International Journal of Mathematical Archive-2(5), May - 2011, Page: 659-661 Available online through <u>www.ijma.info</u> ISSN 2229 – 5046

# A STUDY OF SOME INEQUALITY CONTAINING THE BETA FUNCTION

## Hanadi Dawood Saleem\*

Department of Mathematics College of Computers Sciences and Mathematics University of Mosul Mosul-IRAQ

#### Email: hanadi\_772000@yahoo.com

(Received on: 11-04-11; Accepted on: 20-04-11)

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper a new method is presented in order to check the monotonicity of the beta function using the Euler gamma function.

\_\_\_\_\_

Keywords and Phrases: Euler gamma function, Digamma function, Beta function.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 33B15.

## 1. INTRODUCTION:

The Euler Gamma function  $\Gamma$  is defined for x > 0 by

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt.$$
(1.1)

\_\_\_\_\_

The digamma function  $\psi$  is defined by

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)} ,$$

and has the representation

$$\Psi(x) = -\gamma + (x-1)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)(x+k)}.$$
(1.2)

In [2], J.Sandor proved the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+a)} \le \frac{\Gamma(1+x)^a}{\Gamma(1+ax)} \le 1, \quad x \in [0,1], \quad a \ge 1.$$
(1.3)

\_\_\_\_\_

Lator on, L. Bougoffa [1] in his roll generalized inequality (1.3) by giving the following

**Theorem: 1.1.** Let f be a function defined by

\_\_\_\_\_

$$f(x) = \frac{\Gamma(1+bx)^a}{\Gamma(1+ax)^b}, \quad \forall x \ge 0,$$

in which 1 + ax > 0 and 1 + bx > 0, then for all  $a \ge b > 0$  or  $0 > a \ge b$  (a > 0 and b < 0), f is decreasing (increasing) respectively on  $[0, \infty)$ .

\*Corresponding author: Hanadi Dawood Saleem\*, \*E-mail: hanadi\_772000@yahoo.com Department of Mathematics College of Computers Sciences and Mathematics University of Mosul Mosul-IRAQ

International Journal of Mathematical Archive- 2 (5), May – 2011

*Hanadi Dawood Saleem\*/ A study of some inequality containing the beta function /IJMA- 2(5), May -2011, Page: 659-661* The aim of this paper is to present a new result concerning the beta function. In fact, we prove the following:

## 2. RESULT:

**Theorem: 2.1.** Let f be a function defined by

$$f(x) = \beta(1 + ax, 1 + bx),$$

when 1 + ax > 0, 1 + bx > 0. Define  $\lambda = \min\{aB + bA, aB^2 + bA^2\}, \ \mu = \max\{aB + bA, aB^2 + bA^2\}.$ 

Then

**Case A.** when a, b have the same signs.

- 1. f is decreasing whenever a, b are positive.
- 2. f is increasing whenever a, b are negative.

**Case B.** when *a*, *b* have different signs.

- 1. f is decreasing if  $\lambda \ge 0$ .
- 2. f is increasing if  $\mu \leq 0$ .

Proof: Let

$$f(x) = \beta(A, B) = \frac{\Gamma(A)\Gamma(B)}{\Gamma(A+B)},$$

where A = 1 + ax, B = 1 + bx. The above implies

$$\ln f(x) = \ln \Gamma(A) + \ln \Gamma(B) - \ln \Gamma(A+B).$$

Differentiating, we obtain

$$\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = a \frac{\Gamma'(A)}{\Gamma(A)} + b \frac{\Gamma'(B)}{\Gamma(B)} - (a+b) \frac{\Gamma'(A+B)}{\Gamma(A+B)}$$

$$= a \psi(A) + b \psi(B) - (a+b) \psi(A+B)$$

$$= a(A-1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+k)(A+k)} + b(B-1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+k)(B+k)}$$

$$-(a+b)(A+B-1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+k)(A+B+k)}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+k)(A+B+k)} = (a+b)(A+k)(B+k)(A+B-1)$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left[a(A-1)(B+k+b(A+k)(B-1))\right](A+B+k) - (a+b)(A+k)(B+k)(A+B-1)}{(1+k)(A+k)(B+k)(A+B+k)}.$$

Simplifying, we have

$$\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(aB^2 + bA^2)k^0 + (aB + bA + aB^2 + bA^2)k + (aB + bA)k^2}{(1+k)(A+k)(B+k)(A+B+k)}$$
  
Case: 1 if  $a, b \ge 0$ , then  $\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \le 0$ , which implies  $f'(x) \le 0$ .  
© 2011, IJMA. All Rights Reserved

Hanadi Dawood Saleem\*/ A study of some inequality containing the beta function /IJMA- 2(5), May -2011, Page: 659-661 That is f is non-increasing

if 
$$a, b \le 0$$
, then  $\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \ge 0$ , and hence  $f'(x) \ge 0$ .

which implies f is non-decreasing.

**Case:** 2 when a, b have different signs If  $\lambda \ge 0$ , then  $\min\{aB + bA, aB^2 + bA^2\} \ge 0$ , which implies each of the two terms  $aB + bA, aB^2 + bA^2$  non-negative and therefore f is non-increasing as in case-1.

If  $\mu \le 0$ , then each of the two terms aB + bA,  $aB^2 + bA^2$  non-positive which implies f is non-decreasing as in case-1.

### **3. APPLICATIONS:**

**Corollary: 3.1** Let 1 + ax > 0, 1 + x > 0. Then

(a) The function  $\beta(1+ax,1+x)$  is decreasing for  $a \ge 1$ ,  $x \in \left(\frac{-1}{a},\infty\right)$ .

(**b**) The function  $\beta(1+ax,1+x)$  is decreasing for  $0 < a \le 1$ ,  $x \in (-1,\infty)$ .

**Proof:** The proof follows from Th.2.1 by putting b = 1.

**Corollary: 3.2.** Let 1 + ax > 0, 1 + x > 0. Then

(a) The function  $\beta(1-ax,1-x)$  is decreasing for  $a \ge 1$ ,  $x \in \left(0,\frac{1}{a}\right)$ .

(**b**) The function  $\beta(1-ax, 1-x)$  is decreasing for  $0 < a \le 1$ ,  $x \in (0,1)$ .

**Proof:** The proof follows from Theorem.2.1 by putting b = 1.

**Corollary: 3.3.** Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ , A, B be as defined in Theorem 2.1, let 1 + ax > 0, 1 + x > 0. Then the function  $\beta(1 - ax, 1 + x)$  decreasing if  $\lambda \ge 0$  and increasing if  $\mu \le 0$ .

**Proof:** The proof follows from Theorem.2.1 by putting b = 1.

#### **REFERENCES:**

[1] L. Bougoffa, Some inequalities involving the gamma function, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math., 7(5) (2006), Art.179.

[2] J.Sandor, A note on certain inequalities for the gamma function, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math., 6(3) (2005), Art.61.

\*\*\*\*\*