International Journal of Mathematical Archive-6(8), 2015, 95-99
Available online through www.ijma.info ISSN 2229 – 5046

INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY SUBBIGROUPS OF A BIGROUP

A. BALASUBRAMANIAN*
Department of mathematics,
A. P. S. A. College, Tiruppattur- 630211, Tamilnadu, India.

K. L. MURUGANANTHA PRASAD
Department of Mathematics,
H. H. The Rajahs College, Pudukkottai – 622001, Tamilnadu, India.

K. ARJUNAN
Department of mathematics,
H. H. The Rajahs College, Pudukkottai – 622001, Tamilnadu, India.

(Received On: 05-08-15; Revised & Accepted On: 07-09-15)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we made an attempt to study the algebraic nature of intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of a bigroup.

2000 AMS Subject classification: *03F55, 06D72, 08A72.*

KeyWords: *Bigroup, fuzzy subset, intuitionistic fuzzy subset, fuzzy subbigroup, intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup, Product.*

INTRODUCTION

In 1965, the fuzzy subset was introduced by L.A.Zadeh [10], after that several researchers explored on the generalization of the concept of fuzzy sets. The concept of intuitionistic fuzzy subset was introduced by K.T.Atanassov [2, 3], as a generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. The notion of fuzzy subgroups was introduced by Azriel Rosenfeld [4]. Palaniappan.N & K.Arjunan [7] defined the intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups of a group. In this paper, we introduce the some theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of a bigroup.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1 Definition: A set $(G, +, \bullet)$ with two binary operations + and $\bullet$ is called a bigroup if there exist two proper subsets G_1 and G_2 of G such that (i) $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ (ii) $(G_1, +)$ is a group (iii) $(G_2, \bullet)$ is a group.

1.2 Definition: Let X be a non-empty set. A **fuzzy subset A** of X is a function A: $X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

1.3 Definition: Let X be a non-empty set. A **intuitionistic fuzzy subset** A in X is defined as an object of the form $A = \{< x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) > / x \text{ in } X\}$, where $\mu_{A:}\ X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\nu_{A:}\ X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ define the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership of the element $x \in X$ respectively and for every $x \in X$ satisfying $\mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$.

1.4 Definition: Let (G, +) be a group. A fuzzy subset A of G is said to be a fuzzy subgroup of G if
$\mu_A(x-y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$ for all x and y in G.

1.5 Definition: Let (G, +) be a group. An intuitionistic fuzzy subset A of G is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of G if it satisfies the following axioms:
(i) $\mu_A(x-y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$
(ii) $\nu_A(x-y) \leq \max\{\nu_A(x), \nu_A(y)\}$ for all x and y in G.

Corresponding Author: A. Balasubramanian*
Department of mathematics, A. P. S. A. College, Tiruppattur- 630211, Tamilnadu, India.

1.6 Definition: Let G = $(G_1 \cup G_2, +, \bullet)$ be a bigroup. Then a fuzzy set A of G is said to be a fuzzy subbigroup of G if there exist two fuzzy subsets A_1 of G_1 and A_2 of G_2 such that (i) $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ (ii) A_1 is a fuzzy subgroup of $(G_1, +)$ (iii) A_2 is a fuzzy subgroup of $(G_2, \bullet)$.

1.7 Definition: Let G = $(G_1 \cup G_2, +, \bullet)$ be a bigroup. Then an intuitionistic fuzzy set A of G is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of G if there exist two intuitionistic fuzzy subsets A_1 of G_1 and A_2 of G_2 such that (i) $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ (ii) A_1 is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of $(G_1, +)$ (iii) A_2 is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of $(G_2, \bullet)$.

1.8 Definition: Let $A = M \cup N$ and $B = O \cup P$ be any two intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroups of bigroups $G = E \cup F$ and $H = I \cup J$ respectively. The product of A and B, denoted by $A \times B$, is defined as $A \times B = (M \times O) \cup (N \times P)$ where $\mu_{M\times O}(x, y) = \min\{\mu_M(x), \mu_O(y)\}$, $\nu_{M\times O}(x, y) = \max\{\nu_M(x), \nu_O(y)\}$, $\mu_{N\times P}(x, y) = \min\{\mu_N(x), \mu_P(y)\}$ and $\nu_{N\times P}(x, y) = \max\{\nu_N(x), \nu_P(y)\}$.

2. PROPERTIES

2.1 Theorem: If $A = M \cup N$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of a bigroup $G = E \cup F$, then $\mu_M(-x) = \mu_M(x)$, $\mu_M(x) \le \mu_M(e)$, $\nu_M(-x) = \nu_M(x)$, $\nu_M(x) \ge \nu_M(e)$ for all x, e in E, $\mu_N(x^{-1}) = \mu_N(x)$, $\mu_N(x) \le \mu_N(e')$, $\nu_N(x^{-1}) = \nu_N(x)$, $\nu_N(x) \ge \nu_N(e')$ for all x, e' in F.

2.2 Theorem: If $A = M \cup N$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of a bigroup $G = E \cup F$, then

(i) $\mu_M(x+y) = \mu_M(y+x)$ if and only if $\mu_M(x) = \mu_M(-y+x+y)$ for all x and y in E
(ii) $\mu_N(xy) = \mu_N(yx)$ if and only if $\mu_N(x) = \mu_N(y^{-1}xy)$ for all x and y in F
(iii) $\nu_M(x+y) = \nu_M(y+x)$ if and only if $\nu_M(x) = \nu_M(-y+x+y)$ for all x and y in E
(iv) $\nu_N(xy) = \nu_N(yx)$ if and only if $\nu_N(x) = \nu_N(y^{-1}xy)$ for all x and y in F.

Proof: (i) Let x and y belongs to E and e_1 be an identity element of E. Assume that $\mu_M(x+y) = \mu_M(y+x)$, then $\mu_M(-y+x+y) = \mu_M(-y+y+x) = \mu_M(e_1+x) = \mu_M(x)$. Therefore $\mu_M(x) = \mu_M(-y+x+y)$ for all x and y in E. Conversely, assume that $\mu_M(x) = \mu_M(-y+x+y)$, then $\mu_M(x+y) = \mu_M(x+y-x+x) = \mu_M(y+x)$. Therefore $\mu_M(x+y) = \mu_M(y+x)$ for all x and y in E. (ii) Let x and y belongs to F and e_2 be an identity element of F. Assume that $\mu_N(xy) = \mu_N(yx)$, then $\mu_N(y^{-1}xy) = \mu_N(y^{-1}yx) = \mu_N(e_2x) = \mu_N(x)$. Therefore $\mu_N(x) = \mu_N(y^{-1}xy)$ for all x and y in F. Conversely, assume that $\mu_N(x) = \mu_N(y^{-1}xy)$, then $\mu_N(xy) = \mu_N(xyxx^{-1}) = \mu_N(yx)$. Therefore $\mu_N(xy) = \mu_N(yx)$ for all x and y in F. (iii) Let x and y belongs to E and e_1 be an identity element of E. Assume that $\nu_M(x+y) = \nu_M(y+x)$, then $\nu_M(-y+x+y) = \nu_M(-y+y+x) = \nu_M(e_1+x) = \nu_M(x)$. Therefore $\nu_M(x) = \nu_M(-y+x+y)$ for all x and y in E.

Conversely, assume that $\nu_M(x) = \nu_M(-y+x+y)$, then $\nu_M(x+y) = \nu_M(x+y-x+x) = \nu_M(y+x)$.

Therefore $\nu_M(x+y) = \nu_M(y+x)$ for all x and y in E. (iv) Let x and y belongs to F and e_2 be an identity element of F. Assume that $\nu_N(xy) = \nu_N(yx)$, then $\nu_N(y^{-1}xy) = \nu_N(y^{-1}yx) = \nu_N(e_2x) = \nu_N(x)$. Therefore $\nu_N(x) = \nu_N(y^{-1}xy)$ for all x and y in F. Conversely, assume that $\nu_N(x) = \nu_N(y^{-1}xy)$, then $\nu_N(xy) = \nu_N(xyxx^{-1}) = \nu_N(yx)$. Therefore $\nu_N(xy) = \nu_N(yx)$ for all x and y in F.

2.3 Theorem: Let $A = M \cup N$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of a bigroup $G = E \cup F$. If

(i) $\mu_M(x) < \mu_M(y)$, then $\mu_M(x+y) = \mu_M(x) = \mu_M(y+x)$ for all x and y in E
(ii) $\mu_N(x) < \mu_N(y)$, then $\mu_N(xy) = \mu_N(x) = \mu_N(yx)$ for all x and y in F
(iii) $\nu_M(x) < \nu_M(y)$, then $\nu_M(x+y) = \nu_M(y) = \nu_M(y+x)$ for all x and y in E
(iv) $\nu_N(x) < \nu_N(y)$, then $\nu_N(xy) = \nu_N(y) = \nu_N(yx)$ for all x and y in F.

Proof: (i) Let x and y belongs to E. Assume that $\mu_M(x) < \mu_M(y)$, then $\mu_M(x+y) \ge \min\{\mu_M(x), \mu_M(y)\} = \mu_M(x)$; and $\mu_M(x) = \mu_M(x+y-y) \ge \min\{\mu_M(x+y), \mu_M(y)\} = \mu_M(x+y)$. Therefore $\mu_M(x+y) = \mu_M(x)$ for all x and y in E. And $\mu_M(y+x) \ge \min\{\mu_M(y), \mu_M(x)\} = \mu_M(x)$; and $\mu_M(x) = \mu_M(-y+y+x) \ge \min\{\mu_M(y), \mu_M(y+x)\} = \mu_M(y+x)$. Therefore $\mu_M(y+x) = \mu_M(x)$ for all x and y in E. Hence $\mu_M(x+y) = \mu_M(x) = \mu_M(y+x)$ for all x and y in E. (ii) Let x and y belongs to F. Assume that $\mu_N(x) < \mu_N(y)$, then $\mu_N(xy) \ge \min\{\mu_N(x), \mu_N(y)\} = \mu_N(x)$; and $\mu_N(x) = \mu_N(xyy^{-1}) \ge \min\{\mu_N(xy), \mu_N(y)\} = \mu_N(xy)$. Therefore $\mu_N(xy) = \mu_N(x)$ for all x and y in F. And $\mu_N(yx) \ge \min\{\mu_N(y), \mu_N(x)\} = \mu_N(x)$; and $\mu_N(x) = \mu_N(y^{-1}yx) \ge \min\{\mu_N(y), \mu_N(yx)\} = \mu_N(yx)$. Therefore $\mu_N(yx) = \mu_N(x)$ for all x and y in F. Hence $\mu_N(xy) = \mu_N(x) = \mu_N(yx)$ for all x and y in F. (iii) Let x and y belongs to E. Assume that $\nu_M(x) < \nu_M(y)$, then $\nu_M(x+y) \le \max\{\nu_M(x), \nu_M(y)\} = \nu_M(y)$; and $\nu_M(y) = \nu_M(-x+x+y) \le \max\{\nu_M(x+y), \nu_M(x)\} = \nu_M(x+y)$. Therefore $\nu_M(x+y) = \nu_M(y)$ for all x and y in E. And $\nu_M(y+x) \le \max\{\nu_M(y), \nu_M(x)\} = \nu_M(y)$; and $\nu_M(y) = \nu_M(y+x-x) \le \max\{\nu_M(x), \nu_M(y+x)\} = \nu_M(y+x)$. Therefore $\nu_M(y+x) = \nu_M(y)$ for all x and y in E. Hence $\nu_M(x+y) = \nu_M(y) = \nu_M(y+x)$ for all x and y in E. (iv) Let x and y belongs to F. Assume that $\nu_N(x) < \nu_N(y)$, then $\nu_N(xy) \le \max\{\nu_N(x), \nu_N(y)\} = \nu_N(y)$; and $\nu_N(y) = \nu_N(x^{-1}xy) \le$

$\max\{\nu_N(xy), \nu_N(x)\} = \nu_N(xy)$. Therefore $\nu_N(xy) = \nu_N(y)$ for all x and y in F. And $\nu_N(yx) \le \max\{ \nu_N(y), \nu_N(x)\} = \nu_N(y)$; and $\nu_N(y) = \nu_N(yxx^{-1}) \le \max\{\nu_N(x), \nu_N(yx)\} = \nu_N(yx)$. Therefore $\nu_N(yx) = \nu_N(y)$ for all x and y in F. Hence $\nu_N(xy) = \nu_N(y) = \nu_N(yx)$ for all x and y in F.

2.4 Theorem: Let $A = M\cup N$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of a bigroup $G = E\cup F$. If

(i) $\mu_M(x) > \mu_M(y)$, then $\mu_M(x+y) = \mu_M(y) = \mu_M(y+x)$ for all x and y in E
(ii) $\mu_N(x) > \mu_N(y)$, then $\mu_N(xy) = \mu_N(y) = \mu_N(yx)$ for all x and y in F
(iii) $\nu_M(x) > \nu_M(y)$, then $\nu_M(x+y) = \nu_M(x) = \nu_M(y+x)$ for all x and y in E
(iv) $\nu_N(x) > \nu_N(y)$, then $\nu_N(xy) = \nu_N(x) = \nu_N(yx)$ for all x and y in F.

Proof: It is trivial.

2.5 Theorem: Let $A = M\cup N$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of a bigroup $G = E\cup F$. If (i) there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E such that $\lim_{n\to\alpha} \min\{ \mu_M(x_n), \mu_M(x_n)\} = 1$, then $\mu_M(e_1) = 1$, where e_1 is the identity element in E, (ii) there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in F such that $\lim_{n\to\alpha} \min\{ \mu_N(x_n), \mu_N(x_n)\} = 1$, then $\mu_N(e_2) = 1$, where e_2 is the identity in F, (iii) there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E such that $\lim_{n\to\alpha} \max\{ \nu_M(x_n), \nu_M(x_n)\} = 0$, then $\nu_M(e_1) = 0$, where e_1 is the identity element in E, (iv) there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in F such that $\lim_{n\to\alpha} \max\{\nu_N(x_n), \nu_N(x_n)\} = 0$, then $\nu_N(e_2) = 0$, where e_2 is the identity in F.

Proof: (i) Let e_1 be the identity element in E and x_n in E. Then $\mu_M(e_1) = \mu_M(x_n - x_n) \ge \min\{\mu_M(x_n), \mu_M(x_n)\} = \mu_M(x_n)$. Therefore for each n, we have $\mu_M(e_1) \ge \mu_M(x_n)$. But $\mu_M(e_1) \ge \lim_{n\to\alpha} \min\{\mu_M(x_n), \mu_M(x_n)\} = 1$. Therefore $\mu_M(e_1) = 1$
(ii) Let e_2 be the identity element in F and x_n in F. Then $\mu_N(e_2) = \mu_N(x_n x_n^{-1}) \ge \min\{ \mu_N(x_n), \mu_N(x_n)\} = \mu_N(x_n)$. Therefore for each n, we have $\mu_N(e_2) \ge \mu_N(x_n)$. But $\mu_N(e_2) \ge \lim_{n\to\alpha} \min\{\mu_N(x_n), \mu_N(x_n)\}= 1$. Therefore $\mu_N(e_2) = 1$.
(iii) Let e_1 be the identity element in E and x_n in E. Then $\nu_M(e_1) = \nu_M(x_n - x_n) \le \max\{\nu_M(x_n), \nu_M(x_n)\} = \nu_M(x_n)$. Therefore for each n, we have $\nu_M(e_1) \le \nu_M(x_n)$. But $\nu_M(e_1) \le \lim_{n\to\alpha} \max\{\nu_M(x_n), \nu_M(x_n)\} = 0$. Therefore $\nu_M(e_1) = 0$. (iv) Let e_2 be the identity element in F and x_n in F. Then $\nu_N(e_2) = \nu_N(x_n x_n^{-1}) \le \max\{\nu_N(x_n), \nu_N(x_n)\} = \nu_N(x_n)$. Therefore for each n, we have $\nu_N(e_2) \le \nu_N(x_n)$. But $\nu_N(e_2) \le \lim_{n\to\alpha} \max\{\nu_N(x_n), \nu_N(x_n)\} = 0$. Therefore $\nu_N(e_2) = 0$.

2.6 Theorem: If $A = M\cup N$ and $B = O\cup P$ are intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroups of the bigroups $G = E\cup F$ and $H = I\cup J$, respectively, then $A\times B = (M\times O) \cup (N\times P)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of $G\times H = (E\times I) \cup (F\times J)$.

