International Journal of Mathematical Archive-6(8), 2015, 212-215 MA Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 - 5046

ON THE FUNCTION $\Delta_r(x, n)$

L. MADHUSUDAN*

4-1-216/150, St. No: 4, Kartikeya Nagar, Nacharam, Hyderabad - 500076, India.

(Received On: 25-07-15; Revised & Accepted On: 31-08-15)

ABSTRACT

Defining the function $\Delta_r(x,n)$ related to the r-totatives of n we study certain properties of it.

Key words: r-totatives, r-analogues of Mobius and Euler Functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper r denotes a fixed positive integer. For positive integers a and b, their greatest rth power common divisor is denoted by $(a,b)_r$. It is clear that $(a,b)_1$ is the greatest common divisor (a, b) of a and b; and that $(a,b)_r = 1$ if and only if (a, b) is *r-free* (we recall that a positive integer is r-free if it is not divisible by the rth power of any prime).

For a positive integer *n*, a number τ with $(\tau, n)_r = 1$ will be called a *r*-totative of *n*. Note that 1-totatives of *n* are referred as totatives of *n* by J.J.Sylvester (see [7], p.124). V.L. Klee [4] has defined the function $\phi_r(n)$ as the number of integers *m* with $1 \le m \le n$ and $(m, n)_r = 1$. Note that $\phi_1(n) = \phi(n)$, the well-known Euler function; and that $\phi_r(n)$ is the number of r-totatives of *n* in [0, n). Denote the number of r-totatives *m* of *n* with $m \le x$ by $\phi_r(x, n)$.

Here we define the function

(1.1)
$$\Delta_r(x,n) = \sum_{\substack{m \le xn \\ (m,n)_r = 1}} 1 - x\phi_r(n) = \phi_r(xn,n) - x\phi_r(n)$$

Note that $\Delta(x,n) := \Delta_1(x,n)$ was studied by Codeca and Nair [1]. In this paper we present some proerties of (1.1) and the results involving this function in section 3.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The r-analogue of the Mobius function, $\mu_r(n)$, is defined (see [4]) by

(2.1)
$$\mu_r(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1\\ (-1)^t & \text{if } n = p_1^r p_2^r \dots p_t^r \text{ where } p_i \text{ 's are distinct primes}\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and showed that it is multiplicative. V.L.Klee [4] has proved that

(2.2)
$$\phi_r(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu_r(d) \frac{n}{d} = \sum_{\delta|n} \mu_r\left(\frac{n}{\delta}\right) \delta$$

Corresponding Author: L. Madhusudan*

4-1-216/150, St. No: 4, Kartikeya Nagar, Nacharam, Hyderabad - 500076, India.

L. Madhusudan* / On the function $\Delta_r(x,n)$ / IJMA- 6(8), August-2015.

Since $\phi_r(x,n)$ is the number of r-totatives *m* of *n* with $m \le x$, it is easy to show that

(2.3)
$$\phi_r(x,n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu_r(d) \left[\frac{x}{d}\right] = \sum_{\delta|n} \mu_r\left(\frac{n}{\delta}\right) \left[\frac{x\delta}{n}\right]$$

where [y] is the greatest integer not exceeding y

where [y] is the greatest integer not exceeding y.

(2.4) Suppose for a given *n* let $N_r = N_r(n)$ is the rth power of the maximal square free divisor of n. Then note that $(a,n)_r = 1 \Leftrightarrow (a,N_r)_r = 1$. Hence we may assume, without loss to generality, that *n* itself is an rth power of a squarefree number m, say $n = m^{r}$. In all that follows n is always of this form.

Note that

(2.5) $\Delta_r(x,n)$ is periodic in x with period 1.

(2.6) Let $1 = a_1 < a_2 < ... < a_{\phi_r(n)} = n-1$ be the $\phi_r(n)$ r-totatives of n in the interval [1, n). We write $a_{o} = 0$ and $a_{\phi_{r}(n)+1} = n$. Then $n - a_{i} = a_{\phi_{r}(n)-i+1}$ and $\frac{a_{i}}{n} \in [0,1]$ for $0 \le i \le a_{\phi_{r}(n)+1}$. If a_{i} 's are defined as in (2.6) we observe that

(2.7)
$$\Delta_r\left(\frac{a_i}{n},n\right) = i - a_i \frac{\phi_r(n)}{n} \text{ for } 0 \le i \le \phi_r(n)$$

(2.8) $\Delta_r(x,n) = \Delta_r\left(\frac{a_i}{n},n\right) - \left(x - \frac{a_i}{n}\right)\phi_r(n)$ which imply that $\Delta_r(x,n)$ is a piecewise linear function of x with each line segment in $\left[\frac{a_i}{n}, \frac{a_{i+1}}{n}\right]$ having the gradient $-\phi_r(n)$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

3.1 *Lemma:*
$$\Delta_r(x,n) = -\mu_r(n) \sum_{d|n} \mu_r(d) \{xd\}$$

Proof: By (1.1), (2.3) and (2.2) we get

$$\Delta_r(x,n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu_r(d) \left[\left\lfloor \frac{xn}{d} \right\rfloor - \frac{xn}{d} \right]$$
$$= -\sum_{d|n} \mu_r(d) \left\{ \frac{xn}{d} \right\} = -\sum_{d|n} \mu_r\left(\frac{n}{d} \right) \{xd\}$$

where $\{y\}$ denotes the fractional part of y. Since the contribution of divisions d of n to the sum on the right is non-zero if and only if d is the rth power of square free integer, so that

$$\Delta_r(x,n) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu_r(n)}{\mu_r(d)} \{xd\} = -\mu_r(n) \sum_{d|n} \mu_r(d) \{xd\}$$

proving the Lemma.

As a consequence of Lemma 3.1, we have the identity: (3.2) If $p \nmid n$, $\Delta_r(x, n \not p) = \Delta_r(xp^r, n) - \Delta_r(x, n)$

It is easy to see that

(3.3)
$$\Delta_r(x,n) = -\mu_r(n) \sum_{d|n} \mu_r(d) \left(\{xd\} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

© 2015, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

L. Madhusudan* / On the function $\Delta_r(x,n)$ / IJMA- 6(8), August-2015.

Theorem A: If
$$(\ell, n)_r = 1$$
 then $\sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \Delta_r \left(\frac{u+n}{\ell}, n \right) = \Delta_r (u, n)$

Proof: By (3.3) we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{u+n}{\ell}, n \right) &= -\mu_r \left(n \right) \sum_{d|n} \mu_r \left(d \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \left\{ \left\{ \frac{ud}{\ell} + \frac{n}{\ell} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \right\} \\ &= -\mu_r \left(n \right) \sum_{d|n} \mu_r \left(d \right) \left\{ \left\{ ud \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \end{split}$$

Since,
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \left\{ \left\{ \frac{ud}{\ell} + \frac{n}{\ell} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \right\} = \left\{ ud \right\} - \frac{1}{2}, \text{ by a result of Landau ([5], p.170), we get} \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \Delta_r \left(\frac{u+n}{\ell}, n \right) = \Delta_r \left(u, n \right), \end{aligned}$$

proving the theorem.

Theorem B:
$$\int_{0}^{1} \Delta_{r}^{2}(x,n) dx = \frac{1}{12} 2^{\omega(n)} \frac{\phi_{r}(n)}{n}$$

Proof: By (3.3) we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} \Delta_{r}^{2}(x,n) dx = \sum_{\substack{d_{1} \mid n \\ d_{2} \mid n}} \mu_{r}(d_{1}) \mu_{r}(d_{2}) \int_{0}^{1} \left(\left\{ xd_{1} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\left\{ xd_{2} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \right) dx$$

Now using the result of Franel [3], namely

$$\int_{0}^{1} \left(\left\{ xd_{1} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\left\{ xd_{2} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \right) dx = \frac{1}{12} \frac{\left(d_{1}, d_{2} \right)^{2}}{d_{1} d_{2}}$$

it follows that

(3.4)
$$\int_{0}^{1} \Delta_{r}^{2}(x,n) dx = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\substack{d_{1} \mid n \\ d_{2} \mid n}} \mu_{r}(d_{1}) \mu_{r}(d_{2}) \frac{(d_{1},d_{2})^{2}}{d_{1}d_{2}}$$

Let
$$D = (d_1, d_2)$$
 so that $d_1 = D\delta_1$, $d_2 = D\delta_2$ and $(\delta_1, \delta_2) = 1$, Then (3.4) gives

$$\int_{0}^{1} \Delta_r^2(x, n) dx = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{D|n} \sum_{\delta_1 \delta_2 \mid \frac{N}{D}} \frac{\mu_r(\delta_1 \delta_2)}{\delta_1 \delta_2} = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{D|n} \sum_{\delta \mid \frac{N}{D}} \frac{\mu_r(\delta) \tau_r(\delta)}{\delta}$$

(3.5)
$$\int_{0}^{1} \Delta_{r}^{2}(x,n) dx = \frac{1}{12} g(n),$$

where $g(n) = \sum_{D|n} f\left(\frac{n}{D}\right)$ in which $f(m) = \sum_{d|m} \frac{\mu_{r}(d)\tau_{r}(d)}{d},$
clearly $f(m)$ is a multiplicative arithmetic function and $f(p^{r}) = 1 - \frac{1}{p^{r}}.$
Therefore $g(p^{r}) = f(p^{r}) + f(1) = 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{r}}\right) = 2\frac{\phi_{r}(p^{r})}{p^{r}}.$

© 2015, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Again since g(n) is multiplicative, it gives that $g(n) = 2^{\omega(n)} \frac{\phi_r(n)}{n}$.

Hence
$$\int_{0}^{1} \Delta_{r}^{2}(x,n) dx = \frac{1}{12} 2^{\omega(n)} \frac{\phi_{r}(n)}{n}$$
,

proving the theorem.

We need the following Lemma proved in [1] (Corollary. p.347) for our next result:

3.6 Lemma: Let $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < ... < \alpha_\ell$ be the points in (0,1) such that they are symmetric about $\frac{1}{2}$ and if

$$s(x) = \sum_{\substack{i \\ \alpha_i \le x}} 1 - x\ell \quad \text{then} \quad \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} s^2(\alpha_i) = \int_0^1 s^2(x) dx + \frac{1}{6}$$

Theorem C: For n > 1 and if $a_1 < a_2 < ... < a_{\phi_r(n)}$ are the r-totatives of *n* then

$$\frac{1}{\phi_r(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{\phi_r(n)} \Delta_r^2\left(\frac{a_i}{n}, n\right) = \frac{1}{12} 2^{\omega(n)} \frac{\phi_r(n)}{n} + \frac{1}{6}$$

Proof: Since $(\tau, n)_r = 1 \Leftrightarrow (n - \tau, n)_r = 1$, the intervals $\left[0, \frac{n}{2}\right)$ and $\left[\frac{n}{2}, n\right)$ have the same number of r-totatives, it follows that the numbers $\frac{a_i}{n}$ are symmetrically distributed about $\frac{1}{2}$ in (0,1). Taking $\alpha_i = \frac{a_i}{n}$ for $1 \le i \le \phi_r(n)$ in Lemma 3.6 and noting $s\left(\frac{a_i}{n}\right) = \Delta_r\left(\frac{a_i}{n}, n\right)$, we get $\frac{1}{\phi_r(n)}\sum_{i=1}^{\phi_r(n)}\Delta_r^2\left(\frac{a_i}{n}, n\right) = \int_0^1 \Delta_r^2(x, n) dx + \frac{1}{6}$.

Using Thereom B, we have

$$\frac{1}{\phi_r(n)} \sum_{i=1}^{\phi_r(n)} \Delta_r^2\left(\frac{a_i}{n}, n\right) = \frac{1}{12} 2^{\omega(n)} \frac{\phi_r(n)}{n} + \frac{1}{6}$$

proving the theorem.

REFERENCES

- 1. P.Codeca and M.Nair, *Extremal values of* $\Delta(x, n) = \sum_{\substack{m \le nn \\ (m,n)=1}} 1 x\phi(n)$, Canad. Math, Bull.41 (1998), 335–347.
- 2. L.E. Dickson, *History of Theory of Numbers, Vol-I: Divisibility and primality,* Chelsea Publ.Co.1999 (Original: 1919).
- 3. J.Frenel, Les Suites de Farey et les problems des numbers premiers. Gottinger Nachr, 1924 (1924), 198-201.
- 4. V.L.Klee, *Generalization of Euler's function*, Amer.Math. Monthly, 55 (1948), 358–359.
- 5. E.Landau, Vorlesungen uber Zahlentheorie, Band 2, Teil 7, Kapitel 13, Chelsea, New York, (1950).
- 6. V.Siva Rama Prasad, G. Kamala and L. Madhusudan, *On the distribution of r-totatives of an integer,* Advanced studies in contemporary Mathematics, 21 (2011), No.2, 181 188.
- 7. J.J.Sylvester, 'On Certain ternary and cubic form equation', Amer.J. of Math. 2 (1879).

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

[Copy right © 2015. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the International Journal of Mathematical Archive (IJMA), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.]