beta} [\eta (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^h] \tag{1.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{p,q}^{m,0} \left[\xi (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r}) \left| \begin{matrix} (e_1, \varepsilon_1), \dots, (e_p, \varepsilon_p) \\ (g_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (g_q, \gamma_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \cdot A_{\nu, C; \nu_1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda; \mu_1, \lambda_1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 X_1 \\ \dots \\ z_r X_r \end{matrix} \right] dx_1 \dots dx_r \\ &= \xi^{-S} \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \beta/\alpha \rfloor} \frac{(-\beta)_{k\alpha}}{k!} A_{\beta,k} \eta^k \xi^{-hk} A_{\nu+r+q, C+p+\nu_1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda+r+m; \mu_1, \lambda_1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r} \\ & \quad [1-\rho_j/\sigma_j : \xi'_j/\sigma_j, \dots, \xi_j^{(r)}/\sigma_j]_{1,r}, [1-g_j-(S+hk)\gamma_j; N_1\gamma_j, \dots, N_r\gamma_j]_{1,q} : \\ & \quad [1-S+\sigma : N_1-n_1, \dots, N_r-n_r], [1-e_j-(S+hk)\varepsilon_j; N_1\varepsilon_j, \dots, N_r\varepsilon_j]_{1,p} : \\ & \quad (a_j; A_j'; \dots; A_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu} (\tau_j', C_j')_{1,\nu_1}; \dots; (\tau_j^{(r)}, C_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu_r} \left[\begin{matrix} Z_1 \\ \dots \\ Z_r \end{matrix} \right], \\ & \quad (b_j; B_j'; \dots; B_j^{(r)})_{1,C} (d_j', D_j')_{1,c_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, D_j^{(r)})_{1,c_r} \left[\begin{matrix} Z_1 \\ \dots \\ Z_r \end{matrix} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$X_i = x_1^{\xi_1^{(i)}} \dots x_r^{\xi_r^{(i)}} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^{\eta_i}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$S = \sigma + \frac{\sigma_1}{\rho_1} + \dots + \frac{\sigma_r}{\rho_r}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) = (\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_r)^{-1} k_1^{-\sigma_1/\rho_1}, \dots, k_r^{-\sigma_r/\rho_r}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$N_i = n_i + \frac{\xi_1^{(i)}}{\rho_1} + \dots + \frac{\xi_r^{(i)}}{\rho_r}, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$Z_i = z_i \xi_1^{-N_1} k_1^{-\xi_1^{(i)}/\rho_1} \dots k_r^{-\xi_r^{(i)}/\rho_r}. \quad S. \tag{2.6}$$

The above integral formula (2.1) is valid under the following sufficient conditions:

(a) $k_i > 0, \rho_i > 0, n_i \geq 0, \xi_i^{(i)} > 0, \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, (2.7)

(b) $\text{Re}(\sigma_i) > 0, i = 1, \dots, r$ and

$$\text{Re}(S) > -\sum_{i=1}^r N_i \delta_i - \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \text{Re} \left(\frac{g_j}{\gamma_j} \right) \right\}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\delta_i = \min \left\{ \text{Re} \left(\frac{d_j^{(i)}}{D_j^{(i)}} \right) \right\}, j = 1, \dots, \mu_i$, (2.9)

(c) m, n, q are integers such that $1 \leq m \leq q$ and $p \geq 0, \varepsilon_j > 0 (j = 1, \dots, p)$,

$$\gamma_j > 0 (j = 1, \dots, q), \Omega_1 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^p \varepsilon_j - \sum_{j=1}^q \gamma_j < 0, \Omega_2 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j - \sum_{j=m+1}^q \gamma_j - \sum_{j=1}^p \varepsilon_j > 0 \text{ and } |\arg(\xi)| < \frac{1}{2} \pi \Omega_2 \tag{2.9}$$

(d) $A_{\beta,k}$ are arbitrary constants, real or complex and $\beta, k \geq 0$.

(e) Conditions corresponding appropriately (1.4) through (1.6) are satisfied by each of the multivariable A-function occurring in (2.1). Here $H_{p,q}^{m,0}[z]$ denotes the familiar H-function of C. Fox ([3], p. 408, see also [5], p. 310).