Proof: Let x_1 and x_2 be in E, y_1 and y_2 be in I. Then (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) are in $E\times I$. Now $\mu_{M\times O}[(x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2)] = \mu_{M\times O}((x_1-x_2), (y_1- y_2)) = \min\{\mu_M(x_1- x_2), \mu_O(y_1-y_2)\}\ge \min\{ \min\{\mu_M(x_1), \mu_M(x_2)\}, \min\{\mu_O(y_1), \mu_O(y_2)\}\} = \min\{\min\{\mu_M(x_1), \mu_O(y_1)\}, \min\{ \mu_M(x_2), \mu_O(y_2)\}\}= \min\{\mu_{M\times O}(x_1, y_1), \mu_{M\times O}(x_2, y_2)\}$. Therefore $\mu_{M\times O}[(x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2)]\ge \min\{\mu_{M\times O}(x_1, y_1), \mu_{M\times O}(x_2, y_2)\}$. And $\nu_{M\times O}[(x_1, y_1)-(x_2, y_2)] = \nu_{M\times O}((x_1-x_2), (y_1- y_2)) = \max \{\nu_M(x_1- x_2), \nu_O(y_1-y_2)\}\le \max\{\max\{\nu_M(x_1), \nu_M(x_2)\}, \max\{\nu_O(y_1), \nu_O(y_2)\}\} = \max \{\max\{\nu_M(x_1), \nu_O(y_1)\}, \max \{\nu_M(x_2), \nu_O(y_2)\}\} = \max\{\nu_{M\times O}(x_1, y_1), \nu_{M\times O}(x_2, y_2)\}$. Therefore $\nu_{M\times O}[(x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2)] \le \max\{\nu_{M\times O}(x_1, y_1), \nu_{M\times O}(x_2, y_2)\}$. Hence $M\times O$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of $E\times I$. Let x_1 and x_2 be in F, y_1 and y_2 be in J. Then (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) are in $F\times J$. Also $\mu_{N\times P}[(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2)^{-1}] = \mu_{N\times P}(x_1x_2^{-1}, y_1y_2^{-1}) = \min \{\mu_N(x_1x_2^{-1}), \mu_P(y_1y_2^{-1})\} \ge \min \{\min\{ \mu_N(x_1), \mu_N(x_2)\}, \min\{\mu_P(y_1), \mu_P(y_2)\}\}= \min\{\min\{\mu_N(x_1), \mu_P(y_1)\}, \min\{\mu_N(x_2), \mu_P(y_2)\}\}=\min\{\mu_{N\times P}(x_1, y_1), \mu_{N\times P}(x_2, y_2)\}$. Therefore $\mu_{N\times P}[(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2)^{-1}] \ge \min\{\mu_{N\times P}(x_1, y_1), \mu_{N\times P}(x_2, y_2)\}$. And $\nu_{N\times P}[(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2)^{-1}] = \nu_{N\times P}(x_1x_2^{-1}, y_1y_2^{-1}) = \max \{\nu_N(x_1x_2^{-1}), \nu_P(y_1y_2^{-1})\} \le \max\{\max\{\nu_N(x_1), \nu_N(x_2)\}, \max\{\nu_P(y_1), \nu_P(y_2)\}\} = \max \{\max\{\nu_N(x_1), \nu_P(y_1)\}, \max\{\nu_N(x_2), \nu_P(y_2)\}\}= \max \{\nu_{N\times P}(x_1, y_1), \nu_{N\times P}(x_2, y_2)\}$. Therefore $\nu_{N\times P}[(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2)^{-1}] \le \max \{\nu_{N\times P}(x_1, y_1), \nu_{N\times P}(x_2, y_2)\}$. Therefore $N\times P$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of $F\times J$. Hence $A\times B$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of $G\times H$.

2.7 Theorem: Let an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup $A= M\cup N$ of a bigroup $G = E\cup F$ be conjugate to an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup $K = Q\cup R$ of $G = E\cup F$ and an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup $B = O\cup P$ of a bigroup $H = I\cup J$ be conjugate to an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup $L= S\cup T$ of $H= I\cup J$. Then an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup $A\times B = (M\times O) \cup (N\times P)$ of a bigroup $G\times H = (E\times I) \cup (F\times J)$ is conjugate to an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup $K\times L = (Q\times S) \cup (R\times T)$ of $G\times H = (E\times I) \cup (F\times J)$.

Proof: Let x, −x and f be in E and y, −y and g be in I. Then (x, y), (−x, −y) and (f, g) are in E×I. Now $\mu_{M\times O}(f, g) = \min\{\mu_M(f), \mu_O(g)\} = \min\{\mu_Q(x + f-x), \mu_S(y + g- y)\} = \mu_{Q\times S}(x+f-x, y+g-y) = \mu_{Q\times S}[(x, y) +(f, g)+(-x, -y)] = \mu_{Q\times S}[(x, y)+(f, g) - (x, y)]$. Therefore $\mu_{M\times O}(f, g) = \mu_{Q\times S}[(x, y) + (f, g) -(x, y)]$. And $\nu_{M\times O}(f, g) = \max\{\nu_M(f), \nu_O(g)\} = \max\{\nu_Q(x+f-x), \nu_S(y+g-y)\} = \nu_{Q\times S}(x+f-x, y+g-y) = \nu_{Q\times S}[(x, y) +(f, g)+(-x, -y)] = \nu_{Q\times S}[(x, y)+(f, g) - (x, y)]$. Therefore $\nu_{M\times O}(f, g) = \nu_{Q\times S}[(x, y) + (f, g) - (x, y)]$. Hence an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup M×O of a group E×I is conjugate to an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup Q×S of E×I. Let x, x^{-1} and f be in F and y, y^{-1} and g be in J. Then (x, y), (x^{-1}, y^{-1}) and (f, g) are in F×J. Now $\mu_{N\times P}(f, g) = \min\{\mu_N(f), \mu_P(g)\} = \min\{\mu_R(xfx^{-1}), \mu_T(ygy^{-1})\} = \mu_{R\times T}(xfx^{-1}, ygy^{-1}) = \mu_{R\times T}[(x, y)(f, g) \quad (x^{-1}, y^{-1})] = \mu_{R\times T}[(x, y)(f, g)(x, y)^{-1}]$. Therefore $\mu_{N\times P}(f, g) = \mu_{R\times T}[(x, y)(f, g)(x, y)^{-1}]$. And $\nu_{N\times P}(f, g) = \max\{\nu_N(f), \nu_P(g)\} = \max\{\nu_R(xfx^{-1}), \nu_T(ygy^{-1})\} = \nu_{R\times T}(xfx^{-1}, ygy^{-1}) = \nu_{R\times T}[(x, y)(f, g)(x^{-1}, y^{-1})] = \nu_{R\times T}[(x, y)(f, g)(x, y)^{-1}]$. Therefore $\nu_{N\times P}(f, g) = \nu_{R\times T}[(x, y)(f, g)(x, y)^{-1}]$. Therefore an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup N×P of a group F×J is conjugate to an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup R×T of F×J. Hence an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup A×B = (M×O) ∪ (N×P) of a bigroup G×H = (E×I) ∪ (F×J) is conjugate to an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup K×L = (Q×S) ∪ (R×T) of G×H = (E×I) ∪ (F×J).

2.8 Theorem: Let A = M∪N and B = O∪P be intuitionistic fuzzy subsets of the bigroups G = E∪F and H = I∪J, respectively and A×B = (M×O) ∪ (N×P) be an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of G×H = (E×I) ∪ (F×J). Then the followings are true:

(i) if $\mu_M(x) \le \mu_O(e_2)$, $\nu_M(x) \ge \nu_O(e_2)$, then M is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of E
(ii) if $\mu_N(x) \le \mu_P(e_2^{l})$, $\mu_N(x) \ge \mu_P(e_2^{l})$, then N is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of F
(iii) A is an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of G
(iv) if $\mu_O(x) \le \mu_M(e_1)$, $\nu_O(x) \ge \nu_M(e_1)$, then O is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of I
(v) if $\mu_P(x) \le \mu_N(e_1^{l})$, $\mu_P(x) \ge \mu_N(e_1^{l})$, then P is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of J
(vi) B is an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of H
(vii) either A is an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of G or B is an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of H.

Proof: Let A×B = (M×O) ∪ (N×P) be an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of G×H = (E×I) ∪ (F×J). (i) Let x and y be in E and e_2 be in I. Then (x, e_2) and (y, e_2) are in E×I. Using the property $\mu_M(x) \le \mu_O(e_2)$, $\nu_M(x) \ge \nu_O(e_2)$, we get $\mu_M(x-y) = \min\{\mu_M(x-y), \mu_O(e_2+e_2)\} = \mu_{M\times O}((x-y), (e_2+e_2)) = \mu_{M\times O}[(x, e_2)+(-y, e_2)] \ge \min\{\mu_{M\times O}(x, e_2), \mu_{M\times O}(-y, e_2)\} = \min\{\min\{\mu_M(x), \mu_O(e_2)\}, \min\{\mu_M(y), \mu_O(e_2)\}\} = \min\{\mu_M(x), \mu_M(y)\}$. Therefore $\mu_M(x-y) \ge \min\{\mu_M(x), \mu_M(y)\}$for all x and y in E. And $\nu_M(x-y) = \max\{\nu_M(x-y), \nu_O(e_2+e_2)\} = \nu_{M\times O}((x-y), (e_2+e_2)) = \nu_{M\times O}[(x, e_2)+(-y, e_2)] \le \max\{\nu_{M\times O}(x, e_2), \nu_{M\times O}(-y, e_2)\} = \max\{\max\{\nu_M(x), \nu_O(e_2)\}, \max\{\nu_M(y), \nu_O(e_2)\}\} = \max\{\nu_M(x), \nu_M(y)\}$. Therefore $\nu_M(x-y) \le \max\{\nu_M(x), \nu_M(y)\}$for all x and y in E. Hence M is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of E. (ii) Let x and y be in F and e_2^{l} be in J. Then (x, e_2^{l}) and (y, e_2^{l}) are in F×J. Using the property $\mu_N(x) \le \mu_P(e_2^{l})$, $\nu_N(x) \ge \nu_P(e_2^{l})$, we get $\mu_N(xy^{-1}) = \min\{\mu_N(xy^{-1}), \mu_P(e_2^{l} e_2^{l})\} = \mu_{N\times P}((xy^{-1}), (e_2^{l}e_2^{l})) = \mu_{N\times P}[(x, e_2^{l})(y^{-1}, e_2^{l})] \ge \min\{\mu_{N\times P}(x, e_2^{l}), \mu_{N\times P}(y^{-1}, e_2^{l})\} = \min\{\min\{\mu_N(x), \mu_P(e_2^{l})\}, \min\{\mu_N(y), \mu_P(e_2^{l})\}\} = \min\{\mu_N(x), \mu_N(y)\}$. Therefore $\mu_N(xy^{-1}) \ge \min\{\mu_N(x), \mu_N(y)\}$for all x and y in F. And $\nu_N(xy^{-1}) = \max\{\nu_N(xy^{-1}), \nu_P(e_2^{l}e_2^{l})\} = \nu_{N\times P}((xy^{-1}), (e_2^{l}e_2^{l})) = \nu_{N\times P}[(x, e_2^{l})(y^{-1}, e_2^{l})] \le \max\{\nu_{N\times P}(x, e_2^{l}), \nu_{N\times P}(y^{-1}, e_2^{l})\} = \max\{\max\{\nu_N(x), \nu_P(e_2^{l})\}, \max\{\nu_N(y), \nu_P(e_2^{l})\}\} = \max\{\nu_N(x), \nu_N(y)\}$. Therefore $\nu_N(xy^{-1}) \le \max\{\nu_N(x), \nu_N(y)\}$ for all x and y in F. Hence N is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of F. (iii) From (i) and (ii), A is an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of G. (iv) Let x and y be in I and e_1 be in E. Then (e_1, x) and (e_1, y) are in E×I. Using the property $\mu_O(x) \le \mu_M(e_1)$, $\nu_O(x) \ge \nu_M(e_1)$, we get $\mu_O(x-y) = \min\{\mu_O(x-y), \mu_M(e_1+e_1)\} = \mu_{M\times O}((e_1+e_1), (x-y)) = \mu_{M\times O}[(e_1, x)+(e_1,-y)] \ge \min\{\mu_{M\times O}(e_1, x), \mu_{M\times O}(e_1,-y)\} = \min\{\min\{\mu_M(e_1), \mu_O(x)\}, \min\{\mu_M(e_1), \mu_O(y)\}\} = \min\{\mu_O(x), \mu_O(y)\}$. Therefore $\mu_O(x-y) \ge \min\{\mu_O(x), \mu_O(y)\}$ for all x and y in I. And $\nu_O(x-y) = \max\{\nu_O(x-y), \nu_M(e_1+e_1)\} = \nu_{M\times O}((e_1+e_1), (x-y)) = \nu_{M\times O}[(e_1, x)+(e_1,-y)] \le \max\{\nu_{M\times O}(e_1, x), \nu_{M\times O}(e_1,-y)\} = \max\{\max\{\nu_M(e_1), \nu_O(x)\}, \max\{\nu_M(e_1), \nu_O(y)\}\} = \max\{\nu_O(x), \nu_O(y)\}$. Therefore $\nu_O(x-y) \le \max\{\nu_O(x), \nu_O(y)\}$ for all x and y in I. Hence O is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of I (v) Let x and y be in J and e_1^{l} be in F. Then (e_1^{l}, x) and (e_1^{l}, y) are in F×J. Using the property $\mu_P(x) \le \mu_N(e_1^{l})$, $\nu_P(x) \ge \nu_N(e_1^{l})$, we get $\mu_P(xy^{-1}) = \min\{\mu_P(xy^{-1}), \mu_N(e_1^{l}e_1^{l})\} = \mu_{N\times P}((e_1^{l}e_1^{l}), (xy^{-1})) = \mu_{N\times P}[(e_1^{l}, x)(e_1^{l}, y^{-1})] \ge \min\{\mu_{N\times P}(e_1^{l}, x), \mu_{N\times P}(e_1^{l}, y^{-1})\} = \min\{\min\{\mu_N(e_1^{l}), \mu_P(x)\}, \min\{\mu_N(e_1^{l}), \mu_P(y)\}\} = \min\{\mu_P(x), \mu_P(y)\}$. Therefore $\mu_P(xy^{-1}) \ge \min\{\mu_P(x), \mu_P(y)\}$ for all x and y in J. And $\nu_P(xy^{-1}) = \max\{\nu_P(xy^{-1}), \nu_N(e_1^{l}e_1^{l})\} = \nu_{N\times P}((e_1^{l}e_1^{l}), (xy^{-1})) = \nu_{N\times P}[(e_1^{l}, x)(e_1^{l}, y^{-1})] \le \max\{\nu_{N\times P}(e_1^{l}, x), \nu_{N\times P}(e_1^{l}, y^{-1})\} = \max\{\max\{\nu_N(e_1^{l}), \nu_P(x)\}, \max\{\nu_N(e_1^{l}), \nu_P(y)\}\} = \max\{\nu_P(x), \nu_P(y)\}$. Therefore $\nu_P(xy^{-1}) \le \max\{\nu_P(x), \nu_P(y)\}$ for all x and y in J. Hence P is an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of J. (vi) From (iv) and (v), B is an intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroup of H. (vii) is clear.

REFERENCE

1. Anthony.J.M. and Sherwood.H, Fuzzy groups Redefined, Journal of Mathematical analysis and applications, 69, 124 -130 (1979)
2. Atanassov.K, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, fuzzy sets and systems, 20(1), 87-96 (1986).
3. Atanassov.K.T, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets theory and applications, Physica-Verlag, A Springer-Verlag Company, April 1999, Bulgaria.

4. Azriel Rosenfeld, Fuzzy Groups, Journal of Mathematical analysis and applications, 35, 512-517 (1971).
5. Balasubramanian.A, K.L.Muruganantha Prasad & K.Arjunan, Notes on intuitionistic fuzzy subbigroups of a bigroup, International Journal of Scientific Research, Vol.4, Iss. 5, 1-3 (2015).
6. Chakrabarty, K., Biswas, R., Nanda, A note on union and intersection of intuitionistic fuzzy sets , Notes on intuitionistic fuzzy sets , 3(4), (1997).
7. Palaniappan. N & K.Arjunan. 2007. Some properties of intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups, Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol.XXXIII (2): 321-328.
8. Rajesh Kumar, Fuzzy Algebra, Volume 1, University of Delhi Publication Division, July-1993.
9. Vasantha kandasamy.W.B, Smarandache fuzzy algebra, American research press, Rehoboth-2003.
10. Zadeh.L.A, Fuzzy sets, Information and control, Vol.8, 338-353 (1965).

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared