International Journal of Mathematical Archive-6(9), 2015, 93-99
Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 – 5046

FIXED POINT OF T_F-CONTRACTIVE SINGLE VALUED MAPPINGS IN COMPLETE G-METRIC SPACE

N. SURENDER*[1], B. KRISHNA REDDY[2]

[1,2]Department of Mathematics,
University College of Science, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India.

(Received On: 28-08-15; Revised & Accepted On: 21-09-15)

ABSTRACT

In this work, we introduced T_F – Contraction in complete G-Metric Spaces and we study some fixed point Theorems of generalized T_F – Contraction mapping in complete G-metric spaces.

Key Words: *G-metric space, T_F- contraction, Graph Closed, Subsequentially convergent.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Some generalizations of the notion of a metric space have been proposed by some authors. Gahler [1, 2] coined the term of 2-metric spaces. This is extended to D-metric space by Dhage (1992) [3, 4]. Dhage proved many fixed point Theorems in D-metric space. In 2006, Mustafa in collaboration with Sims introduced a new notion of generalized metric space called G-metric space [5]. In fact, Mustafa et al. studied many fixed point results for a self mapping in G-metric spaces under certain conditions; see [5, 6, 7, 8 and 9].

In 2010 Moradi *et al.* [10] introduced a new type of fixed point Theorem by defining T_F –Contraction as a new contractive condition in complete metric spaces. In this work, we introduced T_F – Contraction in complete G-Metric Spaces and we study some fixed point Theorems of generalized T_F – Contraction mapping in complete G-metric spaces.

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 [5]: Let X be a non empty set, and let $G: X \times X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the following axioms
$(G1)$ $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$,
$(G2)$ $G(x, x, y) > 0$ for all $x, y \in X$, with $x \neq y$.v
$(G3)$ $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, with $y \neq z$.
$(G4)$ $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) =$…., (symmetry in all three variables)
$(G5)$ $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ (rectangular inequality)

Then the function G is called a generalized metric, or more specially a G-metric on X, and the pair (X, G) is called a G-metric space.

Example: Let (X, d) be a usual metric space. Then (X, G_s) and (X, G_m) are G-metric spaces, where
$$G_s(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(x, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X$$
and
$$G_m(x, y, z) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\} \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

Definition 2.2 [5]: Let (X, G) and (X', G') be G-metric spaces and let $f: (X, G) \to (X', G')$ be a function, then f is said to be G-continuous at a point $a \in X$ if given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta > 0$ such that $x, y \in X, G(a, x, y) < \delta$ implies that $G'(fa, fx, fy) < \varepsilon$. A function f is G-continuous on X if and only if it is G-continuous at all $a \in X$.

Corresponding Author: N. Surender[1], [1,2]Department of Mathematics,*
University College of Science, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India.

Definition 2.3 [5]: Let (X, G) be a G-metric space, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of points of X, therefore; we say that $\{x_n\}$ is G -convergent to x if $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$; that is ,for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist N $\in N$ such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n.m \geq$N.We call x is the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and we write $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$ or $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$.

Proposition 2.4 [5]: Let (X, G) and (X', G') be G metric spaces, then a function $f: X \to X$ is said to be G-continuous at a point $x \in X$ if and only if it is G-sequentially continuous, that is, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is G-convergent to x, $\{fx_n\}$ is G-convergent to $f(x)$.

Proposition 2.5 [5]: Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent

(a) $\{x_n\}$ is G-convergent to x.
(b) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
(c) $G(x_n, x, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
(d) $G(x_n, x_m, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proposition 2.6 [5]: Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called G-cauchy sequence if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is N$\in N$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m, l \geq$N; that is if $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \to 0$ as $n, m, l \to \infty$.

Proposition 2.7 [5]: In a G-metric space (X, G), the following two statements are equivalent.

(1) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G-cauchy.
(2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $N \in N$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N$.

