

ASSOCIATOR IDEAL IN SEMIPRIME WEAKLY STANDARD RINGS

K. Suvarna¹ and K. Chennakesavulu*²

¹Department of Mathematics, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur – 515 003, AP, India.

²Department of Mathematics, Intel Engineering College, Anantapur, AP, India.

(Received on: 30-01-14; Revised & Accepted on: 07-03-14)

ABSTRACT

We prove that an associator ideal in a semiprime weakly standard Novikov ring R is anticommutative and alternative. We use this to prove that R is associative.

Key Words: Commutator, associator, semiprime ring, primerring, weakly standard ring, alternative ring, flexible ring, characteristic of a ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

Kleinfeld and Smith [1] proved that simple finite dimensional weakly Novikov algebras over a field of characteristic zero must be associative. Kleinfeld proved that if R is a prime ring satisfying the Novikov identity $x(yz) = y(zx)$ such that $2x = 0$ implies $x = 0$, then R must be commutative and associative. In [2] it is shown that a semiprime flexible ring with weak Novikov identity is associative. In this paper, we prove that in a weakly standard ring R of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$ with Novikov identity $(xy)z = (xz)y$, the associator ideal I is anticommutative and alternative. Using these results we prove that a semiprime weakly standard ring of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$ is associative.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A weakly standard ring R is a nonassociative ring satisfying the identities

$$\begin{aligned}(x, y, x) &= 0 \\ ((w, x), y, z) &= 0 \\ ((w, (x, y), z) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

for all w, x, y, z in R , where the associator $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$ and the commutator $(x, y) = xy - yx$. We define a ring R to be of characteristic $\neq n$ if $nx = 0$ implies $x = 0$ for all x in R . A ring R is prime if whenever A and B are ideals of R such that $AB = 0$, then either $A = 0$ or $B = 0$ and if ring R is semiprime for any ideal A of R , $A^2 = 0$ implies $A = 0$.

We define an alternative ring R in which $(xx)y = x(xy) = x(xy)$, $y(xx) = (yx)x$, for all x, y in R .

Throughout this section R denotes a weakly standard ring of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$ satisfying the Novikov identity $(xy)z = (xz)y$.

Using this identity we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(w, x, y, z) &= ((w, x) y)z - (wx)(yz) \\ &= ((wy) z) x - (w (yz)) x \\ &= (w, y, z) x.\end{aligned}$$

Since the flexible identity $(x, y, x) = 0$ (1)

holds in R , every commutator is in the nucleus of R and every associator commutes with every element of R . The above equation can be written as

$$(w, x, yz) = y(w, x, z), \tag{2}$$

Corresponding author: K. Chennakesavulu*²

²Department of Mathematics, Intel Engineering College, Anantapur, AP, India.

E-mail: venkat_seela@yahoo.com

which is the weak Novikov identity. The commutative center U of R is defined by $U = \{u \in R / (u, R) = 0\}$. The associator ideal I consists of all finite sums of associators and left multiples of associators. As a consequence of (2) we observe that the associator ideal I of R consists of all finite sums of associators. We use the Teichmüller identity

$$(wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) - w(x, y, z) - (w, x, y)z = 0, \tag{3}$$

which is valid in every ring.

A linearization of (1) implies $(wx, y, z) = -(z, y, wx)$.

But use of (2) shows that $-(z, y, wx) = -w(z, y, x)$. From the flexible identity we obtain

$$(wx, y, z) = w(x, y, z). \tag{4}$$

Comparing (3) and (4) implies

$$-(w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) = (w, x, y)z. \tag{5}$$

Now $(z, x, yz) = y(z, x, z) = 0$, using (2) and then (1). By substituting $w = z$ in (5), we get

$$(z, x, y)z = 0. \tag{6}$$

$$\text{A linearization of (6) is } (w, x, y)z = -(z, x, y)w. \tag{7}$$

For arbitrary elements a, b, x, y, z in R , we observe that

$$p = (a, b, (x, y, z)) = (a, b, xy.z) - (a, b, x.yz) = xy.(a, b, z) - x.y(a, b, z) = (x, y, (a, b, z)),$$

Using (2) several times.

$$\text{Thus } (a, b, (x, y, z)) = (x, y, (z, b, z)). \tag{8}$$

Let $x = z$ in (8). As a consequence of (1), it follows that

$$(z, y, (a, b, z)) = 0. \tag{9}$$

By linearization of (9) together with (1), we have

$$((w^\pi, y, (a^\pi, b, z^\pi)) = (\text{sgn } \pi) (w, y, (a, b, z)), \tag{10}$$

where π is any permutation on the set $\{w, a, z\}$. Combining (10) with (8) we obtain

$$(a, b, (x, y, z)) = -(x, b, (a, y, z)) = -(a, y, (x, b, z)).$$

$$\text{Thus } (w, y^\sigma, (a, b^\sigma, z)) = (\text{sgn } \sigma) (w, y, (a, b, z)), \tag{11}$$

where σ is any permutation on the set $\{y, b\}$.

Now $(x, y, z)(a, b, c) = -(a, b, c)(y, z)x$ using (7). Then flexible implies

$$-((a, b, c), y, z)x = (z, y, (a, b, c))x.$$

Let $c = z$. Since $(z, y, (a, b, z))x = -(a, y, (z, b, z))x = 0$, using (10) and (1) it follows that

$$(x, y, z)(a, b, z) = 0. \tag{12}$$

A linearization of (12) leads to

$$(x, y, z)(a, b, c) = -(x, y, c)(a, b, z). \tag{13}$$

Now, (13) and (1) imply

$$(x^\alpha, y, z^\alpha)(a^\alpha, b, c^\alpha) = (\text{sgn } \alpha) (x, y, z)(a, b, c), \tag{14}$$

where α stands for any permutation on the set $\{x, z, a, c\}$. Also we note that

$$q = (x, b, z)(a, b, c) = -((a, b, c), b, z)x = (z, b, (a, b, c))x,$$

By combining (7) with the flexible identity. But then $q = (z, b, (a, b, c))x = -(z, b, (a, b, c))x$, using (11). Thus $2q = 0$.

Using characteristic different from 2, we get $q = 0$, so that

$$(x, b, z)(a, b, c) = 0. \tag{15}$$

By linearization of (15) we have

$$(x, y, z)(a, b, c) = -(x, b, z)(a, y, c). \tag{16}$$

Then the combination of (16) and (14) gives

$$(x, y, z)(a, b, c) = -(a, b, c)(x, y, z). \tag{17}$$

This implies the following result.

Lemma: 1 *A is anticommutative.*

MAIN RESULTS

Now we prove the main results.

Lemma: 2 *A is alternative.*

Proof: Let q be an arbitrary element in A and w, x, y, z arbitrary elements in R . Then $(z, x, y).qw = -(qw, x, y)z$ using (7). But $-(qw, x, y)z = -q(w, x, y).z$, using (4). Then (2) implies $-q(w, x, y).z = -(w, x, qy)z$. Again (7) implies

$$-(w, x, qy)z = (z, x, qy) \text{ and (2)}$$

Implies $(z, x, qy)w = q(z, x, y).w$. Then

Lemma (1) yields $q(z, x, y).w = -(z, x, y)q.w$.

By taking these equalities together we obtain $(z, x, y).qw = -(z, x, y)q.w$.

$$\text{In other words } p.qw = -pq.w \tag{18}$$

for all $p, q \in A$ and all w in R . We also assume that r is an element of A .

$$\text{Then } (p, q, r) + (p, r, q) = pq.r - p.qr + pr.q - p.rq = -2p.qr - 2p.rq,$$

Using (18). However $-2p.qr - 2p.rq = -2p(qr + 2q)$.

Then lemma (1) implies that $qr + rq = 0$, so that $(p, q, r) + (p, r, q) = 0$. At this point I is both flexible and right alternative, hence alternative.

Lemma: 3 *If S is an anti-commutative alternative ring of characteristic $\neq 2$ then $(S^2)(S^2) = 0$.*

Proof: For arbitrary elements w, x, y, z in S , we have $(xy)(zx) = x(yz)x = x^2(yz) = 0$, using alternative identities and anti-commutativity.

By linearizing this identity, we get $(wy)(zx) = -(xy)(zw)$. Applying this in conjunction with anti-commutativity leads to $(wy)(zx) = (zx)(wy)$. However wy also anti-commutes with zx , so that $2(wy)(zx) = 0$. Since R is of characteristic $\neq 2$, we have $(wy)(zx) = 0$. So that $(S^2)(S^2) = 0$.

Using the above results, we prove the following:

Theorem: 1 *A semiprime weakly standard Novikov ring R of characteristic $\neq 2$ is associative.*

Proof: Let p, q be arbitrary elements of R , I the associative ideal of R , and z an arbitrary element of R . Then $pq.z = -p.qz$, using (18). Thus I^2 is a right ideal of R . Also $(z, p, q) = -(q, p, z) = -qp.z + q.pz$ is an element of I^2 . But $zp.q$ is in I^2 . Hence $z.pq = -(z, p, q) + zp.q$ is also in I^2 . Thus I^2 is an ideal of R . Then lemmas (1), (2) and (3) imply that the ideal I^2 of R squares to 0. Since R is semiprime, it follows that $I = 0$. So R must be associative.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kleinfeld, E and Smith, H.F. "A Generalization of Novikov rings", Nonassociative Algebra and its applications (1994), 219 – 222.
- [2] Kleinfeld, E and Smith, H.F. "Semiprime flexible weakly Novikov rings are associative", Comm. In Algebra, 23(13) (1995), 5077-5083

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared