



SOME COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR OCCASIONALLY WEAKLY COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS IN FUZZY METRIC SPACES

¹S. K Malhotra, ²N. Verma*, ³R. Sen and ⁴S. Shukla

¹Department of Mathematics, Govt. S. G. S. P. G. College Ganj Basoda Distt. Vidisha (M.P.), India

²Department of Mathematics S. D. Bansal College of Technology, Indore (M.P.), India

^{3,4}Department of Applied Mathematics, Shri Vaishnav Institute of Technology and Science Indore (M.P.)

E-mail: verma_05navin@yahoo.com

(Received on: 30-05-11; Accepted on: 09-06-11)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove some common fixed point theorem for occasionally weakly compatible mapping in fuzzy metric spaces by taking average of some elements.

Keywords: Occasionally weakly compatible (owc) mappings, fuzzy metric space.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Fuzzy set was defined by Zadeh [26]. Kramosil and Michalek [14] introduced fuzzy metric space, Gorge and Veermani [6] modified the notion of fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t-norms. Many researchers have obtained common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying different types of commutativity conditions. Vasuki [25] proved fixed point theorems for R-weakly commuting mappings. Pant [18, 19, 20] introduced the new concept reciprocally continuous mappings and established some common fixed point theorems. Balasubramaniam et al. [4], have shown that Rhoades [22] open problem on the existence of contractive definition which generates a fixed point but does not force the mappings to be continuous at the fixed point. Posses an affirmative answer. Pant and Jha [20] obtained some analogous results proved by Balasubramaniam et. Al. Recent literature in fixed point in fuzzy metric space can be viewed in [1, 2, 9, 16, 24].

This paper presents some common fixed point theorems for more general commutative condition i.e. occasionally weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric space by taking average of some elements.

2 PRELIMINARY NOTES:

Definition: 2.1 [12] A fuzzy set A in X is a function with domain X and values in [0, 1].

Definition: 2.2 [4] A binary operation $*$: [0, 1] × [0, 1] → [0, 1] is a continuous t-norms if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) *is associative and commutative;
- (ii) *is continuous;
- (iii) $a*1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (iv) $a*b \leq c*d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, and $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition: 2.3 [2] A 3-tuples (X, M,*) is said to be a fuzzy metric space (shortly FM Space) if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set of $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions, for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$;

- (FM 1): $M(x, y, t) > 0$;
- (FM 2): $M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (FM 3): $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$;

Corresponding author: N. Verma^{2}, *E-mail: verma_05navin@yahoo.com

(FM 4): $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$;

(FM 5): $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is left continuous.

$(X, M, *)$ denotes a fuzzy metric space, $M(x, y, t)$ can be thought of as degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t . We identify $x = y$ with $M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. In the following example every metric induces a fuzzy metric.

Example: 2.4 (Induced fuzzy metric [6]) Let (X, d) be a metric space. Denote $a * b = a.b$ & for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and let M_d be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ defined as follows.

$$M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}$$

Then $(X, M, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric induced by a metric d as the standard intuitionistic fuzzy metric.

Definition: 2.5 [8] Two self mappings f and g of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ are called compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(fg x_n, gf x_n, t) = 1$ wherever $\{x_n\}$ is sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_n = x \text{ for some } x \text{ in } X$$

Definition: 2.6 [24] Two self maps f and g of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ are called reciprocally continuous on X if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = fx$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gf x_n = gx$ wherever, $\{x_n\}$ is sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_n = x \text{ for some } x \text{ in } X.$$

Definition: 2.7 [6] Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space. Then

- (a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to converges to x in X if for each $\epsilon > 0$ and each $t > 0$, there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M(x_n, x, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.
- (b) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be Cauchy if for each $\epsilon > 0$ and each $t > 0$, there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq n_0$.
- (c) A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

Definition: 2.8 Two self maps f and g of a set X are occasionally weakly compatible (owc) iff there is a point x in X which is a coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute. A.Al-Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad [15] shown that occasionally weakly is weakly compatible but converse is not true.

Example: 2.9 Let \mathbb{R} be the usual metric space. Define $S, T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $Sx = 3x$ and $Tx = x^2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $Sx = Tx$ for $x = 0, 3$ but $ST0 = TS0$, and $ST3 \neq TS3$. Hence S and T are occasionally weakly compatible self maps but not weakly compatible.

Example: 2.10 [3] Let \mathbb{R} be the usual metric space. Define $S, T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $Sx = 2x$ and $Tx = x^2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $Sx = Tx$ for $x = 0, 2$, but $ST0 = TS0$, and $ST2 \neq TS2$. Hence S and T are occasionally weakly compatible self maps but not weakly compatible.

Lemma: 2.11 [12] Let X be a set and f, g owc self maps of X . If f and g have a unique point of coincidence, $w = fx = gx$, then w is the unique common fixed point of f and g .

Lemma: 2.12 Let $(X, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space. If then exist $q \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(x, y, qt) \geq M(x, y, t) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ \& } t > 0 \text{ then } x = y.$$

3 MAIN RESULTS:

Theorem: 3.1

Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and let P, Q, S and T are self-mapping of X . Let the pairs $\{P, S\}$ and $\{Q, T\}$ be owc . If there exists $q \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(Px, Qy, qt) \geq \min\left\{\frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Px, Ty, t) + M(Qy, Sx, t)}{3}, M(Sx, Px, t), M(Qy, Ty, t)\right\} \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and for all $t > 0$, then there exists a unique point $w \in X$ such that $Pw = Sw = w$ and a unique point $z \in X$ such that $Qz = Tz = z$. Moreover, $z = w$, so that there is a unique common fixed point of P, Q, S and T .

Proof: Let the pairs $\{P, S\}$ and $\{Q, T\}$ be owc so there are points $x, y \in X$ such that $Px = Sx$ and $Qy = Ty$. We claim that $Px = Qy$. If not by inequality (1)

$$\begin{aligned} M(Px, Qy, qt) &\geq \min\left\{\frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Px, Ty, t) + M(Qy, Sx, t)}{3}, M(Sx, Px, t), M(Qy, Ty, t)\right\} \\ &= \min\left\{\frac{M(Px, Qy, t) + M(Px, Qy, t) + M(Qy, Px, t)}{3}, M(Px, Px, t), M(Qy, Qy, t)\right\} \\ &= \min\left\{\frac{M(Px, Qy, t) + M(Px, Qy, t) + M(Qy, Px, t)}{3}, 1, 1\right\} \\ &= M(Px, Qy, t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Px = Qy$, i.e. $Px = Sx = Qy = Ty$. Suppose that z such that $Pz = Sz$ then by (1) we have $Pz = Sz = Qy = Ty$ so $Px = Pz$ and $w = Px = Sx$ is the unique point of coincidence of P and S .

Similarly there is a unique point $z \in X$ such that $z = Qz = Tz$.

Assume that $w \neq z$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} M(w, z, qt) &= M(Pw, Qz, qt) \\ M(Px, Qy, qt) &\geq \min\left\{\frac{M(Sx, Tz, t) + M(Pw, Tz, t) + M(Qz, Sw, t)}{3}, M(Sw, Pz, t), M(Qz, Tz, t)\right\} \\ &= \min\left\{\frac{M(w, z, t) + M(w, z, t) + M(w, z, t)}{3}, M(w, z, t), M(z, z, t)\right\} \\ &= M(w, z, t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $z = w$ by Lemma 2.14 and z is a common fixed point of P, Q, S and T . The uniqueness of fixed point holds from (1)

Theorem: 3.2

Let $(X, M, *)$ be complete fuzzy metric space and let P, Q, S and T be self mappings of X . Let the pairs $\{P, S\}$ and $\{Q, T\}$ be owc. If there exists $\varnothing \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(Px, Qy, qt) \geq \varnothing \left[\min\left\{\frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Px, Ty, t) + M(Qy, Sx, t)}{3}, M(Sx, Px, t), M(Qy, Ty, t)\right\} \right] \quad (2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\varnothing : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\varnothing(t) > t$ for all $0 < t < 1$, then there exist a unique common fixed point of P, Q, S and T

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} M(Px, Qy, qt) &\geq \varnothing \left[\min\left\{\frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Px, Ty, t) + M(Qy, Sx, t)}{3}, M(Sx, Px, t), M(Qy, Ty, t)\right\} \right] \\ &\geq \varnothing [M(Px, Qy, t)] \quad \text{from theorem 3.1} \\ &\geq \varnothing [M(Px, Qy, t)] \end{aligned}$$

Now proof follows by (3.1)

Theorem: 3.3

Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and let P, Q, S and T are self mappings of X .

Let the pairs {P, S} and {Q, T} be owc . If there exists $q \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(Px, Qy, qt) \geq \emptyset \left[\min \left\{ \frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Px, Ty, t) + M(Qy, Sx, t)}{3}, M(Sx, Px, t), M(Qy, Ty, t) \right\} \right] \quad (3)$$

For all $x, y \in X$ and $\emptyset: [0, 1]^4 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\emptyset(t, 1, t, t) > t$ for all $0 < t < 1$ then there exists a unique common fixed point of P, Q, S and T.

Proof: Let the pairs {P, S} and {Q, T} are owc ,there are points $x, y \in X$ such that $Px = Sx$ and $Qy = Ty$ are claim that $Px = Qy$. By inequality (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(Px, Qy, qt) &\geq \emptyset \left[\min \left\{ \frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Px, Ty, t) + M(Qy, Sx, t)}{3}, M(Sx, Px, t), M(Qy, Ty, t) \right\} \right] \\ &= \emptyset \left[\min \left\{ \frac{M(Px, Qy, t) + M(Px, Qy, t) + M(Qy, Px, t)}{3}, M(Px, Px, t), M(Qy, Qy, t) \right\} \right] \\ &= \emptyset \left[\min \{ M(Px, Qy, t), 1, 1 \}, [\cdot M(Px, Px, t) = 1, M(Qy, Qy, t) = 1] \right] \\ &> M(Px, Qy, t) \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction , therefore $Px = Qy$ i.e. $Px = Sx = Qy = Ty$ suppose that there is another point z such that $Pz = Sz$ then by (3) we have

$Pz = Sz = Qy = Ty$ so $Px = Pz$ and $w = Px = Tx$ is unique point of coincidence of P and T. Qy Lemma 2.14 w is a unique common fixed point of P and S, similarly there is a unique point $z \in X$ such that $z = Qz = Tz$. Thus z is common fixed point of P, Q, S, and T. The uniqueness of fixed point holds from (3).

Theorem: 3.4

Let $(X, M, *)$ be complete fuzzy metric space and let P, Q, S and T be self mappings of X, let the pairs {P, S} and {Q, T} are owc. If there exists a points $q \in (0, 1)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$

$$M(Px, Qy, qt) > \left\{ \frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Px, Ty, t) + M(Sx, Qy, t)}{3}, M(Px, Sx, t), M(Qy, Ty, t) \right\} \quad (4)$$

then there exists a unique common fixed points of P, Q, S and T.

Proof: Let the points {P, S} and {Q, T} are owc and there are points $x, y \in X$ such that $Px = Sx$ and $Qy = Ty$ and claim that $Px = Qy$ By inequality (4)

We have

$$\begin{aligned} M(Px, Qy, qt) &> \left\{ \frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Px, Ty, t) + M(Sx, Qy, t)}{3}, M(Px, Sx, t), M(Qy, Ty, t) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{M(Px, Qy, t) + M(Px, Qy, t) + M(Px, Qy, t)}{3}, M(Px, Px, t), M(Qy, Qy, t) \right\} \\ &\geq M(Px, Qy, t) * 1 * 1 \\ &\geq M(Px, Qy, t) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $Px = Qy$ i.e. $Px = Sx = Qy = Ty$. Suppose that there is another point z such that $Pz = Sz$ then by (4) we have $Pz = Sz = Qy = Ty$ so $Px = Pz$ and $w = Px = Sx$ is unique point of coincidence of P and S.

Similarly there is a unique point $z \in X$ such that $z = Qz = Tz$. Thus w is a common fixed point of P, Q, S and T.

Corollary: 3.5

Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and let P, Q, S and T be self mappings of X . Let the pairs $\{P, S\}$ and $\{Q, T\}$ are owc. If there exists a point $q \in (0, 1)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$

$$M(Px, Qy, qt) \geq \left\{ \frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Qy, Sx, 2t) + M(Px, Ty, t)}{3} \right\} * M(Px, Sx, t) * M(Qy, Ty, t) \quad (5)$$

then there exists a unique common fixed point of P, Q, S and T .

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned} M(Px, Qy, qt) &\geq \left\{ \frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Qy, Sx, 2t) + M(Px, Ty, t)}{3} \right\} * M(Px, Sx, t) * M(Qy, Ty, t) \\ &\geq \left\{ \frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Sx, Ty, t) * M(Ty, Qy, t) + M(Px, Ty, t)}{3} \right\} * M(Px, Sx, t) * M(Qy, Ty, t) \\ &\geq \left\{ \frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Sx, Ty, t) * M(Qy, Qy, t) + M(Px, Ty, t)}{3} \right\} * M(Px, Sx, t) * M(Qy, Ty, t) \\ &\geq \left\{ \frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Sx, Ty, t) * 1 + M(Px, Ty, t)}{3} \right\} * 1 * 1 \\ &\geq \left\{ \frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Px, Ty, t)}{3} \right\} * 1 * 1 \\ &\geq M(Px, Px, t), [\because Px = Sx \text{ and } Qy = Ty] \end{aligned}$$

and therefore from Theorem 3.4 , P, Q, S and T have common fixed point.

Corollary: 3.6

Let $(X, M, *)$ be complete fuzzy metric space and let P, Q, S and T be self-mapping of X . Let the pairs $\{P, S\}$ and $\{Q, T\}$ are owc. If there exist point $q \in (0, 1)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$

$$M(Px, Qy, qt) \geq M(Sx, Ty, t) \quad (6)$$

then there exists a unique common fixed point of P, Q, S and T .

Proof: The proof follows from Corollary 3.5

Theorem:3.7

Let $(X, M, *)$ be complete fuzzy metric space. Then continues self mappings S and T of X have a common fixed point in X if and only if there exists a self mapping P of X such that the following conditions are satisfied

- (i) $PX \subset TX \cap SX$
- (ii) pairs $\{P, S\}$ and $\{P, T\}$ are weakly compatible,
- (iii) there exists a point $q \in (0,1)$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$

$$M(Px, Qy, qt) \geq \left\{ \frac{M(Sx, Ty, t) + M(Px, Sx, t) + M(Px, Ty, t)}{3} \right\} * M(Py, Ty, t) \quad (7)$$

then P, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Since compatible implies owc , the result follows from 3.4

Theorem: 3.8

Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and let P and Q be self mapping of X . Let P and Q are owc. If there exists a point $q \in (0,1)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$

$$M(Sx, Sy, qt) \geq \alpha M(Px, Py, t) + \beta \left\{ \frac{M(Px, Py, t) + M(Sy, Px, t) + M(Sx, Py, t)}{3} \right\} \quad (8)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\alpha + \beta > 1$. Then P and S have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let the pairs {P, S} be owc, so there is a point $x \in X$ such that $Px = Sx$. Suppose that there exist another point $y \in X$ for which $Py = Sy$. We claim that $Sx = Sy$ by equation (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(Sx, Sy, qt) &\geq \alpha M(Px, Py, t) + \beta \left\{ \frac{M(Px, Py, t) + M(Sy, Px, t) + M(Sx, Py, t)}{3} \right\} \\ M(Sx, Sy, qt) &\geq \alpha M(Sx, Sy, t) + \beta \left\{ \frac{M(Sx, Sy, t) + M(Sy, Sx, t) + M(Sx, Sy, t)}{3} \right\} \\ &= (\alpha + \beta) M(Sx, Sy, t) \end{aligned}$$

A contradiction, since $(\alpha + \beta) > 1$ therefore $Sx = Sy$. Therefore $Px = Py$ and Px is unique. From lemma 2.14, P and S have a unique fixed point.

REFERENCES:

- [1] C.T. Aage, J.N. Salunke, "Common Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces", International Journal of pure and Applied Mathematics 56(2), 2009, pp 155-164.
- [2] C.T. Aage, J.N. Salunke, "Some Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces", International Journal of pure and Applied Mathematics 56(3), 2009, pp 311-320.
- [3] A.Al-Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad, "Generalized I-Nonexpansive Selfmaps and Invariant Approximations", Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series May, 2008, Vol. 24, No.5 pp 867876
- [4] P. Balasubramanian, S. Muralisnkar, R.P. pant, "Common Fixed Points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric spaces" J Fuzzy math. 10(2) (2002)
- [5] Y.J. Cho, H.K. Pathak, S.M. Kang, J.S. Jung "Common Fixed Points of compatible maps of type (A) on fuzzy metric spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems 93 (1998), 99-111
- [6] A George, P. Veeramani, "On some results in fuzzy metric spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64 (1994), 395-399.
- [7] M. Grabiec, "Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems 27 (1988), 385-389.
- [8] O. Hadzic, "Common Fixed point theorems for families of mapping in complete metric space", Math.Japon. 29 (1984), 127-134.
- [9] Mohd.Imdad and Javid Ali, "Some Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces", Mathematical Communications 11(2006), 153-163 153.
- [10] G. Jungck, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2)" Inter-nat. J.math. Math. Sci.(1988), 285-288.
- [11] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, "Fixed point for Set valued functions without Continuity", Indian J. Pure Appl. Math, 29 (3), (1998), pp.771-779.
- [12] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, "Fixed Point Theorems for Occasionally Weakly compatible Mappings", Fixed point Theory, Volume 7, No. 2, 2006, 287-296.
- [13] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, "Fixed Point Theorems for Occasionally Weakly compatible Mappings", Erratum, Fixed point Theory, Volume 9, No.1, 2008, 383-384.
- [14] O. Kramosil and J. Michalek, "Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces", Kybernetika, 11(1975), 326-334.

- [15] S. Kutukcu “A fixed point theorem for contraction type mappings in Menger spaces” , Am. J.Appl. Sci. 4(6) (2007), 371-373.
- [16] Servet Kutukcu , Sushil Shurma and Hanifi Tokogoz , “A Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Spaces” Int. Journal of Math. Analysis , Vol.1, 2007, no. 18,861-872.
- [17] S.N. Mishra, “Common Fixed points of compatible mapping in PM- spaces” , Math . Japon.36 (1991) , 283-289.
- [18] R.P. Pant , “Common Fixed points of four mappings” , Bull.Math. Soc.90 (1998) , 281-286.
- [19] R.P. Pant , “Common Fixed points of for contractive mapps” , J. Math. Anal.Appl.226(1998), 251-258.
- [20] R.P. Pant , K. Jha, “Aremark on common fixed points of four mappings in afuzzy metric space” , J. Fuzzy Math. 12(2) (2004) ,433-437.
- [21] H. K.Pathak and Prachi Sing ,“Common fixed Point Theorem for Weakly Compatible Mapping”, International Mathematical Forum, 2, 2007, no.57,2831-2839.
- [22] B. E. Rhoades , “Contractive definitions” , Contemporary Math.72 (1988) , 233-245.
- [23] B . Schweizer and A. Sklar , “Statistical metric spaces” , Pacific J.Math. (1960), 313-334.
- [24] Seong Hoon Cho, “On common Fixed point in fuzzy metric space”, Int . Math. Forum, 1,2006, 10 471-479.
- [25] R. Vasuki, “Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting Maps in fuzzy metric spaces” , Indian J .Pure Appl. Math 30 (1999) ,419-423.
- [26] L.A. Zadeh , Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control 8(1965), 338-353.