(ii)
$$\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^\sigma S_\alpha^\beta [\eta(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^h] \exp[-\gamma(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})] \cdot L_w^{(u)} [\gamma(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})] A_{\nu,C;\nu_1,c_1;\dots;\nu_r,c_r}^{\mu,\lambda;\mu_1,\lambda_1;\dots;\mu_r,\lambda_r} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 x_1^{\xi_1} \\ \dots \\ z_r x_r^{\xi_r} \end{bmatrix} dx_1 \dots dx_r = \frac{(-1)^w \gamma^{-S}}{(w)!} \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \beta/\alpha \rfloor} \frac{(-\beta)_{k\alpha}}{k!} A_{\beta,k} \eta^k \gamma^{-hk} \cdot A_{\nu+2,C+1;\nu_1+1,c_1;\dots;\nu_r+1,c_r}^{\mu,\lambda+2;\mu_1,\lambda_1+1;\dots;\mu_r,\lambda_r+1} \left[\begin{array}{l} [1-S-hk; \xi_1/\rho_1, \dots, \xi_r/\rho_r], [1-S-hk+u; \xi_1/\rho_1, \dots, \xi_r/\rho_r], (a_j; A_j; \dots; A_j^{(r)})_{1,w}; \\ [1-S-hk+u+w; \xi_1/\rho_1, \dots, \xi_r/\rho_r], \\ (1-\sigma_1/\rho_1; \xi_1/\rho_1), (\tau_j, C_j)_{1,\nu_j}; \dots; (1-\sigma_r/\rho_r; \xi_r/\rho_r); (\tau_j^{(r)}, C_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu_r} \\ (b_j; B_j; \dots; B_j^{(r)})_{1,C} (d_j, D_j)_{1,c_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, D_j^{(r)})_{1,c_r} \end{array} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \dots \\ \xi_r \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $L_w^{(u)}(z)$ be the Laguerre polynomial of order u and degree w in z,

$$w \geq 0, k_i > 0, \rho_i > 0, \xi_i > 0, \text{Re}(\sigma_i) > 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, r, \text{Re}(S) > -\sum_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{\xi_i \delta_i}{\rho_i} \right), \text{Re}(\gamma) > 0, \tag{2.11}$$

$\Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r)$, S and δ_i being given by (2.4), (2.3) and (2.8), respectively, $\zeta_i = z_i (\gamma k_i)^{-\xi_i/\rho_i}, i=1, \dots, r$ and conditions given by (1.4) through (1.6) are assumed to hold the multivariable A-function.

3. PROOFS

To prove the main results, we take some assumptions for convenience $\sum n_i s_i$ and $\sum \xi_j^{(i)} s_i$ denotes the r-terms sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^r n_i s_i \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^r \xi_i^{(i)} s_i, \text{ respectively } \forall j = 1, \dots, r. \tag{3.1}$$

Also, let

$$\Delta = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} f(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r}) A_{\nu,C;\nu_1,c_1;\dots;\nu_r,c_r}^{\mu,\lambda;\mu_1,\lambda_1;\dots;\mu_r,\lambda_r} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 x_1^{\xi_1} \\ \dots \\ z_r x_r^{\xi_r} \end{bmatrix} dx_1 \dots dx_r, \tag{3.2}$$

where the X_i are defined by (2.2) and the function f is such that the multiple integral converges. On replacing the multivariable A-function occurring in (3.2) by contour integral given by (1.1), under the various conditions stated with (2.1), we find that

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \theta_1(s_1) \dots \theta_r(s_r) \Phi(s_1, \dots, s_r) z_1^{s_1} \dots z_r^{s_r} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1 + \sum \xi_1^{(i)} s_1 - 1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r + \sum \xi_r^{(i)} s_r - 1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^{\sum n_i s_i} f(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r}) dx_1 \dots dx_r \right\} ds_1 \dots ds_r. \tag{3.3}$$

Now we integrate the innermost (x_1, \dots, x_r) -integral by using the following form of a known result [1, p. 173].

$$\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1 - 1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r - 1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^\sigma f(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r}) dx_1 \dots dx_r = \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_1/\rho_1) \dots \Gamma(\sigma_r/\rho_r)}{\Gamma(\sigma_1/\rho_1 + \dots + \sigma_r/\rho_r)} \int_0^\infty z^{\sigma_1/\rho_1 + \dots + \sigma_r/\rho_r + \sigma - 1} f(z) dz, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ is given by (2.4) and $\min_{1 \leq i \leq r} \{k_i, \rho_i, \text{Re}(\sigma_j)\} > 0$ then (3.3) reduces in the following form

$$\Delta = \frac{\Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r)}{(2\pi\omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \theta_1(s_1) \dots \theta_r(s_r) \Phi(s_1, \dots, s_r) Y_1^{s_1} \dots Y_r^{s_r} \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_1^*/\rho_1) \dots \Gamma(\sigma_r^*/\rho_r)}{\Gamma(\sigma_1^*/\rho_1 + \dots + \sigma_r^*/\rho_r)} \left\{ \int_0^\infty z^{\sigma_1/\rho_1 + \dots + \sigma_r/\rho_r + \sigma - 1} f(z) dz \right\} ds_1 \dots ds_r, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r)$, N_i and S are given by (2.4), (2.5) and (2.3) respectively, and $Y_i = z k_i^{\sum \xi_j^{(i)} / \rho_j}$, $\sigma_i^* = \sigma_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \xi_j^{(i)} s_j, \forall j = 1, \dots, r.$ (3.6)

$$\sigma_i^* = \sigma_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \xi_j^{(i)} s_j, \forall j = 1, \dots, r. \tag{3.7}$$

Now in the integral (3.5), we set

$$f(z) = z^\sigma H_{p,q}^{m,0} \left[z \xi \left[\begin{matrix} (e_1, \varepsilon_1), \dots, (e_p, \varepsilon_p) \\ (g_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (g_q, \gamma_q) \end{matrix} \right] S_\beta^\alpha [z^h \gamma] \right], \tag{3.8}$$

and evaluate the z-integral by following familiar formula (when n=0), expressing the Mellin transform of Fox's H-function [5, p.311, eq (3.3)]

$$M \left\{ H_{p,q}^{m,n}(zx) : s \right\} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\beta_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - \alpha_j - A_j s)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - \beta_j - B_j s) \prod_{j=n+1}^p (\alpha_j + A_j s)} z^{-s}. \tag{3.9}$$

Interpret the resulting (s_1, \dots, s_r) - integral as an A-function of r-variable, we will obtain the required result given in (2.1).

Moreover to establish the other main integral (2.10) we can find relationship (3.5) in similar way and then we set

$$f(z) = z^\sigma \exp(-\gamma z) S_\beta^\alpha [z^h \eta] \tag{3.10}$$

Evaluate the innermost z-integral by using to a slightly modified version of following well-known integral [2, p. 292, eq. (1)]

$$M \left\{ e^{-\gamma x} L_m^{(\alpha)}(\gamma x); s \right\} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - s + m + 1)\Gamma(s)}{m!\Gamma(\alpha - s + 1)} \gamma^{-s}. \tag{3.11}$$

If we interpret the resulting multiple contour integral as an A-function of r-variable, we will get desired result (2.10).

4. SPECIAL CASES

(1) For the general class of polynomials, we take the case of Hermite polynomials ([8, p. 106, eq. (5.54)] and [7, p. 158]) by setting $S_\beta^2[z] = z^{\beta/2} H_\beta \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{z}} \right]$ in which case $\alpha = 2, A_{\beta,k} = (-1)^k$.

(i) **Integral 1(a):** The result (2.1) reduces in following form

$$\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^{\sigma+\beta h/2} \eta^{\beta/2} H_\beta \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^h}} \right]$$

$$H_{p,q}^{m,0} \left[\begin{matrix} \xi(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r}) \\ (e_1, \varepsilon_1), \dots, (e_p, \varepsilon_p) \\ (g_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (g_q, \gamma_q) \end{matrix} \middle| A_{\nu, C; \nu_1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda; \mu_1, \lambda_1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 X_1 \\ \dots \\ z_r X_r \end{matrix} \right] dx_1 \dots dx_r \right.$$

$$= \xi^{-s} \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \beta/2 \rfloor} \frac{(\beta)!(-1)^k}{(\beta-2k)!(k)!} \eta^k \xi^{-hk} \cdot A_{\nu+r+q, C+p+1; \nu_1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda+r+m; \mu_1, \lambda_1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r}$$

$$\left[\begin{matrix} [1-\rho_j/\sigma_j : \xi_j/\sigma_j, \dots, \xi_j^{(r)}/\sigma_j]_{1,r}, [1-g_j-(S+hk)\gamma_j; N_1\gamma_j, \dots, N_r\gamma_j]_{1,q} : \\ [1-S+\sigma : N_1-n_1, \dots, N_r-n_r], [1-e_j-(S+hk)\varepsilon_j; N_1\varepsilon_j, \dots, N_r\varepsilon_j]_{1,p} : \\ (a_j; A_j'; \dots; A_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu} (\tau_j', C_j')_{1,\nu_1}; \dots; (\tau_j^{(r)}, C_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu_r} \left[\begin{matrix} Z_1 \\ \dots \\ Z_r \end{matrix} \right] \\ (b_j; B_j'; \dots; B_j^{(r)})_{1,C} (d_j', D_j')_{1,c_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, D_j^{(r)})_{1,c_r} \end{matrix} \right. \tag{4.1}$$

Valid under the same conditions as obtainable from (2.1).

(ii) **Integral 1 (b):** The result (2.10) reduces in following form

$$\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^{\sigma+\beta h/2} \eta^{\beta/2} H_\beta \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^h}} \right]$$

$$\exp[-\gamma(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})] \cdot L_w^{(u)} [\gamma(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})] A_{\nu, C; \nu_1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda; \mu_1, \lambda_1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 x_1^{\xi_1} \\ \dots \\ z_r x_r^{\xi_r} \end{matrix} \right] dx_1 \dots dx_r$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^w \gamma^{-s}}{(w)!} \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \beta/2 \rfloor} \frac{(\beta)!(-1)^k}{(\beta-2k)!(k)!} \eta^k \gamma^{-hk} \cdot A_{\nu+2, C+1; \nu_1+1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r+1, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda+2; \mu_1, \lambda_1+1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r+1}$$

$$\left[\begin{matrix} [1-S-hk; \xi_1/\rho_1, \dots, \xi_r/\rho_r], [1-S-hk+u; \xi_1/\rho_1, \dots, \xi_r/\rho_r], (a_j; A_j'; \dots; A_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu}; \\ [1-S-hk+u+w; \xi_1/\rho_1, \dots, \xi_r/\rho_r], \\ (1-\sigma_1/\rho_1; \xi_1/\rho_1), (\tau_j', C_j')_{1,\nu_1}; \dots; (1-\sigma_r/\rho_r; \xi_r/\rho_r); (\tau_j^{(r)}, C_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu_r} \left[\begin{matrix} \xi_1 \\ \dots \\ \xi_r \end{matrix} \right] \\ (b_j; B_j'; \dots; B_j^{(r)})_{1,C} (d_j', D_j')_{1,c_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, D_j^{(r)})_{1,c_r} \end{matrix} \right. \tag{4.2}$$

Valid under the same conditions as obtainable from (2.10).

(2) If we set

$\alpha = 1$ and $A_{\beta,k} = \binom{\beta + \nu}{\beta} \frac{1}{(\nu + 1)_k}$, the general class of polynomial reduces in Laguerre polynomials ([8, p. 106, eq. (15, 16)] and [7, p. 159]) where Laguerre polynomials is given by

$$L_{\beta}^{(\nu)}[z] = \sum_{k=0}^{\beta} \binom{\beta + \nu}{\beta - k} \frac{(-z)^k}{(k)!}.$$

(i) **Integral 2(a):** The result (2.1) reduces in following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} \dots \int_0^{\infty} x_1^{\sigma_1 - 1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r - 1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^{\sigma} L_{\beta}^{(\nu)}[\eta(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^h] \\ & H_{p,q}^{m,0} \left[\xi(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r}) \left| \begin{matrix} (e_1, \varepsilon_1), \dots, (e_p, \varepsilon_p) \\ (g_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (g_q, \gamma_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \cdot A_{\nu, C; \nu_1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda; \mu_1, \lambda_1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 X_1 \\ \dots \\ z_r X_r \end{matrix} \right] dx_1 \dots dx_r \\ & = \xi^{-s} \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \sum_{k=0}^{\beta} \binom{\beta + \nu}{\beta - k} \frac{(-\eta)^k}{(k)!} \xi^{-hk} \cdot A_{\nu + r + q, C + p + 1; \nu_1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda + r + m; \mu_1, \lambda_1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r} \\ & \left[\begin{matrix} [1 - \rho_j / \sigma_j; \xi_j' / \sigma_j, \dots, \xi_j^{(r)} / \sigma_j]_{1,r}, [1 - g_j - (S + hk)\gamma_j; N_1 \gamma_j, \dots, N_r \gamma_j]_{1,q} \\ [1 - S + \sigma; N_1 - n_1, \dots, N_r - n_r], [1 - e_j - (S + hk)\varepsilon_j; N_1 \varepsilon_j, \dots, N_r \varepsilon_j]_{1,p} \end{matrix} \right] : \\ & \left[\begin{matrix} (a_j; A_j'; \dots; A_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu} (\tau_j', C_j')_{1,\nu_1}; \dots; (\tau_j^{(r)}, C_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu_r} \\ (b_j; B_j'; \dots; B_j^{(r)})_{1,C} (d_j', D_j')_{1,c_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, D_j^{(r)})_{1,c_r} \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} Z_1 \\ \dots \\ Z_r \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Valid under the same conditions as obtainable from (2.1).

(ii) **Integral 2 (b):** The result (2.10) reduces in following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} \dots \int_0^{\infty} x_1^{\sigma_1 - 1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r - 1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^{\sigma} L_{\beta}^{(\nu)}[\eta(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^h] \\ & \exp[-\gamma(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})] \cdot L_w^{(u)}[\gamma(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})] A_{\nu, C; \nu_1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda; \mu_1, \lambda_1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 x_1^{\xi_1} \\ \dots \\ z_r x_r^{\xi_r} \end{matrix} \right] dx_1 \dots dx_r \\ & \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \sum_{k=0}^{\beta} \binom{\beta + \nu}{\beta - k} \frac{(-\eta)^k}{(k)!} \gamma^{-hk} \cdot A_{\nu + 2, C + 1; \nu_1 + 1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r + 1, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda + 2; \mu_1, \lambda_1 + 1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r + 1} \\ & \left[\begin{matrix} [1 - S - hk; \xi_1 / \rho_1, \dots, \xi_r / \rho_r], [1 - S - hk + u; \xi_1 / \rho_1, \dots, \xi_r / \rho_r], (a_j; A_j'; \dots; A_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu} \\ [1 - S - hk + u + w; \xi_1 / \rho_1, \dots, \xi_r / \rho_r], \end{matrix} \right] \\ & \left[\begin{matrix} (1 - \sigma_1 / \rho_1; \xi_1 / \rho_1), (\tau_j', C_j')_{1,\nu_1}; \dots; (1 - \sigma_r / \rho_r; \xi_r / \rho_r); (\tau_j^{(r)}, C_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu_r} \\ (b_j; B_j'; \dots; B_j^{(r)})_{1,C} (d_j', D_j')_{1,c_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, D_j^{(r)})_{1,c_r} \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} \xi_1 \\ \dots \\ \xi_r \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Valid under the same conditions as obtainable from (2.10).

(2) For the Jacobi polynomials [8, p. 68, eq. (15, 16)] and [7, p. 159] by setting

$$S_{\beta}^1[z] = P_{\beta}^{(s,t)}[1 - 2z] \text{ in which case } \alpha = 1 \text{ and } A_{\beta,k} = \binom{\beta + s}{\beta} \frac{(s + t + \beta + 1)_k}{(s + 1)_k}$$

(i) **Integral 3(a):** The result (2.1) reduces in following form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^\sigma P_\beta^{(s,t)} [1 - 2\eta(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^h] \\
 & H_{p,q}^{m,0} \left[\xi(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r}) \left| \begin{matrix} (e_1, \varepsilon_1), \dots, (e_p, \varepsilon_p) \\ (g_1, \gamma_1), \dots, (g_q, \gamma_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] A_{\nu, C; \nu_1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda; \mu_1, \lambda_1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 X_1 \\ \dots \\ z_r X_r \end{matrix} \right] dx_1 \dots dx_r \\
 & = \xi^{-s} \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \sum_{k=0}^\beta \binom{\beta+s}{\beta-k} \binom{\beta+t+k+s}{k} \xi^{-hk} \cdot A_{\nu+r+q, C+p+1; \nu_1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda+r+m; \mu_1, \lambda_1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r} \\
 & \left[\begin{matrix} [1-\rho_j/\sigma_j; \xi'_j/\sigma_j, \dots, \xi_j^{(r)}/\sigma_j]_{1,r}, [1-g_j-(S+hk)\gamma_j; N_1\gamma_j, \dots, N_r\gamma_j]_{1,q} : \\ [1-S+\sigma; N_1-n_1, \dots, N_r-n_r], [1-e_j-(S+hk)\varepsilon_j; N_1\varepsilon_j, \dots, N_r\varepsilon_j]_{1,p} : \\ (a_j; A'_j; \dots; A_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu} (\tau'_j, C'_j)_{1,\nu_1}; \dots; (\tau_j^{(r)}, C_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu_r} \left[\begin{matrix} Z_1 \\ \dots \\ Z_r \end{matrix} \right] \\ (b_j; B'_j; \dots; B_j^{(r)})_{1,C} (d'_j, D'_j)_{1,c_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, D_j^{(r)})_{1,c_r} \end{matrix} \right] \quad (4.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

Valid under the same conditions as obtainable from (2.1).

(ii) **Integral 3 (b):** The result (2.10) reduces in following form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty x_1^{\sigma_1-1} \dots x_r^{\sigma_r-1} (k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^\sigma P_\beta^{(s,t)} [1 - 2\eta(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})^h] \\
 & \exp[-\gamma(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})] \cdot L_w^{(u)} [\gamma(k_1 x_1^{\rho_1} + \dots + k_r x_r^{\rho_r})] A_{\nu, C; \nu_1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda; \mu_1, \lambda_1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 x_1^{\xi_1} \\ \dots \\ z_r x_r^{\xi_r} \end{matrix} \right] dx_1 \dots dx_r \\
 & = \frac{(-1)^w \gamma^{-S}}{(w)!} \Psi(k_1, \dots, k_r) \sum_{k=0}^\beta \binom{\beta+s}{\beta-k} \binom{\beta+t+k+s}{k} \gamma^{-hk} \cdot A_{\nu+2, C+1; \nu_1+1, c_1; \dots; \nu_r+1, c_r}^{\mu, \lambda+2; \mu_1, \lambda_1+1; \dots; \mu_r, \lambda_r+1} \\
 & \left[\begin{matrix} [1-S-hk; \xi_1/\rho_1, \dots, \xi_r/\rho_r], [1-S-hk+u; \xi_1/\rho_1, \dots, \xi_r/\rho_r], (a_j; A'_j; \dots; A_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu}; \\ [1-S-hk+u+w; \xi_1/\rho_1, \dots, \xi_r/\rho_r], \\ (1-\sigma_1/\rho_1; \xi_1/\rho_1), (\tau'_j, C'_j)_{1,\nu_1}; \dots; (1-\sigma_r/\rho_r; \xi_r/\rho_r); (\tau_j^{(r)}, C_j^{(r)})_{1,\nu_r} \left[\begin{matrix} \zeta_1 \\ \dots \\ \zeta_r \end{matrix} \right] \\ (b_j; B'_j; \dots; B_j^{(r)})_{1,C} (d'_j, D'_j)_{1,c_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, D_j^{(r)})_{1,c_r} \end{matrix} \right] \quad (4.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

Valid under the same conditions as obtainable from (2.10).

(2) If we take $\beta \rightarrow 0$ and $\mu = 0$ in result (2.1), we obtain a known result obtained by Srivastava and Panda [6, p. 354, eq. (1.8)].

(3) If we take $\beta \rightarrow 0$ and $\mu = 0$ in result (2.10), we obtain a known result obtained by Srivastava and Panda [6, p. 354, eq. (1.14)].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Professor H. M. Srivastava (University of Victoria, Canada) for his kind help and suggestions in the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. L. K. Bhagchandani, Some Triple Whittaker Transformation of Certain by Hyper geometric Function Rev. Mat. Hisp.- Amer. (4)37, 129-146 (1977).
2. A. Erdelyi, W. Magnus, F. Obethtinger and F. G. Tricomi- Tables of Integral Transforms, Vol. II McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, Toronto and London, 1954.
3. C. Fox, The G and H- function as Symmetrical Fourier Kernels, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 98, 395-429 (1961).
4. H. M. Srivastava, A Contour Integral Involving Fox's H-function, Indian J. Maths. 14, 1-6 (1972)
5. H. M. Srivastava and R. Panda, Some Operations Techniques in the Theory of Special Function, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A76=Indag. Math. 35, 308-319 (1973).
6. H. M. Srivastava and R. Panda, Some Multiple Integral Transformations Involving the H- Funtions of Several Variables, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A, 82 (3) 353-362, (1979).
7. H. M. Srivastava and N. P. Singh, The Integration of Certain products o f the Multivariable H-Function with a General Class of Polynomials, Rend. Cic. Mat. Palermo (2) 32, 157-187 (1983).
8. G. Szego, Orthogonal Polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc. Collog. Publ. 23, Fourth Edition, amer, math. Soc. Providence, Rhode Island (1975)

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2016. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]