

CONNECTED DOMINATION POLYNOMIAL OF A GRAPH

***Dhananjaya Murthy B. V.**

*Department of Mathematics, Nitte Meenakshi Institute of Technology,
Yelahanka, Bangalore, India.*

Deepak G.

Acharya Institute of Graduate Studies, Soldevanhalli, Achitnagar, Bangalore -107, India.

N. D. Soner

Department of Studies in Mathematics, University of Mysore, Mysore - 570 006, India.

(Received on: 08-10-13; Revised & Accepted on: 29-11-13)

ABSTRACT

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple connected graph of order n . A connected dominating set of G is a set S of vertices of G such that every vertex in $V - S$ is adjacent to some vertex in S and the induced subgraph $\langle S \rangle$ is connected. The connected domination number $\gamma_c(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a connected dominating set of G . In this paper we introduce the connected domination polynomial of G . The connected domination polynomial of a connected graph G of order n is the polynomial $D_c(G, x) = \sum_{i=\gamma_c(G)}^n d_c(G, i) x^i$, where $d_c(G, i)$ is the number of connected dominating set of G of size i and $\gamma_c(G)$ is the connected domination number of G . We obtain some basic properties of the connected domination polynomial and compute this polynomial and its roots for some standard graphs.

Keywords: Connected dominating set, connected domination polynomial, connected domination roots.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C69.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we will consider only a simple connected graphs finite and undirected, without loops and multiple edges. As usual $p = |V|$ and $q = |E|$ denote the number of vertices and edges of a graph G , respectively. In general, we use $\langle X \rangle$ to denote the subgraph induced by the set of vertices X . $N(v)$ and $N[v]$ denote the open and closed neighbourhood of a vertex v , respectively. A set D of vertices in a graph G is a dominating set if every vertex in $V - D$ is adjacent to some vertex in D . The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of G . For terminology and notations not specifically defined here we refer reader to [4]. For more details about domination number and its related parameters, we refer to [5], [7], and [9].

A dominating set S of G is called a connected dominating set if the induced subgraph $\langle S \rangle$ is connected. The minimum cardinality of a connected dominating set of G is called the connected domination number of G and is denoted by $\gamma_c(G)$ [8].

A dominating set with cardinality $\gamma_c(G)$ is called γ_c -set. We denote the family of dominating sets of a graph G with cardinality i by $D_c(G, i)$.

Corresponding author: *Dhananjaya Murthy B. V.

*Department of Mathematics, Nitte Meenakshi Institute of Technology,
Yelahanka, Bangalore, India.*

Let $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ be two graphs. Then their **union** $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ is a graph with vertex set $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ and edge set $E = E_1 \cup E_2$. The **join** $G = G_1 + G_2$ of graphs G_1 and G_2 with disjoint vertex sets V_1 and V_2 and edge sets E_1 and E_2 is the graph union $G_1 \cup G_2$ together with all the edges joining V_1 and V_2 . A **spider** is a tree T with the property that the removal of all end paths of length two of T results in an isolated vertex, called the head of spider. For any real number x , $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to x , and $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to x .

The domination polynomial of a graph is introduced by Saeid Alikhani and Yee- hock Peng [1].

In this paper motivated by domination polynomial of a graph [1], we introduce the connected domination polynomial of G , we obtain some properties of the connected domination polynomial and compute this polynomial and its roots for some standard graphs.

2. CONNECTED DOMINATION POLYNOMIAL OF A GRAPH

Definition: 2.1 Let G be a connected graph of order n and let $d_c(G, i)$ denoted the number of connected dominated sets with cardinality i . Then the connected domination polynomial $D_c(G, x)$ of G is defined as:

$$D_c(G, x) = \sum_{i=\gamma_c(G)}^n d_c(G, i) x^i,$$

where $\gamma_c(G)$ is the connected domination number of G . The roots of the connected domination polynomial are called the connected domination roots of G and denoted by $Z(D_c(G, X))$.

Example: 2.2 Let G be the graph in the Figure 1, $V(G) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then the connected domination number is one and the connected dominating set of size two are $\{1, 2\}$, $\{2, 3\}$, $\{2, 4\}$, the connected dominating sets of size three are $\{1, 2, 3\}$, $\{1, 2, 4\}$, $\{2, 3, 4\}$ and one connected dominating set of size four. Hence

$$D_c(G, x) = x(x^3 + 3x^2 + 3x + 1),$$

and the connected dominating roots of G are 0 and -1 with three multiplicities.




Figure 1: G

Theorem: 2.3 For any path P_n on $n \geq 3$ vertices,

$$D_c(P_n, x) = x^n + 2x^{n-1} + x^{n-2},$$

and the connected dominating roots are 0 with multiplicity $n - 2$ and -1 with multiplicity 2.

Proof: Let G be path P_n with $n \geq 3$ and let $P_n = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. The connected domination number of P_n is $n - 2$ and there is only one connected domination set of order $n - 2$. That means $d_c(P_n, n - 2) = 1$.

Also there are only two connected dominating sets of order $n - 1$ namely $\{v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$. Therefore $d_c(P_n, n - 1) = 2$ and clearly there is only one connected dominating set of order n . Hence $D_c(P_n, x) = x^n + 2x^{n-1} + x^{n-2}$ and it is clear that the roots of the polynomial $x^n + 2x^{n-1} + x^{n-2}$ are 0 with multiplicity $n - 2$ and -1 with multiplicity 2.

Theorem: 2.4 For any cycle C_n with n vertices,

$$D_c(C_n, x) = x^{n-2} (x^2 + nx + n),$$

and the connected dominating roots are 0 with multiplicity $(n - 2)$ and $\frac{-n + \sqrt{n^2 - 4n}}{2}$, $\frac{-n - \sqrt{n^2 - 4n}}{2}$

Proof: Let G be a cycle C_n with n and let $C_n = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_1\}$. The connected domination number of C_n is $n-2$ and there are n possibilities for the connected dominating set of size $(n - 2)$. That means $d_c(C_n, n - 2) = n$.

Also there are only n connected dominating sets of order $n-1$ namely $\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}, \{v_2, v_3, v_4, \dots, v_n\}, \dots, \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$. Therefore $d_c(C_n, n - 1) = n$ and clearly there is only one connected dominating set of order n . Hence $D_c(C_n, x) = x^n + nx^{n-1} + nx^{n-2} = x^{n-2} (x^2 + nx + n)$ and the roots of this polynomial are 0 with multiplicity $n - 2$ and

$$\frac{-n + \sqrt{n^2 - 4n}}{2}, \frac{-n - \sqrt{n^2 - 4n}}{2}$$

Theorem: 2.5 For any star graph $K_{1, n}$ with $n + 1$ vertices, where $n \geq 2$, $D_c(K_{1, n}, x) = x(1 + x)^n$ and the connected dominating roots are 0 and -1 with multiplicity n .

Proof: Let G be star graph of size $n + 1$ and $n \geq 2$. By labeling the vertices of G as $v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$, where v_0 is the vertex of degree n , then clearly there is only one connected dominating set of size one and there are n connected dominating set of size two namely $\{v_0, v_1\}, \{v_0, v_2\}, \{v_0, v_3\}, \dots, \{v_0, v_n\}$. Similarly for the connected dominating set of size three we need to select the vertex v_0 and two vertices from the set of vertices $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$.

That means there are $\binom{n}{2}$ connected dominating sets. In general

$$d_c(G, i) = \binom{n}{i-1}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D_c(K_{1, n}, x) &= x + nx^2 + \binom{n}{2} x^3 + \binom{n}{3} x^4 + \dots + \binom{n}{n} x^{n+1} \\ &= x \left[1 + nx + \binom{n}{2} x^2 + \binom{n}{3} x^3 + \dots + \binom{n}{n} x^n \right] \\ &= x \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k \\ &= x (1 + x)^n \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the connected dominating roots are 0 and -1 with multiplicity n .

Theorem: 2.6 For any complete graph K_n of n vertices,

$$D_c(K_n, x) = (1 + x)^{n-1}.$$

Proof: Let G be a complete graph K_n . Then for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, it is easy to see that $d_c(K_n, i) = \binom{n}{i}$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_c(K_n, x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i \\
 &= nx + \binom{n}{2} x^2 + \binom{n}{3} x^3 + \binom{n}{4} x^4 + \dots + \binom{n}{n} x^n \\
 &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i \right] - 1 \\
 &= (x+1)^n - 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: 2.7 Let $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ be two graphs of orders n_1 and n_2 respectively. Then

$$D_c((G_1 + G_2), x) = D_c(G_1, x) + D_c(G_2, x) + [(1+x)^{n_1} - 1] [(1+x)^{n_2} - 1].$$

Proof: From the definition of $(G_1 + G_2)$ if D_1 be any connected dominating set of G_1 , then D_1 is connected dominating set of $(G_1 + G_2)$, similarly if D_2 be any connected dominating set of G_2 , then D_2 is connected dominating set of $(G_1 + G_2)$ and also $D_1 \cup D_2$ is connected dominating set of $(G_1 + G_2)$,

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_c((G_1 + G_2), x) &= D_c(G_1, x) + D_c(G_2, x) \\
 &+ \binom{n_1}{1} \binom{n_2}{1} x^2 + \binom{n_1}{1} \binom{n_2}{1} x^3 \\
 &+ \binom{n_1}{2} \binom{n_2}{1} x^3 + \binom{n_1}{1} \binom{n_2}{3} x^4 + \binom{n_2}{2} \binom{n_2}{2} x^4 \\
 &+ \binom{n_1}{3} \binom{n_2}{1} x^4 + \binom{n_1}{1} \binom{n_2}{4} x^5 + \binom{n_1}{2} \binom{n_2}{3} x^5 \\
 &+ \binom{n_1}{3} \binom{n_2}{2} x^5 + \binom{n_1}{4} \binom{n_2}{1} x^5 \\
 &+ \dots + \left[\binom{n_1}{1} \binom{n_2}{n_1+n_2-1} + \dots + \binom{n_1}{n_1+n_2-1} \binom{n_2}{1} \right] x^{n_1+n_2} \\
 &= \left[\binom{n_1}{1} x + \binom{n_1}{2} x^2 + \dots + \binom{n_1}{n_1} x^{n_1} \right] \left[\binom{n_2}{1} x + \binom{n_2}{2} x^2 + \dots + \binom{n_2}{n_2} x^{n_2} \right] \\
 &+ D_c(G_1, x) + D_c(G_2, x) \\
 &= D_c(G_1, x) + D_c(G_2, x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \binom{n_1}{i} x^i \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \binom{n_2}{j} x^j \\
 &= D_c(G_1, x) + D_c(G_2, x) + [(1+x)^{n_1} - 1] [(1+x)^{n_2} - 1]
 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary: 2.8 Let G be any wheel graph W_n with n vertices. Then

$$D_c(G, x) = x(1+x)^{n-1} + x^{n-1} + (n-1)x^{n-2} + (n-1)x^{n-3}.$$

Proof: It is known that $W_n \cong C_{n-1} + K_1$ and by using Theorem 2.7, we have

$$D_c((C_{n-1} + K_1), x) = D_c(C_{n-1}, x) + D_c(K_1, x) + [(1+x)^{n-1} - 1] [(1+x)^1 - 1]$$

Also by using Theorems 2.4 and 2.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_c((C_{n-1} + K_1), x) &= D_c(C_{n-1}, x) + D_c(K_1, x) + [(1+x)^{n-1} - 1] [(1+x)^1 - 1] \\
 &= x^{n-1} + (n-1)x^{n-2} + (n-1)x^{n-3} + x + x [(1+x)^{n-1} - 1] \\
 &= x(1+x)^{n-1} + x^{n-1} + (n-1)x^{n-2} + (n-1)x^{n-3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: 2.9 Let G be any complete bipartite graph $K_{m, n}$, where $1 < m \leq n$. Then

$$D_c(G, x) = [(1+x)^m - 1] [(1+x)^n - 1]$$

Proof: If G is complete bipartite graph with partite sets V_1 and V_2 , then any connected dominating set of G contains atleast one vertex from V_1 and at least one vertex from V_2 . So as in Theorem 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_c(G, x) &= \binom{m}{1} \binom{n}{1} x^2 + \binom{m}{1} \binom{n}{2} x^3 + \binom{m}{2} \binom{n}{1} x^3 \\ &\quad + \dots + \left[\binom{m}{1} \binom{n}{m+n-1} + \dots + \binom{m}{n+m-1} \binom{n}{1} \right] x^{m+n} \\ &= \left[\binom{m}{1} x + \binom{m}{2} x^2 + \dots + \binom{m}{m} x^m \right] \left[\binom{n}{1} x + \binom{n}{2} x^2 + \dots + \binom{n}{n} x^n \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{m}{i} x^i \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i \\ &= [(1+x)^m - 1] [(1+x)^n - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: 2.10 For any connected graph G with n vertices,

$$D_c(G \circ K_1, x) = (x^2 + x)^n$$

Proof: Let $H = G \circ K_1$. Then clearly $\gamma_c(H) = n$ and it is easy to see that there are $\binom{n}{i}$ possibilities to extend the connected dominating into connected dominating set of size $n + i$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D_c(H, x) &= x^n + \binom{n}{1} x^{n+1} + \binom{n}{2} x^{n+2} + \dots + x^{2n} \\ &= x^n (1 + \binom{n}{1} x + \binom{n}{2} x^2 + \dots + x^n) \\ &= x^n \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k \right) \\ &= x^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k \\ &= (x^2 + x)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: 2.11 For any connected graph G with n vertices,

$$D_c(G \circ \overline{K_m}, x) = x^n (1+x)^{mn}.$$

Proof: Let $H = G \circ \overline{K_m}$. Then clearly $\gamma_c(H) = n$ and it is obvious that $\binom{mn}{i}$ possibilities to extend the connected dominating into connected dominating set of size $n + i$, where $1 \leq i \leq mn$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_c(H, x) &= x^n + \binom{mn}{1} x^{n+1} + \binom{mn}{2} x^{n+2} + \dots + x^{n(m+1)} \\
 &= x^n \left(1 + \binom{mn}{1} x + \binom{mn}{2} x^2 + \dots + x^{mn} \right) \\
 &= x^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^{mn} \binom{mn}{i} x^i \right) \\
 &= x^n (1 + x)^{mn}.
 \end{aligned}$$

A bi-star is a tree obtained from the graph K_2 with two vertices u and v by attaching m pendant edges in u and n pendant edges in v and denoted by $B(m, n)$.

Theorem: 2.12 Let G be a bi-star graph $B(m, n)$ as in Figure 2. Then

$$D_c(B(m, n), x) = x^2(1 + x)^{m+n}.$$




Figure 2:

Proof: Let G be a bi-star and with labeling as in Figure 2. Then $\gamma_c(B(m, n)) = 2$ namely the set $\{u, v\}$ is the only unique minimum connected dominating set. Hence $d_c(B(m, n), \gamma_c) = 1$, and it is obvious that any other connected dominating set must contain the two vertices u and v . Hence there are

$\binom{m+n}{1}$ possibilities to extend the connected dominating into connected dominating set of size 3, and

there are $\binom{m+n}{2}$ possibilities to extend the connected dominating into connected dominating set of

size 4. In general it is easy to see that there are $\binom{m+n}{i-2}$ possibilities to extend the connected dominating into connected dominating set of size i . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_c(B(m, n), x) &= x^2 + \binom{m+n}{1} x^3 + \binom{m+n}{2} x^4 + \dots + x^{n+m+2} \\
 &= x^2 \left(1 + \binom{m+n}{1} x + \binom{m+n}{2} x^2 + \binom{m+n}{3} x^3 + \dots + x^{m+n} \right) \\
 &= x^2 (1 + x)^{m+n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Saeid Alikhani and Yee-hock Peng, Introduction to domination polynomial of a graph, arXiv: 0905.2251 v1 (2009).
- [2] J. Bondy and U. Murthy, Graph Theory with applications, North Holland, New York, (1976).
- [3] C. Godsil and G. Royle, Algebraic graph theory, vol. 207 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [4] F. Harary, Graph theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading Mass (1969).
- [5] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater, Fundamentals of domination in graphs, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1998).
- [6] S. R. Jayaram, Line domination in graphs, Graphs Combin. 3 (1987), 357- 363.
- [7] E. Sampathkumar and P. S. Neeralagi, The neighbourhood number of a graph, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.16 (2) (1985) 126 - 132.
- [8] E. Sampathkumar and H.B. Walikar, The connected domination number of a graph, J. Math. Phys. Sci., 13(1979), 607-613.
- [9] H. B. Walikar, B. D. Acharya and E. Sampathkumar, Recent developments in the theory of domination in graphs, Mehta Research Institute, Alahabad, MRI Lecture Notes in Math. 1 (1979).

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared