

STRONG COMMUTATIVITY – PRESERVING DERIVATIONS ON NEAR-RINGS

<sup>1</sup>Prof. K. Suvarna & <sup>2</sup>T. Madhavi\*

<sup>1</sup>Research Supervisor, Department of Mathematics, S. K. University, Anantapur (A.P.), India

<sup>2</sup>Asst. Professor, Ananthalakshmi Institute of Technology & Sciences, Anantapur (A.P.), India

(Received on: 30-12-12; Revised & Accepted on: 01-02-13)

ABSTRACT

Bell and Mason [1] studies derivations in Near-rings and Near-fields. In this paper we present some properties of Strong Commutativity- Preserving derivations in left near-rings. We prove that if  $N$  is left near-ring with  $U$  as a right ideal which contains no zero divisors of  $N$  and  $d$  is a nonzero commuting scp – derivation on  $U$ , then  $N$  is commutative.

**Key words:** Prime near-ring, derivation, ideal, strong commutativity-preserving derivation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper  $N$  denotes a zero- symmetric left near-ring with a derivation  $d$  satisfying  $d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y$  for all  $x, y$  in  $N$  and  $Z$  denotes the center of  $N$ . A left near-ring is a set  $N$  with two operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  such that  $(N,+)$  is a group and  $(N, \cdot)$  is a semigroup satisfying the left distributive law  $x(y+z) = xy+yz$  for all  $x, y, z$  in  $N$ . According the Bell and Mason [ 2 ] a near-ring  $N$  is said to be prime if  $xNy = \{0\}$  for  $x, y$  in  $N$  implies  $x=0$  or  $y=0$ . A derivation  $d$  is said to be strong commutativity-preserving derivation on  $N$  if  $[x, y] = [d(x), d(y)]$  for all  $x, y$  in  $N$ . An element  $c \in N$  for which  $d(c) = 0$  is called a constant and a derivation  $d$  is said to be commuting on  $N$  if  $[x, d(x)]=0$  for all  $x \in N$ .

II. Main Theorems

To prove the main theorem we require the following Lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.1:** If  $d$  is a scp-derivation on  $N$ , then constants are in  $Z$ . If  $N$  also has 1, then  $(N, +)$  is abelian.

**Proof:** For  $c$  constant, we have  $[c, y] = [d(c), d(y)] = [0, d(y)] = 0$ , for all  $y \in N$ .

In particular, if  $N$  has 1, then  $1+1 \in Z$ .

Hence  $[1+1, x + y] = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in N$ , from which we have that  $(N, +)$  is abelian.

**Lemma 3.2.2:** Let  $d$  be a derivation on  $N$ , and suppose  $u \in N$  is not a left zero divisor. If  $[u, d(u)]=0$ , then  $(x, u) = x+u-x-u$  is a constant for every  $x \in N$ .

**Proof:** From  $u(u+x) = u^2+ux$ , we obtain

$$ud(u+x)+d(u)(u+x) = ud(u) + d(u)u +ud(x)+d(u)x.$$

This implies that  $ud(x)+d(u)u = d(u)u +ud(x)$ .

Since  $d(u)u = ud(u)$ , we obtain  $u(d(x)+d(u)-d(x)-d(u)) = 0 = ud((x, u))$ .

Thus  $d((x, u)) = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.2.1:** If  $N$  has no zero divisors and admits a nonzero commuting scp-derivation, then  $N$  is a commutative ring with no idempotents except 0 or 1.

**Proof:** For all  $x, y \in N$ , we have  $[x, y] = [d(x), d(y)]$ .

We replace  $y$  by  $xy$  in the above equation. Then we get  $[x, xy] = [d(x), d(xy)]$ . This implies that  $x[x, y] = [d(x), xd(y) + d(x)y]$  for all  $x, y \in N$ .

Corresponding author: <sup>2</sup>T. Madhavi\*

<sup>2</sup>Asst. Professor, Ananthalakshmi Institute of Technology & Sciences, Anantapur (A.P.), India

So,  $x[x, y] = d(x)xd(y) + d(x)^2y - d(x)yd(x) - xd(y) d(x)$ .

Since  $d$  is commuting and hence  $(N, +)$  is abelian. Therefore we have

$$x[x, y] = x[d(x),d(y)] + d(x) [d(x),y] = x[x, y] + d(x) [d(x),y].$$

Hence  $d(x) [d(x), y] = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in N$ ; and since  $N$  has no zero divisors, we get  $[d(x), y] = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in N$ .

In particular,  $[d(x), d(y)] = 0$ ; and therefore  $[x, y] = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in N$ .