Definition 2.9 [5]: A G-metric space (X, G) is said to be G-complete (or a complete G-metric pace) if every G-cauchy sequence in (X, G) is G-convergent in (X, G).

Proposition 2.10 [5]: Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. Then the function $G(x, y, z)$ is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

Definition 2.11 [5]: A G-metric space $(X, G)$is called a symmetric G-metric space if

$$G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Proposition 2.12 [5]: Every G-metric space (X, G) defines a metric space (X, d_G) by

$$d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(y, x, x) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Note that, if (X, G) is a symmetric space G-metric space, then

$$d_G(x, y) = 2\, G(x, y, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

However, if (X, G) is not asymmetric space, then it holds by the G-metric properties that

$$\frac{3}{2} G(x, y, y) \leq d_G(x, y) \leq 3G(x, y, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

In general, these inequalities cannot be improved.

Proposition 2.13 [5]: A G-metric space (X, G) is G-complete if and only if (X, d_G) is a complete metric space.

Proposition 2.14 [5]: Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. Then for any $x, y, z, a \in X$, it follows that

(1) If $G(x, y, z) = 0$ then $x = y = z$.
(2) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z)$.
(3) $G(x, y, y) \leq 2\, G(y, x, x)$.
(4) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)$.
(5) $G(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2}{3}\{G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a)$.

It is well known that the first important result on fixed point theory is Banach Contraction Principle. Due to the importance, there exist many extension of it.

Theorem 2.15 [10]: A mapping $T: X \to X$, where (X, d) is a metric space, is said to be a contraction if there exist $k \in [0,1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq k\, d(x, y) \tag{2.1}$$

If the metric space (X, d) is a complete then the mapping satisfying (1) has a unique fixed point.

© 2015, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Theorem 2.16: A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$, where (X, G) is a G-metric space, is said to be a contraction if there exist $k \in [0,1)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq k\, G(x, y, z). \tag{2.2}$$

If the metric space (X, G) is complete then the mapping satisfying (2) has a unique fixed point.

Definition 2.17 [10]: Let (X, d) be a metric space, let $f, T: X \rightarrow X$ be two self mappings and let $F: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), F$ is nondecreasing, continuous from right and $F^{-1}(0) = 0$. a mapping f is said to be $\boldsymbol{T_F}$**-contraction** if there exist $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$F\big(d(Tfx, Tfy)\big) \leq \alpha\, F\big(d(Tx, Ty)\big). \tag{2.3}$$

Remarks:

(1) By taking $Tx \equiv x$ and $F(x) \equiv x$ then T_F-contraction and contraction are equivalent.
(2) By taking $Fx \equiv x$ we can define T-contraction and by taking $Tx \equiv x$ we can define I_F-contraction (I is identity function).

Definition 2.18 [10]: Let (X, d) a metric space. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be sequentially convergent if we have, for every sequence $\{y_n\}$, if $\{Ty_n\}$ is convergence then $\{y_n\}$ is also convergence. T is said to be subsequentially convergent if we have, for every sequence $\{y_n\}$, if $\{Ty_n\}$ is convergence then $\{y_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

Definition 2.19 [10]: Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be **graph closed** if for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n\rightarrow\infty} Tx_n = a$ then for some $\in X, Tb = a$.

Example: the identity function on X is graph closed.

Before presenting the main results in this paper we introduce following concepts, which will be used in our result.

Definition 2.20: ($\boldsymbol{T_F}$-contraction in $\boldsymbol{G}$-metric space): Let (X, G) be a metric space, let $f, T: X \rightarrow X$ be two self mappings and let $F: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), F$ is nondecreasing, continuous from right and $F^{-1}(0) = 0$. a mapping f is said to be $\boldsymbol{T_F}$**-contraction** if there exist $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that for all x, y, z

$$F\big(G(Tfx, Tfy, Tfz)\big) \leq \alpha\, F\big(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)\big). \tag{2.4}$$

Remarks:

(1) By taking $Tx \equiv x$ and $F(x) \equiv x$ then T_F-contraction and contraction are equivalent.
(2) By taking $Fx \equiv x$ we can define T-contraction and by taking $Tx \equiv x$ we can define I_F-contraction (I is identity function).

Definition 2.21: Let (X, G) a metric space. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be sequentially convergent if we have, for every sequence $\{y_n\}$, if $\{Ty_n\}$ is convergence then $\{y_n\}$ is also convergence. T is said to be Subsequentially convergent if we have, for every sequence $\{y_n\}$, if $\{Ty_n\}$ is convergence then $\{y_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

Definition 2.22: Let (X, G) be a metric space. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be **graph closed** if for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n\rightarrow\infty} Tx_n = a$ then for some $\in X, Tb = a$.

Example: the identity function on X is graph closed.

Theorem 2.23: [10] Let (X, d) be a metric space, let $f, T: X \rightarrow X$ be two self mappings such that T is one to one and graph closed (subseuentially convergent and continuous) and f is T_F-contraction, that is there exist $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$F\big(d(Tfx, Tfy)\big) \leq \alpha\, F\big(d(Tx, Ty)\big). \tag{2.5}$$

Where $F: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), F$ is nondecreasing, continuous from right and $F^{-1}(0) = 0$, then f has a unique fixed point in X, also for every $x \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{Tf^n x\}$ converges to the fixed point.

Motivated by the above result, we address the same question on G-metric space. We establish fixed point result in the third part of the paper.

© 2015, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 3.1: Let (X, G) be a complete G- metric space, let $f, T: X \to X$ be two self mappings such that T is one to one and graph closed (subseuentially convergent and continuous) and f is T_F-contraction, that is there exist $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$F\big(G(Tfx, Tfy, Tfz)\big) \leq \alpha\, F\big(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)\big). \tag{3.1}$$

Where $F: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$, F is nondecreasing, continuous from right and $F^{-1}(0) = 0$, then f has a unique fixed point in X, also for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{f^n x_0\}$ converges to the fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point and $x_n = fx_{n-1} = f^n x_0$ (3.2)

Now,

$$F\big(G(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})\big) = F(G(Tfx_{n-1}, Tfx_n, Tfx_n)$$
$$F\big(G(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})\big) \leq \alpha\, F\big(G(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n)\big),$$
$$F\big(G(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})\big) \leq \alpha.\alpha\, F\big(G(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})\big),$$
$$F\big(G(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})\big) \leq \alpha.\alpha.\alpha\, F\big(G(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2})\big), \tag{3.3}$$

After repeated applications of R.H.S of the above equation, we obtain

$$F\big(G(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})\big) \leq \alpha^n\, F\big(G(Tx_0, Tx_1, Tx_1)\big) \tag{3.4}$$

Again using (3.4) for all $m, n \in N$, taking $m > n$, we have

$$F\big(G(Tx_n, Tx_m, Tx_m)\big) = F\big(G(Tf^n x_0, Tf^m x_0, Tf^m x_0)\big),$$
$$F\big(G(Tx_n, Tx_m, Tx_m)\big) \leq \alpha^n\, F(G(Tx_0, Tf^{m-n} x_0, Tf^{m-n} x_0) \tag{3.5}$$

Letting $m, n \to \infty$ in (3.5), we obtain

$F\big(G(Tx_n, Tx_m, Tx_m)\big) \to 0^+$ as $m, n \to \infty$.

So, we have $G(Tx_n, Tx_m, Tx_m) \to 0^+$ as $m, n \to \infty$.

Thus we hold that $\{Tx_n\}$ is a Cauchy Sequence in complete metric space (X, G).

By taking in view the completeness of X, we obtain that there exist $v \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = v \tag{3.6}$$

Note that T is subsequentially convergent, then $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence, so there is $u \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} x_{n(k)} = u \tag{3.7}$$

Also, T is continuous and $x_{n(k)} \to u$, therefore

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} Tx_{n(k)} = Tu \tag{3.8}$$

Note that $\{Tx_{n(k)}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{Tx_n\}$, so $Tu = v$. (3.9)

Now we will show that $u \in X$ is a fixed point of f. Indeed, we have

$$F\big(G(Tu, Tfu, Tfu)\big) \leq F\big[G\big(Tu, Tx_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)}\big) + G\big(Tx_{n(k)}, Tfu, Tfu\big)\big],$$
$$F\big(G(Tu, Tfu, Tfu)\big) \leq F\big[G\big(Tu, Tx_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)}\big) + G\big(Tf^{n(k)} x_0, Tfu, Tfu\big)\big],$$
$$F\big(G(Tu, Tfu, Tfu)\big) \leq F[G\big(Tu, Tx_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)}\big) + G(Tf^{n(k)} x_0, Tf^{n(k)+1} x_0, Tf^{n(k)+1} x_0) + G(Tf^{n(k)+1} x_0, Tfu, Tfu)],$$
$$F\big(G(Tu, Tfu, Tfu)\big) \leq F[G\big(Tu, Tx_{n(k)}, Tx_{n(k)}\big) + G(Tfx_{n(k)-1}, Tfx_{n(k)+1}, Tfx_{n(k)+1}) + G(Tfx_{n(k)}, Tfu, Tfu)], \tag{3.10}$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ in (3.10), we have

$$F\big(G(Tu, Tfu, Tfu)\big) \leq F[G(Tu, Tu, Tu) + G(Tfu, Tfu, Tfu) + G(Tfu, Tfu, Tfu)],$$
$$F\big(G(Tu, Tfu, Tfu)\big) \leq F[0 + 0 + 0],\ F\big(G(Tu, Tfu, Tfu)\big) \leq F(0),\ F\big(G(Tu, Tfu, Tfu)\big) \leq 0 \tag{3.11}$$

Last inequality (3.11) is contradiction unless $G(Tu, Tfu, Tfu) = 0$.

Thus, we obtained $Tu = Tfu$. Also, T is one to one, we obtain $u = fu$. (3.12)

Thus, we provide $u \in X$ is a fixed point of f.

Now, we show that the fixed point is unique.

Assume u' is another fixed point of f, then we have $fu' = u'$. (3.13)
$F\Big(G(Tu, Tu', Tu')\Big) = F\Big(G(Tfu, Tfu', Tfu')\Big)$.
$F\Big(G(Tu, Tu', Tu')\Big) \leq \alpha\, F\Big(G(Tu, Tu', Tu')\Big)$
$(1-\alpha)\, F\Big(G(Tu, Tu', Tu')\Big) \leq 0$.

This implies $F\Big(G(Tu, Tu', Tu')\Big) \leq 0$.

This is a contradiction unless $G(Tu, Tu', Tu') = 0$,

Therefore $Tu = Tu'$ and T is one to one, so we obtain $u = u'$.

Therefore u is a unique fixed point of f.

Also, if we take T is sequentially convergent, by replacing $\{n\}$ with $\{n(k)\}$ in (3.7), we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = u \tag{3.14}$$

Thus the equation (3.14) shows that $\{x_n\}$ converges to the fixed point of f.

Thus $x_n = f^n x_0$ converges the fixed point of f [From (3.2)].

If T is sequentially convergent then for every $x_0 \in X$ the sequence of iterates $\{f^n x_0\}$ converges to the fixed point.

Thus the proof is completed.

Example (1): Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and $d(x, y) = |x - y|$.

Define $G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$, (3.15)
then (X, G) is a complete G-metric space.

Consider two mappings $T, f: X \to X$ by $Tx = \frac{1}{x} + 1$ (3.16)
and $f(x) = 2x$. (3.17)
Where T is one to one, subsequentially convergent and continuous.

Define $F: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty), F(x) = x$, then $F(x)$ is nondecreasing and continuous from the right and $F^{-1}(0) = 0$.

Now,
$F\big(G(Tfx, Tfy, Tfz)\big) = G(Tfx, Tfy, Tfz)$, since $(F(x) = x)$
$F\big(G(Tfx, Tfy, Tfz)\big) = G\big(T(2x), T(2y), T(2z)\big)$, [From (3.16)]
$F\big(G(Tfx, Tfy, Tfz)\big) = G\left(\frac{1}{2x} + 1, \frac{1}{2y} + 1, \frac{1}{2z} + 1\right)$, [From (3.17)]
$F\big(G(Tfx, Tfy, Tfz)\big) = \left|\frac{1}{2x} + 1 - \frac{1}{2y} - 1\right| + \left|\frac{1}{2y} + 1 - \frac{1}{2z} - 1\right| + \left|\frac{1}{2z} + 1 - \frac{1}{2x} - 1\right|$, [From (3.15)]
$F\big(G(Tfx, Tfy, Tfz)\big) = \left|\frac{1}{2x} - \frac{1}{2y}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{2y} - \frac{1}{2z}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{2z} - \frac{1}{2x}\right|$,
$F\big(G(Tfx, Tfy, Tfz)\big) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{z}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{x}\right|\right]$, (3.18)
$F\big(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)\big) = G(Tx, Ty, Tz)$, (Since $(F(x) = x)$
$F\big(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)\big) = G\left(\frac{1}{x} + 1, \frac{1}{y} + 1, \frac{1}{z} + 1\right)$, [From (3.16)]
$F\big(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)\big) = \left|\frac{1}{x} + 1 - \frac{1}{y} - 1\right| + \left|\frac{1}{y} + 1 - \frac{1}{z} - 1\right| + \left|\frac{1}{z} + 1 - \frac{1}{x} - 1\right|$, [From (3.17)]
$F\big(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)\big) = \left|\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{z}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{x}\right|$ (3.19)

Substitute (3.19) in (3.18) we obtain,

$$F\big(G(Tfx, Tfy, Tfz)\big) = \frac{1}{2} F\big(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)\big),$$

© 2015, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Compare above equation with (3.1), there exist $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \in [0,1)$ such that

$$F\big(G(Tfx, Tfy, Tfz)\big) \leq \alpha\, F\big(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)\big).$$

So f is T_F-contraction and the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold.

Therefore f has a unique fixed point, that is $\mathbf{0}$.

Example 2: Let $X = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n}\ /n \in N\}$ endowed with the Euclidian metric that is

$$d(x, y) = |x - y|.$$

Define $G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$, (3.20)
then (X, G) is a complete G-metric space.

Consider two mappings $T, f: X \to X$ by
$f(0) = 0$ and $f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$, (3.21)
$T(0) = 0$ and $T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. (3.22)
Where T is one to one, subsequentially convergent and continuous.

Define $F: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty), F(x) = x,$ then $F(x)$ is nondecreasing and continuous from the right and $F^{-1}(0) = 0$.

For $l, m, n \in N$,

Now,

$$F\left(G\left(Tf\left(\frac{1}{l}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\right) = G\left(Tf\left(\frac{1}{l}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right), \text{ (Since } (F(x) = x)).$$

$$F\left(G\left(Tf\left(\frac{1}{l}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\right) = \left|Tf\left(\frac{1}{l}\right) - Tf\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\right| + \left|Tf\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) - Tf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right| + \left|Tf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - Tf\left(\frac{1}{l}\right)\right| \text{ [From (3.20)]}$$

$$F\left(G\left(Tf\left(\frac{1}{l}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\right) = \left|\frac{1}{(l+1)^{l+1}} - \frac{1}{(m+1)^{m+1}}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{(m+1)^{m+1}} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^{n+1}}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{(n+1)^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{(l+1)^{l+1}}\right|. \text{ [From (3.21 \& 3.22)]}$$

We have $\frac{1}{(n+1)^{n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{n^n}\right)\ for\ all\ n \geq 1.$ (3.23)

$$F\left(G\left(Tf\left(\frac{1}{l}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\right) \leq \left|\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{l^l}\right) - \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{m^m}\right)\right| + \left|\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{m^m}\right) - \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{n^n}\right)\right| + \left|\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{n^n}\right) - \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{l^l}\right)\right|, \text{ [From (3.23)]}$$

$$F\left(G\left(Tf\left(\frac{1}{l}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{3}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{l^l} - \frac{1}{m^m}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{m^m} - \frac{1}{n^n}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{n^n} - \frac{1}{l^l}\right|\right\} \quad (3.24)$$

$$F\left(G\left(T\left(\frac{1}{l}\right), T\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\right) = G\left(T\left(\frac{1}{l}\right), T\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right),$$

$$F\left(G\left(T\left(\frac{1}{l}\right), T\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\right) = \left|T\left(\frac{1}{l}\right) - T\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\right| + \left|T\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) - T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right| + \left|T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - T\left(\frac{1}{l}\right)\right|,$$

$$F\left(G\left(T\left(\frac{1}{l}\right), T\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\right) = \left|\frac{1}{l^l} - \frac{1}{m^m}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{m^m} - \frac{1}{n^n}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{n^n} - \frac{1}{l^l}\right| \quad (3.25)$$

Substitute (3.25) in (3.24), we obtain

$$F\left(G\left(Tf\left(\frac{1}{l}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), Tf\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\ F\left(G\left(T\left(\frac{1}{l}\right), T\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), T\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\right) \quad (3.26)$$

Compare (3.26) with (3.1), there exist $\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \in [0,1)$ such that

$$F\big(G(Tfx, Tfy, Tfz)\big) \leq \alpha\, F\big(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)\big).$$

So f is T_F-contraction and the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold.

Therefore f has a unique fixed point, that is $\mathbf{0}$.

Theorem 3.2: Let (X, G) be a complete G- metric space, let $f, T: X \to X$ be two self mappings such that T is one to one and graph closed (subseuentially convergent and continuous) and f is T-contraction, that is there exist $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$G(Tfx, Tfy, Tfz) \leq \alpha\, G(Tx, Ty, Tz). \quad (3.27)$$

Then f has a unique fixed point in X, also for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{f^n x_0\}$ converges to the fixed point.

Proof: By taking $F(x) = x$ in Theorem 3.1, the condition (3.1) reduces to the condition (3.27) and proof follows the Theorem 3.1

Corollary: If $F(x) = Tx = x$ in Theorem 3.1 then we obtain Theorem 2.16.

REFERENCES

1. S. Gahler, 2-metriche raume und ihre topologische strukture, Math. Nachr., 26(1963), 115-148
2. S. Gahler, Zur geometric 2-metriche raume, Revue Roumaine de Math. Pures et Appl. 11(1996), 664-669.
3. B.C. Dhage Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 84(1992), 329-336.
4. B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric space and topological structure I, An. Stint. Univ. Al.I. Cuza Iasi. Math (N.S), 46(2000), 3-24.
5. Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, "A new approach to generalized metric spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 289–297, 2006.
6. Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat, and F. Awawdeh, Some *fixed point Theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. Volume 2008, Article ID 189870, 12 pages, 2008.
7. Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, in proceedings of the International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, pp.189-198, Yokahama, Japan, 2004.
8. Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Fixed Point Theorems for Contractive Mappings in complete G-metric Spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. Vol. 2009, Article ID 917175, 2009.
9. Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi and M.Bataineh, Existence of fixed point results in G-metric spaces, International J. Math. Math. Sciences, vol. 2009, Article ID 283028, 2009.
10. Fixed Point of T_F-contractive single valued mappings, Sirous Moradi and Beiranvamd, Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics, Vol. 5, No. 2 (2010), pp 25-32.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2015. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]