International Journal of Mathematical Archive-7(5), 2016, 31-38 MA Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 – 5046

ON GENERALIZED INVERSES OF q-k-EP MATRICES

Dr. K. GUNASEKARAN, Mrs. K. GNANABALA*

Ramanujan Research centre, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Kumbakonam-612 002, Tamil Nadu, India.

(Received On: 05-04-16; Revised & Accepted On: 29-04-16)

ABSTRACT

In this chapter, existence of the group inverse for q-k-EP matrices is investigated. Equivalent conditions for various generalized inverses of a q-k-EP, matrix to be q-k-EP, are determined. Validity of the reverse order law for the Moore-Penrose inverse of the product of q-k-EP, matrices is discussed.

Keywords: Moore-Penrose Inverse, Quaternion matrix, Range hermitian k-EP matrices, Generalized inverses of matrices.

1. INTRODUCTION

The algebra H of real quaternion, which is a four- dimensional non-commutative algebra over real number field R with canonical basis 1, i, j, k satisfying the conditions,

 $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$ that implies ij = -ji = k, jk = -kj = i and ki = -ik = j.

The elements in H can be written in a unique way as, $\alpha = a + bi + cj + dk$, where a, b, c and d are real numbers, i.e., $H = \{\alpha = a + bi + cj + dk \mid a, b, c, d \in R\}$.

The conjugate of α is defined as $\overline{\alpha} = a - bi - cj - dk$, and the norm $|\alpha| = \sqrt{\alpha \overline{\alpha}}$ for $0 \neq \alpha \in H$, $\alpha^{-1} = \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{|\alpha|^2}$.

We consider K is a permutation matrix associated with the permutation $k(x) = (S_n)$, where $S = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Also $K^2 = I$, $\overline{K} = K^T = K^* = K^{-1} = K$.

A matrix has an inverse only if it is square, and even then only if it is non-singular, or in other words, if its columns (or rows) are linearly independent. By a generalized inverse of a given matrixAwe shall mean a matrix X associated in some way with A that (i) exists for a class of matrices larger than the class of non-singular matrices, (ii) has some of the properties of the usual inverse, and (iii) reduces to the usual inverse when A is non-singular.

A generalized inverse of A is any matrix satisfying AXA=A. If A were nonsingular, multiplication by A^{-1} both on the left and on the right would give at once $X = A^{-1}$.

NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

In this section, the notations, definitions and Theorems used in the thesis are given. Throughout, it is concerned with complex square matrices.

- $H_{n \times n}$: The space of nxn quaternion matrices of order n.
- H_n : The space of quaternion n-tuples.
- I_n : Identity matrix of appropriate size.
- V : Permutation matrix with units in the secondary diagonal.

Corresponding Author: Mrs. K. Gnanabala*

Ramanujan Research centre, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Kumbakonam-612 002, Tamil Nadu, India. For $A \in H_{n \times n}$,

- dim(A) : Dimension of A.
- det(A) : Determinant of A.
- rk(A) : Rank of A is the maximum number of linearly independent rows or columns of A.
- R(A) : Range space of A = { $y \in H_n / y = Ax$ for some $x \in H_n$ }.
- N(A) : Null space of A = { $x \in H_n / Ax = 0$ }.
- A^{T} : The transpose of A.
- A^S : The secondary transpose of A.
- \overline{A}_{*} : The conjugate of A.
- A^{*} : The conjugate transpose of A.
- \overline{A}^{s} : The conjugate secondary transpose of A.
- A^- : 1- inverse of A, is a solution of the equations AXA= A.
- $A^{=}$: {1, 2} inverse of A, is solution of the equations XA=A and XAX = X.
- A{1} : The set of all 1-inverses of A.
- A{2} : The set of all 2-inverses of A.
- $A\{1, 2\}$: The set of all $\{1, 2\}$ inverses of A.
- A{1, 2, 3}: The set of all {1,2,3} inverses of A, that is the set of all solutions of the equations AXA = A, XAX = X and $(AX)^* = (AX)$.
- A{1,2,4} : The set of all { 1,2,4 } inverses of A, that is the set of all solutions of the equations AXA = A, $XAX = Xand(XA)^* = (XA)$.
- A^{\dagger} : Moore-Penrose inverse of A is the unique solution of the equations

 $AXA = A, XAX = X, (AX)^* = (AX)$ and $(XA)^* = (XA). A^{\dagger}$ exists is unique

- $A^{\#}$: Group inverse of A, satisfying the equations AXA = A, XAX = X, XA = AX. If $A^{\#}$ exists, then it is unique.
- $A \ge B$: A is greater than or equal to B.
- $A^{\pm}.B$: Parallel sum of A and B.

TYPES OF MATRIX A DEFINITIONS

$a_{ii} = a_{ii}(or) A = A^{T}$
$a_{ij} = -a_{ji}(or) A = -A^{T}$
$\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij} = \mathbf{a}_{ji}(\text{or}) \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^*$
$\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij} = -\mathbf{a}_{ji}(\text{or}) \mathbf{A} = -\mathbf{A}^*$
$A = \overline{A}^{S}$
$A = -\overline{A}^{S}$
$A^2 = A$
$N(A) = N(A^{*})$ (or) $R(A) = R(A^{*})$
$N(A) = N(A^*)$ and $rk(A) = r$ (or) $R(A) = R(A^*)$ and $rk(A) = r$

Throughout 'V' refers as a permutation matrix with units in the secondary diagonal and the following results.

Theorem 1.1: [1] For A, $B \in H_{n \times n}$ the following statements hold:

(i) $R(A^{\dagger}) = R(A^{*})$ and $N(A^{\dagger}) = N(A^{*})$. (ii) $R(A) = R(B) \Leftrightarrow AA^{\dagger} = BB^{\dagger}$.

Theorem 1.2: [**p.162, [1**]] Let $A \in H_{n \times n}$. Then group inverse $A^{\#}$ exists \Leftrightarrow rk(A) = rk(A²).

Theorem 1.3: [**p.164**, [1]] Let $A \in H_{nxn}$. Then A is $EP \Leftrightarrow A^{\#} = A^{\dagger}$ when $A^{\#}$ exists.

2. q-k-EP GENERALIZED INVERSES

In this section, equivalent conditions for various generalized inverses of a q-k-EP_r matrix to be q-k-EP_r are determined. Generalized inverses belonging to the sets A $\{1,2\}$, A $\{1,2,3\}$ and A $\{1,2,4\}$ of a q-k-EP_r matrix A are characterized.

In (1), it is shown that A is $q-k-EP_r$ and only if A⁺ is $q-k-EP_r$. Thus, the $q-k-EP_r$ property of complex matrices is preserved for its Moore -Penrose inverses. However, all other generalized inverses of a $q-k-EP_r$ matrix need not be $q-k-EP_r$. For instance,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Here A is q-k-EP}_{1}.$$

But $A^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ is 1- inverse of A, which is not q-k-EP_{1}.

A generalized inverse $A = \in A\{1, 2\}$ is shown to be q-k-EPr whenever Ais q-k-EPr under certain conditions in the following way.

Theorem 2.1: Let $A \in H_{nxn}$, $X \in A\{1, 2\}$ and XA, AX are q-k-EPr matrices. Then A is q-k-EPr \Leftrightarrow X is q-k-EPr.

Proof: Since AX and XAare q-k-EP_r,

(By[6])

We have $R(AX) = R(V(AX)^*)$ and $R(XA) = R(V(XA)^*)$.

Since $X \in A\{1, 2\}$, we have AXA = A, XAX = X.

Now, R(A) = R(AX) $= R(V(AX)^*)$ $= R(VX^*A^*)$ $= R(VX^*).$ $R(VA^*) = R(VA^*X^*)$ $= R(V(XA)^*)$ = R(XA) = R(X).Now, A is q-k-EP_r \Leftrightarrow R(A) = R(VA^*) and rk(A) = r

 $\Leftrightarrow R(VX^*) = R(X) \text{ and } rk(A) = rk(X) = r$ $\Leftrightarrow X \text{ is } q\text{-}k\text{-}EP_r.$

Hence the Theorem.

Remark 2.2: In the above theorem, the conditions that both AX and XA to be q-k-EP_r are essential.

For instance, let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ A is q-k-EP₁. $X = A^{=} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in A \{1, 2\}$ $AX = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $XA = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

AX and XA are not q-k-EP1 .Also X is not q-k-EP1.

Now, we show that generalized inverses belonging to the sets $A\{1, 2, 3\}$ and $A\{1, 2, 4\}$ of a q-k-EP_r matrix A is alsoq-k-EP_r under certain conditions in the following Theorems.

Theorem 2.3: Let $A \in H_{n \times n}$, $X \in A\{1, 2, 3\}$, $R(X) = R(A^*)$. Then A is q-k-EPr \Leftrightarrow X is q-k-EPr.

Proof: Since $X \in A\{1, 2, 3\}$, we have AXA = A, XAX = X, $(AX)^* = AA$. Therefore, $R(A) = R(AX) = R((AX)^*) = R(A^*A^*) = R(X^*)$.

$$R(X) = R(A^*) \Rightarrow XX^{\dagger} = A^*(A^*)^{\dagger}$$

$$\Rightarrow XX^{\dagger} = A^*(A^{\dagger})^*$$

$$\Rightarrow XX^{\dagger} = (A^{\dagger}A)^*$$

$$\Rightarrow XX^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}A$$

$$\Rightarrow VXX^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}A$$

$$\Rightarrow VXX^{\dagger} V = VA^{\dagger}AV$$

$$\Rightarrow (VX)(VX)^{\dagger} = (AV)^{\dagger}(AV)$$
(By Theorem (1.1)]

$$\Rightarrow (VX)(VX) \dagger = (AV)^*((AV)^*) \dagger$$
$$\Rightarrow (VX) = R((AV)^*)$$
$$\Rightarrow R(VX) = R(VA^*).$$

A is q-k-EP_r \Leftrightarrow R(A) = R(VA^{*}) and rk(A) = r. \Leftrightarrow R(X^{*}) = R(VX) and rk(A) = rk(X) = r. \Leftrightarrow X is q-k-EP_r.

Hence the Theorem.

Theorem 2.4: Let $A \in H_{n \times n}$, $X \in A\{1, 2, 4\}$, $R(A) = R(X^*)$. Then A is q-k-EPr \Leftrightarrow X is q-k-EPr.

Proof: Since $X \in A\{1, 2, 4\}$, we have AXA = A, XAX = X, $(X A)^* = XA$.

Also $R(A) = R(X^*)$. Now, $R(VA^*) = R(VA^*X^*)$ $= R(V(XA)^*)$ = R(V(XA)) = R(VX). A is q-k-EP_r \Leftrightarrow $R(A) = R(VA^*)$ and rk(A) = r \Leftrightarrow $R(X^*) = R(VX)$ and rk(A) = rk(X) = r \Leftrightarrow X is q-k-EP_r (By[6])

Hence the Theorem.

Remark 2.5: In particular, if $X = A^{\dagger}$ then $R(A^{\dagger}) = R(A^{*})$ holds, Hence A is q-k-EP_r is equivalent to A^{\dagger} is q-k-EP_r.

3. GROUP INVERSE OF q-k-EPMATRICES

In this section, the existence of the group inverse for q-k-EP matrices under certain condition is derived.

It is well kwon that, for an EP matrix, group inverse exists and coincides with its Moore-Penrose inverse. However, this is not the case for a q-k-EP matrix. For example,

Consider $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ with $V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ A is q-k-EP₁ matrix, $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, rk(A) = rk(A²).

Therefore, [By Theorem 1.2], group inverse A^{\neq} does not exists for A.

Here, it is proved that for a q-k-EP matrix A, if the group inverse exists, it is also a q-k-EP matrix.

Theorem 3.1: Let $A \in H_{n \times n}$ be q-k-EPr and $rk(A) = rk(A^2)$. Then A# exists and is q-k-EPr.

Proof: Since $rk(A) = rk(A^2)$, [By Theorem 1.2], $A^{\#}$ exists for A. To show that $A^{\#}$ is q-k-EP_r, it is enough to show that $R(A^{\#}) = R(V(A^{\#})^{*})$.

Since, $AA^{\#} = A^{\#}A$, we have , $R(A) = R(AA^{\#})$ = $R(A^{\#}A)$ = $R(A^{\#}A)$ = $R(A^{\#})$. $AA^{\#}A = A \Rightarrow A^{*} = A^{*}(A^{\#})^{*}A^{*}$ $\Rightarrow V A^{*} = V A^{*}(A^{\#})^{*}A^{*}$

Therefore, R(V A^{*}) = R(V A^{*}(A[#])^{*} A^{*}) = R(V A^{*}(A[#])^{*}) = R(V(A[#] A)^{*})

© 2016, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

(By[6])

$$= R(V(AA^{\#})^{*}) = R(V(A^{\#})^{*} A^{*}) = R(V(A^{\#})^{*}).$$

Now, A is q-k-EP_r
$$\Rightarrow$$
 R(A) = R(V A^{*}) and rk(A) = r
 \Rightarrow R(A[#]) = R(V(A[#])) and rk(A) = rk(A) = r
 \Rightarrow A[#] is q-k-EP_r.

Hence the Theorem.

Remark 3.2: In the above Theorem the condition that $rk(A) = rk(A^2)$ is essential.

Example 3.3:

Let
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 with $V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
 $VA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is $EP_1 \Rightarrow A$ is q-k- EP_1 .
 $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ rk(A^2) = $0 \Rightarrow$ rk(A) \neq rk(A^2).

Therefore, $A^{\#}$ does not exist for a q-k-EP matrix A.

Thus, for a q-k-EP matrix A, if A[#] exists then it is also q-k-EP_r.

Theorem 3.4: For $A \in H_{n \times n}$, if A# exists then, A is q-k-EP $\Leftrightarrow (VA)^{\#} = A^{\dagger}V$.

Proof:

A is q-k-EP \Leftrightarrow V A is EP	(By[6])
$\Leftrightarrow (V A)^{\#} = (V A) \dagger$	[By Theorem (1.3)]
$\Leftrightarrow (V A)^{\#} = A \dagger V$	(By [6])

Hence the Theorem.

Theorem 3.5: For $A \in H_{n \times n}$, A is q-k-EPr $\Leftrightarrow A^{\dagger} = V(Polynomial in AV) \Leftrightarrow A^{\dagger} = (Polynomial in VA)V$.

Proof: It is clear that if $(VA)^{\dagger} = f(VA)$ for some polynomial f(X), then VA commutes with $(VA)^{\dagger}$

- $\Rightarrow (V A)(V A)^{\dagger} = (V A)^{\dagger} (V A)$
- $\Rightarrow (V A)(A \dagger V) = (A \dagger V)(V A)$
- \Rightarrow V AA \dagger V = A \dagger A
- \Rightarrow V AA \dagger = A \dagger AV
- \Rightarrow A is q-k-EP_r.

Conversely, Let A be q-k-EP_r, then V AA \dagger = A \dagger AV and V A \dagger A = AA \dagger V.

Now, we will prove: A † can be expressed as V(Polynomial in AV) and (Polynomial in VA)V

Let, $(VA)^{s} + \lambda_1 (VA)^{s+1} + \lambda_2 (VA)^{s+2} + \ldots + \lambda_q (VA)^{s+q} = 0$, be the minimum polynomial of VA. Then s=0 or s = 1.

For suppose that $s \ge 2$, then (VA) \dagger [(VA)^{s+1} + . . . + λ_q (VA)^{s+q}] = 0;

Hence

 $[(VA)(VA)^{\dagger}(VA)](VA)^{s-2} + \lambda_1[(VA)(VA)^{\dagger}(VA)](VA)^{s-1} + ... + \lambda_q[(VA)(VA)^{\dagger}(VA)](VA)^{s+q-2} = 0.$

Thus, $(VA)^{s-1} + \lambda_1 (VA)^s + \ldots + \lambda_q (VA)^{s+q-1} = 0$

which is a contradiction.

If s = 0 then (VA)
$$\dagger$$
 = (VA)⁻¹ = - λ_1 I- λ_2 (VA) - . . . - λ_q (VA)^{q-1}
A \dagger = A⁻¹ = - λ_1 V - λ_2 V(AV) - . . . - λ_q V(AV)^{q-1}
= V[- λ_1 I - λ_2 (AV) - . . . - λ_q (AV)^{q-1}]
= V(Polynomial in AV).

Thus, $A^{\dagger} = V(Polynomial in AV)$.

If s = 1, then (VA) \dagger [(VA) + λ_1 (VA)² + ... + λ_q (VA)^{q+1}] = 0 and it follows that (VA) \dagger (VA) = - λ_1 (VA) - λ_2 (VA)² - ... - λ_q (VA)^q is a Polynomial in A.

However, $(V A)^{\dagger} = [(V A)^{\dagger} (V A)] (V A)^{\dagger} = -\lambda_1 (V A)^{\dagger} (V A) - \lambda_2 (V A) - \dots - \lambda_q (V A)^{q-1}$ $A^{\dagger} V = -\lambda_1 A^{\dagger} V V A - \lambda_2 (V A) - \dots - \lambda_q (V A)^{q-1}$ $A^{\dagger} = -\lambda_1 A^{\dagger} A V - \lambda_2 (V A) V - \dots - \lambda_q (V A)^{q-1} V = [-\lambda_1 I - \lambda_2 (V A) - \dots - \lambda_q (V A)^{q-1}] V$

Thus, $A^{\dagger} = (Polynomial in V A)V.$

Hence the Theorem.

4. REVERSE ORDER LAW FOR q-k-EP MATRICES

For any two non singular matrices A, $B \in C_{n \times n}$, $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1} A^{-1}$ holds. However, it is not true for generalized inverses of matrices **[2]**. In general, $(AB)^{\dagger} \neq B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$, for any two matrices A and B. For example,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, (AB) \dagger = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $(AB)^{\dagger} \neq B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$. We say that reverse order law holds for Moore-Penrose inverse of the product of A and B, if (AB) $^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$.

It is well known that [**p.181** [1]], (AB)= BA if and only if $R(BB^*A^*) = R(A^*)$ and $R(A^*AB) = R(B)$.

In this section, for a pair of q-k-EP_r matrices A and B, necessary and sufficient condition for (AB) $\dagger = B \dagger A \dagger$ is given.

Theorem 4.1: If A and B are q-k-EP_r matrices with $R(A) = R(B^*)$ then $(AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$.

Proof: Since A is q-k-EP_r, $R(A) = R(V A^*)$ $\Rightarrow R(B^*) = R(V A^*)$ $\Rightarrow R(VB) = R(V A^*)$ $\Rightarrow R(B) = R(A^*)$ $\Rightarrow R(B) = R(A^{\dagger})$

[By hypothesis] [Since B is q-k-EP_r] [Since R(VA) = R(VB) \Rightarrow R(A)=R(B)] [By Theorem (1.1)]

That is, given $x \in C_n$, there exists a $y \in C_n$ such that Bx = Ay.

Now, $Bx = A^{\dagger}y \Rightarrow (B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger} A)Bx = (B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger} A)A^{\dagger}y$ $\Rightarrow B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger} ABx = B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger} AA^{\dagger}y$ $\Rightarrow B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger} ABx = B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger}y$ $\Rightarrow B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger} ABx = B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger}y$ $\Rightarrow B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger} ABx = B^{\dagger} Bx$

Since $B \dagger B$ is hermitian, it follows that $B \dagger A \dagger AB$ is hermitian.

Similarly, $A \dagger y = Bx \Rightarrow (ABB \dagger) A \dagger y = (ABB \dagger B)x$ $\Rightarrow ABB \dagger A \dagger y = A(BB \dagger B)x$

 \Rightarrow ABB † A † y = A(Bx) \Rightarrow ABB \ddagger A \ddagger y = A(A \ddagger y) \Rightarrow ABB † A † y = AA † y. Since AA^{\dagger} is hermitian, it follows that $ABB^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$ is hermitian. Further, [By Theorem (1.1)], $R(A) = R(B) \implies AA^{\dagger} = BB^{\dagger}$ $R(A^{\dagger}) = R(B) \Longrightarrow A^{\dagger}(A^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = BB^{\dagger}$ $\Rightarrow A^{\dagger}A = BB^{\dagger}$. Hence, $(AB)(B \dagger A \dagger)(AB) = ABB \dagger (A \dagger A)B$ $= ABB \dagger (BB \dagger)B$ $= (AB)(B \dagger BB \dagger)B$ $= (AB)(B^{+})(B)$ $= A(BB \dagger B)$ = A(B)= AB. $(B \dagger A \dagger)(AB)(B \dagger A \dagger) = B \dagger (A \dagger A) (BB \dagger) A \dagger$ $= B^{\dagger}(BB^{\dagger})(BB^{\dagger})A^{\dagger}$ $= (B \dagger B) (B \dagger BB \dagger) A \dagger$

$$= (B \dagger B) (B \dagger) (A \dagger)$$

$$= (B \dagger BB \dagger) A \dagger$$
$$= B \dagger A \dagger.$$

Thus, B † A † satisfies the definition of the Moore-Penrose inverse,

Thus, $(AB)^{+} = B^{+} A^{+}$.

Hence the Theorem.

Remark 4.2: In the above Theorem, the condition that $R(A) = R(B^*)$ is essential.

Example 4.3:

Let
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ A and B are q-k-EP₁ matrices.
 $AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $A^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $B^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
 $(AB)^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Here, $R(A) = R(B^*)$.

Thus, $(AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$.

Example 4.4:

Let
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ A and B are q-k-EP₁ matrices.
 $AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, rk(AB) = 1, R(A) \neq R(B^{*}).

$$A^{\dagger} = (1/4) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, B^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger} = (1/4) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$(AB)^{\dagger} = (1/2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus $(AB)^{\dagger} \neq B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$.

Remark 4.5: The converse of the Theorem (4.1) need not be true in general. For let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ A and B are q-k-EP}_1 \text{ matrices.}$$

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, A^{\dagger}_{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B^{\dagger}_{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(AB)^{\dagger}_{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B^{\dagger}_{+} A^{\dagger}_{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, (AB)^{\dagger}_{+} = B^{\dagger}_{+} A^{\dagger}_{+}.$$

But $R(A) \neq R(B^*)$.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ben Isreal. A and Greville. TNE: Generalized Inverses, Theory and applications; Wiley and Sons, New York (1974).
- 2. Erdelyi. I: On the "Reverse order law" related to the generalized Inverse of Matrix products; J. ACM. 13, 439 443(1966).
- 3. Rao. CR and Mitra. SK: Generalized inverseof matrices and its applications; Wiley and Sons, New York (1971).
- 4. T.S.Basket & I.J.Katz: Theorems on products of EPr matrices, Linear Algebra Applications, 2: 87-103(1969)
- 5. R.D.Hill & S.R.Waters : on k-real & k-hermitian matrices, Linear Algebra Applications, 169: 17-29 (1992)
- 6. A.R.Meenakshi & S.Krishna Moorthy: On k-EP matrices, Linear Alg. Appl. 269(1998), 219-232.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2016. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]