Thus  $N$  is a commutative ring.

We know that if  $N$  admits a commuting scp-derivation, then all idempotents  $e$  are central.

Therefore, if  $e^2 = e \neq 0$ , then  $e$  is central.

Since  $e(ex - x) = 0$  for all  $x \in N$ ,  $e$  is a left identity element.

Since  $e \in Z$ , it follows that  $e = 1$ .

Now we also have the following.

**Corollary 3.2.1:** A near-field with a scp-derivation is a field.

**Corollary 3.2.2:** A near-domain admitting a nonzero scp-derivation is a commutative ring (and hence an ordinary integral domain).

**Corollary 3.2.3:** If  $N$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements and admits a commuting scp-derivation, then  $N$  is a commutative ring.

**Proof:** By Lemma 4 of [11], there exists a family of completely prime ideals  $\{P_\alpha / \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  such that  $N$  is a subdirect product of the near-rings  $N/P_\alpha$ , and such that for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , the definition  $\tilde{d}_\alpha(x + P_\alpha) = d(x) + P_\alpha$  yields a derivation  $\tilde{d}_\alpha$  on  $N/P_\alpha$ . Let  $\tilde{N}$  denote a typical  $N/P_\alpha$ ; and  $\tilde{N}$  has no zero divisors of zero.

If  $\tilde{d}_\alpha$  is nonzero, then  $\tilde{N}$  is a commutative ring by Theorem 3.2.1.

If  $\tilde{d}_\alpha$  is trivial, then from the definition of scp-derivation we have that  $\tilde{N}$  is commutative, hence distributive.

But then  $(\tilde{N}^2, +)$  is abelian, so that

$$\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{x}\tilde{y} - \tilde{x}^2 - \tilde{x}\tilde{y} = 0, \text{ for all } \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{N}; \text{ and cancelling } \tilde{x} \text{ we obtain that } (\tilde{N}, +) \text{ is abelian.}$$

**Theorem 3.2.2:** Let  $U$  be a nonzero ideal of  $N$  which contains no zero divisors of  $N$ . If  $N$  admits a nonzero derivation  $d$  such that  $[x, d(x)] = 0$ , for all  $x \in U$  and  $[x, y] = [d(x), d(y)]$ , for all  $x, y \in U$ , then  $N$  is a commutative ring.

**Proof:** By Lemma 3.2.2, we have the additive group commutator

$$(x, a) = x + a - x - a \text{ is constant for all } a \in U \text{ and } x \in N.$$

Since  $U$  is an ideal, we have  $(x, a)y = (xy, ay)$  is also constant for arbitrary  $y \in N$ .

$$\text{Hence } (x, a) d(N) = \{0\}.$$

Since  $U$  has no zero divisors and  $(x, a) \in U$ , we obtain  $(x, a) = 0$ ; and therefore  $(U, +)$  is abelian.

Now for any arbitrary  $a \in U / \{0\}$  and  $x, y \in N$ , we have  $(ax, ay) = a(x, y) = 0$ ; and hence  $(N, +)$  is abelian.

Now by the proof of theorem 3.2.1, we have

$$d(x) [d(x), y] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in U.$$

Since  $[d(x), y] \in U$ , we conclude that  $[d(x), y] = 0$  or  $d(x) = 0$ .

Thus  $[d(x), y] = 0$ , for all  $x, y \in U$ .

In particular, for all  $x, y \in U$  we have  $[d(x), yd(y)] = 0 = y[d(x), d(y)]$ .

Therefore,  $0 = [d(x), d(y)] = [x, y]$ , for all  $x, y \in U$ .

Using this, if  $a \in A/\{0\}$  and  $x, y \in N$ , then we have

$$axay - ayax = 0 = a^2(xy - yx) = a^2[x, y]; \text{ so } [x, y] = 0.$$

Therefore,  $N$  is a commutative ring.

#### REFERENCES

1. H.E.BELL and G.MASON: On derivations in near-rings, Near-Rings and Near-Fields, G. Betsch (Ed), North-Holland, Amsterdam (1987), 31-35.
2. H.E.BELL and G.MASON: On derivations in near-rings and rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 34 (1992), 135-144.
3. M.BRESAR: Commuting traces of biadditive mappings, commutativity-preserving mappings and Lie mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 335 (1993), 525-546.
4. M.N.DAIF and H.E.BELL: Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings, Internet. J. Math & Math. Sci. 15(1992), 205-206.
5. M.HONGAN: On near-rings with derivations, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 32 (1990), 89-92.

**Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared**